

124 WEST CAPITOL AVENUE

Re:

SUITE 400

LITTLE ROCK, AR 72201

### RECEIVED

JAN 17 2014

January 16, 2014 LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH

**APERS Regulation 204** 

Donna K. Davis
Administrative Rules Review Section
Arkansas Legislative Council
Bureau of Legislative Research
One Capitol Mall, Fifth Floor
Little Rock, AR 72201

Dear Ms Davis:

I am writing to submit two copies of the questionnaire and financial impact statement for APERS Regulation 204, along with a copy of the regulation itself, which was adopted by APERS's Board of Trustees. It was intended to become effective on March 1, 2014. I have also enclosed two extra copies for Matthew to transmit to the Retirement Subcommittee, should that be necessary.

It will be published in the Arkansas Democrat-Gazette on January 17-19, 2014. It was transmitted electronically to John Davidson at the Secretary of State on today's date. The public comment period will expire February 20, 2014. As of today's date, we have received no comment from the public about it. The public hearing on it will be held at 10:00 a.m. on February 21, 2014 in the APERS Board of Directors conference room, which is located at the above address.

Please let me know if you have further questions about this (682-7856). I would appreciate your advising me when this is put on the calendar for hearing. Thank you.

Cordially,

Frank J. Wills, III General Counsel

FJW/wls enclosure

		•	
		·	
·			

# QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES AND REGULATIONS WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL AND JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE

اء

D	EPARTMENT/AGENCY AZE. PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREME	ENT SYSTE	en ("APERS")
D	IVISION <u>V/A</u>		
D)	IVISION DIRECTOR CALL H. STOUE		
C	ONTACT PERSON FRANK J. WILLS ("JAY")		
A)	DDRESS 124 W. CAPITOL AVE., LITTLE RO		
Ρŀ	HONE NO. SOI-682-7800 FAX NO. SOI-682-7825E-MA	IL Jay u	sills@arkauses.go
N	AME OF PRESENTER AT COMMITTEE MEETING	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
PΙ	RESENTER E-MAIL		
	INSTRUCTIONS		
В.	Please make copies of this form for future use.  Please answer each question completely using layman terms. You may necessary.  If you have a method of indexing your rules, please give the proposed of this Rule" below.  Submit two (2) copies of this questionnaire and financial impact statem of two (2) copies of the proposed rule and required documents. Mail of	itation after ient attache	"Short Title d to the front
	Donna K. Davis Administrative Rules Review Section Arkansas Legislative Council Bureau of Legislative Research One Capitol Mall, 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor Little Rock, AR 72201	OF RESEARCH	
**	***********************	*****	*****
1.	What is the short title of this rule? Computation of Benefits for Concurrent Service in APERS (Reg. 204)	r Meubei	s with
2.	What is the subject of the proposed rule? How to compute beneather who receive enhanced service credit.	fits of A	PEAS members
3.	Is this rule required to comply with a federal statute, rule, or regulation?	Yes 🗌	No 🔀
	If yes, please provide the federal rule, regulation, and/or statute citation.	No	
4.	Was this rule filed under the emergency provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act?	Yes 🗌	No 🛛
	If yes, what is the effective date of the emergency rule?		
	When does the emergency rule expire?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Will this emergency rule be promulgated under the permanent provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act?	f Yes [	No 🗌

5. Is this a new rule? Yes No X  If yes, please provide a brief summary explaining the regulation.
Does this repeal an existing rule? Yes No
Is this an amendment to an existing rule? Yes No No No summary of the If yes, please attach a mark-up showing the changes in the existing rule and a summary of the substantive changes. Note: The summary should explain what the amendment does, and the mark-up copy should be clearly labeled "mark-up."
6. Cite the state law that grants the authority for this proposed rule? If codified, please give the Arkansas Code citation 24-4-105(b)(i)
7. What is the purpose of this proposed rule? Why is it necessary? To ensure that retiree benefits are calculated in compliance with law. Prevents benefit "spiking"
8. Please provide the address where this rule is publicly accessible in electronic form via the Internet as required by Arkansas Code § 25-19-108(b).  www.apers.org/docs/pubs/APERS_BR_2013.pdf
9. Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule? Yes X No
If yes, please complete the following:
Date: 2/21/2014
Time: 10:00 a.w.
Place: APERS conference room
10. When does the public comment period expire for permanent promulgation? (Must provide a date.)
•
11. What is the proposed effective date of this proposed rule? (Must provide a date.)
3/1/2014
12. Do you expect this rule to be controversial? Yes \(\sumsymbol{\text{No}}\) No \(\sumsymbol{\text{X}}\)
If yes, please explain
- Alexander of the second seco
13. Please give the names of persons, groups, or organizations that you expect to comment on these rules?  Please provide their position (for or against) if known.
None known or anticipated

#### FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

## RECEIVED

### PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

JAN 17 2014

DE	PAR	TMENT _	APERS		<u> RUR</u>	FAU OF			
nr	VISIO	N	u I A	L	<u>.EGISLATI\</u>	<u>VE RESEA</u> RCH			
PE	RSO	V COMPLETI	ING THIS STATEM	MENT FRANK J. WILL	<u>.s</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
TE	LEPI	HONE NO. 5 <u>0</u>	11-682-785LFAX ]	NO. <u>501-482-7825</u> EMAIL: 10	y willse	irkuuses. gov			
$T_{\alpha}$	comi	nly with Ark. C	lode Ann. δ 25-15 <b>-</b> 20	4(e), please complete the followin ition aire and proposed rules.					
SF	IORT	TITLE OF T	HIS RULE COMP	ed rule have a financial impact?	ubers with	Coscurent			
1.	Doe	s this proposed,	Yes 🔲	No 🔀					
2.	econ	omic, or other	the best reasonably of evidence and information nces of, and alternation	obtainable scientific, technical, ation available concerning the ves to the rule?	Yes 🖾	No 🗌			
3.	In co	onsideration of agency to be the	the alternatives to thi e least costly rule con	is rule, was this rule determined by sidered?	Yes 🔀	No 🔲			
	If an	n agency is proposing a more costly rule, please state the following:							
	(a)	How the addit		more costly rule justify its addition					
	(b)	The reason for	r adoption of the mor	re costly rule;					
	(c)	if so, please ex	xplain: and:	sed on the interests of public healt					
	(d)	explain.	eason is within the so	cope of the agency's statutory auth					
4.	If the	e purpose of this rule is to implement a federal rule or regulation, please state the following:							
	(a)	What is the cost to implement the federal rule or regulation? $N/A$							
	Cu	rrent Fiscal Yo	ear_	Next Fiscal Year					
	Fed Cas Spe	neral Revenue leral Funds sh Funds ecial Revenue ner (Identify)		Federal Funds Cash Funds					

	Total	Total
	(b) What is the additional cost of the state rule?	N/A
	Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year
	General Revenue	General Revenue
	Federal Funds	Federal Funds
	Cash Funds	Cash Funds
	Special Revenue	Special Revenue
	Other (Identify)	Other (Identify)
	Total	Total
<u>Cı</u> \$	urrent Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year \$
6.	What is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state implement this rule? Is this the cost of the program of affected.	, county, and municipal government to r grant? Please explain how the governmen
	implement this rule? Is this the cost of the program of	, county, and municipal government to or grant? Please explain how the government or which was a second of the sec
<u>Cı</u>	implement this rule? Is this the cost of the program of affected.	Next Fiscal Year    Next Fiscal Year   \$     and #6 above, is there a new or increased of (\$100,000) per year to a private individual, anty government, municipal government, or     Yes

- (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;
- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;  $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{A}$
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and N/A
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:

(a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;

(b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and

(c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.

NIA

		·	
,			

## REGULATION 204 - COMPUTATION OF BENEFITS FOR MEMBERS WITH CONCURRENT SERVICE IN APERS

#### SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT CHANGE TO REGULATION 204

The amendment to APERS Regulation 204, Computation of Benefits for Members with Concurrent Service in APERS, makes it explicit that the retirement benefits attributable to concurrent service, where one class of service receives enhanced credit, are calculated separately for each class of service, with the total benefit being the sum of the two classes of service benefits.

This change makes it clear that enhanced service credit cannot be used to "spike," or to artificially enhance regular service credits. Under this amendment, the benefit attributable to each class of service is calculated separately and the retiree's total benefit is the sum of the two.

RECEIVED

JAN 17 2014

BUREAU OF LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH

•			·	
	·		*	
		•		

MARK-UP

**REGULATION 204** - COMPUTATION OF BENEFITS FOR MEMBERS WITH CONCURRENT SERVICE IN APERS

In accordance with the authority provided in A.C.A. 24-4-105(b)(1) and 24-4-521 the APERS Board of Trustees has determined that benefits for members with concurrent service within APERS, where a portion of the service is credited at more than one for one (i.e., elected or public safety), shall be computed as follows. A benefit will be calculated separately for service that results in public safety and/or elected credit based on the credited service and final average salary for the entirety of that service. A benefit will then be computed for all regular service based on the regular service and the final average salary for that service. The benefit computed for each type of service will be added together to obtain the total benefit.

RECEIVED

JAN 17 2014

BUREAU OF LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH