Stricken language would be deleted from and underlined language would be added to the law as it existed prior to this session of the General Assembly.

Act 2250 of the Regular Session

1	State of Arkansas	As Engrossed: H3/30/05 H4/5/05		
2	85th General Assembly	A Bill		
3	Regular Session, 2005		HOUSE BILL	2857
4				
5	By: Representative Verkar	mp		
6				
7				
8		For An Act To Be Entitled		
9	AN AC	T CONCERNING THE STATUTE OF LIMITATION F	'OR	
10	PROSEC	CUTIONS BASED ON DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID A	ND	
11	OTHER	SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE, POST-CONVICTION		
12	APPEAI	LS BASED ON DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID AND OT	HER	
13	SCIEN	FIFIC EVIDENCE, TESTING AND POST-TESTING	ı r	
14	PROCEI	DURES FOR DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID EVIDENCE	,	
15	TIME 1	LIMITATIONS AND REPORTING PROCEDURES		
16	RELAT	ING TO THE TESTING OF DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC A	CID	
17	EVIDE	NCE; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.		
18				
19		Subtitle		
20	AN	ACT CONCERNING THE STATUTE OF		
21	LIN	MITATION FOR PROSECUTIONS BASED ON		
22	DEC	OXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID EVIDENCE AND POST-		
23	COI	NVICTION APPEALS BASED ON		
24	DEC	OXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID AND OTHER		
25	SC	IENTIFIC EVIDENCE.		
26				
27				
28	BE IT ENACTED BY THE	GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ARKAN	SAS:	
29				
30	SECTION 1. Ar	kansas Code § 5-1-109, pertaining to st	atutes of	
31	limitations for crim	ninal offenses, is amended to add an add	itional subsec	tion
32	to read as follows:			
33	<u>(j) In a case</u>	e in which deoxyribonucleic acid testing	implicates a	
34	person previously id	dentified through a search of the State	DNA Data Base	or
35	National DNA Index S	System, no statute of limitation that wo	uld otherwise	

1	preclude prosecution of the offense shall preclude the prosecution until a
2	period of time following the implication of the person by deoxyribonucleic
3	acid testing has elapsed that is equal to the otherwise applicable limitation
4	period.
5	
6	SECTION 2. Arkansas Code § 16-112-201 is amended to read as follows:
7	16-112-201. Appeals Writ of Habeas Corpus - New scientific evidence.
8	(a) Except when direct appeal is available, a person convicted of a
9	crime may commence a proceeding to secure relief by filing a petition in the
10	court in which the conviction was entered to vacate and set aside the
11	judgment and to discharge the petitioner or to resentence the petitioner or
12	grant a new trial or correct the sentence or make other disposition as may be
13	appropriate, if the person claims under penalty of perjury that:
14	(1) Scientific evidence not available at trial establishes the
15	petitioner's actual innocence; or
16	(2) The scientific predicate for the claim could not have been
17	previously discovered through the exercise of due diligence and the facts
18	underlying the claim, if proven and viewed in light of the evidence as a
19	whole, would be sufficient to establish by clear and convincing evidence that
20	no reasonable fact-finder would find the petitioner guilty of the underlying
21	offense.
22	(b) Nothing contained in this subchapter shall prevent the Arkansas
23	Supreme Court or the Arkansas Court of Appeals, upon application by a party,
24	from granting a stay of an appeal to allow an application to the trial court
25	for an evidentiary hearing under this subchapter.
26	
27	SECTION 3. Arkansas Code § 16-112-202 is amended to read as follows:
28	16-112-202. Form of motion.
29	$\frac{(a)(1)}{(a)(1)}$ Except when direct appeal is available, a person convicted of a
30	crime may make a motion for the performance of fingerprinting, forensic
31	deoxyribonucleic acid testing, or other tests which may become available
32	through advances in technology to demonstrate the person's actual innocence
33	if:
34	(A) The testing is to be performed on evidence secured in
35	relation to the trial which resulted in the conviction; and
36	(B) The evidence was not subject to the testing because

