

OFFICE OF BUDGET 1509 West Seventh Street, Suite 402 Post Office Box 3278 Little Rock, Arkansas 72203-3278

Phone: (501) 682-1941 Fax: (501) 682-1086 www.arkansas.gov/dfa

August 15, 2022

Senator Jonathan Dismang, Co-Chair Representative Michelle Gray, Co-Chair Performance Evaluation & Expenditure Review Committee Arkansas Legislative Council State Capitol Building Little Rock, AR 72201

RE: FY 23 American Rescue Plan Act Request

Dear Co-Chairs:

Pursuant to Section 37 (01) of Act 199 of 2022, I am forwarding the attached American Rescue Plan Act request(s) that have received my approval as Chief Fiscal Officer of the State.

Department of Education – Division of Elementary and Secondary Education

 Help mitigate spread of COVID-19 at schools and continue district services
 and operations
 \$123,534,314

Sincerely,

Larry W. Walther Cabinet Secretary

LWW Attachment(s)

AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT OF 2021 PROGRAM APPROPRIATION AND PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATION REQUEST SECTION 37 OF ACT 199 OF 2022

| | | | | OLC IZOIT . | 37 OI AC | 133 0. 202 | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|---|--------------|------------------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Agency: | ADE - DE | SE | | | | | Business Area | Code: | 0500 | |
| Program Title: | | America | an Rescue F | Plan - Elementa | ry and Seco | ondary Schools E | mergency Relief Fu | ind (ARP | - ESSER |) |
| Granting Orga | nization: | | | | | | CFDA #: 84.42 | | | |
| Effective Date | of Authori | zation: | | Beginning | : | 7/1/2022 | Ending: | | 6/30/2 | 023 |
| Funds are pro | vided to S | tate educat | ional agend | ge (include attac cies and school c on the Nation | districts to | help safely reop | e thorough information the sen and sustain the | on): | | |
| Func. Area: Funds Center: | | Int | | | : FRP5000 | | Steering (| Comm. A | Funding: | |
| | 50 | <u> </u> | | | | Program | Funding Amount | | | |
| Regular Salarie | es . | | | | | | | | | |
| Extra Help | | | | | | | | | | |
| Personal Service | | ng | | | | | | 7.500 | | |
| Operating Expe | | | | | | | | | | |
| Conference & T | | enses | - | | | | | | | |
| Professional Fe | es | | | | - | | | | | |
| Capital Outlay | | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Processin | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grants and Aid | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other: ARP Gra | | | | | | | | | | 123,534,314 |
| Other: ARP Gra | int | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | \$ | | | | | | 123,534,314 |
| Anticipated Du | | | i i | on separately) | 9/30/23 | | DFA IGS State Te Items requested for compliance with Ter IGS State Technolog | information thnology P | on technolo lans as sub 1. | |
| Org | Pers | Pers | Cost | Position | Cmnt | | 1 | Class | | Line Item |
| Unit | Area | SubArea | Center | Number | Item | Positi | on Title | Code | Grade | Maximum * |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| tate funds with the provention: | ill not be he Govern | ior. | place fede | | luk | | ss appropriated b | y the G | eneral A | ssembly and |
| abinet Secretary |) secono | rector | Date | | of Budget | Date 15.22 | Office of | Personne | el Mgmt | Date |

