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June 27, 2016
Board of Trustees and Governing Body
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Ladies and Gentlemen:
This report presents the results of our actuarial valuation of the assets and liabilities of the Pine Bluff Police Pension Fund as of December 31, 2015. This report is intended for use by the trustees of the Pension Fund as well as the Governing Body (city council or board of directors) of the sponsoring city or district.

This valuation is required by Arkansas Code Annotated 24-11-205. The purpose of this report is to (1) evaluate the actuarial status of the Fund, (2) determine the level contribution requirement needed, (3) review the development of the Fund over the past several years, and (4) present certain actuarial items for disclosure under Governmental Accounting Standards. This report is not intended for any other purpose.

The implementation of Government Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 68 (GASB 68) is included in this report for the first time. The Appendices added to this report disclose the necessary items for GASB Standards.

A second page has been added to this cover letter, beginning this year, showing four key valuation results that provide a snapshot of the health of this pension fund. Please review this information.

The computer programs were converted beginning with this valuation. This allows the funding and GASB liabilities to be calculated using same computer methods. There are some minor formatting changes from previous years. A small decrease in funding liabilities due to the application of spouse mortality rates is treated as an experience gain.

The participant and financial information used in this report was supplied by the Arkansas Fire \& Police Pension Review Board. We did not audit this information, although we did review it for reasonableness and consistency.

I certify that this report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. In my opinion, the actuarial methods used are appropriate and the actuarial assumptions produce results which, in the aggregate, are reasonable.

Sincerely,


Jody Carreiro, ASA, MAAA, EA
Actuary

In consultation with the Arkansas Fire and Police Pension Review Board, we have brought four key valuation metrics to the front of this report. These metrics were chosen in order to give a high-level, easy-to-understand snapshot of your plan's health. These numbers should be taken in context: no single metric gives a complete picture of the status of the plan, and the four of them together do not completely describe the plan's financial and demographic situation. We hope this

| Indicator | Risk Level |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 | Extreme Risk |
| 4 | High Risk |
| 3 | Moderate Risk |
| 2 | Low Risk |
| 1 | Very Low Risk | information leads to constructive discussion about your plan and its health.

$\qquad$

The funding percentage measures how much of the plan's liabilities are covered by assets. It's similar to how much equity you might have in your home with a mortgage - if the funded percentage is $100 \%$, then your pension plan is fully funded or "paid for," assuming that experience is as expected in the future.

## 10-Year Average Market Rate of <br> Return

5.60\%

## 2

This number is your investment rate of return, accounting for benefit payments and expenses which occur throughout the year. This valuation assumes that the plan will earn $5 \%$ over the long term; the risk indicated by this metric shows how your plan has performed relative to that assumption historically.

## Ratio of Actual to Actuarially Recommended Contributions



As your plan is closed to new entrants and most (if not all) participants are retired, the plan should be nearly fully funded. For that reason, it is advisable to pay off any unfunded liabilities quickly. The valuation report calculates the amount necessary to be paid for five years to fully fund the plan under certain assumptions (the recommended contribution). This metric measures last year's contributions relative to this year's recommended total.

## Projected Number of Years until Crossover Point

New accounting standards have introduced the idea of a "Crossover Point." Basically, this is the number of years your current assets and income streams are projected to be able to pay promised benefits. If you don't have a "Crossover Point," then your current assets and income are projected to pay for all future benefits. Your plan will only receive a "1" here if it is also actuarially sound on a non-cash flow basis.
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## EXHIBIT 1

## CONTRIBUTIONS

The following contribution level reflects the payment of the current year Normal Cost for benefits attributable to said year (see Exhibit 2) plus an amount sufficient to pay off the Unfunded Actuarial Liability over a 5-year period. These costs DO NOT include the contributions due to the Local Police and Firefighters Retirement System ("LOPFI") for persons hired after 1982.

Full Paid
2016 Necessary Annual Contribution to pay:

1 Normal Cost, plus
2 Pay off the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability

3 Total Necessary
Less
4 Expected Employee Contributions
5 Necessary Employer Contribution
(This is the amount needed in addition to investment income.)

Covered Payroll
Necessary Employer Contribution Rate
\$ 0

3,099,571
\$ 3,099,571

\$ 3,099,571


0

N/A

These contributions assume that the dollar contribution grows at a rate of $4 \%$ per year. The contributions are assumed to be made continuously throughout the year.

## The actual 2015 contribution was $\$ 508,898$ from the employer.

## EXHIBIT 2

## COSTS AND LIABILITIES

A. Normal Cost
(Cost to fund current active members)

1. Regular Retirement Benefits
2. Voluntary Termination Benefits
3. Survivors Benefits
4. Disability Benefits

TOTAL
B. Actuarial Accrued Liability

1. Active Lives

Regular Retirement Benefits
Voluntary Termination Benefits
Survivors Benefits
Disability Benefits
TOTAL ACTIVE LIVES
2. Deferred Retirement Option Plan

DROP Accounts
Future DROP Payments \& Pensions TOTAL DROP
3. Inactive Lives

Regular Retirees
Disability Retirees
Widows \& Children
TOTAL INACTIVE LIVES
4. Total Actuarial Accrued Liability
C. Assets (See Exhibit 3.J.)
D. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability

December 31, 2015

Dollar
Amount
$\$ \quad 0$
0
0
0
$\$ \quad 0$
$\$ 0$
0


|  | $1,030,070$ |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | 0 |
| $\$$ | $1,030,070$ |

19,088,286
1,644,814
5,468,127
26,201,227
\$ 27,231,297
\$ 13,484,473
\$ 13,746,824

## EXHIBIT 3

SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION
(Items C, F-H, and J determined by Osborn, Carreiro and Associates, Inc.)

