

State and Consumer Initiatives




U.S. Prison Count Continues to Drop

Public Safety Performance Project Contact [Krissi Jimroglou](#) 202.540.6416 March 8, 2013

More Than Half of States Cut Imprisonment Rates from 2006 to 2011

After nearly four decades of explosive growth, the U.S. prison population declined for two years in a row, according to the Justice Department.^[1] Inmate counts fell in about half the states in each year from 2009-10 and 2010-11.^[2]



Over the past five years, the imprisonment rate fell in 29 states. California, which was ordered by the U.S. Supreme Court to reduce its prison population, led the way with a 17 percent drop. Nine other states reduced their imprisonment rates between 2006 and 2011 by double digits: Hawaii (16 percent), Massachusetts (15 percent), Michigan (15 percent), New Jersey (14 percent), Alaska (13 percent), New York (13 percent), Connecticut (11 percent), Delaware (10 percent), and South Carolina (10 percent).

(For a printable version of the graphic, [click here.](#))

At the other end of the spectrum, West Virginia had the highest level of growth among 20 states that increased in their imprisonment rates, rising by 17 percent. Other growth leaders include Arizona (16 percent), Pennsylvania (14 percent), Arkansas (12 percent), Alabama (9 percent), Indiana (8 percent), Illinois (7 percent), Florida (6 percent), and Mississippi and Tennessee (5 percent each).

Many of the states showing recent drops have taken substantial steps to rein in the size and cost of their corrections systems. Often with overwhelming bipartisan votes, leaders in these states have shortened terms behind bars for lower-level offenders or diverted them from prison altogether. Several states, including Georgia and Pennsylvania during their 2012 legislative sessions, reinvested large sums of the resulting savings into probation and parole in an effort to break the cycle of recidivism and improve public safety.^[3]

Conventional wisdom holds that the movement to contain prison growth is about saving money. Tight budgets surely invited scrutiny of the \$50 billion that states together spend on corrections,^[4] but there are three more important drivers of change:

- **Texas and other states' successes:** In 2007, long before the economic crisis, Texas put a halt to its prison construction boom and invested \$240 million in treatment and diversion programs.^[5] The results have been dramatic: State taxpayers have avoided nearly \$2 billion in new prison

spending,^[6] and the parole failure rate is down 39 percent since 2007.^[7] Meanwhile, the statewide crime rate has fallen back to levels not seen since the 1960s.^[8]

Crime is down both in states that continued with (and paid for) rapid prison growth and those that did not. Researchers agree the national incarceration rate—nearly 1 in 100 adults is behind bars^[9]—is yielding diminishing public safety returns. Nationally, 29 states reduced their imprisonment rates over the past five years, and the crime rate went down in all but three of them.

- **Strong public support:** Polls show that Americans are strongly in favor of such change, fed up with the prison revolving door and ready for it to stop. Large majorities support shifting more low-level offenders from prison to mandatory supervision, and they back specific measures, such as trimming the prison portion of sentences to ensure that offenders undergo a period of transitional community supervision.^[10]

Californians sent a strong version of this message on Election Day, with 69 percent of voters approving a ballot measure scaling back the state's once-popular three-strikes law.^[11] Key stakeholder groups in many states also support reforms, including business and faith leaders, police chiefs, and victims' advocates. Some of the strongest calls for change come from the Right on Crime initiative, which boasts high-profile conservative members such as Jeb Bush, Newt Gingrich, William Bennett, Ed Meese, and Grover Norquist.^[12]

- **Research-based alternatives:** A solid body of research has identified alternatives that cost less than prison and do a better job cutting re-offense rates. Most significant has been advances in risk assessments, which classify offenders into groups of the most and least likely to reoffend and specify the interventions that would have the greatest impact on individuals.^[13]

When lower-risk and lower-level offenders are diverted from prison to community programs, new supervision technologies provide confidence that these offenders still can be held accountable for their behavior. Research also has recognized new strategies that effectively change offender behavior, including mandating cognitive-behavioral therapies that improve impulse control, imposing swift and certain sanctions for rule violations, and offering rewards for staying crime- and drug-free.^[14]

This powerful alignment of research, public opinion, and state successes suggests that the recent downturn in the prison population and shift to proven alternatives is not merely a function of tight fiscal times, but rather an evidence-based policy change that is likely to continue even when budget pressures ease.

Endnotes

[1] E. Ann Carson and William J. Sabol, *Prisoners in 2011*, U.S. Department of Justice Bulletin, December 2012, <http://bjs.ojp.usdoj.gov/content/pub/pdf/p11.pdf>.

[2] Ibid.

[3] The Pew Charitable Trusts. "Public Safety Performance Project: State Work," <http://www.pewstates.org/projects/public-safety-performance-project-328068/state-work>.

- [4] National Association of State Budget Officers, *State Expenditure Report: Examining Fiscal 2010-2012 State Spending, 2012*, http://www.nasbo.org/sites/default/files/State%20Expenditure%20Report_1.pdf.
- [5] Council of State Governments Justice Center, *Justice Reinvestment State Brief: Texas*, 2007, <http://justicereinvestment.org/files/TexasStateBrief.letter.pdf>.
- [6] Council of State Governments Justice Center, *Justice Reinvestment in Texas: Assessing the Impact of the 2007 Justice Reinvestment Initiative*, 2009, http://justicereinvestment.org/files/Texas_Bulletin.pdf.
- [7] State of Texas Legislative Budget Board, *Statewide Criminal Justice Recidivism and Revocation Rates*, January 2013, http://www.lbb.state.tx.us/Public_Safety_Criminal_Justice/RecRev_Rates/Statewide%20Criminal%20Justice%20Recidivism%20and%20Revocation%20Rates2012.pdf.
- [8] Analysis by The Pew Charitable Trusts using data from the U.S. Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, and Uniform Crime Reporting Program, <http://www.ucrdatatool.gov/>.
- [9] Lauren E. Glaze and Erika Parks, *Correctional Populations in the United States, 2011*, U.S. Department of Justice Bulletin, November 2012, <http://bjs.ojp.usdoj.gov/content/pub/pdf/cpus11.pdf>.
- [10] Public Opinion Strategies and Mellman Group, *Public Opinion on Sentencing and Corrections in America*, March 2012, http://www.pewstates.org/uploadedFiles/PCS_Assets/2012/PEW_NationalSurveyResearchPaper_FINA
- [11] Jack Leonard and Maura Dolan, "Softer 3-Strikes Law Has Defense Lawyers Preparing Case Reviews," *Los Angeles Times*, November 8, 2012, <http://articles.latimes.com/2012/nov/08/local/la-me-three-strikes-20121108>.
- [12] Right on Crime. "Statement of Principles," <http://www.rightoncrime.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/11/RightOnCrime-Statement-of-Principles.pdf>.
- [13] The Pew Charitable Trusts, *Risk/Needs Assessment 101: Science Reveals New Tools to Manage Offenders*, September 2011, http://www.pewstates.org/uploadedFiles/PCS_Assets/2011/Pew_Risk_Assessment_brief.pdf.
- [14] The Pew Charitable Trusts, *Prison Count 2010*, April 2010, http://www.pewtrusts.org/uploadedFiles/wwwpewtrustsorg/Reports/sentencing_and_corrections/Prison
- Contact:
 Krissi Jimroglou | 202.540.6416
- Projects:
 Public Safety Performance Project
- Issues:
 Prison Population
- States:
 National

More than Half of States Cut Imprisonment Rates

After nearly four decades of explosive growth, the U.S. prison population has begun to decline. Over the past five years, the imprisonment rate fell in 29 states. Many of the states showing recent drops have taken substantial steps to rein in the size and cost of their corrections systems through policy changes that shorten terms behind bars for lower-level offenders or divert them from prison altogether. Nationwide, the crime rate decreased 13 percent since 2006.




Exhibit 4

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY CORRECTION Report for June 2013

June 2013 - Historical Caseload Populations (Active and Inactive)

| | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|
| Supervision | | | | | | | | | | thru June |
| Regular Probation | 29,583 | 30,359 | 31,338 | 31,732 | 30,997 | 29,793 | 28,156 | 29,741 | 29,528 | 29,566 |
| Drug Court Probation | 915 | 1,303 | 1,510 | 1,572 | 1,840 | 1,906 | 1,906 | 2,097 | 2,164 | 2,312 |
| Parole | 14,674 | 16,472 | 18,123 | 19,146 | 19,974 | 21,445 | 21,774 | 23,407 | 23,657 | 24,621 |
| Centers | 1,044 | 1,110 | 1,177 | 1,169 | 1,143 | 1,266 | 1,260 | 1,097 | 1,043 | 1,080 |
| TVC | 14 | 278 | 279 | 258 | 300 | 309 | 292 | 267 | 405 | 434 |

Inactive population : Unsupervised, Absconders, ISC cases in other States, Non-reporting (released to Detainer)
Population is total active and inactive count at end of each calendar year.

Projections (Active and Inactive)

| | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Supervision | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actual | 31,692 | 31,319 | 31,147 | 31,100 | 31,031 | 31,029 | 30,861 | 30,725 | 30,730 | 30,734 | 30,688 | 30,664 |
| Probation | 23,657 | 23,806 | 23,762 | 23,830 | 23,906 | 23,663 | 23,800 | 23,628 | 23,576 | 23,836 | 23,867 | 24,094 |

Based on JFA Associates Report on "Ten Year Population Projections for Arkansas..." in June 2013

| Active Caseloads as of June 30, 2013 | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Caseload | Probation | Parole |
| Annual reporting | 1,924 | 1,612 |
| Min | 11,593 | 4,992 |
| Medium | 5,672 | 5,954 |
| Max | 1,330 | 2,157 |
| Total | 20,519 | 14,715 |

| Matrix Interventions for June 30, 2013 | |
|--|--------|
| Level | Number |
| Low | 2,135 |
| Medium | 787 |
| High | 590 |
| Total | 3,512 |

Exhibit 5

ARKANSAS ACCOUNTABILITY INTERVENTIONS MATRIX (A- AIM)

Revised 7/30/2013

| Condition Code | VIOLATION | LOW LEVEL CONDITIONS INTERVENTIONS | PROGRESSION LEVELS (see Instruction 1) | | | |
|----------------|---|---|--|-----|-----|-----|
| | | | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th |
| | | | | | | |
| L1 | Failure to participate in community service (not litter crew) - 1st offense | Written Warning | | M1 | M11 | H99 |
| L2 | Positive UA - Drugs or Alcohol, 1st offense | SAPL Screening and Verbal Warning | | M2 | H2 | H99 |
| L3 | Association - 1st offense | Written Warning and Increased Reporting | | M3 | M13 | H99 |
| L4 | Failure to pay supervision fees - 1st offense-\$70 or Greater | Written Warning and 7 days home confinement | | M4 | M14 | H99 |
| L5 | Failure to report - 1st offense | Written warning, Interventions Review Committee, and 1 day in jail | | M5 | H5 | H99 |
| L6 | Change of Residence without permission - 1st offense | Written warning, Interventions Review Committee, and 2 days in jail | | M6 | M16 | H99 |
| L7 | Travel violation In/Out State - 1st offense | Written warning, Interventions Review Committee, and 3 days in jail | | M7 | M17 | H99 |
| L8 | Conviction of low level misdemeanor (Class B or C) - 1st offense | Written warning, Interventions Review Committee, and 4 days in jail | | M8 | M18 | H99 |
| L9 | Failure to pay court fees/fines/costs/restitution-60 Days Delinquent | Written warning, Interventions Review Committee, and 5 days in jail | | M15 | H6 | H99 |
| | | Written warning, Interventions Review Committee, and 6 days in jail | | | | |
| | | Written warning, Interventions Review Committee, and 7 days in jail | | | | |
| | | Written warning and 7 days EM | | | | |
| | | Written warning and 8 days EM | | | | |
| | | Written warning and 9 days EM | | | | |
| | | Written warning and 10 days EM | | | | |
| | | Written warning and 11 days EM | | | | |
| | | Written warning and 12 days EM | | | | |
| | | Written warning and 13 days EM | | | | |
| | | Written warning and 14 days EM | | | | |
| | | Perform Community Service | | | | |
| | | Intervention Review Committee | | | | |

| Condition Code | VIOLATION | MEDIUM LEVEL CONDITIONS INTERVENTIONS | PROGRESSION LEVELS | | | |
|----------------|---|--|--------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| | | | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th |
| | | | | | | |
| M1 | Failure to participate in community service - 2nd offense | Interventions Review Committee and Recommendation | | M1 | M11 | H99 |
| M2 | Positive UA - Drugs or Alcohol - 2nd offense | SAPL Consultation and Interventions Review Committee | | M2 | H2 | H99 |
| M3 | Association - 2nd offense | Interventions Review Committee and Recommendation | | M3 | M13 | H99 |
| M4 | Failure to pay supervision fees 2nd offense or \$105 or greater | Interventions Review Committee and Recommendation, Increased Reporting, UP TO 2 days in jail | | M4 | M14 | H99 |
| M5 | Failure to report - 2nd offense | Interventions Review Committee and Recommendation | | M5 | H5 | H99 |
| M6 | Change of Residence without permission - 2nd offense | Interventions Review Committee and Recommendation, 30 days Electronic Monitoring (EM) | | M6 | M16 | H99 |
| M7 | Travel violations In/Out of State - 2nd offense | Interventions Review Committee and UP TO 2 days in jail | | M7 | M17 | H99 |