| Mary 1 | | | | | | The district's current | | | |
|--|----------------|---------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| No. 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| See | | | | | | | | | |
| 1802 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | District | | | | | | |
| Part | Timestamp | LEA | DISTRICT | Superintendent | July 9, 2022 | ALC. | on the district website. | plan is posted on the district website and the ORL is provided below. | Plan is provided. |
| Security for the security speed of the secur | | | | | | | | | to support learning loss, cover the extensive additional costs due to the pandemic and rising costs, and support facility infrastructure to be more responsive to COVID 19 or any new |
| ### Second Profession Control (Second Debterd Control) ### Second Debterd Control (Second Debterd Control) ### Second Debterd Control (Second Debterd Control) ### Second Control (Second Debterd Control) ### Second Debterd Control (Second Debterd Control) ### Second Debt | | | | | | | | | be one at Mills University Studies High School to "comply and square up" the inequity between Mills University Studies High School and Robinson Middle School. LRSD v. PCSSD, Case No. 4:82-cv-866-DPM (E.D. Ark.) (Doc. 5730, p. 30). On July 30, 2021, PCSSD proposed to the federal court its plan to make strategic expansions to Mills University Studies High School in light of pandemic needs and in light of the demands of the ongoing desegregation case. In this proposal, PCSSD explained how instructional practices have adapted, new pedagogical models have developed, and needs have shifted. PCSSD also explained to the federal court that there is pandemic learning loss to be confronted. On the topic of technology, change is of course omnipresent. Additionally, true to its namesake, Mills is a University Studies school that strives to be a beacon for the community and prepare its students for higher education. Part of PCSSD's July 30, 2021 proposal to the federal court included using \$4 million of ARP funds to expand Mills University Studies High School to meet the orders of the federal court while building facilities that are specifically designed in part to mitigate COVID learning loss. On January 26, 2022, the federal court approved PCSSD's plan. PCSSD has moved forward and begun complying with the federal court orders to move this project along to the next step using ARP funds as proposed. PCSSD has represented to the federal court that it will use ARP funds in this manner, and PCSSD has made plans accordingly. If the state were to remove PCSSD's ability to rely |
| 1 Our APP Sear to perform the Fund pass and developed to the Search position is august to perform the funding page due of APP DESERT funds. We do pean to 30 assessment and target page due of APP DESERT funds. We do pean to 30 assessment and target page due of APP DESERT funds. We do pean to 30 assessment and target page due of APP DESERT funds. We do pean to 30 assessment page due of APP DESERT funds. We do pean to 30 | 8/8/2022 9:47 | 6003000 | | Charles McNulty | 12,138,084.50 | No | | | https://www.pcssd.org/page/american-rescue-plan |
| 88/0002 12-02 88/0002 12-03 88/0002 12-04 88/0002 12-05 88/0002 12-05 88/0002 12-05 88/0000 formation of the second of the | 8/8/2022 11:19 | 6802000 | Cave City School District | Steven Green | 3,424,352.88 | Yes | | https://5il.co/1focf | |
| B82022 12-0 Amount | | | | | | | | | |
| Separate Parameter Param | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent School District Section Percent | 8/8/2022 12:24 | 7205000 | Lincoln | Dr. Mary Ann Spears | 2,025,797.22 | No | | | |
| 892022 14.96 S0000 Perryville School District recovered a State of ST1 102 CR 11 ASP Bods 1 to all Cardinal has agent a load of ST1 102 CR 11 ASP Bods 1 to | | | | | 4 000 007 40 | V | https://5il.co/1bc1q | | 5 mponenting grant and the second an |
| Segroup 14:35 \$300000 Pennyille School District Is unable to revise the ARP plan to meet th | 8/8/2022 12:24 | 3804000 | Hoxie School District | Kelly Gillham | 1,063,287.18 | Yes | | https://s2.amazanawa.com/accehoolfilos/1204/arpcocar.funda 9.9 | |
| Garliske School District is unable to revise the ARP plan to meet the Part agrouped to pay a prefetor in normble of \$900.00 for the 20022022 shool, very. The normble and bedieved a plane pay and pay a devised in review of the ARP plan to meet the recommendators of the ALC. August Carliske School District is unable to revise the ARP plan to meet the recommendators of the ALC. August Carliske School District is unable to revise the ARP plan to meet the recommendators of the ALC. August Carliske School District is unable to revise the ARP plan to meet the recommendators of the ALC. August Carliske School District is unable to revise the ARP plan to meet the recommendators of the ALC. August Carliske School District is unable to revise the ARP plan to meet the recommendators of the ALC. August Carliske School District is unable to revise the ARP plan to meet the recommendators of the ALC. August Carliske School District is unable to revise the ARP plan to meet the recommendators of the ALC. August Carliske School District is unable to revise the ARP plan to meet the recommendators of the ALC. August Carliske School District is unable to revise the ARP plan to meet the recommendators of the ALC. August Carliske School District is unable to revise the ARP plan to meet the recommendators of the ALC. August Carliske School District is unable to revise the ARP plan to meet the recommendators of the ALC. August Carliske School District is unable to revise the ARP plan to meet the recommendators of the ALC. August Carliske School District is unable to revise the ARP plan to meet the recommendators of the ALC. August Carliske School District is unable to revise the plan to revise the pla | 8/8/2022 14:35 | 5303000 | Perryville School District | Walt Davis | 1,330,462.90 | Yes | | | |
| R82022 14.46 430000 Carlisle William Rountree 216.400 10 No recommendations of the ARP plan to meet the R8P plan t | | | | | | | | | |
| 8/8/2022 15:07 4701000 Amorel Tiflary Morgan 97.593.73 No together the second of the ALC ARCH STATE ACT OF A ST | | | | | | | | Carlisle School District is unable to revise the ARP plan to meet the | |
| The Amoresi School District was awarded a combined total of \$370.127.6 from ARP ESSER. The district is not captured to a manufacture of the company of the c | 8/8/2022 14:46 | 4303000 | Carlisle | William Rountree | 216,409.10 | No | | | |
| eeds, resolved to purchase HVXQ units. This was in to Improve air quality for our students and adjust plant plant of the provided and approved early to spend almost. all ARP ESSER flunds copied bearing loss that except for the required learning to set funding on an HAVQ copied. all ARP ESSER flunds copied to spend the provided and provided | 0.0 | | | | | | | | The Armorel School District was awarded a combined total of \$379.127.54 from ARP ESSER. The district in following the original quideline, and evaluating the most pressing |
| project on September 17, 2021. If the district were to have used APP ESSER funds to give the recommended boruses, the total given to the staff would have been \$282.500. The required amount set aside for learning loss totaled \$75.825.51. Therefore, five had spend our APP ESSER funds on only boruses and famining loss totaled would have been \$282.500. The required amount set aside for learning loss totaled \$75.825.51. Therefore, five had spend our APP ESSER funds on only boruses with APP ESSER funds on the 2021-2022 school year. Set also for any other mought to have given borused from APP ESSER funds on only boruses with APP ESSER funds on the staff of the staff for any other expenditures, unless related to design only the staff funds and the staff for any other expenditures, unless related to design only the staff funds and the staff funds a | | | | | | | | | |
| If the district were to have used ARP ESSER funds to give the recommended bonuses, the total qiven both salt would have been \$228.000. Iterative has greated the recommended bonuses. The total qiven bonuses and terming loss this total would have been \$228.000. Iterative has greated the would have been sometimp to be this part would have been sometimp to be the useful to disruption by the programming both the total would have been sometimp to be the useful to disruption or closures resulting from canner resulting from canner resulting from canner resulting from canner to be sometimp to the useful to disruption or closures resulting from canner to be sometimes. The solid defend providing bonuses with ARP ESSER funding. That salt, the district dispray to the used by the staff, the district dispray to the solid for the salt of | | | | | | | | | |