## A. INCOME

1 Employee Contributions
2 Employer Contributions Employer/Court Fines/Other Local Millage

3 State Insurance Premium Tax Premium Tax Allocation Additional Allocation
Guarantee Fund

4 Other Income
LOPFI Subsidy
Police Supplement (Act 1452 of 1999) Future Supplement (Act 1373 of 2003) Other Income/Donations

Adjustment to prior year value
5 Net Investment Income TOTAL INCOME

## B. EXPENSES

1 Administrative

2 Benefits Paid
Monthly Benefits
Police Supplements
Future Supplements
DROP Payouts
Paid Current Year for Previous Year

3 Refunds

4 Other Expenses
TOTAL EXPENSES
C. NON-INVESTMENT CASH FLOW

|  | Ended <br> 1/2015 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year Ended } \\ & 12 / 31 / 2014 \end{aligned}$ |  | Year Ended <br> 12/31/2013 |  | Year Ended <br> 12/31/2012 |  | Year Ended$\underline{12 / 31 / 2011}$ |  | Year Ended <br> 12/31/2010 |  | Year Ended$\underline{12 / 31 / 2009}$ |  | Year Ended$\underline{12 / 31 / 2008}$ |  | Year Ended$\underline{12 / 31 / 2007}$ |  | Year Ended$\underline{12 / 31 / 2006}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | - | \$ | 2,010 | \$ | 4,698 | \$ | 4,400 | \$ | 4,880 | \$ | 4,811 | \$ | 6,511 | \$ | 7,089 | \$ | 7,051 | \$ | 9,838 |
|  | 106,826 |  | 81,965 |  | 118,826 |  | 139,356 |  | 128,484 |  | 144,211 |  | 126,852 |  | 122,334 |  | 118,318 |  | 128,566 |
|  | 357,108 |  | 366,076 |  | 376,264 |  | 285,999 |  | 291,911 |  | 287,319 |  | 291,177 |  | 284,262 |  | 296,506 |  | 270,855 |
|  | 33,723 |  | 84,346 |  | 105,118 |  | 95,499 |  | 165,807 |  | 142,329 |  | 142,826 |  | 198,885 |  | 206,086 |  | 212,917 |
|  | 11,241 |  | 22,492 |  | 22,194 |  | 31,833 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |


| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43,800 | 45,600 | 46,200 | 48,000 | 48,600 | 49,800 | 49,200 | 52,200 | 52,200 | 52,200 |
| 81,030 | 82,080 | 84,360 | 98,800 | 65,610 | 46,024 | 62,894 | 71,984 | 149,710 | 104,504 |
| - | - | - | - | 18,314 | 2,192 | 5,108 | 6,672 | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | $(118,246)$ | (1) | - | $(3,888)$ | - |



| $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| - | $\$$ | 6,892 | $\$$ | 15,873 | $\$$ | 8,378 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $\$$ | 7,636 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1,743,812$ | $1,758,732$ | $1,764,434$ | $1,727,704$ | $1,554,292$ |  |  |
| 49,650 | 81,874 | 120,801 | 52,200 | 40,761 |  |  |
| 45,982 | 62,894 | 58,350 | 123,864 | 104,504 |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | 75,416 |  |  |
| 29,050 | - | - | - | - |  |  |

6,800

| \$ | 1,993,940 | \$ | 2,072,513 | \$ | 1,876,138 | \$ | 1,839,279 | \$ | 1,897,587 | \$ | 1,868,494 | \$ | 1,991,881 | \$ | 1,959,458 | \$ | 1,912,146 | \$ | 1,782,609 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(1,360,212)$ |  | $(1,387,944)$ |  | $(1,118,478)$ |  | $(1,135,392)$ |  | $(1,173,982)$ |  | $(1,310,054)$ |  | $(1,307,314)$ |  | $(1,216,032)$ |  | $(1,086,163)$ |  | $(1,003,729)$ |


|  |  |  | 12/31/2015 |  | 12/31/2014 |  | 12/31/2013 |  | 12/31/2012 |  | 12/31/2011 |  | 12/31/2010 |  | 12/31/2009 |  | 12/31/2008 |  | 12/31/2007 |  | 12/31/2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D. ASSETS (at book value) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Cash \& Checking Accounts |  | 710,075 |  | 1,283,299 |  | 1,126,330 |  | 927,864 |  | 1,168,636 |  | 545,359 |  | $(1,818)$ |  | - |  | 57,610 |  | 391,913 |
| 2 | Bank Deposits |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 3 | Savings and Loan Deposits |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 4 | Other Cash Equivalents |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,622,303 |  | 1,441,837 |  | 664,726 |  | 314,224 |
| 5 | US Govt. Securities |  | 3,088,738 |  | 2,792,707 |  | 2,544,408 |  | 3,040,223 |  | 3,375,049 |  | 1,771,332 |  | 3,683,261 |  | 4,277,953 |  | 5,979,935 |  | 5,354,118 |
| 6 | Non-US Govt Securities |  | 127,826 |  | 296,855 |  | 273,736 |  | 78,372 |  | 149,772 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 7 | Mortgages |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,618,518 |  | 169,141 |  | 297,063 |  | 395,694 |  | 522,691 |
| 8 | Corporate Bonds |  | 1,474,567 |  | 1,257,277 |  | 1,599,476 |  | 2,098,263 |  | 2,658,263 |  | 1,524,052 |  | 2,189,876 |  | 2,092,451 |  | 1,927,019 |  | 1,457,900 |
| 9 | Common Stocks |  | 8,367,962 |  | 8,412,597 |  | 8,625,541 |  | 7,495,211 |  | 6,625,488 |  | 7,840,854 |  | 7,133,196 |  | 7,650,689 |  | 7,703,738 |  | 7,998,215 |
| 10 | Other |  | 39,020 |  | 39,415 |  | 24,638 |  | 53,451 |  | 62,874 |  | 964,796 |  | 66,251 |  | 69,120 |  | 77,636 |  | 383,131 |
| 11 | Payables |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 60,301 |  | 80,402 |  | 80,402 |  | 50,253 |  | - |  | $(57,610)$ |  | $(645,075)$ |
|  | TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 13,808,188 | \$ | 14,082,150 | \$ | 14,194,129 | \$ | 13,753,685 | \$ | 14,120,484 | \$ | 14,345,313 | \$ | 14,912,463 | \$ | 15,829,113 | \$ | 16,748,748 | \$ | 15,777,117 |
| E. TO | TAL MARKET VALUE | \$ | 15,196,328 | \$ | 16,910,661 | \$ | 16,909,707 | \$ | 15,698,214 | \$ | 15,614,352 | \$ | 15,929,173 | \$ | 15,791,344 | \$ | 14,839,385 | \$ | 19,595,971 | \$ | 19,364,319 |
| F. RATIO OF ASSETS TO ANNUAL EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Book Value Basis |  | 6.9 |  | 6.8 |  | 7.6 |  | 7.5 |  | 7.4 |  | 7.7 |  | 7.5 |  | 8.1 |  | 8.8 |  | 8.9 |
|  | Market Value Basis |  | 7.6 |  | 8.2 |  | 9.0 |  | 8.5 |  | 8.2 |  | 8.5 |  | 7.9 |  | 7.6 |  | 10.2 |  | 10.9 |
| G. RATIO OF ASSETS TO NON-INVESTMENT CASH OUTFLOW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Book Value Basis |  | 10.2 |  | 10.1 |  | 12.7 |  | 12.1 |  | 12.0 |  | 11.0 |  | 11.4 |  | 13.0 |  | 15.4 |  | 15.7 |
|  | Market Value Basis |  | 11.2 |  | 12.2 |  | 15.1 |  | 13.8 |  | 13.3 |  | 12.2 |  | 12.1 |  | 12.2 |  | 18.0 |  | 19.3 |
| H. SUMMARY OF NET INVESTMENT RETURNS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Book Value Rate of Return |  | 8.11\% |  | 9.45\% |  | 11.81\% |  | 5.67\% |  | 6.90\% |  | 5.29\% |  | 2.57\% |  | 1.84\% |  | 13.51\% |  | 6.06\% |
|  | 10 Year Average Return |  | 7.06\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Market Value Rate of Return |  | -2.18\% |  | 8.57\% |  | 15.39\% |  | 8.10\% |  | 5.60\% |  | 9.57\% |  | 15.93\% |  | -18.65\% |  | 7.00\% |  | 11.49\% |
|  | 10 Year Average Return |  | 5.60\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