(7)

| | | | | | |
|-----|--|---|-----|-----|-----------|
| M8 | Conviction of low level misdemeanor (Class B or C) - 2nd offense | AAM Conference, 20 hours CS, and UP TO 4 days in jail | M8 | M18 | H99 |
| M9 | Charges are made on a parolee for a violent or sex related misdemeanor. | For parolees jail, AAM Conference and revocation hearing. | | | |
| M10 | Conviction of a high level misdemeanor (Class A) - 1st offense | AAM Conference and UP TO 7 days in jail | M9 | M19 | M21 H99 |
| M11 | Absconding - 1 st offense | AAM Conference, 40 hours CS, and UP TO 4 Days in jail | M10 | H1 | H9 H99 |
| M12 | Failure to participate in community service - 3 rd offense | Interventions Review Committee and Recommendation and UP TO 2 days in jail | | M11 | H99 |
| M13 | Failure to maintain employment | Interventions Review Committee, Daily Reporting 80 hours, and Employment Class | M12 | H6 | H8 H99 |
| M14 | Association - 3 rd offense | Interventions Review Committee and Recommendation and UP TO 2 days in jail | | M13 | H99 |
| M15 | Failure to pay supervision fees 3 rd offense or \$105 delinquent or Greater | Intervention Review Committee, Financial Management Class, Increased Reporting, AAM Conference, and UP TO 2 days in jail | | M14 | H99 |
| M16 | Failure to pay court ordered obligations: fines, court costs, restitution, or child support (90 days or more delinquent) | Intervention Review Committee, Written Warning, Financial Management Class, Increased Reporting, and UP TO 2 days in jail | M15 | H6 | H8 H99 |
| M17 | Change of Residence without permission - 3 rd offense | Interventions Review Committee and Recommendation and UP TO 2 days in jail | | M16 | H99 |
| M18 | Travel violations In/Out of State - 3 rd offense | AAM Conference and UP TO 7 days in jail | | M17 | H99 |
| M19 | Conviction of low level misdemeanor (Class B or C) - 3 rd offense | AAM Conference, 80 hours CS, AAM Conference, and UP TO 4 days in jail | | M19 | M21 H99 |
| M20 | Conviction of a high level misdemeanor (Class A) - 2 nd offense | AAM Conference, 60 days EM and UP TO 7 days in jail | | M20 | H6 H8 H99 |
| M21 | Failure to participate in treatment (dismissal) | AAM Conference and TVC (Petition to Revoke Probation) | | M21 | H99 |
| M22 | Conviction of a high level misdemeanor (Class A) - 3rd offense | AAM Conference, 90 days EM and UP TO 7 days in jail | | M21 | H99 |
| M99 | Failure to abide by conditions and/or special conditions - 1st offense | AAM Conference and UP TO 2 days in jail | M99 | H6 | H8 H99 |

| Condition Code | VIOLATION | HIGH LEVEL CONDITIONS INTERVENTIONS | PROGRESSION LEVELS | | | |
|----------------|--|--|--------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| | | | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th |
| H1 | Absconding - 2nd Offense | For probationers AAM Conference For parolees jail, AAM Conference and revocation hearing. | | H1 | H9 | H99 |
| H2 | Positive UA - Drugs or Alcohol, 3rd offense | SAPL Consultation and AAM Conference | | | H2 | H99 |
| H3 | Charged with new felony - non-violent or non-sexual offense | For probationers AAM/AM Conference and Recommendation and increased reporting. For parolees jail, revocation hearing, and AAM Conference. | H3 | H99 | | |
| H4 | Charged with new felony - violent or sexual offense | For probationers AAM/AM Conference and Petition to Revoke For parolees jail, AAM Conference and revocation hearing. | H4 | H99 | | |
| H5 | Failure to report - 3rd offense | AAM Conference, 80 hours CS, and UP TO 7 days in jail | | | H5 | H99 |
| H6 | Failure to abide by conditions and/or special conditions - 2nd | AAM Conference and UP TO 5 days in jail | | H6 | H8 | H99 |
| H7 | Possession of a weapon | AM Conference and revocation hearing / petition to revoke | H7 | H99 | | |
| H8 | Failure to abide by conditions and/or special conditions - 3rd | AAM Conference and UP TO 7 days in jail | | | H8 | H99 |
| H9 | Absconding - 3rd Offense | For probationers petition to revoke. For parolees jail, AAM Conference and revocation hearing. | | | H9 | H99 |
| H99 | 4th Offense - any violation (or any combination of violations) Pending new felony charges - 2nd offense | AAM or AM Conference | | | | H99 |

Instructions and Information

1. For Condition Code "L" (Low-Level) Conditions Interventions:

- For Condition Code "L" violations you may choose any reasonable sanction from the "Low Level Conditions Interventions" list for the first violation.
 - For low-risk clients with each subsequent low-level violation you must increase the intervention, choosing from the "Low Level Conditions Interventions" list.
 - For medium and high-risk clients when there is another violation of a particular low-level violation use the "Progression Levels" table. However, for medium and high-risk clients if a different low-level violation occurs, choose another-increased intervention from the "Low Level Conditions Interventions" list.
2. Once returned from a Technical Violator Program (TVP) or prison, client starts matrix over.
 3. You must get pre-approval from the Area Manager (or higher authority) before deviating from this ArAIm.
 4. A Battery III misdemeanor is not considered to be violent
 5. A violation report may be written at any time.
 6. Before sending a **parolee** to jail as an ArAIm intermediate sanction, the supervision officer must check eOMIS to determine – since the last incarceration in prison or the Technical Violator Program (TVP) – the number of times the parolee has been jailed for an ArAIm intermediate sanction and the total number of jail days served for ArAIm intermediate sanctions; and do not send the parolee to jail more than 7 times or a total of 21 cumulative days (counting from the time of the most recent incarceration in prison or the TVP). (Arkansas law §16-93-706) If the parolee gets to these limits, write a Violation Report.

Before sending a **probationer** to jail as an ArAIm intermediate sanction, the supervision officer must check eOMIS to determine – since the probationer was placed on probation – the number of times the probationer has been jailed for an ArAIm intermediate sanction and the total number of jail days served for ArAIm intermediate sanctions; and do not send the probationer to jail more than 10 times or a total of 30 cumulative days. (Arkansas law 16-93-306) If the probationer gets to these limits, write a Violation Report.

Exhibit 6

Demographics and Number of Residents

| Name | Location | Male | Females | Women & Children | Total Capacity | # at Facility as of 07/05/13 |
|-----------------------------------|---|--------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Arkansas Cares | 5821 Charles Bussey Ave. LR. AR | | | 16 | 16 | 15 |
| Arvac Freedom House | 400 Lakefront Drive, Russellville, AR | 10 rooms | 4 female Rooms | | 28 | 28 |
| Chance Sobriety Ministries | 12127 Gabriel lane, North Little Rock, Ar | Dorm Style | No females | | 110 | 110 |
| D.A.R.P. | 1199 Grant, Decatur, AR | 50 per bldg. | No females | | 10 rooms/50 Clients per bldg. | 18 males |
| Gyst House | 8101 Frenchman's Lane, Little Rock, AR | 50 | 30 | | 80 | 35 males 10 females |
| Hoover/Black Community Developers | 3805 West 13 th Street Little Rock, AR | 12 | 12 | | 24 | 6 Females 10 males |
| Life Change Chem Free living | 316 Iazard Street, Little Rock, Ar | 5 | 0 | | 12 | See Note |
| Casa House | Pine Bluff, AR | | 15 | 0 | 15 | 12 |
| Lighthouse/ Safeharbor | 4800 Confederate Blvd. Little Rock, AR | 3 dorms | No Females | | 100 | 71 |
| Mission Global | 3719 East Broadway, North Little Rock, AR | 62 | No Females | | 62 | 35 |
| New Hope Living Center | 1522 Scott Street, Little Rock, AR | 25 | No Females | | 25 | 20 |
| Northeast AR Regional Recovery | 6009 C.W. Post Road Jonesboro, AR | 10 | 10 | | 20 | 20 |
| Omart | 116 Snowball Drive, Gassville, AR | 15 | 17 | | 32 | 32 |
| Project New Start | 1417 Dewey Ave Newport, Ar | 31 | 27 | | 58 | 58 |
| Project New Start Promiseland | 903 Second Street Newport, Ar | 8 | No Females | | 8 | 8 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|---------------|--|----|-----------------------|
| Project New Start Joshua House | 1600 Bryant Street Newport, AR | 14 | 27 | | 41 | 41 |
| Project New Start Esther House | 712 Malcolm Street Newport, AR | No Males | 27 | | 27 | 27 |
| Project New Start Gideon House | 1501 Bryant Street Newport, AR | 14 | 27 | | 27 | 27 |
| Quality Living 1 | 3925 Asher Street Little Rock, AR | 50 | No Females | | 50 | 27 |
| Quality Living 2 | 2900 South Cedar Little Rock, AR | No Males | 20 | | 20 | 10 |
| Quapaw House | 812 Mountain Pine Rd Hot Springs, AR | 11 Rooms | 9 Rooms | | 46 | 22 male and female |
| Quapaw House Hazel Street | 225 Hazel Street Hot Springs | 10 | 0 | | 37 | 12 |
| Quapaw House (Barbs Place) Specialized Women Residential Trn | 274 Linden Street, Hot Springs, AR | 11 | 9 | | 20 | 6 male and female |
| Quapaw House Harmony House | 1309 West Grant Avenue Hot Springs, AR | 10 Rooms | | | 37 | 16 |
| Quapaw House Newton House | 301 Walters Hot Springs, AR | 10 Rooms | | | 37 | 6 |
| Quapaw House Security House | 619 Hobson Hot Springs, AR | 10 Rooms | | | 37 | 15 |
| RCA Recovery Ctn | 1201 River Road, North Little Rock | 26 | 24 | | 50 | 50 |
| RCA Recovery Ctn | 6301 Father Tribou, Little Rock | 42 | 16 | | 58 | 58 |
| Reto Halfway House | 3301 West Pratt Little Rock | 4 Rooms | No Females | | 12 | 12 |
| Sober Living | 4201 John Barrow Little Rock, AR | 60 | 10 | | 70 | 45 Males 4 Females |
| Sobriety Living | 2001 West 5 th Street Pine Bluff | 5 rooms | 2 Rooms | | 55 | 55 |
| St. Francis House | 2701 South Elm Street Little Rock, AR | 46 | 4 | | 50 | See notes |

| | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|--|-----|----|
| Wilbur Mills Home Stead House | 3210 East Moore Street Searcy, AR | 7 | 7 | | 14 | 14 |
| Wilbur Mills Batesville | 1355 East Main Street Batesville, AR | 12 | 8 | | 20 | 20 |
| Wilbur Mills Gibson Clinic | 528 Chestnut St Marianna, AR | 10 Rooms | No Females | | 15 | 15 |
| Wilbur Mills Kay Goss Apt | 3202 East Moore Searcy, Ar | No Males | 14 | | 14 | 14 |
| Wilbur Mills McCroy Clinic | 900 West Popular St McCroy, Ar | 30 | 20 | | 50 | 50 |
| Wilbur Mills Treatment Facility | 3204 East Moore Searcy, AR | 28 | 18 | | 46 | 46 |
| Harbor House Inc. | 522 North 18 th Street Fort Smith, AR | 6 Rooms | | | 25 | 25 |
| Hidden Creek Development Ct | 10905 West Markham, Little Rock, AR | 10 Room x 2bed | 10 Room x2bed | | 40 | 40 |
| Phoenix Recovery | 1224 Jersey Street Conway, AR | 46 Rooms | | | 120 | ? |
| South Arkansas Substance Abuse | 100 Hargett El Dorado, AR | 6 Rooms | 10. Rooms | | 35 | 35 |
| Covenant Recovery | 3201 West Pullen Pine Bluff, AR | 4 Rooms | 1 Room | | 45 | 45 |
| Wings of Freedom | 2800 East Dixon Road Little Rock | 3 Rooms | No Females | | 34 | 34 |

Several things factor in to these figures.

1. Life Changing Chemical Free Living—does not have a working phone # nor e-mail so I have tried to contact this agency to let them know that their license are due in August. So I have no way to contact him or his agency to see if they are going to renew or not, and if they are even still in business.

2. St. Francis House license has expired, they say they will renew. Also this facility on will take Veterans that are on parole or probation, not just any one.
3. Most places have not e-mailed me back with a true count so these numbers will be off. But you will have a rough idea of what the stats are, hopefully I will soon be getting e-mails back about the number of DCC client that are enrolled at these facilities.

Out of all of the housing facilities, if there numbers are correct. They should be able to house 2192 clients' total. As of todays date they have approximately 1299 client living in these facilities, or about 48%. **Approximately 324 are DCC** or about 30%.