| learning loss totaled \$75,825.51. Therefore, if we had append our ARP ESSER funds on only boruses and learning loss total would have been \$358,325.51, leaving \$20,020.55 for any other needs, Although these would have been enough to have given boruses from ARP ESSER finds on only boruses and learning loss this total would have been \$358,325.51, leaving \$20,020.55 for any other needs, Although these would have been enough to have given boruses from ARP ESSER finds and that these funds agreed providing boruses with ARP ESSER finding. That said, the district did, from general operating, provide a \$1,500 borus to each staff member in both 2021 and 2022. Also in the 2021-2022 school year, again using general operating funds, gave each staff member in both 2021 and 2022. Also in the 2021-2022 school year, again using general operating funds, gave each staff member in both 2021 and 2022. Also in the 2021-2022 school year, again using general operating funds, gave each staff member in both 2021 and 2022. Also in the 2021-2022 school year, again using general operating funds, gave each staff member in both 2021 and 2022. Also in the 2021-2022 school year, again using general operating funds, gave each staff member in both 2021 and 2022. Also in the 2021-2022 school year, again using general operating funds, gave each staff member in both 2021 and 2022. Also in the 2021-2022 school year, again using general operating funds, gave each staff member in both 2021 and 2022. Also in the 2021-2022 school year, again using general operating funds, gave each staff member in both 2021 and 2022. Also in the 2021-2022 school year, again using general operating. The standing funds gave each staff member in both 2021 and 2022. Also in the 2021-2022 school year, again using general operating funds, gave each staff member in both 2021 and 2022. Also in the 2021-2022 school year, again using general operating funds, gave each staff member in both 2021 and 2022. Also in the 2021-2022 school year, gave given funds, gave each staff member i | | | | | | | | | |
| for any other needs. Although there would have given bosues from ARP ESSER, the guidance stated that these funds 's usefand bosuess, metr) pay, or will approximate a posential or work of the providing bosues with the guidance stated that these funds 's usefand bosues, metr) pay, or will approximate a posential or work of the providing bosues with the guidance stated that these funds 's usefand bosues, we see and the providing bosues with the guidance stated that these funds 's usefand bosues, we see and the providing bosues with the guidance stated that these funds 's usefand bosues, we see and the guidance stated that these funds 's usefand bosues, we see and the guidance stated that these funds 's usefand bosues, we see and the guidance stated that these funds 's usefand bosues, we see and the guidance stated that these funds 's usefand bosues, we see an end of the providing bosues with the guidance stated that these funds 's usefand bosues, which is a guidance stated that these funds 's usefand bosues, which is a guidance stated that these funds 's usefand bosues, which is a guidance stated that these funds 's usefand bosues, which is a guidance stated that these funds' and the stated that these funds' and the stated that these funds' and the guidance stated that funds a stated that these funds' and the stated that the guidance stated that funds a stated that these funds' and the stated that the guidance stated that these funds' and the guidance stated that these funds' and the guidance stated that the guidance stated | | | | | | | | | |
| audited, we could defend providing borsuses with APP ESSER funding. By 8/8/2022 15.07 4701000 Armorel Tiffany Morgan 57,593.73 No Tiffany Morgan Tiff | | | | | | | | | for any other needs. Although there would have been enough to have given bonuses from ARP ESSER, the guidance stated that these funds "generally will not be used for bonuses, |
| That said, the district did, rom general operating, provide a \$1,500 bonus to each staff member in both 2021 and 2022. Also in the 2021-2022 school year, again using general operating funds, gave each staff member an additional eight (8) COVID related absences/days. In the event these addition days were not used by the staff for each unused day (at sub rate of pay). https://core-docs.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/asset/uploaded_file/567/ArmorelPublicSchools/2326368/REVISED_ARP_ESSER_Plan108.pdf https://core-docs.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/asset/uploaded_file/567/ArmorelPublicSchools/2326368/REVISED_ARP_ESSER_Filen108.pdf https://core-docs.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/asset/uploaded_file/567/ArmorelPublicSchools/2326368/REVISED_ARP_ESSER_Filen108.pd | | | | | | | | | |
| operating funds, gave each staff member an additional eight (8) COVID related absences/days. In the event these addition days were not used by the staff, the district paid the staff, for each unused (at sub rate of pay). https://core-docs.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/asset/uploaded_file/567/ArmorelPublicSchools/2326368/REVISED_ARP_ESSER_Plan108.pdf https://core-docs.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/asset/uploaded_file/567/ArmorelPublicSchools/2326368/REVISED_ARP_ESSER_Files_file | | | | | | | | | |
| 8/8/2022 15.07 4701000 Armorel Triffany Morgan 57,593.73 No https://core-docs.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/asset/uploaded_file/567/ArmorelPublicSchools/2326368/REVISED_ARP_ESSER_Plan108.pdf https://core-docs.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/asset/ | | | | | | | | | |
| https://core- docs.s3.amazonaws.com/ documents/asset/upload ed file/2418/msd/2094 626/MHPS Revised ARP ESSER May 2022.pdf Bismarck SID paid \$1200 to teachers with ESSER II runds, although we were highly advised not to do so. We also paid \$5,000 to certified staff and \$3500 to classified staff during the 2021/2022 school year for recruitment and retention although, once again, DESE advised to not pay "bonuses" with ARP ESSER funds. This, with benefits, cost the district approx. \$840k. We have applied ALL Stabilization Funds provided to districts as well, which we were lold were a requirement to add to the salary schedule initially. We have taken every opportunity to reward our staff for their hard work and dedication. Due to the obligation of ARP ESSER funds. This, with benefits, cost the district approx. \$840k. We have applied ALL Stabilization Funds provided to districts as well, which we were lold were a requirement to add to the salary schedule initially. We have taken every opportunity to reward our staff for their hard work and dedication, but to the obligation of ARP ESSER funds. This, with benefits, cost the district approx. \$840k. We have applied ALL Stabilization Funds provided to districts as well, which we were lold were a requirement to add to the salary schedule initially. We have taken every opportunity to recommended amount for this technologies. The recommended amount for this technologies of the plant of t | | | | | | | | | for each unused day (at sub rate of pay). |
| https://core- docs.53 amazonaws.com/ documents/asset/upload ed file/2418/mhsd/2094 626/MHPS Revised ARP Schools Dr. Jacob Long 4,272,434.20 Yes ESSER May 2022.pdf Bismarck SD paid \$1200 to teachers with ESSER II funds, although we were highly advised not to do so. We also paid \$5,000 to certified staft and \$3500 to classified staft during the 2021/2022 school year for recruitment and retention although, once again, DESE advised to not pay "bonuses" with ARP ESSER funds. This, with benefits, cost the district approx. \$840k. We have applied ALL Stabilization Funds provided to districts as well, which we were lold were a requirement to add to the salary schedule initially. We have taken every opportunity to reward our staff for their hard work and dedication. Due to the obligation of ARP ESSER funds. This, with benefits, cost the district approx. \$840k. We have applied ALL Stabilization Funds provided to districts as well, which we were lold were a requirement to add to the salary schedule initially. We have taken every opportunity to reward our staff for their hard work and dedication. Due to the obligation of ARP ESSER funds. This, with Denefits, cost the district approx. \$840k. We have applied ALL Stabilization Funds provided to districts as well, which we were lold were a requirement to add to the salary schedule initially. We have taken every opportunity to reward our staff for their hard work and dedication. Due to the required 20% for learning loss, we can https://www.bismarcklions | 8/8/2022 15:07 | 4701000 | Armorel | Tiffany Morgan | 57,593.73 | No | | | https://core-docs.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/asset/uploaded_file/567/ArmorelPublicSchools/2326368/REVISED_ARP_ESSER_Plan108.pdf |