That is, the plan would need more money to meet its obligations.

## I. TOTAL MARKET VALUE

1. Market Value, end of year
(Used for GASB calculations)
2. Market Value, beginning of year

| $\underline{12 / 31 / 2015}$ | $\underline{12 / 31 / 2014}$ | $\underline{12 / 31 / 2013}$ | $\underline{12 / 31 / 2012}$ | $\underline{12 / 31 / 2011}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15,196,328$ | $16,910,661$ | $16,909,707$ | $15,698,214$ | $15,614,352$ |
| $16,910,661$ | $16,909,707$ | $15,698,214$ | $15,614,352$ | $15,929,173$ |

J. DEVELOPMENT OF ACTUARIAL VALUE OF ASSETS

1. Actuarial Value of Assets, beginning of year $\quad 13,772,128 \quad 13,751,237 \quad 13,400,664 \quad 14,304,587 \quad 15,239,626$
2. Non Investment Net Cash Flow $\quad(1,360,212)(1,387,944)(1,118,478)(1,135,392) \quad(1,173,982)$
3. Development of Investment Income
(a) Total Market Investment Income (I1-I2-J2)
(b) Assumed Rate for Immediate Recognition
(c) Amount for Immediate Recognition (J1 x b)

| $(354,121)$ | $1,388,898$ | $2,329,971$ | $1,219,254$ | 859,161 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| 688,606 | 687,562 | 670,033 | 715,229 | 761,981 |
| $(1,042,728)$ | 701,336 | $1,659,937$ | 504,025 | 97,179 |

(e) Phased In Recognition

Current year : 20\% of 3(d)

| $(208,546)$ | 140,267 | 331,987 | 100,805 | 19,436 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 140,267 | 331,987 | 100,805 | 19,436 | 128,778 |
| 331,987 | 100,805 | 19,436 | 128,778 | 218,011 |
| 100,805 | 19,436 | 128,778 | 218,011 | $(950,790)$ |
| 19,436 | 128,778 | 218,011 | $(950,790)$ | 61,526 |
| 383,950 | 721,273 | 799,017 | $(483,760)$ | $(523,039)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $1,072,556$ | $1,408,835$ | $1,469,050$ | 231,469 | 238,943 | (3(c) $+3(e)$ )

4. Actuarial Value of Assets, End of year $(1+2+3(f))$
5. Net Investment Return on the
$8.2 \% \quad 10.8 \% \quad 11.4 \% \quad 1.7$
1.7\%
1.6\% Actuarial Value of Assets

Note: The Pension Review Board's Board Rule \#11 first applies this methodology to determine the Actuarial Value of Assets for the 12/31/99 actuarial valuation report. Different methods were used to determine the Actuarial Value of Assets for the 12/31/98 and earlier reports.

## EXHIBIT 4

## COMPARISON WITH PRIOR YEARS

This exhibit compares the current valuation results with those of prior years.