There is about a 22% error margin without true and correct numbers

Exhibit 9

AR Dept. of Community Correction
Cost per Day Calculation
FY13

| | | Total | | Portion of ADMIN | | FY13 Fully |
|-------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| | | PROGRAM costs | | Costs Allocated to Programs | Fully Allocated Program Cost | Allocated Cost per Day |
| ADMIN* | \$8,575,251 | 10% | | | | |
| Residential | \$28,843,132 | 35% | \$28,843,132 | 39% | \$3,349,957 | \$32,193,089 |
| P/P | \$30,589,265 | 37% | \$30,589,265 | 41% | \$3,552,760 | \$34,142,025 |
| Drug Ct | \$9,354,247 | 11% | \$9,354,247 | 13% | \$1,086,440 | \$10,440,687 |
| TVP | \$5,046,280 | 6% | \$5,046,280 | 7% | \$586,095 | \$5,632,375 |
| Total | \$82,408,175 | 100% | \$73,832,924 | 100% | \$8,575,251 | \$82,408,175 |

*Includes General Revenue, Special Revenue and Depreciation, net of Capital Expenditures

"Cost per Day" calculations were determined by dividing Fully Allocated Costs (Program Costs including a proportionate share of ADMIN costs), divided by appropriate populations.

| Program | Population Calculation | Total |
|------------------------|--|------------|
| Residential | 1315 annual average population x number of days/year | 479,975 |
| Parole/Probation (P/P) | 53463 active cases x number of days/year | 19,513,995 |
| Drug Court | 2159 working cases x number of days/year | 788,035 |
| Omega | 300 average population x number of days/year | 109,500 |

1000

[illegible]

| Expenditure | Director's & Mgmt. | Planning | IT | Admin. Services | Other General | Health Serv | Control | NE | SE | SW | Other | NY | DP | Area 1 | Area 2 | Area 3 | Area 4 | Area 5 | Area 6 | Area 7 | Area 8 | Area 9 | Area 10 | Area 11 | Area 12 | Area 13 | Instal. | Dug | Chg | AI |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| PROFESSIONAL SERVICES (Chg 10) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 500001000 Professional Services | 63,386 | 5,862 | 164,453 | | | | | | | | | 67,657 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 310,348 | 613,381 | 1,236,191 |
| 500001000 Legal Fees | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 500005000 Medical Fees | 150 | | | | | | 692,342 | 693,418 | 1,222,210 | 3,774,600 | 3,123,033 | 397,493 | 542 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 500007000 Educational Fees and Don. | 630 | 8,910 | | | | | 50 | | 10,280 | 14,400 | | | 13,061 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 500010000 Other Professional Services | 66,006 | 14,562 | 164,453 | 0 | | 0 | 397,392 | 865,418 | 1,243,240 | 3,769,390 | 3,123,033 | 393,037 | 113,038 | 16,500 | 450 | 1,120 | 790 | 700 | 750 | 4,450 | 0 | 1,350 | 1,200 | 300 | 1,850 | 600 | 0 | 310,348 | 613,381 | 1,236,191 |
| DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTALS: | 1,327,891 | 2,347,799 | 804,221 | 1,272,711 | 1,198,407 | 288,423 | 3,330,300 | 4,398,098 | 6,813,318 | 6,079,480 | 4,827,342 | 3,312,444 | 1,172,077 | 2,429,062 | 1,330,774 | 2,143,827 | 2,440,782 | 1,652,748 | 1,586,551 | 2,893,792 | 1,109,151 | 1,827,023 | 3,045,706 | 2,310,597 | 4,178,317 | 1,165,680 | 1,465,654 | 6,348,881 | 1,170,407 | 21,795,626 |
| Director's & Mgmt. | 1,327,891 | 2,347,799 | 804,221 | 1,272,711 | 1,198,407 | 288,423 | 3,330,300 | 4,398,098 | 6,813,318 | 6,079,480 | 4,827,342 | 3,312,444 | 1,172,077 | 2,429,062 | 1,330,774 | 2,143,827 | 2,440,782 | 1,652,748 | 1,586,551 | 2,893,792 | 1,109,151 | 1,827,023 | 3,045,706 | 2,310,597 | 4,178,317 | 1,165,680 | 1,465,654 | 6,348,881 | 1,170,407 | 21,795,626 |
| Planning | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Admin. Services | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Health Serv | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SW | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NY | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DP | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area 13 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Instal. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dug | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chg | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

[illegible]

2009

Arkansas Recidivism Study

Tiffanye Compton, M. S., Research & Planning Administrator
Nichole Mettrot, Administrative Analyst

2012

Highlights/Summary

1. Overall Recidivism Rate dropped from 44.9% in CY2008 to 41.6% in CY2009, a decrease of 3.3% percentage points.
2. At a three-year post release, 2009 overall recidivism rates increased from 8.3% the first six months to 41.6% in three years, a 33.3% overall increase (Table 1, pg. 2).
3. Recidivism rate, at 42% over three years for paroled inmates, was significantly higher in 2009 than that of discharged inmates at 20.7% (Table 2, pg. 3).
4. ADC inmates are released on parole with supervision or discharged. Their return rates throughout the three-year post return with Parole Return rates at 6 months was 10.8% increasing to 45.7% by the three-year post return. The Discharge Return rate was 2.1% at 6 months and ended at the three-year post return with 20.0% (Table 2, pg. 3).
5. Parole Violators with New Sentences, within three-year post release, accounted for 61% of the recidivists and Parole Violators with Technical Violations accounted for 33%, while Discharges with New Sentences only accounted for 6%.
6. 2009 Recidivism rates for male offenders show a three-year post release return rate of 43.4% as compared to 27.8% for females (Table 4, pg. 6).
7. An overall three-year post release for 2009 demonstrates that 42.7% of blacks and 42% of whites returned to prison. The recidivism rates for blacks and whites decreased by 4.7 points and 2.6 points respectively from the previous year (Table 5, pg. 9).
8. For 2009 releases within a three-year post release and a return to prison, both males and females within the cohort range of 25-34 demonstrated the highest total return rates, with ages 35-44 coming in second (Table 6 & 7 pgs. 9 & 10).
9. Recidivism rates are shown for all the number of offenses released and number of returns in that specific category (Table 8, pgs. 11 & 12).

Public Services Division, Research and Planning, Arkansas Department of Correction
P. O. Box 8707, Pine Bluff, AR, 71602, Telephone (870) 267-6335

Ray Hobbs, Director

Dina Tyler, Assistant Director



Arkansas' Recidivism Study (Addendum)

The 2009 Recidivism research is an addendum to the original 1997-1999 study produced in 2003 and to the addendums through 2008, produced in prior years. This addendum was prepared by the Arkansas Department of Correction's Research and Planning Section. The addendum reviews the return rates of inmates released from custody in CY2009, as compared to prior years. The data for this research was obtained from the ADC electronic Offender Management Information System (eOMIS). If an individual was released **January 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009**, the data provided reflect returns for a full three-year post release. All charts and tables reflect a three-year post release review and are utilized strictly for clarity and ease to the reader.

The study is categorized into return rates of six (6) months, one (1) year, and three (3) years. As with the 2008 addendum regarding a three-year post release of inmates produced in 2011, the ADC Research and Planning Section will continue to study, track and update trends in release/return annually.

Overall Recidivism Rates

As demonstrated, Table 1 shows the overall ADC 2009 recidivism rates. The post-release period consists of six months, one year and three years, from 2000 through 2009. In 2009, there was a total of 6,585 inmates released from ADC. Within six months of release, 8.3% returned to prison. Within one year 20.4% inmates returned and within three years 41.6% had returned to prison. ADC's recidivism rate, averaged for the last ten years, is 45.1%.

**In all tables, the "number of releases" is the number of unduplicated releases in a given year used to generate recidivism rates. Table 1 does not specify the type of return. It demonstrates an overall return rate, regardless of reason.*

Table 1

Overall Recidivism Rates

| Release | Total Number | % Returned | # Returned | % Returned | # Returned | % Returned | # Returned | Total % |
|----------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Year | Releases | 6 Months | 6 Months | 1 Year | 1 Year | 3 Years | 3 Years | Returns |
| 2000 | 5872 | 14.2% | 834 | 29.0% | 1701 | 51.8% | 3040 | 51.8% |
| 2001 | 5962 | 15.9% | 948 | 29.7% | 1771 | 51.4% | 3066 | 51.4% |
| 2002 | 6015 | 15.1% | 911 | 28.5% | 1716 | 49.4% | 2969 | 49.4% |
| 2003 | 6135 | 12.9% | 792 | 25.9% | 1586 | 47.4% | 2905 | 47.4% |
| 2004 | 6244 | 11.2% | 698 | 22.1% | 1377 | 44.4% | 2772 | 44.4% |
| 2005 | 5657 | 7.4% | 418 | 18.3% | 1036 | 41.4% | 2344 | 41.4% |
| 2006 | 5505 | 6.4% | 355 | 17.1% | 943 | 37.4% | 2060 | 37.4% |
| 2007 | 5783 | 6.2% | 359 | 15.2% | 881 | 40.3% | 2330 | 40.3% |
| 2008 | 6305 | 7.5% | 475 | 20.2% | 1275 | 44.9% | 2831 | 44.9% |
| 2009 | 6585 | 8.3% | 547 | 20.4% | 1343 | 41.6% | 2742 | 41.6% |
| Averages | 6,006 | 10.6% | 634 | 22.7% | 1363 | 45.1% | 2706 | 45.1% |

Recidivism Rates: Release Type

Table 2 demonstrates recidivism rate by type of release. ADC releases inmates by parole with supervision, which is granted by the Parole Board, or by discharge (max flat of sentence). In 2009, there were 6,421 released by regular parole and 164 inmates discharged. Results indicate that within six months of release in 2009, 543 inmates or 8.5% of the inmates released on parole had returned, while only 4 or 2.4% of the inmates discharged returned with a new sentence. Total returns of parole releases from ADC in 2009 show a 42.0% return rate, while discharges reflects a 20.7% return rate.

Table 2

| Recidivism Rates By Type of Release-2009 | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| Year | Type of Release | Total Releases | 6 Mths Returns | % Returns | 1 Year Returns | % Returns | 3 Years Returns | % Returns |
| 2000 | Paroled - Regular | 5,691 | 832 | 14.6% | 1,691 | 29.7% | 3,005 | 52.8% |
| | Discharge | 181 | 2 | 1.1% | 10 | 5.5% | 35 | 19.3% |
| 2001 | Paroled - Regular | 5,778 | 947 | 16.4% | 1,762 | 30.5% | 3,042 | 52.6% |
| | Discharge | 184 | 1 | 0.5% | 9 | 4.9% | 24 | 13.0% |
| 2002 | Paroled - Regular | 5,841 | 910 | 15.6% | 1,707 | 29.2% | 2,938 | 50.3% |
| | Discharge | 174 | 1 | 0.6% | 9 | 5.2% | 31 | 17.8% |
| 2003 | Paroled - Regular | 5,958 | 788 | 13.2% | 1,577 | 26.5% | 2,861 | 48.0% |
| | Discharge | 177 | 4 | 2.3% | 9 | 5.1% | 43 | 24.3% |
| 2004 | Paroled - Regular | 6,075 | 693 | 11.4% | 1,368 | 22.5% | 2,735 | 45.0% |
| | Discharge | 169 | 5 | 3.0% | 9 | 5.3% | 37 | 21.9% |
| 2005 | Paroled - Regular | 5,505 | 413 | 7.5% | 1,025 | 18.6% | 2,317 | 42.1% |
| | Discharge | 152 | 5 | 3.3% | 11 | 7.2% | 27 | 17.8% |
| 2006 | Paroled - Regular | 5,358 | 350 | 6.5% | 931 | 17.4% | 2,020 | 37.7% |
| | Discharge | 147 | 5 | 3.4% | 12 | 8.2% | 40 | 27.2% |
| 2007 | Paroled - Regular | 5,623 | 357 | 6.3% | 872 | 15.5% | 2,293 | 40.8% |
| | Discharge | 160 | 2 | 1.3% | 9 | 5.6% | 37 | 23.1% |
| 2008 | Paroled - Regular | 6,160 | 469 | 7.6% | 1,262 | 20.5% | 2,808 | 45.6% |
| | Discharge | 145 | 6 | 4.1% | 13 | 9.0% | 23 | 15.9% |
| 2009 | Paroled - Regular | 6,421 | 543 | 8.5% | 1,329 | 20.7% | 2,694 | 42.0% |
| | Discharge | 164 | 4 | 2.4% | 15 | 9.1% | 34 | 20.7% |
| Total Paroled - Regular | | 58,410 | 6,302 | 10.8% | 3,524 | 23.2% | 26,713 | 45.7% |
| Total Discharged | | 1,653 | 35 | 2.1% | 106 | 6.4% | 331 | 20.0% |


Table 3.