| Mountain Home Public 8/8/2022 15:53 303000 Schools Dr. Jacob Long 4,272,434.20 Yes ESSER May 2022.pdf Bismarck SD paid \$1200 to teachers with ESSER II tunds, although we were highly advised not to do so. We also paid \$5,000 to certified staff during the 2021/2022 school year for recruitment and retention although, once again, DESE advised to not pay "bonuses" with ARP ESSER funds. This, with benefits, cost the district approx. \$840k. We have applied ALL Stabilization Funds provided to districts as well, which we were told were a requirement to add to the salary schedule initially. We have taken every opportunity to reward our staff for their hard work and dedication. Due to the obligation of ARP ESSER funds. Ship in the recommended amount for this school year on top of what we just paid out. But It would be happy to disperse to my staff any new funds | | | | , , | | | | | |
| Mountain Home Public 8/8/2022 15:53 303000 Schools Dr. Jacob Long 4,272,434.20 Yes ESSER May 2022.pdf Bismarck SD paid \$1200 to teachers with ESSER II funds, although we were highly advised not to do so. We also paid \$5,000 to certified staff and \$3500 to classified staff during the 2021/2022 school year for recruitment and retention although, once again, DESE advised to not pay "bonuses" with ARP ESSER funds. This, with benefits, cost the district approx. \$840k. We have applied ALL Stabilization Funds provided to districts as well, which we were told were a requirement to add to the salary schedule initially ke have taken every opportunity to reward our staff for their hard work and deciation. Description of ARP ESSER funds/existing contracts and the required 20% for learning loss, we can https://www.bismarcklions not afford to pay an additional bonus in the recommended amount for this school begins or of hard were lad of the salary schedule initially learning loss, we can not afford to pay an additional bonus in the recommended amount for this school begins or of hard were lad of the salary schedule initially learning loss, we can not afford to pay an additional bonus in the recommended amount for this school begins or of hard were lad of the salary schedule initially learning loss, we can not afford to pay an additional bonus in the recommended amount for this school begins or of what we just paid out. But I would be happy to disperse to my staff any new funds | | | | | | | | | |
| Mountain Home Public 8/8/2022 15:53 303000 Dr. Jacob Long 4,272,434.20 Yes ESSER May 2022.pdf Bismarck SD paid \$1200 to teachers with ESSER II funds, although we were highly advised not to do so. We also paid \$5,000 to certified staff and \$3500 to classified staff during the 2021/2022 school year for recruitment and retention although, once again, DESE advised to not pay "bonuses" with ARP ESSER funds. This, with benefits, cost the district approx. \$840k. We have applied ALL Stabilization Funds provided to districts as well, which we were told were a requirement to add to the salary schedule initially we have taken every opportunity to reward our staff for their hard work and deciation. Districts and the required 20% for learning loss, we can not afford to pay an additional bonus in the recommended amount for this school year on top of what we just paid out. But I would be happy to disperse to my staff any new funds | | | | | | | | | |
| Mountain Home Public 8/8/2022 15:53 303000 Schools Dr. Jacob Long 4,272,434.20 Yes ESSER May 2022.pdf Bismarck SD paid \$1200 to teachers with ESSER II funds, although we were highly advised not to do so. We also paid \$5,000 to certified staff and \$3500 to classified staff during the 2021/2022 school year for recruitment and retention although, once again, DESE advised to not pay "bonuses" with ARP ESSER funds. This, with benefits, cost the district approx. \$840k. We have applied ALL Stabilization Funds provided to districts as well, which we were told were a requirement to add to the salary schedule initially. We have taken every opportunity to reward our staff for their hard work and dedication. Due to the obligation of ARP ESSER funds. This, with benefits, cost the district approx. \$840k. We have applied ALL Stabilization Funds provided to districts as well, which we were told were a requirement to add to the salary schedule initially. We have taken every opportunity to reward our staff for their hard work and dedication. Due to the obligation of ARP ESSER funds. This, with benefits, cost the district approx. \$840k. We have applied ALL Stabilization Funds provided to districts as well, which we were told were a requirement to add to the salary schedule initially. We have taken every opportunity to reward our staff for their hard work and dedication. Due to the obligation of ARP ESSER funds. This, with benefits, cost the district approx. \$840k. We have applied ALL Stabilization Funds provided to districts as well, which we were told were a requirement to add to the salary schedule initially. | | | | | | | | | |
| 8/8/2022 15:53 303000 Schools Dr. Jacob Long 4,272,434.20 Yes ESSER May 2022.pdf Bismarck SD paid \$1200 to teachers with ESSER II funds, although we were highly advised not to do so. We also paid \$5,000 to certified staff and \$3500 to classified staff during the 2021/2022 school year for recruitment and retention although, once again, DESE advised to not pay "bonuses" with ARP ESSER funds. This, with benefits, cost the district approx. \$840k. We have applied ALL Stabilization Funds for their hard work and dedication. Due to the obligation of ARP ESSER funds/existing contracts and the required 20% for learning loss, we can not afford to pay an additional bonus in the recommended amount for this school year on top of what we just paid out. But I would be happy to disperse to my staff any new funds | | | Mauntain Harris But I | | | | | | |
| Bismarck SD paid \$1200 to teachers with ESSER II funds, although we were highly advised not to do so. We also paid \$5,000 to certified staff and \$3500 to classified staff during the 2021/2022 school year for recrultment and retention although, once again, on | 8/8/2022 15:53 | 303000 | | Dr. Jacob Long | 4.272.434.20 | Yes | | | |
| approx. \$840k. We have applied ALL Stabilization Funds provided to districts as well, which we were fold were a requirement to add to the salary schedule initially. We have taken every opportunity to reward our staff for their hard work and dedication. Due to the obligation of ARP ESSER funds/existing contracts and the required 20% for learning loss, we can https://www.bismarcklions not afford to pay an additional bonus in the recommended amount for this school year on top of what we just paid out. But I would be happy to disperse to my staff any new funds | 0/0/2022 10.53 | 303000 | | Dr. Jacob Long | .,2.2,404.20 | | COOCH May 2022.pdf | | Bismarck SD paid \$1200 to teachers with ESSER II funds, although we were highly advised not to do so. We also paid \$5,000 to certified staff and \$3500 to classified staff during |
| every opportunity to reward our staff for their hard work and dedication. Due to the obligation of ARP ESSER funds/existing contracts and the required 20% for learning loss, we can https://www.bismarcklions not afford to pay an additional bonus in the recommended amount for this school year on top of what we just paid out. But I would be happy to disperse to my staff any new funds | | | | | | | | | |
| https://www.bismarcklions not afford to pay an additional bonus in the recommended amount for this school year on top of what we just paid out. But I would be happy to disperse to my staff any new funds | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | https://www.bismarcklions | | |
| | 8/8/2022 15:54 | 3001000 | Bismarck | Susan Kissire | 1,038,479.49 | Yes | | | |

1

| Timestamp | LEA | District | Superintendent | Remaining ARP ESSER | Funds plan meets the recommendations of | Use of Funds plan posted | The district revised the ARP ESSER Use of Funds plan to meet the recommendations of ALC. The revised ARP ESSER Use of Funds plan is posted on the district website and the URL is provided below. | The district ARP ESSER Use of Funds plan does not meet the recommendations of ALC and (1) a justification is provided below and the (2) URL to the ARP ESSER Use of Funds Plan is provided. |
|----------------|---------|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | Riverview School District ARP ESSR fund plan can be found on the district website. This plan has been updated continuously and posted on our website at least every six months as required. |
| | | | | | | | | Our plan included an HVAC project to replace most of the HVAC at Riverview High School and Junior High School, some HVAC units at Judsonia Elementary and Kensett Elementary School campuses. The Riverview School District signed a contract with Nabholz Construction on February 2, 2022. Bids for the project came in at \$3.6 million. \$3,244,797.49 of ARP ESSR funds for Riverview School District was budgeted to go towards this project. The work on this project was originally planned to be complete by August 2022; it is now expected to be December 2022 due to supply chain issues. Riverview School District was well into this project when the ALC PEER committee's recommendation was made. |
| | | | | | | | | Riverview School District's ARP Plan has \$989,730.97 budgeted to address learning loss. \$421,494.17 of this was spent during the 21-22 school year on salaries for 4 interventionists (1 literacy & 3 math) and curriculum materials. The remaining \$568,235.90 is budgeted for summer school for summer of 2022 and 2023, salaries for 3 math interventionists for 22-23 school year and 2 math interventionists for 23-24 school year. Our district had 45% of our students scoring in need of support for Reading and 44% of our students scoring in need of support for Math on the 2021 Act Aspire assessment. Riverview School District was placed in Coordinated Support due to this during the 2021-2022 school year. We had 44% of our students scoring in need of support for Math on the 2022 Act Aspire assessment. As a district in coordinated support, we must address the learning loss we are experiencing in our students. We have done so by using these funds for these salaries and purchasing high quality instructional materials (HQIM). |
| | | | | | | | | Riverview School District used almost \$700,000 of ESSR II funds to provide covid pay for all employees in the district at a rate of \$20/day they were in attendance for the 2020-2021 school year. This covid pay had a maximum amount of \$3560 an employee could have earned for working 178 days with students present. Riverview School District is budgeting other district funds to provide for a bonus in a range from \$1000 -\$1500 based on what the budget allows for the 2022-2023 school year for all employees. |
| 8/9/2022 9:24 | 7307000 | Riverview | Stan Stratton | 3,229,068.54 | No | | | https://core-docs.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/asset/uploaded_file/1999399/RSD_ARP_ESSR_Plan_revised_4-11-22.pdf |
| | | | | | | | | August 8, 2022 |
| | | | | | | | | ALC-Peer Committee: |
| | | | | | | | | Unfortunately, the West Fork School District will not be able to provide the bonuses using ARP funding that was recommended by the ALC, because all the funds allocated for the district to help safely reopen and sustain the same operation of our school and address the impact of the coronavirus pandemic on our students is already encumbered to pay the salaries of six interventionists through the 2023-24 school year. The district currently has five interventionists hired with ARP funding for the upcoming school year and has been advertising for the sixth and final interventionist. Our plan and budget shows every dollar of ARP ESSER III funding allocated to our district is to be used to address learning loss by hiring a math and reading interventionist for each of our three schools. Over the past two and one-half years since the onset of COVID-19, our data shows us that in all three of our schools, we have students demonstrating significant learning losses in math and reading. In fact, our district spent last year on Level 3 Coordinated Support in Reading, because over 40% of our students were labeled "in need of support." With the gains we've made this past year due in part to a new RTI program that included our three reading interventionists hired with ARP funds, we hope to get off this level of support in October when DESE releases this information. |
| | | | | | | | | Additional reasons for our inability to provide bonuses using ARP funds include the following. |
| | | | | | | | | For 12 out of the past 16 school years, our district has experienced declining enrollment. During this span of time, our total average ADM has dropped approximately 400 students. With the current enrollment projections that we're seeing for this upcoming school year, there is a better than average chance that we'll decline another 40-60 students this school |
| | | | | | | | | year. With our decline in enrollment and subsequent decline in foundation funding, our district hasn't had the resources necessary to hire much-needed interventionists, academic facilitators, or math and literacy coaches for years. We've honestly been doing our very best to educate our students with the limited resources that we do have, while also trying to stay out of fiscal distress. Literally, any new funding increases appropriated by the legislature, which by the way are greatly appreciated, have been used to overcome losses of student foundation funding, so we can never get ahead and use our new money to purchase the critical resources our struggling learners need and deserve, such as reading and math interventionists. |
| | | | | | | | | Finally, another major reason for our inability to provide the recommended bonuses is the fact that our district's ESSER (I. II. & ARP III) allocations were much less than most of the districts in Arkansas when looked at on a per pupil basis. In fact, our ESSER II allocation was so little, that West Fork was one of 39 districts that were given supplemental funding by DESE to get us to an average of \$600 per student. I know of districts in the state that received over \$5,000 per student out of the same funding. As for our ARP ESSER III funding, we received \$840,153.37, which figures out to approximately \$968 per student. There are districts all over the state that are being funded thousands of dollars on a per pupil |
| | | | | | | | | basis. One district that I know of is receiving over \$14,000 per student. If the West Fork School District received the funding that most districts received, we would easily be able to follow the ALC's bonus recommendation. |
| | | | | | | | | In closing, I can tell you that the West Fork School District has not spent one penny from any ESSER funding source that we've received on new buses. HVAC for our buildings paying employees to take COVID vaccinations, providing additional compensation to teachers and other staff for working in-person, or any other "pay strategy" to provide additional compensation to teachers and other staff because we didn't receive enough funding to do any of that. It has been so frustrating to me to hear other districts complain about trying to come up with ways to spend all their funding before they run out of time. The ALC recommendation will do nothing but help them spend their allocations down, while districts like West Fork that are neighbors to some of these districts will become "villains" for not giving out a bonus because we spent all of our funding on our students' learning loss. How can that be right? I can only hope that the ALC will provide districts like West Fork that are trying to do what's best for our students to recover learning loss caused from the coronavirus pandemic, new supplemental funds so we can provide bonuses to our employees, because they deserve it as much as any other employee in any other district. With all due respect, our district shouldn't have to eliminate our interventionists in order to provide bonuses for our teachers, and we ask you to release our allocations so that we can continue moving forward with our plan. |
| | | | | | | | | Respectfully. |
| | | | | | | | | John Karnes, Superintendent |
| 8/9/2022 9:27 | 7208000 | West Fork School District | John Karnes | 659,273.52 | No | | | URL to ARP Budget - https://bit.ly/3p4jTYz |
| 8/9/2022 9:33 | 1611000 | Nettleton | Karen Curtner | 6,527,522.54 | Yes | https://nettletonschools.net /arp-esser-plan | Yes | No |
| | | | | | | https://docs.google.com/s preadsheets/d/1TLtQ6TLV 42WfYarBMAK7awNfo2on uWw0C7ys7u3yC70/edit# gid=959644775 | | |
| 8/9/2022 10:21 | 2602000 | Fountain Lake | Dr. Michael Murphy | 2,688,913.67 | Yes | 9.0-0001110 | N/A | N/A |