| Valuation <br> Date |  | Active Members |  |  | Actuarially <br> Computed <br> Employer <br> Contribution | Valuation Assets | Unfunded <br> Actuarial <br> Accrued <br> Liability | Funded <br> Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Part-Paid/ |  | Full Paid |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Volunteer | Full Paid | Salary |  |  |  |  |
| 12/31/1986 |  | 0 | 62 | 1,319,804 | 499,709 | 4,772,391 | 4,386,838 | 52.1\% |
| 12/31/1987 | * | 0 | 64 | 1,350,848 | 521,551 | 5,467,513 | 4,262,708 | 56.2\% |
| 12/31/1989 |  | 0 | 56 | 1,432,326 | 537,978 | 6,859,095 | 4,338,507 | 61.3\% |
| 12/31/1991 |  | 0 | 49 | 1,352,129 | 550,362 | 8,151,980 | 4,277,263 | 65.6\% |
| 12/31/1993 |  | 0 | 41 | 1,162,314 | 500,808 | 9,652,771 | 3,555,596 | 73.1\% |
| 12/31/1995 |  | 0 | 38 | 1,242,674 | 502,503 | 11,716,012 | 2,701,802 | 81.3\% |
| 12/31/1997 | * | 0 | 22 | 789,681 | 687,166 | 15,049,548 | 3,974,684 | 79.1\% |
| 12/31/1999 | * | 0 | 17 | 619,628 | 785,371 | 15,664,268 | 4,024,357 | 79.6\% |
| 12/31/2001 | * | 0 | 7 | 282,546 | 1,656,034 | 17,454,042 | 7,641,681 | 69.5\% |
| 12/31/2003 | * | 0 | 4 | 154,036 | 2,215,168 | 17,079,677 | 10,129,780 | 62.8\% |
| 12/31/2005 | * | 0 | 2 | 71,427 | 2,428,567 | 16,761,755 | 11,251,874 | 59.8\% |
| 12/31/2007 | * | 0 | 2 | 71,427 | 1,828,304 | 17,334,218 | 8,265,695 | 67.7\% |
| 12/31/2008 |  | 0 | 2 | 71,427 | 1,987,511 | 16,703,110 | 8,992,461 | 65.0\% |
| 12/31/2009 | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,495,707 | 16,079,879 | 15,503,717 | 50.9\% |
| 12/31/2010 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,307,454 | 15,239,626 | 14,668,803 | 51.0\% |
| 12/31/2011 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,433,951 | 14,304,587 | 15,229,825 | 48.4\% |
| 12/31/2012 | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,372,394 | 13,400,664 | 14,956,819 | 47.3\% |
| 12/31/2013 | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,446,584 | 13,751,237 | 15,285,853 | 47.4\% |
| 12/31/2014 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,294,134 | 13,772,128 | 14,609,726 | 48.5\% |
| 12/31/2015 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,099,571 | 13,484,473 | 13,746,824 | 49.5\% |

* Denotes that benefits or assumptions were changed relative to the prior valuation


## EXHIBIT 5

## SHORT CONDITION TEST

The Arkansas General Assembly has stated that the funding objective for these plans is to pay for benefits with contributions that remain level as a percentage of employee payroll. Thus, the long-term condition test is met when the actual contributions are fairly level and are paid when due.

A short condition test can be used to measure a plan's progress. Under the short condition test, the fund's assets are compared with:

1) active member contributions,
2) the liabilities for future benefits to the present retirees and inactive members, and
3) the liabilities for service already redered by active members.

If the plan has been following level cost funding, liability (1) and liability (2) above will almost always be fully covered by the rest of the present assets. In addition, liability (3) above will at least partially funded. The larger the funded portion of liability (3), the stronger the condition of the fund. For a closed fund (i.e. one like yours, where no new members are admitted), the funded portion of liability (3) should be steadily increasing.

The following table illustrates the history of the short condition test for this plan:

|  | Computed Actuarial Liabilities |  |  |  | Portion of Liabilities covered by Assets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) |  |  |  |  |
| Valuation | Active <br> Member | Retirees, Inactives, | Actives- <br> Employer | Valuation |  |  |  |
| Date | Contributions | and DROP | Financed | Assets | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| 12/31/1986 | 485,494 | 4,284,360 | 4,389,375 | 4,772,391 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% |
| 12/31/1987 | 578,896 | 4,230,660 | 4,920,665 | 5,467,513 | 100\% | 100\% | 13\% |
| 12/31/1989 | 670,886 | 4,856,078 | 5,670,638 | 6,859,095 | 100\% | 100\% | 23\% |
| 12/31/1991 | 699,684 | 6,267,736 | 5,461,823 | 8,151,980 | 100\% | 100\% | 22\% |
| 12/31/1993 | 700,201 | 7,670,234 | 4,837,932 | 9,652,771 | 100\% | 100\% | 27\% |
| 12/31/1995 | 795,526 | 7,980,409 | 5,641,879 | 11,716,012 | 100\% | 100\% | 52\% |
| 12/31/1997 | 500,017 | 14,989,709 | 3,534,506 | 15,049,548 | 100\% | 97\% | 0\% |
| 12/31/1999 | 470,328 | 16,152,601 | 3,065,696 | 15,664,268 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% |
| 12/31/2001 | 216,184 | 22,931,909 | 1,947,630 | 17,454,042 | 100\% | 75\% | 0\% |
| 12/31/2003 | 126,722 | 26,151,127 | 931,608 | 17,079,677 | 100\% | 65\% | 0\% |
| 12/31/2005 | 66,489 | 27,586,118 | 361,022 | 16,761,755 | 100\% | 61\% | 0\% |
| 12/31/2007 | 75,660 | 25,155,740 | 368,513 | 17,334,218 | 100\% | 69\% | 0\% |
| 12/31/2008 | 80,021 | 25,217,091 | 398,459 | 16,703,110 | 100\% | 66\% | 0\% |
| 12/31/2009 | 0 | 31,583,596 | 0 | 16,079,879 | 100\% | 51\% | 0\% |
| 12/31/2010 | 0 | 29,908,429 | 0 | 15,239,626 | 100\% | 51\% | 0\% |
| 12/31/2011 | 0 | 29,534,412 | 0 | 14,304,587 | 100\% | 48\% | 0\% |
| 12/31/2012 | 0 | 28,357,483 | 0 | 13,400,664 | 100\% | 47\% | 0\% |
| 12/31/2013 | 0 | 29,037,090 | 0 | 13,751,237 | 100\% | 47\% | 0\% |
| 12/31/2014 | 0 | 28,381,854 | 0 | 13,772,128 | 100\% | 49\% | 0\% |
| 12/31/2015 | 0 | 27,231,297 | 0 | 13,484,473 | 100\% | 50\% | 0\% |

## EXHIBIT 6

## EMPLOYEE PROFILE

Employee data needed for the valuation was obtained from the records furnished by the Arkansas Fire and Police Pension Review Board. The following table shows a detailed breakdown of the present participants by the number of participants and total salary.