| Recidivism Of Parolees And Discharges-2009 | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| Year | Total Releases | Type of Return | 6 Mths Returns | % Returns | 1 Year Returns | % Returns | 3 Years Returns | % Returns |
| 2000 | 5872 | PV/New Sentence | 196 | 3.3% | 424 | 7.2% | 795 | 13.5% |
| | | PV/Technical | 633 | 10.8% | 1254 | 10.6% | 2078 | 14.0% |
| | | Discharge - Reconviction | 5 | 0.1% | 23 | 0.4% | 167 | 2.9% |
| 2001 | 5962 | PV/New Sentence | 283 | 4.7% | 540 | 9.1% | 913 | 15.3% |
| | | PV/Technical | 663 | 11.1% | 1211 | 20.3% | 1972 | 33.1% |
| | | Discharge - Reconviction | 2 | 0.0% | 20 | 0.3% | 181 | 3.0% |
| 2002 | 6015 | PV/New Sentence | 793 | 13.2% | 1516 | 25.2% | 2549 | 42.4% |
| | | PV/Technical | 113 | 1.9% | 174 | 2.9% | 215 | 3.6% |
| | | Discharge - Reconviction | 5 | 0.1% | 26 | 0.4% | 205 | 3.4% |
| 2003 | 6135 | PV/New Sentence | 785 | 12.8% | 1558 | 25.4% | 2668 | 43.5% |
| | | Discharge - Reconviction | 7 | 0.1% | 28 | 0.5% | 237 | 3.9% |
| 2004 | 6244 | PV/New Sentence | 686 | 11.0% | 1341 | 21.5% | 2535 | 40.6% |
| | | Discharge - Reconviction | 12 | 0.2% | 36 | 0.6% | 237 | 3.8% |
| 2005 | 5657 | PV/New Sentence | 407 | 7.2% | 999 | 17.7% | 2120 | 37.5% |
| | | Discharge - Reconviction | 11 | 0.2% | 37 | 0.7% | 224 | 4.0% |
| 2006 | 5505 | PV/New Sentence | 346 | 6.3% | 918 | 16.7% | 1868 | 33.9% |
| | | Discharge - Reconviction | 9 | 0.2% | 25 | 0.5% | 192 | 3.5% |
| 2007 | 5783 | PV/New Sentence | 352 | 6.1% | 850 | 14.7% | 1708 | 29.5% |
| | | PV/Technical | 1 | 0.0% | 10 | 0.2% | 454 | 7.9% |
| | | Discharge - Reconviction | 6 | 0.1% | 21 | 0.4% | 168 | 2.9% |
| 2008 | 6305 | PV/New Sentence | 345 | 5.5% | 818 | 13.0% | 1732 | 27.5% |
| | | PV/Technical | 122 | 1.9% | 427 | 6.8% | 925 | 14.7% |
| | | Discharge - Reconviction | 8 | 0.1% | 30 | 0.5% | 174 | 2.8% |
| 2009 | 6585 | PV/New Sentence | 403 | 6.1% | 911 | 13.8% | 1665 | 25.3% |
| | | PV/Technical | 138 | 2.1% | 404 | 6.1% | 916 | 13.9% |
| | | Discharge - Reconviction | 6 | 0.1% | 28 | 0.4% | 161 | 2.4% |


Recidivism Rates: (Return Types) Parolees and Discharges

Table 3 and Figures 3, 3-1 and 3-2 show inmates that return to prison for parole violations with a new sentence (s); or parole violators returning with a technical violation (s); and discharges (reconvicted inmates) that returned to prison as a new recommitment with a new sentence. Although the number of technical violators returned to ADC since the opening of the Omega Technical Parole Violators Center has decreased, the number returned in 2009 has increased from its lowest number in 2007. Even though a new conviction might also have a technical violation attached, the new conviction on most cases will supersede the technical violation, making the new conviction the primary reason for returning to prison.


3. Fig. 1



3. Fig. 2



3. Fig. 3



Recidivism Rates: Gender

Table 4 , 4-1, 4-2 exhibits recidivism rates by gender. In 2009, males increased in return rates over the three-year post release time frame. From six months to one year, data demonstrated a constant return rate for both males and females; however, by the end of the third year there was a significant increase in males returning to prison.

Table 4

| Recidivism Rates By Gender | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------------|
| Year | Gender | Total | 6 month | Total % | 1 Year | Total % | 3 Years | Total % | Total Inmates |
| | | Releases | Return | Returns | Returns | Returns | Returns | Returns | Not Returning |
| 2000 | Female | 652 | 75 | 11.5% | 158 | 24.2% | 264 | 40.5% | 388 |
| | Male | 5,220 | 759 | 14.5% | 1,543 | 29.6% | 2,766 | 53.0% | 2,454 |
| 2001 | Female | 625 | 81 | 13.0% | 140 | 22.4% | 260 | 41.6% | 365 |
| | Male | 5,337 | 867 | 16.2% | 1,631 | 30.6% | 2,806 | 52.6% | 2,531 |
| 2002 | Female | 594 | 67 | 11.2% | 134 | 22.6% | 242 | 40.7% | 320 |
| | Male | 5,421 | 844 | 15.6% | 1,582 | 29.2% | 2,727 | 50.3% | 2,426 |
| 2003 | Female | 616 | 54 | 8.8% | 111 | 18.0% | 215 | 34.9% | 401 |
| | Male | 5,519 | 738 | 13.4% | 1,475 | 26.7% | 2,690 | 48.7% | 2,829 |
| 2004 | Female | 551 | 31 | 5.6% | 56 | 10.2% | 139 | 25.2% | 413 |
| | Male | 5,693 | 667 | 11.8% | 1,321 | 23.2% | 2,633 | 46.2% | 3,060 |
| 2005 | Female | 534 | 15 | 2.8% | 44 | 8.2% | 123 | 23.0% | 411 |
| | Male | 5,124 | 403 | 7.9% | 992 | 19.4% | 2,221 | 43.3% | 2,903 |
| 2006 | Female | 614 | 18 | 2.9% | 57 | 9.3% | 137 | 22.3% | 477 |
| | Male | 4,891 | 337 | 6.9% | 886 | 18.1% | 1,923 | 39.3% | 2,968 |
| 2007 | Female | 675 | 21 | 3.1% | 53 | 7.9% | 158 | 23.4% | 517 |
| | Male | 5,783 | 338 | 5.8% | 828 | 14.3% | 2,172 | 37.6% | 3,611 |
| 2008 | Female | 735 | 27 | 3.7% | 87 | 11.8% | 211 | 28.7% | 524 |
| | Male | 5,570 | 448 | 5.0% | 1,188 | 21.3% | 2,620 | 47.0% | 2,950 |
| 2009 | Female | 755 | 41 | 5.4% | 99 | 13.1% | 210 | 27.8% | 545 |
| | Male | 5,830 | 506 | 8.7% | 1,245 | 21.4% | 2,533 | 43.4% | 3,297 |

Figure 4




Figure 4.1





Table 5

| 2009 Recidivism Rates By Race | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Year | Race | Total Releases | 6 Month Returns | % Returns | 1 Year Returns | % Returns | 3 Years Returns | % Returns |
| 2000 | Black | 2,756 | 401 | 14.6% | 817 | 29.6% | 1,512 | 54.9% |
| 2000 | Hispanic | 66 | 6 | 9.1% | 10 | 15.2% | 16 | 24.2% |
| 2000 | Other | 62 | 4 | 6.5% | 5 | 8.1% | 9 | 14.5% |
| 2000 | White | 2,988 | 423 | 14.2% | 869 | 29.1% | 1,503 | 50.3% |
| 2001 | Black | 2,718 | 463 | 17.0% | 839 | 30.9% | 1,476 | 54.3% |
| 2001 | Hispanic | 64 | 3 | 4.7% | 10 | 15.6% | 18 | 28.1% |
| 2001 | Other | 45 | 3 | 6.7% | 4 | 8.9% | 8 | 17.8% |
| 2001 | White | 3,135 | 479 | 15.3% | 918 | 29.3% | 1,564 | 49.9% |
| 2002 | Black | 2,618 | 408 | 15.6% | 741 | 28.3% | 1,335 | 51.0% |
| 2002 | Hispanic | 75 | 5 | 6.7% | 9 | 12.0% | 13 | 17.3% |
| 2002 | Other | 30 | 0 | 0.0% | 3 | 10.0% | 7 | 23.3% |
| 2002 | White | 3,292 | 497 | 15.1% | 962 | 29.2% | 1,613 | 49.0% |
| 2003 | Black | 2,768 | 321 | 11.6% | 691 | 25.0% | 1,349 | 48.7% |
| 2003 | Hispanic | 50 | 4 | 8.0% | 11 | 22.0% | 20 | 40.0% |
| 2003 | Other | 13 | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 7.7% | 5 | 38.5% |
| 2003 | White | 3,304 | 467 | 14.1% | 883 | 26.7% | 1,531 | 46.3% |
| 2004 | Black | 2,711 | 255 | 9.4% | 573 | 21.1% | 1,260 | 46.5% |
| 2004 | Hispanic | 64 | 10 | 15.6% | 14 | 21.9% | 24 | 37.5% |
| 2004 | Other | 19 | 1 | 5.3% | 4 | 21.1% | 5 | 26.3% |
| 2004 | White | 3,450 | 432 | 12.5% | 786 | 22.8% | 1,483 | 43.0% |
| 2005 | Black | 2,285 | 167 | 7.3% | 455 | 19.9% | 1,064 | 46.6% |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 70 | 6 | 8.6% | 11 | 15.7% | 22 | 31.4% |
| 2005 | Other | 30 | 4 | 13.3% | 7 | 23.3% | 10 | 33.3% |
| 2005 | White | 3,273 | 241 | 7.4% | 563 | 17.2% | 1,248 | 38.1% |
| 2006 | Black | 2,304 | 144 | 6.3% | 396 | 17.2% | 925 | 40.1% |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 115 | 10 | 8.7% | 12 | 10.4% | 29 | 25.2% |
| 2006 | Other | 22 | 1 | 4.5% | 2 | 9.1% | 4 | 18.2% |
| 2006 | White | 3,064 | 200 | 6.5% | 533 | 17.4% | 1,102 | 36.0% |
| 2007 | Black | 2,374 | 159 | 6.7% | 403 | 17.0% | 1,057 | 44.5% |
| | Hispanic | 155 | 12 | 7.7% | 18 | 11.6% | 34 | 21.9% |
| | Other | 38 | 3 | 7.9% | 7 | 18.4% | 16 | 42.1% |
| | White | 3,216 | 185 | 5.8% | 453 | 14.1% | 1,223 | 38.0% |
| 2008 | Black | 2,607 | 200 | 7.7% | 535 | 20.5% | 1,235 | 47.4% |
| | Hispanic | 247 | 11 | 4.5% | 26 | 10.5% | 53 | 21.5% |
| | Other | 32 | 5 | 15.6% | 12 | 37.5% | 19 | 59.4% |
| | White | 3,419 | 259 | 7.6% | 702 | 20.5% | 1,524 | 44.6% |
| 2009 | Black | 2,641 | 207 | 7.8% | 509 | 19.3% | 1128 | 42.7% |
| | Hispanic | 213 | 15 | 7.0% | 27 | 12.7% | 41 | 19.2% |
| | Other | 37 | 5 | 13.5% | 12 | 32.4% | 20 | 54.1% |
| | White | 3694 | 319 | 8.6% | 795 | 21.5% | 1553 | 42.0% |

Recidivism Rates: Race

In Table 5 recidivism rates were generated by race for **2009** and incorporated time frames of six months, one year and three-year post release. Recidivism rates were based on six racial groups. Within the ADC, the population primarily consists of Caucasian and African-American racial designations, while only a small percentage consists of Hispanic and other categories. For this report, the category of Caucasian is denoted as White; the African-American category is denoted as Black; the Hispanic Category consists of Mexican and Hispanic racial designations; and the Other category incorporates both Asian and Native Americans. The **2009** Recidivism Study addendum incorporates Caucasian, African-American, Hispanic, and Other racial designations to demonstrate recidivism rates by race as provided in Table 5. In **2009**, **3,694** Caucasian inmates were released and within three years; **1,553** or **42.%** returned to prison, while 2,641 African-American inmates were released with **1,128** or **42.7%** returning to prison within three years. For **2009**, the rate for African-American inmates is marginally higher than for Caucasians (see Table 5).

Table 6



Recidivism Rate: Age

Age shows to be significantly related to recidivism within the ADC. An inmate's age at his/her last admission was significantly related to return to custody (see Tables 6, 6.1 & 7). For both males and females, the age cohort of 34-24 at admission is most likely to return to prison within three years (See Table 7)

Table 6.1




Table 7

| Gender | AGE | Recidivism by Age (female) | | | |
|--------|---------|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | 6 Months | 1 Year | 3 Years | Total % |
| | | Returns | Returns | Returns | Returns |
| Female | 18 - 24 | 7 | 19 | 31 | 14.76% |
| | 25 - 34 | 19 | 41 | 103 | 49.05% |
| | 35 - 44 | 12 | 29 | 61 | 29.05% |
| | 45 - 54 | 3 | 9 | 14 | 6.67% |
| | 55 - 64 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.48% |
| | Total | 41 | 99 | 210 | 100% |

| Gender | AGE | Recidivism by Age (male) | | | |
|--------|---------|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | 6 Months | 1 Year | 3 Years | Total % |
| | | Returns | Returns | Returns | Returns |
| Male | <=17 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0% |
| | 18 - 24 | 116 | 268 | 547 | 22% |
| | 25 - 34 | 213 | 515 | 1044 | 41% |
| | 35 - 44 | 117 | 284 | 589 | 23% |
| | 45 - 54 | 60 | 163 | 313 | 12% |
| | 55 - 64 | 5 | 18 | 42 | 2% |
| | >= 65 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 0% |
| | Total | 517 | 1256 | 2544 | 100% |

Recidivism Rates: Offense

Table 8 reflects the return rates as a result of the type of offense for a selected period of time. A variety of offenses were analyzed in order to understand the breadth and depth of recidivism rates by offense and the return to prison within the three-year time frame. For clarity, Table 8 denotes the offense category, the actual number of releases/returns for 2009, and the actual numbers and percentages of returns within three years for each category.