| | | | | | The district's current | | | |
|-----------------|---------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | | | ARP ESSER Use of | If yes, please provide the | The district revised the ARP ESSER Use of Funds plan to meet the | |
| | | | | allocation as of | recommendations of | Use of Funds plan posted | recommendations of ALC. The revised ARP ESSER Use of Funds | The district ARP ESSER Use of Funds plan does not meet the recommendations of ALC and (1) a justification is provided below and the (2) URL to the ARP ESSER Use of Funds |
| Timestamp | LEA | District | Superintendent | July 9, 2022 | ALC. | on the district website. | plan is posted on the district website and the URL is provided below. | Plan is provided. |
| | | | | | | https://core- | | |
| | | | | | | docs.s3.amazonaws.com/ documents/asset/uploade | | |
| 8/9/2022 10:31 | 5403000 | Helena/West Helena School District | Dr. Keith McGee | 8,289,726.40 | Yes | d file/31/HWH Schools/2 340278/ESSA.pdf | docs.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/asset/uploaded_file/31/HWH_Sc hools/2340278/ESSA.pdf | |
| 0/3/2022 10.31 | 3403000 | Consor Biotinet | DI. Reiti Wicoee | 0,200,720.10 | 1.00 | https://www.dps- | - Indiana in the Control of the Cont | |
| 8/9/2022 11:35 | 7503000 | Danville | Kim Foster | 939,258.34 | Yes | littlejohns.net | https://www.dps-littlejohns.net | |
| | | | | | | https://www.ashdownscho | | |
| | | | | | | ols.org/apps/pages/index.j sp?uREC_ID=1520750&ty | | |
| 8/9/2022 13:09 | 4101000 | Ashdown Public Schools | Casey Nichols | 2,322,976.97 | Yes | pe=d&pREC_ID=1970448 | | |
| | | Camuray Dublia Sabaala | | 8,805,889.40 | Vee | | Did not; our plan already met the ALC expectations and exceeded them | NA See Above Answer |
| 8/9/2022 14:24 | 2301000 | Conway Public Schools | Jeff Collum | 0,000,009.40 | res | s.org/Page/957 | https://www.dequeenleopards.org/342377 2 | NA See Above Ariswer |
| | | | | | | | The De Queen School District is revising it's current ARP plan and will | |
| 8/9/2022 14:30 | 6701000 | De Queen | Jason Sanders | 4,627,123.99 | No | Not applicable | be following the guidance from ALC regarding recruitment and retention bonuses for the 22-23 school year. | Not applicable |
| | | | | | | | Our district cannot revise the plan. All funds have been obligated or spent in projects or a previous bonus given (\$2000 certified and \$1000 | Our district cannot revise the plan. All funds have been obligated or spent in projects or a previous bonus given (\$2000 certified and \$1000 classified) in 2021-2022. Our district only |
| | | | | | | | classified) in 2021-2022. Our district only has have learning loss funds | |
| | | | | | | | available. Prescott School District has actually made gains in student achievement this past year due to having supplemental programs | recommended bonus would cost our district \$699,447.00 Our total amount of ARP ESSER allocation was \$2,557,751.97 and the District spent \$265,863 on bonuses |
| | | | | | | | implemented with these funds. Our district sought stakeholder input and collaborated with the stakeholders in the ARP ESSER Use of | (Certified/Classified) and has a set aside of \$511,550.39 for learning loss. The District was prior approved for a space project that has a total amount of \$1,780,338. due to increased costs due to inflation/COVID. Our district would desire nothing more than to give the recommended bonus to all staff; however, now that we are looking at a space project underway |
| 8/9/2022 | 5006000 | Prescott | Robert Poole | 1,364,397.13 | l No | | Funds plan and the District has adhered to that plan. | to be finished in a few months AND learning loss funds to be used on programs that are addressing the learning loss for students, WE cannot accommodate this recommendation. URL: https://www.curleywolves.org/documents/state-required/use-of-esser-funds/226885 |
| 8/9/2022 15:01 | | Premier High Schools of | | 374,793.72 | | https://4.files.edl.io/7fa8/06 | | N/A |
| | | Arkansas | | | | /08/22/142441-f231ab63- d278-46c4-b4a6- | | |
| | | | | | | cdd04f217f37.pdf | Funds were already allocated for retention bonuses | |
| | | | | | | https://4.files.edl.io/bbfb/0 | a unus were arready anocated for reterition bondses | |
| | | Premie High School | | | | 6/08/22/143255-d83042d2- 8c7b-460b-a3e1- | | |
| 8/9/2022 15:03 | 6062000 | North Little Rock | Rhonda Bradford | 312,434.18 | Yes | 116ff26abfbc.pdf | Funds were already allocated for this purpose. | N/A |
| | | | | | | https://4.files.edl.io/b81c/0 7/21/22/134614-66851424- | | |
| 8/9/2022 15:04 | 7242000 | Premier High School | Rhonda Bradford | 157,211.73 | Yes | d059-4650-b152- 13a79d549262.pdf | Funds were already allocated for this purpose. | N/A |
| 8/9/2022 13:04 | 7242000 | - Opiniguaio | Kilolida Bradioid | 101,211.110 | 1.00 | Tour out 10202.par | and wore unearly unearlied for the purpose. | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | The plan was revised and approved by our board on 8/8/22. It provides a cumulative amount up to \$6700 for certified and classified. It started | |
| | | | | | | | with a \$200 payment to staff members that had been vaccinated in 2020. In May of 2021, a \$2,500.00 additional compensation payment to | |
| | | | | | | | all staff for the added duties imposed. It was based on 159 days x | |
| | | | | | | | \$15.72 per day for all staff that performed COVID related duties on said days. Proper paperwork was gathered and verified. This was paid | |
| | | | | | | | with ESSER II funds. Then the board approved on 8-8-22 a payment for the following: \$1000 to be issued September 2022 for staying in | |
| | | | | | | | Hamburg and \$1000 in December for working the entire semester. There will be another \$1000 paid in September 2023 and another | |
| | | | | | | | \$1000 paid in December 2023. | |
| | | | | | | | These payments are strictly to retain and recruit staff members. The | |
| | | | | | | | teacher shortage is a BIG issue we are facing. We are also hoping it will help us with classified recruitment. We have a shortage of all | |
| | | | | | | | workers regardless the classification. We will also offer a bonus when possible out of our operating funds. Our hope is that the legislators will | |
| | | | | | | | support teacher salaries with the understanding the gap is only getting | |
| | | | | | | | wider throughout the state. The greater the difference of starting teacher salaries, the bigger equity problem we face as a state. | |
| | | | | | | | So with these revisions and allowing for the use of prior and future | |
| | | | | | | | additional payments from other funding sources Hamburg School District has provided justification and meets the recommendation. | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | The following is the link to review our board approved, revised plan: https://s3.amazonaws.com/scschoolfiles/1021/esser_revision.pdf | |
| 0/0/0000 15 5 : | 00000 | | Toward Otros d | 0.040.457.5 | l. | | | |
| 8/9/2022 15:34 | 203000 | Hamburg | Tracy Streeter | 2,640,157.04 | NO | <u> </u> | | |

| imestamp | LEA | District | Superintendent | ESSER | Funds plan meets the recommendations of | Use of Funds plan posted | The district revised the ARP ESSER Use of Funds plan to meet the recommendations of ALC. The revised ARP ESSER Use of Funds plan is posted on the district website and the URL is provided below. | The district ARP ESSER Use of Funds plan does not meet the recommendations of ALC and (1) a justification is provided below and the (2) URL to the ARP ESSER Use of Funds Plan is provided. |
|----------------|--------|------------------------------|----------------|--------------|---|--------------------------|---|---|
| | | Arkansas Virtual | | | | | | URL of approved ARP ESSER fund: https://arva.k12.com/content/dam/schools/arva/files/sy2223/ARVA_ARP_ESSER_Application_REVISED_8_9_22.pdf Justification of funds: The entirety of ARVA's ARP ESSER funds have been contractually obligated. The 20% learning loss portion has been designated in majority to the addition of 8 interventionist positions to address learning loss in students and to lighten teachers' loads. The remaining portion of the funds were approved by the Arkansas Department of Education for a capital improvement project that includes the purchase and renovation of a building to serve as a home-base for ARVA. The project was approved as a teacher retention effort as our teachers currently meet in a variety of rented spaces all over Central Arkansas each month. Additionally, this project was a COVID provention measure, as the leased spaces posed health issues because of our lack of control over air systems, available square footage, lessor COVID policies etc. Rented spaces were not available consistently and this also created a lack of stability in experience for teachers. The new property also serves as a learning loss tool, as we will begin to ask students to participate in more hybrid opportunities in order to recover lost standards and skills, as well as to make computer labs, EAST opportunities, and hands on experiences possible. These projects and positions have caused the entirety of funds to be committed. However, we would also share that retention and recruitment have not been an issue for ARVA, as our teachers work entirely from home, outside of monthly trainings. We have retained teachers at a rate of 97% and remain fully hired. Additionally, we have bonused our teachers annually for many years, with those bonuses ranging from \$1,200 to nearly \$4000 based on performance and student growth outcomes. Teacher salaries have been increased by 14-17% since the 2019-20 school year as an effort to retain our teachers and to honor their effort in so oding, we have raised the minimum teacher salary t |
| 8/9/2022 15:57 | | | Amy J Johnson | 4,625,130.93 | No | | | We have not changed our plan to give a flat \$5000 and \$2500 to the employees because we have other contracts that have to be honored. We have revised the plan to give our remaining balance after these contracts to the employees. The revised plan can be found at the following URL: |
| 8/9/2022 16:27 | | South Pike County Schools | Tanya Wilcher | 550,735.82 | No | | \$2500 to our employees. That flat rate would have cost our district \$535,425. | https://www.google.com/url?q=https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ll5p5UgCk5saS2_TpNEnGXuKit9vLaGO/edit?usp%3Dsharing%26ouid%3D113327195474732489065%26rtpof%3Dtrue%26sd%3Dtrue&sa=D&source=editors&ust=1660083953975929&usg=AOvVaw1dpYqjQntJgo2ugL5KSXKG |
| | | | | | | | | Pea Ridge School District will be unable to comply with the Arkansas Legislative Committee's (ALC) recommendation to give a \$5,000 bonus to licensed employees and a \$2,500 bonus to classified employees. The district would like to comply but it is not possible at this time. Pea Ridge District is committed to consistently evaluating and planning for salary, increases for all employees, as we have demonstrated by providing our employees with \$1750.00 in bonuses over the last two fiscal years. The starting average salary for certified teachers in the Pea Ridge School District is \$42,300.00 which is above the state average. The funding formula used to distribute American Rescue Plan (ARP) money to schools was not equitable. Pea Ridge School District received \$1,361,762.00, which is equal to approximately \$583 per student. There are some districts in Arkansas that received approximately \$14,000 per student which is 24 times the amount of money Pea Ridge School District received per student. The ARP legislation required that a minimum of 20% of the allocation be set saled to address student learning loss. After taking out the 20% (\$272,352.00) that was required, the district is left with \$1,099.410.00 in ARP funds. The cost to cover the recommendations of the ALC for Pea Ridge School District would be approximately \$1,581.250.00, which is a shortfall of \$491,840.00. The district's dispersed amount of funding will not cover the cost of the recommended bonuses. Throughout ESSER funding distribution and through ARP allocations, there have been multiple communications to school districts from DESE. Districts were not advised that an across the board bonus could be given to staff members from ESSER or ARP funds. The language regarding how to utilize these funds in fact discouraged spending in this manner. Until recently, districts that were allowed to issue any additional pay for staff members from ESSER or ARP funds were told to write extensive plans justifying the additional pay. Districts were told that they should co |
| 8/9/2022 16:34 | 407000 | Pea Ridge | Keith Martin | 931.948.56 | No | | | https://docs.google.com/document/u/2/d/e/2PACX-1vTL7sAj9G_RfTllcSbslnKZMPWhZGjLdU9mnmjm_wVc16bhxMFSGUREzQY7slKXXHEyxcJ7y1GoADFE/pub?urp=gmail_link |