Paid Actives

| Age |  | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-5 | 5-10 | 10-15 | 15-20 | 20-25 | 25-30 | 30 or |  |
| Under | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25-29 | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30-34 | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 35-39 | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 40-44 | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45-49 | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50-54 | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 55-59 | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60-64 | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 65 \& | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Over | Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## EXHIBIT 6

## INACTIVE PROFILE

Employee data needed for the valuation was obtained from the records furnished by the Arkansas Fire and Police Pension Review Board. The following table shows a detailed breakdown of the present payees by the number of payees and total annual benefit.

Retirees and Survivors

Years since Retirement

| Age |  | Years since Retirement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-1 | 1-2 | 2-3 | 3-4 | 4-5 | 10 or |  |  |
| Under | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 40 | Benefit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,489 | 22,489 |
| 40-44 | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Benefit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45-49 | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Benefit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50-54 | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Benefit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 55-59 | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
|  | Benefit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252,791 | 252,791 |
| 60-64 | Count | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 16 |
|  | Benefit | 0 | 22,776 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73,902 | 362,107 | 458,785 |
| 65-69 | Count | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 18 |
|  | Benefit | 0 | 21,802 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 417,883 | 439,685 |
| 70-74 | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 |
|  | Benefit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 243,474 | 243,474 |
| 75-79 | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 |
|  | Benefit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 253,846 | 253,846 |
| 80-84 | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
|  | Benefit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59,639 | 59,639 |
| 85 \& | Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Over | Benefit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55,667 | 55,667 |
| Total | Count | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 69 | 73 |
|  | Benefit | 0 | 44,578 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73,902 | 1,667,895 | 1,786,375 |

This includes 49 retirees with annual benefits of $\$ 1,283,327$.
This includes 5 disableds with annual benefits of $\$ 114,056$.
This includes 19 survivors with annual benefits of $\$ 388,992$.

## EXHIBIT 6

## DEFERRED RETIREMENT OPTION PLAN PROFILE

Employee data needed for the valuation was obtained from the records furnished by the Arkansas Fire and Police Pension Review Board. The following table shows a detailed breakdown of the current participants on DROP by the number of participants and total annual DROP benefit.

DROP Participants


## EXHIBIT 7

# PRINCIPAL PROVISIONS OF THE PLAN 

EMPLOYEE

EMPLOYER

MEMBERSHIP

CREDITABLE SERVICE

CONTRIBUTIONS

Employee

Employer 1. Matching contribution equal to employee contribution
2. State Insurance Premium Tax Turnback
3. Local Millage
4. $10 \%$ of all fines $\&$ forfeitures collected by the Police Department

Highest salary for any continuous twelve-month period of time worked prior to retirement.

This plan has elected to participate in the Deferred Retirement Option Plan effective $05 / 19 / 1994$. Members who elect to participate have a DROP account that is increased by the monthly amount of their retiremenet benefit as if they had retired as of the date DROP was individually elected. Ten Year DROP is available. This plan has not elected coverage under Act 1457 of 1999.

20 Years of Service, regardless of age.
$50 \%$ of final salary, but not less than $\$ 7,800$. If service exceeds 20 years, the annual benefit is increased by $\$ 240$ for each year over 20 , up to $\$ 1,200 /$ year extra.

If service is more than 25 years, member receives an extra 1.25\% (for each year over 25) of Final Salary, payable once the retiree reaches age 60. The benefit cannot exceed 100\% of Final Salary.

EXHIBIT 7 (Continued)
DEATH BENEFITS
Eligibility Death before 20 Years of Service not occurring while performing work in gainful employment outside the department, or death after 20 years.

Benefit 1. Widow receives same amount as member is receiving or would be eligible for.
2. Each child under age 18 ( 23 , if still in school) receives $\$ 1,500 /$ year. If there is no surviving spouse, the child receives spousal benefit until age 18 .

## DISABILITY BENEFITS

Eligibility Permanent physical or mental disability. Five year service requirement unless disability is incurred in the line of duty.

Benefit Full Paid Non-duty Disability
Retirement benefit, but not less than $\$ 7,800 /$ year.
Full Paid Duty-related Disability
Retirement benefit, but not less than $65 \%$ of Final Salary or $\$ 7,800 /$ year.

COST OF LIVING
Retirees receive a $2 \%$ compound cost of living adjustment (COLA) each year.

## EXHIBIT 8

## ACTUARIAL METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS

The assumptions for this valuation have been selected in accordance with Actuarial Standards of Practice No. 27.The asset valuation method is prescribed in Arkansas Code Annotated 24-11-207. This prescribed asset valuation method directly impacts the investment return assumption. The assumed salary growth is restricted by A.C.A. 24-11-205 in relation to the investment return assumption.

ACTUARIAL COST METHOD

PRE-RETIREMENT MORTALITY

POST RETIREMENT MORTALITY

MORTALITY BASIS AND PROJECTION

The "entry age normal" cost method has been used.

Deaths have been projected on the basis of the 1983 Group Annuity Table for Males, set back five years for females. Mortality rates at a few sample ages are:

| Age | Mort. Rate per 1,000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 0.464 |
| 35 | 0.860 |
| 45 | 2.183 |
| 55 | 6.131 |

The 1983 Group Annuity Mortality Table was used. For females, the male table was used with a five-year setback. The life expectancy according to this table is as follows:

| $\frac{\text { Age }}{55}$ | $\underline{\text { Males }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24.87 | $\frac{\text { Females }}{29.23}$ |  |
| 65 | 16.74 | 20.68 |

The mortality assumptions do not include a projection for mortality improvement. These rates were chosen after an experience study for 2007-2012. No projection was deemed necessary at this time since the recent experience study did not show significant improvement over an experience study for 2000-2006 deaths.