1	etiner the technology for the testing was not available at the time of the
2	trial or the testing was not available as evidence at the time of the trial.
3	(2) The motion shall be filed before the court in which the
4	conviction was entered.
5	(3) Reasonable notice of the motion shall be served on the
6	prosecuting attorney who represented the state at trial.
7	(b) A person who makes a motion for the performance of fingerprinting,
8	forensic deoxyribonucleic acid testing, or other tests which may become
9	available through advances in technology to demonstrate the person's actual
10	innocence must present a prima facie case that:
11	(1) Identity was an issue in the trial; and
12	(2) The evidence to be tested has been subject to a chain of
13	custody sufficient to establish that it has not been substituted, tampered
14	with, replaced, or altered in any material aspect.
15	(c)(1) The court shall order that the testing be performed if:
16	(A) A prima facie case has been established under
17	subsection (b) of this section;
18	(B) The testing has the scientific potential to produce
19	new noncumulative evidence materially relevant to the defendant's assertion
20	of actual innocence; and
21	(C) The testing requested employs a scientific method
22	generally accepted within the relevant scientific community.
23	(2) The court shall impose reasonable conditions on the testing
24	designed to protect the state's interests in the integrity of the evidence
25	and the testing process.
26	(1) The specific evidence to be tested was secured as a result
27	of the conviction of an offense being challenged under § 16-112-201;
28	(2) The specific evidence to be tested was not previously
29	subjected to testing and the person making the motion under this section did
30	<u>not:</u>
31	(A) Knowingly and voluntarily waive the right to request
32	testing of the evidence in a court proceeding commenced on or after the
33	effective date of this subdivision (2)(A); or
34	(B) Knowingly fail to request testing of the evidence in a
35	prior motion for post-conviction testing;
36	(3) The specific evidence was previously subjected to testing

1	and the person making a motion under this section is requesting testing using
2	a new method or technology that is substantially more probative than the
3	prior testing;
4	(4) The specific evidence to be tested is in the possession of
5	the state and has been subject to a chain of custody and retained under
6	conditions sufficient to ensure that the evidence has not been substituted,
7	contaminated, tampered with, replaced, or altered in any respect material to
8	the proposed testing;
9	(5) The proposed testing is reasonable in scope, utilizes
10	scientifically sound methods, and is consistent with accepted forensic
11	practices;
12	(6) The person making a motion under this section identifies a
13	theory of defense that:
14	(A) Is not inconsistent with an affirmative defense
15	presented at the trial of the offense being challenged under § 16-112-201;
16	<u>and</u>
17	(B) Would establish the actual innocence of the person in
18	relation to the offense being challenged under § 16-112-201;
19	(7) The identity of the perpetrator was at issue during the
20	investigation or prosecution of the offense being challenged under § 16-112-
21	<u>201;</u>
22	(8) The proposed testing of the specific evidence may produce
23	new material evidence that would:
24	(A) Support the theory of defense described in subdivision
25	(6) of this section; and
26	(B) Raise a reasonable probability that the person making
27	a motion under this section did not commit the offense;
28	(9) The person making a motion under this section certifies that
29	he or she will provide a deoxyribonucleic acid, fingerprint, or other sample
30	for comparison; and
31	(10) The motion is made in a timely fashion subject to the
32	following conditions:
33	(A) There shall be a rebuttal presumption of timeliness if
34	the motion is made within thirty-six (36) months of the date of conviction.
35	The presumption may be rebutted upon a showing:
36	(i) That the motion for a test under this section is

1	based solely upon information used in a previously denied motion; or
2	(ii) Of clear and convincing evidence that the
3	motion filed under this section was filed solely to cause delay or
4	harassment; and
5	(B) There shall be a rebuttable presumption against
6	timeliness for any motion not made within thirty-six (36) months of the date
7	of conviction. The presumption may be rebutted upon a showing:
8	(i) That the person making a motion under this
9	section was or is incompetent and the incompetence substantially contributed
10	to the delay in the motion for a test;
11	(ii) That the evidence to be tested is newly
12	discovered evidence;
13	(iii) That the motion is not based solely upon the
14	person's own assertion of innocence and a denial of the motion would result
15	in a manifest injustice;
16	(iv) That a new method of technology that is
17	substantially more probative than prior testing is available; or
18	(v) Of good cause.
19	
20	SECTION 4. Arkansas Code Title 16, Chapter 112, Subchapter 2 is
21	amended to add an additional section to read as follows:
22	16-112-208. Testing procedures.
23	(a)(1) A court that orders any deoxyribonucleic acid testing under
24	this subchapter shall direct the testing to be carried out by the State Crime
25	Laboratory.
26	(2)(A) However, the court may order deoxyribonucleic acid
27	testing by another qualified laboratory if the court makes all necessary
28	orders to ensure the integrity of the specific evidence and the reliability
29	of the testing process and test results.
30	(B) As used in this section, "qualified laboratory" means
31	a laboratory that is accredited by the American Society of Crime Laboratory
32	Directors or certified through the National Forensic Science Technology
33	<u>Center.</u>
34	(3) The court may order the person who requested any
35	deoxyribonucleic acid testing under this subchapter to pay for the cost of
36	the testing if the court determines that the person has the ability to pay