| Timestamp | LEA | District | | Remaining ARP ESSER allocation as of | Funds plan meets the | Use of Funds plan posted | The district revised the ARP ESSER Use of Funds plan to meet the recommendations of ALC. The revised ARP ESSER Use of Funds plan is posted on the district website and the URL is provided below. | The district ARP ESSER Use of Funds plan does not meet the recommendations of ALC and (1) a justification is provided below and the (2) URL to the ARP ESSER Use of Funds Plan is provided. |
|----------------|---------|--|----------------|--|----------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | | | | | | The South Conway County School District (SCCSD) had an allotment of \$5,630,162.10 of ARP ESSER Funds. To meet and exceed the 20% set aside for learning loss the SCCSD budgeted \$1,170,412 to help meet the learning needs of our scholars. In the plan the SCCSD budgeted in the Creating a Safe and Healthy Learning Environments \$3,376,481.46. This helped purchase virtual learning services in grades K-12. cleaning supplies and equipment to maintain a safe environment, the installation of ultraviolet air filtration in every building in the District for staff and student safety, HVAC upgrades at Grades 2-3 campus and 7-8 campus that helped create a safer and more healthy environment, addition of HVAC to three (3) PE facilities, purchase of four (4) larger capacity busses to increase social distancing, and personnel for leading the virtual learning environment addition of HVAC to three (3) PE facilities, purchase of four (4) larger capacity busses to increase social distancing, and personnel for leading the virtual learning environment, addition of HVAC to three (3) PE facilities, purchase of four (4) larger capacity busses to increase social distancing, and personnel for leading the virtual learning environment for students. Many of these projects have been completed or are near completion. The SCCSD has currently \$2,581,567.85 encumbered or paid out in this category. In the supporting Staff Stability and Well-Being the plan has \$1,083,268 budgeted. The SCCSD has expended \$894,095.30 to date on the following: 1. "COVID Pay" for all staff at \$10 per day for 70 days of school and \$15 per day for 103 days of school that each staff member was present helping clean desks and doorknobs. the maximum pay for each staff member could have been \$2,245 plus benefits at 25% for at total of \$2806.25. The total cost was \$793.197.90 2. \$250 vaccine incentive to cach staff member for at total of \$3,469 3. Overtime for nurses. Point of Contact, and substitudes - \$17,430.65 Our plan is to use unused funds in Creating a Safe and Heal |
| 8/9/2022 17:51 | 1507000 | South Conway County O School District | Shawn Halbrook | 2,954,424.48 | No | | | teachers. Our current plan is located at https://core-docs.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/asset/uploaded_file/2330/sccsd/2032620/REVISED_ARP_ESSER - SCCSD_Plan for Use of ARP_ESSER Funds-page-008.pdf |
| 8/9/2022 18:02 | 3604000 | 0. Lamar | Jay Holland | 4,578,403,04 | Yes | https://www.lamarwarriors.org/577761 3 https://www.lamarwarriors.org/577761 3 https://www.lamarwarriors.org/594503 3 Our Retention/Incentive Plan started this past year with ESSER II funds. We used \$2,200 per employee (both Licensed and Classified) before Benefits, and a total of \$512,856.00. We are planning on using ARP ESSER III funds for the year two (\$2,400), and year three (\$2,600) parts of the Retention/Incentive plan. The plan is stair stepped for each year, and each employee will receive an addition \$5,000. An employee that stays employed with the District for three years may received \$7,200 and prior to Benefits, an estimated total of \$975,000. | | |