The computer programs were converted beginning with the December 31, 2015 valuation; funding and GASB liabilities calculated using same calculation methods. Decrease in funding liabilities due to spouse mortality treated as experience gain.

VOLUNTARY
TERMINATIONS
Annual termination rates at a few sample ages are:

| $\frac{\text { Age }}{25}$ | Term. Rate per 1,000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 45 |
| 35 | 39 |
| 40 | 23 |
| 45 | 9 |
| 50 | 5 |
| 55 | 5 |

ASSUMED DISCOUNT RATE

## DISABILITIES

## ASSET VALUATION

When a person had less than 4 years of service, we assumed that his chances of voluntary termination were a multiple of thereafter rates, with the following multiples being used:

| 1st year | 2.85 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2nd year | 2.00 |
| 3rd year | 1.50 |
| 4th year | 1.15 |

5.0\%

The reports for the valuations as of $12 / 31 / 2009$ through $12 / 31 / 2012$ were completed using an assumed discount rate of $5 \%$.
A study of the returns of all fire and police plans was made of the 2006-2012 experience. The components and variations of appropriate portfolios were also reviewed. The Pension Review Board determined that for comparison with previous years and with other similar plans that a single discount assumption of 5\% should be used.

We continued the disability rates used in prior reports. Disability rates at a few sample ages are:

| Age | Disability Rate per 1,000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 0.8 |
| 25 | 0.8 |
| 30 | 0.8 |
| 35 | 0.8 |
| 40 | 2.0 |
| 45 | 2.6 |
| 50 | 4.9 |
| 55 | 8.9 |
| 60 | 14.1 |

One third of the disabilities were assumed to be service related.
For mortality after disability, we assumed rates based on the Eleventh Actuarial Valuation of the Railroad Retirement System for occupational disabilities.

See Exhibit 3, Part J

EXHIBIT 8 (continued)

SALARY GROWTH

## EXPECTED RETIREMENT AND DROP PATTERN

RETIREMENT PATTERN AFTER DROP ELECTION

We have used the salary scale used in prior reports. Annual assumed growth at a few sample ages is:

| ANNUAL SALARY INCREASE |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Base | $\underline{\text { Merit }}$ | $\underline{\text { Total }}$ |
| $4.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| $4.0 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ |
| $4.0 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ |
| $4.0 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| $4.0 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ |
| $4.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| $4.0 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| $4.0 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| $4.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |

Since the plan allows full benefits at ages younger than the traditional "65", an assumption that will have an important impact is what percentage of people who are eligible for this early retirement will actually take advantage of it.
This will depend on intangible things such as the economy, health, financial ability to retire, Social Security eligibility, and work patterns. Based on recent experience, we are using the following assumed rates:

Retirement Rate per 1,000

| Age |  | Retirement |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DROP |  |  |  |
| $40-59$ | 133 |  | 267 |
| $60+$ | 1,000 |  | 0 |

Note: A member was assumed to be eligible for retirement or DROP after attaining age 40 with 20 years of service. It is also assumed that twice the normal number will retire or elect DROP in the first year of eligibility.

Once a person is on DROP (Deferred Retirement Option Program), they were assumed to retire from the department as follows:

| Years on <br> DROP | Retirement Rate per 1,000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 100 |
| 2 | 200 |
| 3 | 200 |
| 4 | 300 |
| 5 or more | 1,000 |

## APPENDIX A <br> DISCUSSION OF GASB DISCLOSURES

## Accounting Standard

For pension plans that are administered through trusts or equivalent arrangements, Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 67, "Financial Reporting for Pension Plans," (GASB 67) replaces Statements No. 25 and No. 50. GASB 67 establishes standards of financial reporting and specifies the required approach for measuring the pension liability of employers for benefits provided through the pension plan.

This discussion provides a summary of the information that is required to be disclosed under GASB 67. A number of these disclosure items are provided. However, certain information, such as notes regarding accounting policies and investments, is not included in this report, and your internal staff will be responsible for preparing that information to fully comply with this accounting standard.

## Financial Statements

GASB 67 requires defined benefit plans to present two financial statements: a statement of fiduciary plan net position and a statement of changes in fiduciary plan net position. Based on the information that was provided to our firm, we compiled the Financial Statements that are included in this report as Exhibit 3.

## Notes to Financial Statements

Paragraph 30 of GASB 67 is an extensive list of notes to the plan's financial statements that are required to provide additional disclosure. Many of these notes concern the plan provisions and the actuarial assumptions used in making the calculations under this standard. Those items are included in Exhibits 5 and 6 in this report.

The appendices include some notes that are actuarial in nature written in the format we understand is desired by GASB 67. These are only selected notes and not intended to be a complete compilation of notes to the financial statements. There are several items mentioned in Paragraph 30 that are beyond the scope of the included information. Your internal staff will be responsible for preparing those notes.

Paragraphs 37 through 47 of GASB 68 contains a list of notes and schedules required for the sponsor's financial statements. The appendicies include the notes that are actuarial in nature written in the format we understand is desired by GASB 68.

## APPENDIX A (Continued)

## Required Supplementary Information

GASB 67 requires a 10 -fiscal year history of various information about the pension liability. These schedules may be built prospectively since the presentation is not the same as all previous years under previous standards. The four schedules are:

* Schedule of changes in the net pension liability.
* Schedule of the components of net pension liability along with related ratios.
* Comparison of actual employer contributions to the actuarially determined contributions based on the plan's funding policy.
* Schedule of the annual money-weighted rate of return on pension plan investments.

These compilations can be found in Appendix E of this report. Please note that the money-weighted rate of return schedule is based on all transactions occurring at mid-year. The standard calls for a monthly calculation of the weighted, but we do not have the data sufficient to make that calculation.