1	for the testing.
2	(b) If the deoxyribonucleic acid test results obtained under this
3	subchapter are inconclusive, the court may order additional testing or deny
4	further relief to the person who requested the testing.
5	(c)(1) If deoxyribonucleic acid test results obtained under this
6	subchapter establish that the person who requested the testing was the source
7	of the deoxyribonucleic acid evidence, the court shall deny any relief to the
8	person.
9	(2) On motion of the state, the court shall determine if the
10	person's assertion of actual innocence was false. If the court finds that
11	the person's assertion of actual innocence was false, the court may:
12	(A) Hold the person in contempt;
13	(B) Assess against the person the cost of any
14	deoxyribonucleic acid testing carried out under this subchapter;
15	(C) Forward the finding to the Board of Corrections for
16	consideration in the awarding of meritorious good time to the person; or
17	(D) Forward the finding to the Parole Board for
18	consideration in the granting of parole to the person.
19	(d) In any prosecution of a person for perjury or other conduct
20	resulting from a proceeding under this subchapter, upon conviction or a plea
21	of guilty or nolo contendere the court shall sentence the person to a term of
22	imprisonment that shall run consecutively to any other term of imprisonment
23	the person is serving.
24	(e)(1) If deoxyribonucleic acid test results obtained under this
25	subchapter exclude a person as the source of the deoxyribonucleic acid
26	evidence, the person may file a motion for a new trial or resentencing.
27	(2) The court shall establish a reasonable schedule for the
28	person to file a motion under subdivision (e)(1) of this section and for the
29	state to respond to the motion.
30	(3) The court may grant the motion of the person for a new trial
31	or resentencing if the deoxyribonucleic acid test results, when considered
32	with all other evidence in the case regardless of whether the evidence was
33	introduced at trial, establish by compelling evidence that a new trial would
34	result in an acquittal.
35	(f) In a case in which a person is sentenced to death, any
36	deoxyribonucleic acid testing ordered under this subchapter shall be

1	<pre>completed:</pre>
2	(1) No later than sixty (60) days after the date on which the
3	state responds to the motion described in §§ 16-112-202 and 16-112-203; or
4	(2) No later than one hundred twenty (120) days after the date
5	on which the deoxyribonucleic acid testing was ordered under any post-
6	conviction testing procedures under this subchapter.
7	(g)(1) The results of any deoxyribonucleic acid testing ordered under
8	this subchapter shall be simultaneously disclosed to the court, the person
9	that requested the testing, and the State of Arkansas.
10	(2)(A) The state shall submit any test results relating to a
11	person's deoxyribonucleic acid to the National DNA Index System.
12	(B) If the deoxyribonucleic acid test results obtained
13	under this subchapter are inconclusive or show that the person tested was the
14	source of the deoxyribonucleic acid evidence, the deoxyribonucleic acid
15	sample of the person tested may be retained in the National DNA Index System
16	and State DNA Data Base.
17	(C) If the deoxyribonucleic acid test results obtained
18	under this subchapter exclude the person tested as the source of the
19	deoxyribonucleic acid evidence but a comparison of the deoxyribonucleic acid
20	sample of the person tested results in a match between the person's sample
21	and another offense, the State Crime Laboratory shall notify the appropriate
22	agency and preserve the deoxyribonucleic acid sample of the person tested.
23	(D) The State Crime Laboratory shall destroy the
24	deoxyribonucleic acid sample of the person tested and ensure that the
25	information is not retained in the National DNA Index System or State DNA
26	Data Base if:
27	(i) The deoxyribonucleic acid test results obtained
28	under this subchapter exclude the person tested as the source of the
29	deoxyribonucleic acid evidence;
30	(ii) A comparison of the deoxyribonucleic acid
31	sample through a search of the State DNA Data Base or National DNA Index
32	System does not match the person's sample and another offense; and
33	(iii) There is no other legal authority to retain
34	the sample of the person tested in the National DNA Index System or State DNA
35	Data Base.
36	/s/ Verkamp

1

APPROVED: 4/13/2005