Table 8
Recidivism Rates By Offense Category-2009

| Offense Category | No.Releases | Ret w/in 3 yrs | % Returned |
|---|-------------|----------------|--------------|
| 0101 - Capital Murder | 4 | 1 | 25.0% |
| 0102 - Murder-1st Degree | 39 | 7 | 17.9% |
| 0103 - Murder-2nd Degree | 52 | 15 | 28.8% |
| 0104 - Manslaughter | 41 | 16 | 39.0% |
| 0105 - Negligent Homicide | 31 | 8 | 25.8% |
| 0201 - Kidnapping | 34 | 15 | 44.1% |
| 0202 - False Imprisonment-1st Degree | 42 | 20 | 47.6% |
| 0301 - Robbery | 471 | 253 | 53.7% |
| 0302 - Aggravated Robbery | 136 | 45 | 33.1% |
| 0401 - Battery-1st Degree | 128 | 47 | 36.7% |
| 0402 - Battery-2nd Degree | 345 | 153 | 44.3% |
| 0403 - Aggravated Assault | 223 | 122 | 54.7% |
| 0404 - Terroristic Threatening | 147 | 73 | 49.7% |
| 0405 - Terroristic Act | 25 | 9 | 36.0% |
| 0406 - Agg. Assault On Corr. Employee | 3 | 1 | 33.3% |
| 0407 - Battery 3rd Deg. (Enhanced) | 4 | 2 | 50.0% |
| 0408 - Intro Cont Subs Body/Another | 3 | 1 | 33.3% |
| 0501 - Rape | 69 | 24 | 34.8% |
| 0502 - Carnal Abuse-1st Degree | 5 | 2 | 40.0% |
| 0504 - Sexual Abuse-1st Degree | 49 | 19 | 38.8% |
| 0505 - Violation Of A Minor-1st Dg | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 0506 - Violations of A Minor-2nd Dg | 2 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 0507 - Exposing One To HIV | 1 | 1 | 100.0% |
| 0510 - Carnal Abuse-3rd Degree | 3 | 1 | 33.3% |
| 0513 - Sexual Assault | 188 | 73 | 38.8% |
| 0518 - Reg Sex offend near cert fac | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 0658 - Residential Burglary | 650 | 368 | 56.6% |
| 0659 - Commercial Burglary | 239 | 133 | 55.6% |
| 0701 - Incest | 8 | 3 | 37.5% |
| 0702 - Domestic Battering-1st Degree | 17 | 5 | 29.4% |
| 0703 - Domestic Battering-2nd Degree | 31 | 16 | 51.6% |
| 0704 - Agg. Aslt On Family/Household | 23 | 11 | 47.8% |
| 0705 - Non-Support | 69 | 23 | 33.3% |
| 0707 - Interference With Custody | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 0708 - Domestic Battering-3rd Degree | 85 | 41 | 48.2% |
| 0801 - Endanger Welfare Minor-1st Dg | 11 | 5 | 45.5% |
| 0803 - Permit Child Abuse | 7 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 0805 - Trans, Distr.Mat. Deplctg Chil | 2 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 0810 - Sexual Solicitation Of A Child | 6 | 3 | 50.0% |
| 0813 - Dist/Poss/View Sex Explicit | 5 | 2 | 40.0% |
| 0814 - Computer Child Pornography | 11 | 4 | 36.4% |
| 0828 - Expose Child to Chem Substance | 3 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 0830 - Sexual Indecency With A Child | 28 | 8 | 28.6% |
| 0901 - Abuse Of Adults | 4 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 1001 - Theft Of Property | 675 | 326 | 48.3% |
| 1002 - Theft Of Services | 2 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 1003 - Thft Of Prop Lost,Mislaid,Del. | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 1004 - Theft By Receiving | 255 | 121 | 47.5% |
| 1005 - Theft Of Leased Property | 8 | 4 | 50.0% |
| 1006 - Theft Of Public Benefits | 5 | 1 | 20.0% |
| 1009 - Unauthorized Use of a Vehicle | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 1046 - Internet Stalk.- Child Fel. C | 5 | 1 | 20.0% |

Table 8—cond.
Three year Recidivism Rates By Offense Category-2009

| Offense Category | No.Releases | Ret w/in 3 yrs | % Returned |
|--|-------------|----------------|------------|
| 1066 - Theft of Scrap Metal | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 1101 - Forgery | 233 | 101 | 43.3% |
| 1102 - Defrauding Secured Creditors | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 1104 - Fraudulent Use Of Credit Card | 16 | 4 | 25.0% |
| 1113 - Hot Check Violation | 99 | 23 | 23.2% |
| 1118 - Financial Identity Fraud | 3 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 1202 - Impair Oper. Of Vital Pub.Facil | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 1203 - Arson | 13 | 5 | 38.5% |
| 1204 - Reckless Burning | 3 | 1 | 33.3% |
| 1205 - Criminal Mischief-1st Degree | 46 | 24 | 52.2% |
| 1207 - Criminal Mischief 2nd Degree | 5 | 1 | 20.0% |
| 1301 - Burglary | 10 | 4 | 40.0% |
| 1302 - Breaking And Entering | 69 | 31 | 45.0% |
| 1501 - Public Servant Bribery | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 1602 - Witness Bribery | 1 | 1 | 100.0% |
| 1604 - Tampering With Physical Evid. | 7 | 2 | 28.6% |
| 1702 - Hindering Apprehension Or Proc | 4 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 1706 - Escape-2nd Degree | 6 | 1 | 16.7% |
| 1711 - Furnishing Prohib. Articles | 21 | 10 | 47.6% |
| 1712 - Failure To Appear | 95 | 44 | 46.3% |
| 1713 - Fleeing | 46 | 20 | 43.5% |
| 1715 - Filing A False Report | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 1718 - Absconding | 3 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 1720 - Fail To Register Child/Sex Off | 50 | 18 | 36.0% |
| 1801 - Violation Of Medicaid Fraud Ac | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 2201 - Fail. To Keep Records Dist Drg | 101 | 23 | 22.8% |
| 2202 - Advertise Drug Paraphernalia | 487 | 141 | 29.0% |
| 2203 - Manu/Delv/Poss Control Subs. | 885 | 255 | 28.8% |
| 2205 - Use Of Communication Facility | 1 | 1 | 100.0% |
| 2214 - Poss W/I To Manufacture | 2 | 1 | 50.0% |
| 2215 - Possession Of Ephedrine | 2 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 2300 - DWI Offenses | 1 | 1 | 100.0% |
| 2301 - Viol Of Omb DWI Act 4th Offens | 60 | 11 | 18.3% |
| 2707 - Stalking | 3 | 2 | 66.7% |
| 2901 - Poss. Firearm Certain Person | 32 | 9 | 28.1% |
| 2902 - Criminal Use Prohibited Weapon | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 2904 - Criminal Poss. Of Explosives | 2 | 1 | 50.0% |
| 2907 - Poss. Of Firearm - School | 1 | 1 | 100.0% |
| 2909 - Furnish Deadly Weapon To Felon | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 3001 - Criminal Attempt | 38 | 12 | 31.6% |
| 3002 - Criminal Solicitation | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 3003 - Criminal Conspiracy | 21 | 7 | 33.3% |
| 3613 - Sex Offender Registration Vio. | 1 | 1 | 100.0% |
| 6503 - Fail To Stop Acc. W/Inj/Death | 6 | 2 | 33.3% |
| 6505 - Duty To Give Info & Render Aid | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 8001 - Discharge Firearm From Vehicle | 3 | 1 | 33.3% |
| Total | 6585 | 2742 | 41.6% |

Arkansas Department of Community Correction



PROBATION RECIDIVISM



August 2011 Report

Background and Methodology



The Department of Community Correction (DCC) has been measuring recidivism of offenders for several years as a performance measurement.

Recidivism

“Recidivism” means the return to incarceration in an Arkansas Department of Correction or Department of Community Correction community correctional facility other than a technical violator program within a three-year period.

Study Groups

This study is of offenders who had an intake for Probation or Probation Plus between July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2008. Offender records were reviewed for a three-year window of time after the intake date to determine whether they were re-incarcerated. Year boundaries conform to State fiscal years 2006, 2007, and 2008.

The initial term of incarnation is not counted as a recidivist event.

Release Cohorts

The fiscal year in which the offender’s release occurred is known as the release cohort. These groupings allow recidivism findings to be analyzed according to known circumstances, such as staffing changes, that could have had an impact on recidivism.

Probation Intake Cohort Years



Table 1

| | Number | Percent |
|-------|--------|---------|
| FY 06 | 9,402 | 34.6% |
| FY 07 | 9,115 | 33.5% |
| FY 08 | 8,668 | 31.9% |
| Total | 27,185 | 100% |

Probation Intake Cohort Years



Table 2
Study Group by Gender and Racial Demographics

| | Number | Percent |
|------------------------|--------|---------|
| Males | 19,435 | 71.5% |
| Females | 7,745 | 28.5% |
| Unknown/Missing | 5 | <0.1% |
| | | |
| Black | 8,159 | 30.0% |
| Cuban/Hispanic/Mexican | 1,051 | 3.9% |
| White | 17,739 | 65.3% |
| Other* | 236 | 0.9% |
| Total | 27,185 | 100% |

*Other includes Asian, Native American, and Unknown

Overall Probation Recidivism



The overall recidivism rate for the adult Probation population is 20.8%.

Table 3
Probation Recidivism

| | Number | Percent |
|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Non-recidivists | 21,525 | 79.2% |
| | | |
| Recidivists | 5,660 | 20.8% |
| | | |
| Total | 27,185 | 100% |

Probation Recidivism by Gender



Table 4

| Gender | Total Population | Percent of Population | Recidivists | Percent Recidivists |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Males | 19,435 | 71.5% | 4,521 | 23.3% |
| Females | 7,745 | 28.5% | 1,139 | 14.7% |
| Unknown or Missing | 5 | <0.1% | 0 | 0% |
| Total | 27,185 | 100% | 5,660 | 20.8% |

Probation Recidivism by Racial Demographics



Table 5

| | Total Population | Percent of Population | Recidivists | Percent of Recidivists |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Black | 8,159 | 30.0% | 1,843 | 32.6% |
| Cuban/ Hispanic/ Mexican | 1,051 | 3.9% | 129 | 2.3% |
| White | 17,739 | 65.3% | 3,653 | 64.5% |
| Other* | 236 | 0.9% | 35 | 0.6% |
| Total | 27,185 | 100% | 5,660 | 100% |

*Other includes Asian, Native American, Others and Unknown

Probation Recidivism by Cohort Years



Table 6

| | Number of Probationers | Percent of Probationers | Recidivists | Percent of Recidivists | Percent of Intakes Recidivated |
|-------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| FY 06 | 9402 | 34.6% | 1,977 | 34.9% | 21.0% |
| FY 07 | 9115 | 33.5% | 1,882 | 33.3% | 20.6 |
| FY 08 | 8668 | 31.9% | 1,801 | 31.8% | 20.8% |
| Total | 27,185 | 100% | 5,660 | 100% | 20.8% |

Probation Recidivism by Intake Cohort




Table 7

| Number of Recidivists | FY 06 | FY 07 | FY 08 | Total |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Within 1 st Year | 555 | 509 | 441 | 1,505 |
| Between 1 st and 2 nd Year | 833 | 734 | 783 | 2,350 |
| Between 2 nd and 3 rd Year | 589 | 639 | 577 | 1,805 |
| Total Recidivists | 1,977 | 1,882 | 1,801 | 5,660 |
| Total Probationers | 9,402 | 9,115 | 8,668 | 27,185 |
| Percent Recidivated | FY 06 | FY 07 | FY 08 | Total |
| Within 1 st Year | 5.9% | 5.6% | 5.1% | 5.5% |
| Between 1 st and 2 nd Year | 8.9% | 8.1% | 9.0% | 8.6% |
| Between 2 nd and 3 rd Year | 6.3% | 7.0% | 6.7% | 6.6% |
| Total Pct that Recidivated | 21.0% | 20.6% | 20.8% | 20.8% |

Probation Recidivism by Intake Cohort



Chart 1
Percent of Recidivists by Fiscal Year of Intake Cohort
By Time between Intake and Incarceration



Arkansas Department of Community Correction




PAROLE RECIDIVISM



August 2011 Report

Background and Methodology



The Department of Community Correction (DCC) has been measuring recidivism of offenders for several years as a performance measurement.

