



Concentrations of Poverty

Raifu Durodoye Jr., PhD **Patrick McClellan Lauren Outlaw WestEd**

Education, Little Rock, Arkansas September 8, 2020



Presentation to the Senate Committee on Education and the House Committee on







1. Key Findings 3. Analysis Overview

Roadmap

2. Overview of Data and Methodology







Key Findings



Key Findings

- **Concentrations of poverty are highest at elementary schools in remote** towns and rural areas.
 - Schools with high proportions of low-income students tended to be small schools with high proportions of underrepresented minority students.
- Schools with higher concentrations of poverty had lower math and ELA proficiency and growth rates.
 - Across all levels of schooling, schools with more low-income students performed worse on ACT Aspire math and ELA exams.

Schoolwide poverty had a negative effect on proficiency rates.

- Increasing a school's proportion of low-income students was associated with a decline in the school's ELA and math proficiency.
- A student's own socioeconomic status was more indicative of performance than the school's concentration of poverty.







Data & Methodology



Data Sources

- Arkansas Department of Education
 - Student demographic data
 - ACT Aspire Math and ELA Scores
- National Center for Education Statistics
 - Locale and geography
- ADE Data Center
 - Average class size
- Office of Education Policy at the University of Arkansas
 - School VAM measures

Methodology

- Descriptive Analyses
 - High-level overview of concentrations of poverty in the state
- Correlational Analyses
 - Identify trends among variables of interest
- Linear Regression Analysis
 - More precise answers to key questions

Analysis Overview

Concentrations of Poverty by Remoteness

In 2019, 64.9% of public school students were economically disadvantaged

- Schools with the highest concentrations of poverty were in the towns and rural areas furthest away from larger cities.
- On average, 75% to 80% of students at these schools were low-income.
 - Schools in remote areas made up 16.5% of all public schools.
 - Schools in suburban areas had the lowest concentrations of low-income students.

Concentrations of Poverty by Remoteness and School Type

Concentrations of Poverty by Student Demographics

- In 2019, 49.68% and 26.92% of all low-income students were White and Black, respectively.
 - White students made up 60.6% of the student population; Black students made up 19.9% of all students.
- Over 84% of Black, Hispanic/Latinx, and Pacific Islander students were low-income students.
- Rates of poverty were disproportionately high among migrant, homeless, LEP and SPED students.

Low-Income Status by Race & Ethnicity

Low-Income Status by Migrant, Homeless, LEP, SPED status

Correlations Between School Poverty and ACT Aspire Outcomes

- Low-income students had lower scores and proficiency rates than their wealthier peers on ACT Aspire exams
- At schools with higher rates of poverty, students' performance on the ACT Aspire suffered
 - There was a significant negative correlation between a school's proportion of low-income students and that school's math and ELA scaled scores and proficiency rates.
 - A school's proportion of low-income students was also negatively correlated with that school's math and ELA growth.

Correlations Between ACT Aspire Performance and Concentrations of Poverty

Source: Arkansas Department of Education, 2019 school-level data.

Regression Analysis

- Framework to estimate the effect of concentrations of poverty on testing outcomes
 - Student-level models estimate the effect of school poverty on students' scaled assessment scores, likelihood of achieving proficiency, and growth
 - School-level models estimate the effect of school poverty on a school's average scaled assessment scores, proficiency rates, and growth
 - Models control for a student's demographic and that student's performance in the prior year

Summary

High poverty rates had negative effects on student academic performance.

- A higher schoolwide poverty rate had a slight negative effect on an individual student's growth year-over-year. Low-income students were 7.9 and 7.3 percentage points less likely than non low-income students to achieve
- proficiency in math and ELA, respectively.
- following year.
 - Students who achieved proficiency on ACT Aspire Math or ELA exams in one year were more likely to do so the following year.

Individual student demographics mattered more than a school's concentration of poverty.

- Low-income, SPED, and LEP students were between 6- and 16-percentage points less likely to achieve Math or ELA proficiency.
- The concentration of poverty did not have the same magnitude of effect as school demographics.
- Black and Hispanic/Latinx students achieved proficiency less often than their White peers.

A student's performance in the previous year was predictive of that student's performance the

Appendix A: Terms and Definitions

Descriptive Analysis: Analyses to summarize or describe data to find patterns. Descriptive analyses may entail univariate analyses that describe the distribution of variables.

Locale: A measure of a local area's population and proximity to urbanized areas, as defined by the National Center for Education Statistics. A complete list of classifications and criteria for each locale can be found at this link.

LEP Students: Students with limited English proficiency

SPED Students: Students who receive individualized education to address their disability or disabilities

Proficient: A student categorized as level 3 or level 4 on an ACT Aspire assessment.

Growth: Gain in assessment scaled score between two regularly scheduled test administrations.

Correlation Coefficients: A numerical value quantifying the statistical relationship between two variables.

Linear Regression Analysis: A statistical method used to isolate the effect of one variable on another. Unlike correlational analyses, regression analyses estimate the effect of one variable (e.g. the percent of low-income students) at a school) on another (a school's ACT Aspire proficiency), while holding all other variables constant.

Appendix B: Concentrations of Poverty by Locale and School Type

Appendix C: Correlations Between Concentrations of Poverty and Selected Demographics

% Low-Income Students vs. Selected Demographics

Source: Arkansas Department of Education, 2019 school-level data.