| Timestar | np [| LEA | District | | Remaining ARP ESSER allocation as of | Funds plan meets the recommendations of | Use of Funds plan posted | The district revised the ARP ESSER Use of Funds plan to meet the recommendations of ALC. The revised ARP ESSER Use of Funds plan is posted on the district website and the URL is provided below. | The district ARP ESSER Use of Funds plan does not meet the recommendations of ALC and (1) a justification is provided below and the (2) URL to the ARP ESSER Use of Funds Plan is provided. |
|----------|-------------|---------|---|------------|--|---|--------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | 1) Justification of ARP ESSER Funding Plan for Lavaca School District |
| | | | | | | | | | In efforts to Create a Safe and Healthy Learning Environment for students and staff of Lavaca School District, on Sept. 1, 2021, the Lavaca School Board of Education selected Architecture Plus Inc. and an AIA document (B101-217) was signed for HVAC upgrades to be completed at various buildings at Lavaca School District campus to enhance the quality of air in the classrooms. |
| | | | | | | | | | On November 30, 2021, Lavaca School District approved the quote of \$945,378 from Multi Craft Contractors Inc. (MMC) for the HVAC upgrades at Lavaca Middle School and Lavaca High School. A contract was signed by Lavaca School District on 12-2-2021 with MCC. |
| | | | | | | | | | On January 17, 2022 an addendum in the amount of \$9785.35 was approved by Lavaca School Board bringing the total of the project to \$955,163.35 + architectural fee of \$57,309.80 bringing the total project cost to \$1,012.473.15. \$1,006,782.14 is coming out of ARP ESSER and the remaining balance will be paid with operating funds. |
| | | | | | | | | | The remaining balance of the district's allocation of \$251,695.54 is dedicated to learning loss and totals 20% of the total allocation. |
| | | | | | | | | | In lieu of using our allotted ESSER II and ARP ESSER funds for additional duty pay and recruitment and retention pay due to Covid-19 the district chose to take it out of local fund balances. During the 2020-21 school year all contracted staff members received two bonuses for the aforementioned reasons totaling \$ 1,750. In 2021-22 all contracted staff received another \$750. The district plans on paying an additional \$2,500 in bonuses in this manner over the next two years and this will total \$5000 for all contracted employees over a four year period. |
| 8/10 | /2022 8:31 | 6605000 | Lavaca | Steve Rose | 1,057,984.39 | No | | No | 2) https://www.lavacaschools.com/318244_2 1. At the end of the 2021-2022 SY including all categories of ESSER/ARP APCSI has \$867.898.99 remaining. To give the one time bonuses as recommended by ALC the total cost |
| | | | | | | | | | would exceed \$910,000. This would more than expend all remaining ESSER/ARP funds. 2. It is important to note that APCSI has used the ESSER/ARP funds as a part of a larger overall budget. By using ESSER/ARP funds on qualifying items APCSI has been able to free up funds in operating over the past two years to give substantial raises to teachers and staff. APCSI increased the teacher stipend schedule substantially and gave a \$2000 raise for the 2021-2022 SY. This year teacher salaries were increased an additional \$1000. Because APCSI has given teacher raises every year for the past 12 years the APCSI minimum teacher salary is now \$41,000. For the 2021-2022 SY support staff received an across the board increase of \$1per hour with an additional 2.5% raise. This year support staff received an additional 2.5% raise. Support staff have also received a raise every year for the past 12 years. For the past two years APCSI has also extended the salary schedule steps for teachers and support staff by adding a step each year. Another extension to the salary schedule step is planned for next year. 3. APCSI has just completed building the new Maumelle Charter High School. To complete the building APCSI is planning to use \$3.5 million dollars in cash reserves for one-time |
| | | | | | | | | | expenditures. When planning for the building APCSI understood that the current surplus would be reduced significantly. Without the ARP Funds being used as planned the reduction in surplus would be an additional \$868K over the next two years or programs would have to be cut. 4. Currently, the Maumelle campus has a state-imposed student cap of 1600 students. The cap will increase by 100 each of the next four years until we reach 2000 students. With the current waitlist the goal of 2000 students is on schedule to be obtained. APCSI's budget plan is for ESSER/ARP to bridge the gap for expenses caused by program changes due |
| | | | | | | | | | to the pandemic. As the student population increases over the next couple of years APCSI will be able to sustain these program changes with operating funds. 5. Due to the pandemic program changes were necessary and APCSI has had increased expenditures in the following areas: |
| | | | | | | | | | a. Technology - For the 2022-2023 SY APCSI has budgeted \$126,000 to support one-to-one learning and to prepare for the possibility of the need for remote learning in the future. For the 2023-2024 SY APCSI has budgeted \$167,900 for this same purpose. For the 2024-2025 SY there is \$6,036 budgeted. Without these ARP funds these expenditures would have to be spent from operating funds or APCSI would have to discontinue the one-to-one technology plan for students as planned. These expenses can be sustained in operating once the student numbers increase. |
| | | | | | | | | | b. Curricula and Distance Learning - For the 2022-2023 SY APCSI has budgeted \$124,000 for curriculum and distance learning. For the 2023-2024 SY the budget is \$160,000. For the 2024-2025 SY the budget is \$100,000. APCSI has found that many more students are requesting distance learning courses since the pandemic. APCSI has also identified the need to update curricula to better prepare students and also assist in catching students up from the learning loss they experienced due to the pandemic. |
| | | | | | | | | | c. Summer School - APCSI has conducted summer school for the past two years and plans to continue offering summer school with ARP funds for the next two years. The budget for summer school for the 2022-2023 SY is \$25,000. The budget for the 2022-2023 SY is \$5,000. The purpose of summer school is to give struggling students additional time with teachers to help them with learning loss experienced due to the pandemic. Without the use of ARP funds summers school would not be offered in the future. |
| | | | | | | | | | d. Assistant Principal at Scott Charter School (SCS) - Due to the pandemic SCS has experienced student loss. To bridge the gap until SCS can increase enrollment APCSI has budgeted for an assistant principal through ARP for the 2022-2023 SY and 2023-2024 SY. Without the use of ARP funds this position would be eliminated. School has already started and the assistant principal is employed. |
| | | | | | | | | | 6. If the APCSI ARP funds were diverted to pay for teacher/staff bonuses APCSI would not have the funds to continue with one-to-one technology, curricula upgrades, summer school and an assistant principal at SCS. The ARP funds are bridging the gap until we can increase enrollment at both Maumelle and Scott. Once the enrollment increases these initiatives will be funded through operating funds. |
| | | | | | | | | | 7. It is important to understand that APCSI has a free/reduced meal rate of approximately 27%. Because of the low percentage, APCSI received a lower amount of ESSER/ARP funding than many districts/charters across the state. |
| | | | | | | | | | 8. APCSI has already purchased items budgeted for this year and the assistant principal has begun working. APCSI is currently awaiting reimbursement for the items purchased and the salary expended for the assistant principal. |
| | | | | | | | | | Thank you for your consideration. |
| 8/10 | 1/2022 0:10 | | Academics Plus Charter Schools, Inc. (APCSI) | Rob McGill | 875,269.89 | No | | | ESSER Plan URL - https://drive.google.com/file/d/1tLaCGbj1hz8hiCPFJBb-NkoYlob7YxMV/view |
| | 2022 10:51 | | Jacksonville North Pulaski School District | | 12,114,128.98 | | yes | https://core-docs.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/asset/uploaded_file/1469967/JN PSD_ARP_Plan_Version_1_8-13-21.pdf | Our classified and licensed employees received additional pay from our ARP funds in June 2022; our District plans to remit the remaining amounts up to the ALC recommendations for classified and licensed employees. |

| Timestamp | LEA | District | Superintendent | Remaining ARP ESSER allocation as of | Funds plan meets the | Use of Funds plan posted | The district revised the ARP ESSER Use of Funds plan to meet the recommendations of ALC. The revised ARP ESSER Use of Funds plan is posted on the district website and the URL is provided below. | The district ARP ESSER Use of Funds plan does not meet the recommendations of ALC and (1) a justification is provided below and the (2) URL to the ARP ESSER Use of Funds Plan is provided. |
|-----------------|-----------|--|----------------------------------|--|----------------------|--------------------------|---|---|
| 8/10/2022 14:24 | 1 3904000 | Lee County School District | Micheal Stone | 6,240,645.23 | No | N/A | YES https://docs.google.com/document/d/1wOq42Pkzq9G70RT8A7gUfkN4ojJCPgFgNTG3NDWDpcg/edit?usp=sharing | N/A |
| | | | | | | | | Prior to the recommendations of the ALC, Earle School District had budgeted ESSER II funds for teacher retention and recruitment and committed ARP funds to Learning Loss, HVAC upgrades, and a new roof for Earle High School. In FY21 all certified staff received additional pay from ESSER II in the amount \$1200. In FY22 all certified staff had the opportunity to receive an additional pay up to \$1800 (average of \$1565) for recruitment and retention. Based on prior commitments, the District would not be able to commit ARP funds, but will continue to use ESSER II funds to meet the recommendation of the ALC to provide recruitment and retention bonuses for all staff in the amount of \$3500.00 for certified staff and \$1700 for classified staff for the 2022-23 school year. |
| 8/10/2022 17:10 | 1802000 | Earle | Tish Knowles | 2,389,022.34 | No | N/A | No | http://www.earle.crsc.k12.ar.us/Revised%20ARP%20ESSER.pdf |
| 8/11/2022 11:26 | 5 7206000 | Prairie Grove Pine Bluff School District | Reba Holmes Barbara J. Warren | 1,347,961.60 | No Yes | uite.com/api/documents/4 | | |
| 8/11/2022 16:36 | 3505000 | | | 17,363,010.34 | | | | |