Recidivism

“Recidivism” means the return to incarceration in an Arkansas Department of Correction or Department of Community Correction community correctional facility other than a technical violator program within a three-year period.

Study Groups

This study is of offenders released from an Arkansas Department of Correction (ADC) or a DCC Community Correctional Center and who had an intake during fiscal years 2006, 2007 or 2008. Year boundaries conform to State fiscal years beginning July 1 and ending June 30. When two or more releases occurred in the study period, the earliest was chosen.

Release Cohorts

The fiscal year in which the offender’s release occurred is known as the release cohort. These groupings allow recidivism findings to be analyzed according to known circumstances, such as staffing changes, that could have had an impact on recidivism.

Parole Intake Cohort Years



Table 1

| | Number | Percent |
|-------|--------|---------|
| FY 06 | 7,271 | 36.1% |
| FY 07 | 6,626 | 32.9% |
| FY 08 | 6,228 | 31.0% |
| Total | 20,125 | 100% |

Parole Intake Cohort Years



Table 2
Study Group by Gender and Racial Demographics

| | Number | Percent |
|------------------------|--------|---------|
| Males | 17,134 | 85.1% |
| Females | 2,991 | 14.9% |
| | | |
| Black | 7,340 | 36.5% |
| Cuban/Hispanic/Mexican | 496 | 2.5% |
| White | 12,160 | 60.4% |
| Others | 129 | 0.6% |
| Total | 20,125 | 100% |

*Includes Asian, Native American, and Unknown

Overall Parole Recidivism



The overall recidivism rate for the adult parolee population is 25.2%.

Table 3

| | Number | Percent |
|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Non-recidivists | 15,049 | 74.8% |
| | | |
| Recidivists | 5,076 | 25.2% |
| | | |
| Total | 20,125 | 100% |

Parole Recidivism by Gender



Table 4

| | Total Population | Percent of Population | Recidivists | Percent Recidivated | Percent of Total Recidivists |
|---------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Males | 17,134 | 85.1% | 4,647 | 27.1% | 91.5% |
| Females | 2,991 | 14.9% | 429 | 14.3% | 8.5% |
| Total | 20,125 | 100% | 5,076 | 25.2% | 100% |

Parole Recidivism by Racial Demographics



Table 5

| | Total Population | Percent of Population | Recidivists | Percent of Recidivists |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Black | 7,340 | 36.5% | 2,196 | 43.3% |
| Cuban/ Hispanic/ Mexican | 496 | 2.5% | 76 | 1.5% |
| White | 12,160 | 60.4% | 2,768 | 54.5% |
| Other | 129 | 0.6% | 36 | 0.7% |
| Total | 20,125 | 100% | 5,076 | 100% |

*Includes Asian, Native American, and Unknown

Parole Recidivism by Cohort Years



Table 6

| | Number of Parolees | Percent of Parolees | Recidivists | Percent Recidivists | Percent Intakes Recidivated |
|-------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| FY 06 | 7,271 | 36.1% | 1,903 | 37.5% | 26.2% |
| FY 07 | 6,626 | 32.9% | 1,648 | 32.5% | 24.9% |
| FY 08 | 6,228 | 31.0% | 1,525 | 30.0% | 24.5% |
| Total | 20,125 | 100% | 5,076 | 100% | 25.2% |

Parolee Recidivism by Intake Cohort



| Number of Recidivists | FY 06 | FY 07 | FY 08 | Total |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Within 1 st Year | 520 | 427 | 392 | 1,339 |
| Between 1 st and 2 nd Year | 782 | 677 | 637 | 2,096 |
| Between 2 nd and 3 rd Year | 601 | 544 | 496 | 1,641 |
| Total Recidivists | 1,903 | 1,648 | 1,525 | 5,076 |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Total Parolees | 7,271 | 6,626 | 6,228 | 20,125 |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|


| Percent Recidivists | FY 06 | FY 07 | FY 08 | Total |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Within 1 st Year | 2.6% | 2.1% | 1.9% | 6.7% |
| Between 1 st and 2 nd Year | 3.9% | 3.4% | 3.2% | 10.4% |
| Between 2 nd and 3 rd Year | 3.0% | 2.7% | 2.0% | 8.2% |
| Total Pct that Recidivated | 9.5% | 8.2% | 7.6% | 25.2% |

Parole Recidivism by Intake Cohort



Chart 1

Percent of Recidivists by Fiscal Year of Intake Cohort
By Time between Intake and Incarceration



Arkansas Department of Community Correction



COMMUNITY CORRECTION CENTER RECIDIVISM



August 2011 Report

Study Groups, Cohorts, and Recidivism Definition



Study Groups

This study is of offenders released from a Department of Community Correction (DCC), Community Correctional Center in a three-year window of time to determine whether they have been re-incarcerated. Year boundaries conform to State fiscal years beginning in July and ending in June.

The 2010 center study included offenders who were released between July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2008. When two or more releases occurred in the study period, the earliest was chosen.

Release Cohorts

The fiscal year in which the offender's release occurred is known as the release cohort. These groupings allow recidivism findings to be analyzed according to known circumstances, such as staffing changes, that could have had an impact on recidivism.

Recidivism

Recidivism is defined as the return of an offender to incarceration in an ADC prison or a DCC community correctional facility other than a DCC technical violator program.

DCC has been measuring the return of offenders to incarceration in a correctional facility for several years as a measure of program performance.

Release Types



This report examines released offenders from Community Correctional Centers according to the reason for the offenders release. Offenders may be released in one of the 3 following categories:



- (1) The offender completed the term of confinement (referred to as a “Regular Release”), or
- (2) The offender met the release criteria established under Act 682 of 2005, commonly referred to as the Early Release Act:
 - offender was judicially transferred to the DCC; and
 - The offender successfully completed the CCC treatment program after at least 270 days, or
- (3) the offender was released for “other reasons” including Act 679 of 2005, Death, Detainer, and Discharged Sentences.

Center Releases By Gender and Fiscal Year



| Month | Male | Female | Total Releases |
|--------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| FY 06 | 1,175 (70.4%) | 498 (29.6%) | 1,673 (100%) |
| FY 07 | 1,191 (71.1%) | 493 (28.9%) | 1,684 (100%) |
| FY 08 | 1,099 (66.2%) | 562 (33.8%) | 1,661 (100%) |
| Total | 3,465 (69.1%) | 1,553 (30.9%) | 5,018 (100%) |

Center Releases By Gender and Fiscal Year




Releases by Type and Fiscal Year



| Fiscal Year | Regular Release | Act 682 Release | Other* | Total Releases |
|-------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| FY 06 | 1,030 (61.6%) | 589 (35.2%) | 54 (3.2%) | 1,673 (100%) |
| FY 07 | 1,002 (59.5%) | 637 (37.8%) | 45 (2.7%) | 1,684 (100%) |
| FY 08 | 702 (42.2%) | 923 (55.6%) | 36 (2.2%) | 1,661 (100%) |
| Total | 2,734 (54.5%) | 2,149 (42.8%) | 135 (2.7%) | 5,018 (100%) |

* Other includes Act 679 of 2005 releases, Deaths, Detainers, Discharged/Vacated Sentences and Transitional Living For Women discharges

Release Type by Fiscal Year





Recidivism Indicator by Fiscal Year of Release



| | Number of Non-Recidivists | Number of Recidivists | Percent Recidivists | Total |
|-------|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| FY 06 | 1,228 | 445 | 26.60% | 1,673 |
| FY 07 | 1,306 | 378 | 22.45% | 1,684 |
| FY 08 | 1,356 | 305 | 18.36% | 1,661 |
| Total | 3,890 | 1,128 | 22.48% | 5,018 |

Recidivism Designation in Percentages by Fiscal Year



Overall Recidivism



| | Number | Percent |
|---------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Total Number of Non-Recidivists | 3,890 | 77.5% |
| Recidivists | 1,128 | 22.5% |
| Total | 5,018 | 100% |

Recidivists by Re-Incarceration Location



| | Total Recidivists Returned to ADC | Total Recidivists Returned to DCC | Total Recidivists |
|-------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| FY 06 | 308 69% | 137 31% | 445 100% |
| FY 07 | 295 78% | 83 22% | 378 100% |
| FY 08 | 228 75% | 77 25% | 305 100% |
| Total | 831 74% | 297 26% | 1,128 100% |

Recidivists by Center




| | Total Released Offenders | Non-Recidivist | Recidivist | Percent Recidivists |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|
| Central AR CCC | 637 | 491 | 146 | 22.9% |
| NE AR CCC | 959 | 744 | 215 | 22.4% |
| NW AR CCC * | 138 | 116 | 22 | 15.9% |
| SE AR CCC * | 1,415 | 1,175 | 240 | 17.0% |
| SW AR CCC | 1,869 | 1,364 | 505 | 27.0% |
| Total | 5,018 | 3,890 | 1,128 | 22.5% |

•SEA CCC and NWA CCC are female facilities; the rest are male facilities.

Note: NWA CCC did not begin operation until April 2008. The first releases were in June 2008.

Percent of Recidivists by Center and Year



NWACCC and SEACCC are female facilities.

Note: NWA CCC did not begin operation until April 2008. The first release was in June 2008.

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY CORRECTION

Act 570 Earned Discharge Credits


| Month/Year | Eligible Offenders | Offenders Awarded | Offenders Denied | Total Days Awarded |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Aug-11 | 976 | 4 | 972 | 120 |
| Sep-11 | 1,223 | 740 | 483 | 22,200 |
| Oct-11 | 2,122 | 1,203 | 919 | 36,090 |
| Nov-11 | 2,956 | 1,417 | 1,539 | 42,510 |
| Dec-11 | 3,779 | 1,665 | 2,114 | 49,950 |
| Jan-12 | 4,532 | 1,964 | 2,568 | 58,920 |
| Feb-12 | 5,476 | 2,470 | 3,006 | 74,100 |
| Mar-12 | 6,423 | 2,948 | 3,475 | 88,440 |
| Apr-12 | 7,405 | 3,374 | 4,031 | 101,220 |
| May-12 | 8,377 | 3,671 | 4,706 | 110,130 |
| 12-Jun | 9,394 | 4,125 | 5,269 | 123,750 |
| 12-Jul | 10,319 | 4,654 | 5,665 | 139,620 |
| 12-Aug | 11,344 | 5,126 | 6,218 | 153,780 |
| Sep-12 | 12,384 | 5,776 | 6,608 | 173,280 |
| 12-Oct | 13,425 | 6,331 | 7,094 | 189,930 |
| 12-Nov | 14,287 | 7,249 | 7,038 | 217,470 |
| 12-Dec | 15,315 | 8,224 | 7,090 | 246,720 |
| Jan-13 | 15,998 | 8,251 | 7,747 | 247,530 |
| 13-Feb | 16,827 | 8,850 | 7,977 | 265,500 |
| Mar-13 | 17,644 | 9,333 | 8,311 | 279,990 |
| Apr-13 | 18,542 | 9,582 | 8,960 | 287,460 |
| May-13 | 19,315 | 9,716 | 9,599 | 291,480 |
| Jun-13 | 19,979 | 10,022 | 9,957 | 300,660 |
| Total EDC Days Awarded Since July 2011 | | | | 3,500,850 |

50% of EDC Eligible Clients were awarded EDCs in May 2013

42 EDC Closures in June 2013

342 Total EDC Closures since July 2011

Parolees Discharged While on Abscond Status by Fiscal Year



Number of Abscond/Delinquent Warrants Issued Per Offender Between 07/01/2009 -

Exhibit 16

06/30/2013 By Area 7 and Statewide

| #Warrants Per Offender | Area 7 Offenders | Percent Within Area 7 |
|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 1852 | 57.11% |
| 2 | 774 | 23.87% |
| 3 | 363 | 11.19% |
| 4 | 153 | 4.72% |
| 5 | 56 | 1.79% |
| 6 | 27 | 0.83% |
| 7 | 13 | 0.40% |
| 8 | 1 | 0.03% |
| 9 | 2 | 0.06% |
| 10 | 0 | 0.00% |
| 11 | 0 | 0.00% |
| 12 | 0 | 0.00% |
| 13 | 0 | 0.00% |
| 14 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Total | 3243 | |

| #Warrants Per Offender | Statewide | Percent Statewide (Includes Area 7) |
|------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | 6392 | 62.93% |
| 2 | 2362 | 23.25% |
| 3 | 930 | 9.16% |
| 4 | 325 | 3.20% |
| 5 | 91 | 0.90% |
| 6 | 38 | 0.37% |
| 7 | 15 | 0.15% |
| 8 | 1 | 0.01% |
| 9 | 3 | 0.03% |
| 10 | 0 | 0.00% |
| 11 | 0 | 0.00% |
| 12 | 0 | 0.00% |
| 13 | 0 | 0.00% |
| 14 | 1 | 0.01% |
| Total | 10158 | |

Number of warrants per offender issued by Area 7
Percent calculated for number of warrants issued within Area 7 per offender

Number of warrants per offender issued statewide
Percent calculated for number of warrants issued statewide per offender

- 1) The numbers include failure to report to office and absconders as defined by A.C.A. §5-54-131
- 2) The absconders as defined by § 5-54-131 can be reported separately once the Matrix is automated.
- 3) 2274 Parole absconders as of June 30, 2013

July 2010 – No records

August 2010 – No records

September 2010 – No charges dropped. All with new felony charges adjudicated.