## Single Discount Rate

Projected benefit payments are required to be discounted to actuarial present values using a single discount rate that reflects (1) a long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments (to the extent that the plan's fiduciary net position is projected to be sufficient to pay benefits) and (2) a tax-exempt municipal bond rate based on an index of 20 -year general obligation bonds with an average AA credit rating as of the measurement date (to the extent that the plan's net position with contributions associated with current plan members and the long-term expected rate of return are not sufficient to pay benefits). We used the Bond Buyer GO 20-Bond Municipal Bond Index to obtain the municipal bond rate. See Appendix F for the calculation of the single discount rate.

## Valuation Date and Measurement Date

GASB 67 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2013. GASB 68 is effective for sponsor fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2014. This plan is a "single-employer" plan under GASB 67/68.

This report covers the employer fiscal year, which is also the plan fiscal year, ending December 31, 2015 (the measurement date). The corresponding financial statement covers the fiscal year from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015. The actuarial valuation date is as of December 31, 2015/January 1, 2016 (the valuation date). The calculations included in this report are not projected and there is no "roll forward" of a prior valuation.

## APPENDIX B

## PENSION EXPENSE / (INCOME) UNDER GASB 68

January 1, 2015 -
December 31, 2015

1. Service Cost
2. Interest on Total Pension Liability
3. Current Period Benefit Changes

1,372,712
4. Offset for Employee Contributions
5. Projected Earnings on Plan Assets
$(811,490)$
6. Other Changes in Plan Net Position
7. Recognition of outflow (inflow) due to liabilities
8. Recognition of outflow (inflow) due to assets

1,694,589
233,122
9. Total Pension Expense/(Income)

2,487,423

## APPENDIX C

## GASB 68 PENSION EXPENSE / (INCOME) DETAIL OF OUTFLOW / INFLOW OF RESOURCES

| Description | Year | Original <br> Amount | Recognition Period | Outflow <br> (Inflow) <br> In Current <br> Expense | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Deferred } \\ \text { Outflow } \\ \text { (Inflow) } \end{array} \\ \hline \underline{12 / 31 / 2015} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Actual vs. Exp. Experience | 2015 | $(668,041)$ | 1 | $(668,041)$ | 0 |
| 2. Assumption Change | 2015 | 2,362,630 | 1 | 2,362,630 | 0 |
| 3. Benefit Changes | 2015 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Proj. vs. Actual Earnings | 2015 | 1,165,611 | 5 | 233,122 | 932,489 |
| Total |  |  |  | 1,927,711 | 932,489 |
| Due to Liabilities |  |  |  | 1,694,589 | 0 |
| Due to Assets |  |  |  | 233,122 | 932,489 |

## APPENDIX D

## NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA)

The board of trustees has adopted a permanent 2\% cost of living adjustment (COLA) through a process that requires actuarial soundness and approval by the Pension Review Board. Therefore, in the opinion of the actuary, a $2 \%$ permanent compound COLA is included in the determination of the Total Pension Liability.

## Long-Term Expected Return on Plan Assets

The Long-Term Expected Rate of Return on pension plan investments was determined using a building block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return. The rates were built on a target allocation for all local police and fire pension funds; the target for an individual fund will vary within the guidelines of Arkansas law and regulation. The target allocation and the long-term expected real rates of return are shown in the table below:

> Long-term

Target Expected Real

| Asset Class | Allocation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rate of Return |  |  |
| Domestic Fixed Income | $80 \%$ |  | $2.25 \%$ |
| Domestic Equity | $10 \%$ |  | $4.75 \%$ |
| Foreign Equity | $0 \%$ |  | $6.25 \%$ |
| Cash \& Equivalents | $10 \%$ | $0.25 \%$ |  |

Expected Inflation
3.00\%

## Single Discount Rate

A single discount rate of $4.20 \%$ was used to measure the Total Pension Liability. This single discount rate was based on the expected rate of return on pension plan investments of $5.00 \%$, the projection of cash flows based on the assumptions, and the pension plan's net position as of the valuation date. The projection was generated as described in described in Appendix F. The resulting single discount rate was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the Total Pension Liability.

## Components of the Net Pension Liability

The components of the net pension liability at December 31, 2015 were as follows:

Total Pension Liability
Plan's Fiduciary Net Position
Net Pension Liability
Plan's Fiduciary Net Position as a
Percentage of Total Pension Liability

29,593,927
15,196,328
14,397,599
51.35\%

## APPENDIX D (Continued)

Regarding the sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to changes in the single discount rate, the following presents the plan's Net Pension Liability calculated using a single discount rate of $4.20 \%$, as well as what the plan's Net Pension Liability would be if it were calculated using a single discount rate one percentage point lower and one percentage point higher:

|  | One Percent <br> Decrease | Current Single | One Percent <br> Rate Assumed |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | $3.20 \%$ |  | $4.20 \%$ |
| Increase | $5.20 \%$ |  |  |
| Total Pension Liability | $33,078,213$ | $29,593,927$ | $26,709,093$ |
| Net Pension Liability | $17,881,885$ | $14,397,599$ | $11,512,765$ |

## Changes in the Net Pension Liability

|  | Total <br> Pension <br> Liability | Plan <br> Fiduciary <br> Net Position | Net <br> Pension <br> Liability |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Balances at December 31, 2014 | $28,381,854$ | $16,910,661$ | $11,471,193$ |
| Changes for the Year |  |  |  |
| a. Service Cost | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Interest on NPL | $1,372,712$ | 0 | $1,372,712$ |
| * c. Differences between Expected and |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Actual Experience | $(668,041)$ | 0 | $(668,041)$ |
| d. Employee Contribuions | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| e. Employer Contributions | 0 | 508,898 | $(508,898)$ |
| f. Net Investment Income | 0 | $(354,121)$ | 354,121 |
| g. Benefits and Refunds | $(1,855,228)$ | $(1,855,228)$ | 0 |
| h. Administrative Expenses | 0 | $(15,392)$ | 15,392 |
| i. Benefit Changes | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| j. Assumption Changes | $2,362,630$ | 0 | $2,362,630$ |
| k. Other/Reconciliation |  | 1,510 | $(1,510)$ |
| $\quad$ Net Changes | $1,212,073$ | $(1,714,333)$ | $2,926,406$ |
| Balances at December 31, 2015 | $29,593,927$ | $15,196,328$ | $14,397,599$ |

* Note: The 2015 experience includes the effects of a one-time adjustment to the spousal mortality in the cash flow valuation.