October 2010 – No records

November 2010 – No records

December 2010

| | |
|---------------|-------------|
| Shawn Bradley | ADC# 550370 |
| Kenneth Hamm | ADC# 88896 |

January 2011

| | |
|-----------------|-------------|
| Sharvelt Mister | ADC# 120997 |
| Ronnie Tolbert | ADC# 106433 |
| Wayne Nance | ADC# 128629 |
| Howard Sanders | ADC# 129549 |

February 2011

| | |
|-------------------|-------------|
| Jesus Corral | ADC# 145535 |
| Cameron Claybaugh | ADC# 135199 |
| Janet Johnson | ADC# 709403 |
| Lisa Jones | ADC# 750164 |
| Dale Fitzgerald | ADC# 146144 |
| Dale Skidgel | ADC# 146766 |

FT. SMITH

March 2011

| | |
|-----------------|-------------|
| Marvin Phillips | ADC# 88497 |
| Thomas Lindley | ADC# 98846 |
| Michael Jones | ADC# 103627 |
| Victor Melancon | ADC# 651730 |

April 2011

| | |
|----------------|-------------|
| Thomas Watson | ADC# 132681 |
| Matthew Taylor | ADC# 131860 |

May 2011 - No charges dropped. All with new felony charges adjudicated.

June 2011

| | |
|------------|-------------|
| David Conn | ADC# 111679 |
|------------|-------------|

July 2011 – No one sent to ADC with new felony charges.

August 2011 – No one sent to ADC with new felony charges.

September 2011 – No charges dropped. All with new felony charges adjudicate.

October 2011

| | |
|----------------|-------------|
| Kalvin Edwards | ADC# 129002 |
| Robert Ball | ADC# 85298 |

November 2011

| | |
|-------------|-------------|
| Rodney Gist | ADC# 104573 |
|-------------|-------------|

December 2011 – No charges dropped. All with new felony charges adjudicated.

January 2012

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Barbara Gowers | ADC# 709404 |
| Joseph Stapleton | ADC# 147211 |
| Gary Smallwood victim) | ADC# 139073 (charges were nolle prossed due to not being able to contact |
| Christopher Adcock | ADC# 600967 |
| Jarod Ball | ADC# 144578 |

February 2012

| | |
|---------------|-------------|
| Wesley Casner | ADC# 135583 |
| Wesley Elmore | ADC# 114991 |

March 2012

| | |
|-----------------|-------------|
| Darrell Spencer | ADC# 652787 |
| Edward Graham | ADC# 119384 |

April 2012

| | |
|---------------|-------------|
| Billy Smith | ADC# 103357 |
| Lonnie Flurry | ADC# 88277 |

May 2012

| | |
|-----------------|-------------|
| Randy Treadaway | ADC# 600153 |
| Adrian Hunley | ADC# 149422 |

June 2012

| | |
|--------------------|-------------|
| Marlo Fantauzzi | ADC# 132678 |
| Seth Chowning | ADC# 653357 |
| William Strickland | ADC# 144821 |
| Johnny Demato | ADC# 150071 |

July 2012 - No charges dropped. All with new felony charges adjudicated.

August 2012

| | |
|--------------|-------------|
| Scott Kaylor | ADC# 134699 |
|--------------|-------------|

September 2012 - No charges dropped. All with new felony charges adjudicated.

October 2012

| | |
|---------------|-------------|
| William Jones | ADC# 133818 |
| Josh Gadway | ADC# 142367 |
| Derek Eisman | ADC# 551201 |

November 2012

| | |
|------------------|-------------|
| Jeffrey Thompson | ADC# 139380 |
|------------------|-------------|

December 2012 - No charges dropped. All with new felony charges adjudicated.

January 2013 - No charges dropped. All with new felony charges adjudicated.

February 2013

| | |
|----------------------|-------------|
| Christopher Williams | ADC# 142365 |
| Mark Jackson | ADC# 132063 |
| Eugene Cupp | ADC# 090439 |
| Christopher Coker | ADC# 137451 |
| Charles Glass | ADC# 654676 |

March 2013

| | |
|-----------------|-------------|
| Matthew Lephiew | ADC# 139761 |
|-----------------|-------------|

April 2013 – No records

May 2013

| | |
|------------------|-------------|
| Michael Perrault | ADC# 136059 |
| Gregory Marshall | ADC# 658759 |
| Kenneth Rowan | ADC# 132115 |
| Gary Knotts | ADC# 138372 |
| Mark Cullen | ADC# 146398 |
| Justin Spencer | ADC# 655997 |

June 2013

| | |
|-----------------|-------------|
| John Cole | ADC# 652496 |
| Justus Passmore | ADC# 102455 |



Arkansas Department of Community Correction

409 North Rosser, Suite B

Forrest City, Arkansas

72335

870-630-1667 Fax: 870-630-1746

TO: MR. DAN ROBERTS, DEPUTY DIRECTOR

FROM: FRED HOUSTON, AAM AREA 9

DATE: 07/23/2013

RE: PAROLE REVOCATIONS BASED ON NEW CHARGES THAT WERE LATER DISMISSED

The following offenders had their Parole Revoked within the last three years and the charges were later dismissed/Noile-prossed:

| NAME | ADC NUMBER |
|---------------------|------------|
| AVANCE, TOMMY | 134057 |
| PARCHMAN, SHURRELLE | 137223 |
| JONES, PATRICK | 141180 |
| BLUE, RONNIE JR | 135723 |
| HAWKINS, KENNETH | 087271 |
| LACY, MICAH | 141183 |
| DAWSON, ANDREW | 139195 |
| COOK, GREGORY | 104028 |
| GREER, JOHN | 104228 |
| DANIELS, ANTHONY | 148888 |
| ALLEN, KEVIN | 145500 |
| FARMER, GREG | 123090 |
| SMITH, ANTONIO | 108884 |
| GREGORY, FRANKLIN | 116250 |
| NICKSON, TIMOTHY | 153877 |
| THOMAS, DONTE | 124087 |

Respectfully submitted,


Fred Houston

"We provide opportunities for positive change."

Dan Roberts

From: Cindy Richardson
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2013 2:57 PM
To: Dan Roberts
Subject: RE:

I only have one that I can find:

Jeremy Brunson ADC#134439

Thanks

From: Dan Roberts
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2013 7:43 AM
To: DCC Area Supervisors; DCC Assistant Area Supervisors
Subject:

Please send me names of any revocations that were completed based on new charges and the charges were dropped after the hearings were done and the offender was sent to ADC. Just for the last three years. Names and ADC/PID numbers. Thanks.

Dan Roberts

From: Jerry Bradshaw
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2013 8:34 AM
To: Dan Roberts
Cc: Dana Otto; Elizabeth Carter
Subject: Area 6 - Charges Dropped

Phillip Housley PID 1100442 ADC 100442

Bobby Hood PID 13306 ADC 95148

Gary Hicks PID 134551 ADC 600446

Jerry Bradshaw
Area 6 Parole/Probation Manager
707 Robins Street, Suite 102
Conway, AR 72034

From: Dan Roberts
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2013 7:43 AM
To: DCC Area Supervisors; DCC Assistant Area Supervisors
Subject:

Please send me names of any revocations that were completed based on new charges and the charges were dropped after the hearings were done and the offender was sent to ADC. Just for the last three years. Names and ADC/PID numbers. Thanks.

Dan Roberts

From: Elizabeth Taylor
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2013 3:02 PM
To: Dan Roberts
Subject: Revocations to ADC

Area 3

Ricky Roddy ADC#99740/PID#16993. Revoked to ADC on 1/11/12 for Battery 2nd, Fleeing and Resisting Arrest and technical violations. The criminal charges were nolle prossed by the prosecutor on 6/21/13. Jackson County.

Darin Durham ADC#135017/PID#169182. Revoked to ADC on 10/19/12 for misdemeanor Domestic Battery 3rd and technical violations. The criminal charges were nolle prossed by the prosecutor on 10/24/12. Jackson County.

This should be all for Area 3. Thanks ET

From: Dan Roberts
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2013 7:43 AM
To: DCC Area Supervisors; DCC Assistant Area Supervisors
Subject:

Please send me names of any revocations that were completed based on new charges and the charges were dropped after the hearings were done and the offender was sent to ADC. Just for the last three years. Names and ADC/PID numbers. Thanks.

Dan Roberts

From: Casey Jackson
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2013 11:30 AM
To: Dan Roberts
Cc: Kristi Constant; Ray Hunter
Subject: RE:

Area 10

Lewondowski, Nicholas ADC 128689/PID 142598
Duddles, Landon ADC 130933/PID 136124

From: Dan Roberts
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2013 7:43 AM
To: DCC Area Supervisors; DCC Assistant Area Supervisors
Subject:

Please send me names of any revocations that were completed based on new charges and the charges were dropped after the hearings were done and the offender was sent to ADC. Just for the last three years. Names and ADC/PID numbers. Thanks.

Dan Roberts

From: Amanda Clift-Jordan
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2013 9:51 AM
To: Dan Roberts
Subject: RE:

Larry Milner, Sr PID#193833/ADC#141484
Larry Milner, Jr PID#193830/ADC#141483
Joseph Dansby PID#34848/ADC#111456


Thanks,

Amanda Clift-Jordan
Area Manager, Area 12
601 Hazel, Suite 6
Texarkana, AR 71854
(870) 779-2012 office
(870) 397-0116 cell

From: Dan Roberts
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2013 7:43 AM
To: DCC Area Supervisors; DCC Assistant Area Supervisors
Subject:

Please send me names of any revocations that were completed based on new charges and the charges were dropped after the hearings were done and the offender was sent to ADC. Just for the last three years. Names and ADC/PID numbers. Thanks.

Department of Community Corrections Community Service Hours – FY2013




**County Parole Work Crew; 463 Population - 18,520 Hours

**Litter Crew; 748 Population - 12,238 Hours

**Community Service Hour; Total 55,256

** Offenders are either supervised by a DCC employee or a supervisor from an agency to which the worker is assigned. For example, the County Parole Work Crew is supervised by the Road Department in Benton County and other counties use the road department or another department within local government. The Highway Litter Crew is supervised either by a DCC officer or the Highway Department. Regular community service is either a result of a conversion in lieu of supervision fees that has been arranged by the supervision officer or by sanction from the Circuit Judge in a probation. These offenders are supervised by a DCC officer or a supervisor of a non-profit organization to which the offender has been assigned.

Number of Times Offender Admitted to TVP FY08 - FY13



| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 and greater |
|-----------|------|------|-----|----|---------------|
| ■ Males | 5638 | 1460 | 395 | 66 | 11 |
| ■ Females | 743 | 153 | 41 | 10 | 0 |

DFE Fidelity Review: Saline County, Arkansas

Pepperdine University

July 11, 2013

The key features of HOPE are swift, certain, and parsimonious sanctions delivered in response to probation violations. This report provides a brief summary of Saline County's performance on key HOPE fidelity measures. The results shown here reflect the site's data from the launch of HOPE through June 30, 2013.

Brief Overview

Enrolled in HOPE: 93

Number of warning hearings held: 39

Number of supervised UAs: 1,717

Number of sanctionable UAs*: 43

Percentage sanctionable UAs*: 2.5%

Number of lab confirmations required: 19

Number of probationers rewarded with less frequent testing: 46

Number of probationers who violated: 59

Number of violation hearings held: 132

Number of violation hearings held within 3 days of violation: 84

Number of subjects currently absconded**: 3

***"Sanctionable UAs" include positive results on a drug test (without an approved prescription), evidence of tampering, and failure to provide a specimen, and exclude positive results with an admission at the time of the warning hearing.*

*** "Absconded" is defined as on an open bench warrant, at least three days post-violation.*

A. Randomization to Warning Hearing

We encourage sites to schedule subjects for their warning hearings as soon after randomization as possible. In the original HOPE evaluation, subjects who failed to appear for their warning hearing were responsible for a disproportionate share of the HOPE failures (serious new crimes and probation revocations).

We track the number of days between randomization and appearing for a warning hearing and investigate further if the number exceeds seven days.


Arkansas is moving their subjects into warning hearings very quickly. The mean number of days = 3.5 days (influence by one outlier described below) and 75% of their subjects appeared for their WH within two days. We consider Arkansas to be performing well on this measure. The one outlier for Arkansas (a subject who waited 33 days before their WH), was delayed as his sentence had included an incarceration period. He was sent to his WH on release. We need to

confirm that RTI's records show that the jail time served for this offender was for an offense that *pre-dated* the HOPE study [Arkansas State ID = 172191].

B. Warrants

28 subjects (30% of the HOPE caseload) have had a bench warrant issued in Saline. Figure 1 shows the distribution of warrants served. 70% of the HOPE caseload has not had a warrant issued.

Figure 1. Distribution of Number of Warrants Issued



A key fidelity measure in assessing "swiftness" of delivering HOPE sanctions is the number of days between a warrant being issued and law enforcement serving that warrant. Expedited warrant service is crucial to HOPE implementation. Our target fidelity benchmark is 75% of subjects served within one week. Figure 2 shows the days between a warrant being issued and the date of arrest. Outliers (offenders on abscond status longer than is typical) are marked with a heavy dot. The average number of days between a warrant being issued and a warrant being served is 11 (this average is heavily influenced by those subjects who have remained at large for long periods). The "typical" (or median) subject is served within 4 days, and 75% of all subjects have their warrants served within 7 days. Arkansas is conforming with expectations for the benchmark for warrant service, but this fidelity metric should be monitored closely as the days between warrant issue and warrant service have increased over the course of the rollout, such that the site might soon be considered non-conforming on this measure.

We also measure the swiftness of response from the perspective of the court. One court measure is the days from arrest to probation-violation hearing. Our benchmark of success is 75% of subjects appear before the HOPE judge within three days of arrest. Arkansas performs outstandingly well on this measure: 75% of subjects appeared before the HOPE judge within two days.

In order to implement HOPE with fidelity, each detected violation should be met with a sanction. A key fidelity measure is the number of probationers who have absconded. Probationers who violate and are not held accountable do not benefit from the certainty of a fair and modest sanction. Arkansas currently has only three probationers absconded. Two of these have been at large for over two months. While we consider Arkansas to be performing well on this measure, expedited warrant service will help enforce the certainty of sanctions in response to violations.

A key component of the HOPE model is the proportionality of sanctions. Each violation should receive a sanction commensurate with the severity of the violation. A probationer who makes the decision to take the “next right step,” either by admission or voluntary surrender, should be rewarded with a shorter sanction than one who continues to do the “wrong” thing.

91

subjects) is 12. Average number of jail days for those who have received at least one jail sanction is 21. Sanctions have been reviewed and seem reasonable. Arkansas is performing well in regards to the proportionality of sanctions imposed.

F. Use of Treatment Resources


Because HOPE can be considered a "triage" tool to distinguish between offenders who are able to desist from drug use on their own and those who need treatment, it is important that treatment resources are used wisely.

Description of Drug Use

- Number of Supervised UAs: 1,717
- Number of Sanctionable UAs: 43
- Positive Test Rate: 2.5%

32 subjects have tested positive for an illicit drug (percent of subjects that tested positive = $32/93 = 34\%$)

Figure 3. Distribution of Number of Positive UAs



We track the number of probationers sent to either inpatient or outpatient treatment, based on their violation history.


- Number sent to outpatient treatment: 5 (percent of total in program = $5/93 = 5.4\%$)
- Number sent to inpatient treatment: 12 (percent of total in program = $12/93 = 12.9\%$)
- Percentage of caseload referred to drug treatment = 18.3%

Arkansas is performing well at meeting HOPE's triage standards. Median is three violations prior to treatment being ordered. Two outliers were ordered to inpatient treatment after just one violation, however this is not necessarily seen as a fidelity failure, as we don't have knowledge of how these probationers presented. We support expedited referrals to treatment, when warranted. We consider Arkansas to be using HOPE as a "triage tool" and they are allocating their treatment resources well.

G. Violation Rate over Time

An indicator of whether offenders are learning to change behavior under HOPE is if the overall violation rate decreases over time. Figure 4 shows the change in violation rate over time. The average monthly violation rate in 2012 was 40%; in 2013 this had fallen to 20%.

Figure 4. Violation Rate, by Month



In addition to probation violations, five subjects have picked up new charges.

Overall, Arkansas can be proud of their implementation efforts. With a bit more focus on their warrant service, Saline County can boast a near-perfect HOPE replication.

ADDENDUM

TO: SHEILA SHARP
FROM: JPR COMMITTEE MEMBERS
DATE: JULY 31, 2013
RE: ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS and ANSWERS

Q. What is the current industry standard cost per bed to construct a prison?

A. This should come from ADC

ADC Response: Best number is from the Southern Legislative Conference's report of 2012. It has the average cost per bed for medium security is \$82,979. That said, maximum security construction costs more. In South Carolina, the cost of max beds is listed at \$147,000 each and minimum is at \$47,900

Q. Do you have the number of parolee incidents that have involved murder similar to the Darrell Dennis matter?

A. The number of parolees convicted of murder while under supervision the two years prior to Act 570 was 46, and 24 the two years' post Act 570. I don't believe there are any similar to the circumstances, but I asked our Internal Affairs Administrator to do a critical incident review on each.

Q. Provide a breakdown of the number of parole officers and their caseloads for the Little Rock area. Names aren't necessary.

A. See attached spreadsheet on Pulaski County Caseloads.

Q. Of those cases, which are high risk?

A. (see spreadsheet)

Q. What is the turnover rate of parole officers in the Little Rock area?

A. See Area 7 Turnover spreadsheet - reflects turnover of PPO's of 50% - 48.9% for all positions.

Q. How many positions are open, how many gone unfilled for an extended amount of time, and any positions that will come open in the next month or two due to resignations, retirement, firings?

A. As of July 30, 2013, Area 7 has a total of 88 Parole/Probation Officer (PPO) positions. There are 21 vacant PPO positions; however, this number could fluctuate each bi-weekly pay period due to new hires, rehires, transfers, terminations, and retirements. The breakdown of the 21 positions are as follows:

- * 4 positions are currently in the process of being filled. Applicants have been selected and are in the process completing paperwork (background checks, etc.)

- * 11 positions closed on July 29, 2013, and are in the process of narrowing down qualified applicants in preparation for interviews.

- * 6 position close on August 9, 2013

10 of the PPO's are in addition to what LR had last fiscal year. In addition, two new assistant area manager positions have been advertised and two Area Manager positions - one new and one as a result of a demotion. Next Monday, an Assistant Director of Probation and Parole Services will be advertised.

This week we have learned that there are three additional officers that have submitted their resignation as they found other employment.



Arkansas Department of Community Correction

*Two Union National Plaza Building
105 West Capitol, 2nd Floor
Little Rock, AR 72201
Telephone #: (501) 682-9510*

*"Service with Integrity
& Excellence"*

TO: Sheila Sharp, DCC Interim Director

FROM: Chad Brown, Human Resources Administrator

RE: ***Parole/Probation Officer Position Request***

DATE: 7/30/2013

As of July 30, 2013, Area 7 has a total of 88 Parole/Probation Officer (PPO) positions. There are 21 vacant PPO positions; however, this number could fluctuate each bi-weekly pay period due to new hires, rehires, transfers, terminations, and retirements. The breakdown of the 21 positions are as follows:

- 4 positions are currently in the process of being filled. Applicants have been selected and are in the process completing paperwork (background checks, etc...)
- 11 positions closed on July 29, 2013, and are in the process of narrowing down qualified applicants in preparation for interviews
- 6 position closes on August 9, 2013.

(100)

Fiscal Year 2013

| Total Turnover for Area 7 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------|--|--|
| Quarterly | Monthly | Pay Periods | Total Positions | Total Filled Positions | Total Turnovers | Total Turnover % | | | |
| 1 | July | 14 | 113 | 17 | 96 | 1 | 1.04% | | |
| | | 15 | 113 | 16 | 97 | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| | August | 16 | 106 | 9 | 97 | 1 | 1.03% | | |
| | | 17 | 109 | 12 | 97 | 1 | 1.03% | | |
| | September | 18 | 109 | 14 | 95 | 4 | 4.21% | | |
| 2 | October | 19 | 109 | 16 | 93 | 3 | 3.23% | | |
| | | 20 | 109 | 16 | 93 | 2 | 2.15% | | |
| | November | 21 | 113 | 19 | 94 | 2 | 2.13% | | |
| | | 22 | 113 | 21 | 92 | 2 | 2.17% | | |
| | December | 23 | 111 | 17 | 94 | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| 3 | January | 24 | 111 | 16 | 95 | 2 | 2.11% | | |
| | | 25 | 111 | 13 | 98 | 1 | 1.02% | | |
| | February | 26 | 111 | 14 | 97 | 1 | 1.03% | | |
| | | 1 | 111 | 14 | 97 | 2 | 2.06% | | |
| | March | 2 | 111 | 12 | 99 | 1 | 1.01% | | |
| 4 | April | 3 | 111 | 13 | 98 | 2 | 2.04% | | |
| | | 4 | 116 | 20 | 96 | 2 | 2.08% | | |
| | May | 5 | 116 | 23 | 93 | 2 | 2.15% | | |
| | | 6 | 116 | 24 | 92 | 3 | 3.26% | | |
| | June | 7 | 116 | 27 | 89 | 2 | 2.25% | | |
| 112.73077 17.80769231 94.9230769 46 48.90% | | | | | | | | | |

| Total Turnover for PPO's in Area 7 | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--|
| Total PPO Positions | Total PPO's Vacant | Total Filled PPO Positions | Total PPO Turnovers | Total PPO Turnover % | |
| 74 | 13 | 61 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| 74 | 12 | 62 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| 69 | 6 | 63 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| 72 | 9 | 63 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| 72 | 9 | 63 | 3 | 4.76% | |
| 72 | 9 | 63 | 1 | 1.59% | |
| 72 | 10 | 62 | 1 | 1.61% | |
| 76 | 14 | 62 | 2 | 3.23% | |
| 76 | 15 | 61 | 1 | 1.64% | |
| 74 | 12 | 62 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| 74 | 12 | 62 | 2 | 3.23% | |
| 77 | 9 | 68 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| 74 | 10 | 64 | 1 | 1.56% | |
| 74 | 10 | 64 | 1 | 1.56% | |
| 74 | 10 | 64 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| 74 | 11 | 63 | 2 | 3.17% | |
| 78 | 17 | 61 | 1 | 1.64% | |
| 78 | 18 | 60 | 2 | 3.33% | |
| 78 | 22 | 56 | 3 | 5.36% | |
| 78 | 22 | 56 | 1 | 1.79% | |
| 78 | 23 | 55 | 3 | 5.45% | |
| 78 | 18 | 60 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| 78 | 15 | 63 | 4 | 6.35% | |
| 78 | 16 | 62 | 2 | 3.23% | |
| 78 | 16 | 62 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| 78 | 11 | 67 | 0 | 0.00% | |
| 75.307692 13.42307692 61.88461538 30 49.50% | | | | | |

| Maximum Level | Medium Level | Low Level | Total | Other Status |
|---------------|--------------|-----------|-------|----------------|
| 1 | 27 | 204 | 232 | |
| 6 | 62 | 45 | 113 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 | 5 | 196 | 202 | |
| 1 | 1 | 12 | 13 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 300 Absconders |
| 0 | 0 | 110 | 110 | |
| 4 | 53 | 10 | 67 | |
| 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 236 | 235 Absconders |
| 0 | 6 | 68 | 74 | |
| 5 | 36 | 42 | 83 | |
| 4 | 18 | 2 | 24 | |
| 0 | 30 | 165 | 195 | |
| 1 | 44 | 8 | 55 | |
| 0 | 9 | 190 | 199 | |
| 2 | 77 | 30 | 109 | |
| 11 | 84 | 32 | 127 | |
| 0 | 18 | 128 | 146 | |
| 2 | 68 | 32 | 102 | |
| 1 | 32 | 85 | 118 | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 9 | 50 | 43 | 102 | |
| 0 | 49 | 15 | 64 | |
| 1 | 72 | 2 | 75 | |
| 1 | 24 | 179 | 204 | |
| 0 | 12 | 181 | 193 | |
| 6 | 66 | 39 | 111 | |
| 4 | 36 | 74 | 114 | |
| 0 | 6 | 7 | 13 | |
| 10 | 52 | 22 | 84 | |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | | 716 Absconders |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

| | | | | | | Sex Offender Aftercare |
|----|----|-----|--|-----|--|------------------------|
| 0 | 71 | 5 | | 76 | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | |
| 7 | 46 | 166 | | 219 | | |
| 2 | 7 | 1 | | 10 | | |
| 0 | 3 | 130 | | 133 | | |
| 0 | 71 | 6 | | 77 | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | |
| 2 | 34 | 173 | | 209 | | |
| 1 | 39 | 22 | | 62 | | |
| 2 | 25 | 65 | | 92 | | |
| 4 | 74 | 24 | | 102 | | |
| 1 | 6 | 178 | | 185 | | |
| 7 | 7 | 167 | | 181 | | |
| 1 | 56 | 160 | | 217 | | |
| 1 | 12 | 76 | | 89 | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | |
| 0 | 44 | 23 | | 67 | | |
| 9 | 43 | 25 | | 77 | | |
| 1 | 12 | 204 | | 217 | | |
| 5 | 67 | 45 | | 117 | | |
| 1 | 10 | 103 | | 114 | | |
| 0 | 14 | 193 | | 207 | | |
| 7 | 56 | 33 | | 96 | | |
| 0 | 0 | 173 | | 173 | | |
| 0 | 1 | 181 | | 183 | | |
| 14 | 65 | 20 | | 99 | | |
| 0 | 4 | 140 | | 144 | | |
| 3 | 22 | 19 | | 44 | | |
| 0 | 0 | 182 | | 182 | | |
| 2 | 48 | 55 | | 105 | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | |
| 0 | 2 | 190 | | 192 | | |
| 9 | 30 | 183 | | 222 | | |
| 5 | 69 | 31 | | 105 | | |