## APPENDIX D (Continued)

## GASB 68 Pension Expense and Deferred Outflow/Inflows

For the year ending $12 / 31 / 2015$, the City of Pine Bluff recognized pension expense of $\$ 2,487,423$. At $12 / 31 / 2015$, the City of Pine Bluff reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:
$\left.\begin{array}{lrr} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deferred } \\ \text { Outflows } \\ \text { Of Resources }\end{array} & 0\end{array} \begin{array}{r}\begin{array}{r}\text { Deferred } \\ \text { Inflows }\end{array} \\ \text { Of Resources }\end{array}\right\}$

Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

| Year <br> Ending <br> December 31: | Net Deferred <br> Outflow of <br> Resources |
| :---: | ---: |
| 2016 | 233,122 <br> 2017 |
| 2018 | 233,122 |
| 2019 | 233,122 |
| 2020 | 0 |
| Total thereafter |  |
| Total |  |
|  |  |

## APPENDIX E REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

GASB 67 requires a 10-fiscal year history of various information about the pension liability. These schedules may be built prospectively since the presentation is not the same as all previous years under previous standards. The four schedules are:

* Schedule of changes in the net pension liability.
* Schedule of the components of net pension liability along with related ratios.
* Comparison of actual employer contributions to the actuarially determined contributions based on the plan's funding policy.
* Schedule of the annual money-weighted rate of return on pension plan investments.

Please note that the money-weighted rate of return schedule is based on all transactions occurring at mid-year. The standard calls for a monthly calculation of the weighted return, but we were not provided data sufficient to make that calculation.

## APPENDIX E (Continued)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { PINE BLUFF POLICE PENSION FUND } \\
\text { REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION } \\
\text { SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE EMPLOYERS' NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS }
\end{gathered}
$$

| Fiscal Year ending | 12/31/2015 | 12/31/2014 | 12/31/2013 | 12/31/2012 | 12/31/2011 | 12/31/2010 | 12/31/2009 | 12/31/2008 | 12/31/2007 | 12/31/2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Pension Liability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest | 1,372,712 | 1,403,656 | 1,374,298 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit Changes | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Difference between Actual \& Expected Experience | $(668,041)$ | $(130,954)$ | 1,048,350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assumption changes | 2,362,630 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit Payments | $(1,855,228)$ | $(1,927,939)$ | $(1,743,041)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Change in Total Pension Liability | 1,212,073 | $(655,236)$ | 679,607 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Pension Liability - Beginning | 28,381,854 | 29,037,090 | 28,357,483 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Pension Liability - Ending | 29,593,927 | 28,381,854 | 29,037,090 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions - Employee | 0 | 2,010 | 4,698 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions - Employer | 508,898 | 554,879 | 622,402 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Investment Income | $(354,121)$ | 1,388,898 | 2,329,971 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit Payments | $(1,855,228)$ | $(1,927,939)$ | $(1,743,041)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administrative Expense | $(15,392)$ | $(17,974)$ | $(8,800)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other/Reconciliation | 1,510 | 1,080 | 6,263 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Change in Plan Net Position | $(1,714,333)$ | 954 | 1,211,493 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning | 16,910,661 | 16,909,707 | 15,698,214 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending | 15,196,328 | 16,910,661 | 16,909,707 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of Total Pension Liability | 51.35\% | 59.58\% | 58.23\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covered Employee Payroll | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX E (Continued)

PINE BLUFF POLICE PENSION FUND
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE EMPLOYER'S NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS

| Fiscal Year <br> Ending | Discount <br> Rate | (TPL) Total <br> Pension <br> Liability | Plan Net <br> Position | (NPL) Net <br> Pension <br> Liability | Net Position <br> as \% of TPL | Covered <br> Payroll | NPL as \% <br> of Payroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12 / 31 / 2006$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12 / 31 / 2007$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12 / 31 / 2008$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12 / 31 / 2009$ | $5.00 \%$ | $31,583,596$ | $15,791,344$ | $15,792,252$ | $50.00 \%$ | 0 | N/A |
| $12 / 31 / 2010$ | $5.00 \%$ | $29,908,429$ | $15,929,173$ | $13,979,256$ | $53.26 \%$ | 0 | N/A |
| $12 / 31 / 2011$ | $5.00 \%$ | $29,534,412$ | $15,614,352$ | $13,920,060$ | $52.87 \%$ | 0 | N/A |
| $12 / 31 / 2012$ | $5.00 \%$ | $28,357,483$ | $15,698,214$ | $12,659,269$ | $55.36 \%$ | 0 | N/A |
| $12 / 31 / 2013$ | $5.00 \%$ | $29,037,090$ | $16,909,707$ | $12,127,383$ | $58.23 \%$ | 0 | N/A |
| $12 / 31 / 2014$ | $5.00 \%$ | $28,381,854$ | $16,910,661$ | $11,471,193$ | $59.58 \%$ |  | 0 |
| $12 / 31 / 2015$ | $4.20 \%$ | $29,593,927$ | $15,196,328$ | $14,397,599$ | $51.35 \%$ | N/A |  |

PINE BLUFF POLICE PENSION FUND
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

| Fiscal Year <br> Ending | Actuarially <br> Determined <br> Contribution | Actual <br> Contribution | Contribution <br> Deficiency <br> (Excess) | Covered <br> Payroll | Contribution as <br> \% of Payroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12 / 31 / 2006$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12 / 31 / 2007$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12 / 31 / 2008$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12 / 31 / 2009$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12 / 31 / 2010$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12 / 31 / 2011$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12 / 31 / 2012$ | $3,138,631$ | 552,687 | $2,585,944$ | 0 | N/A |
| $12 / 31 / 2013$ | $2,854,354$ | 622,402 | $2,231,951$ | 0 | N/A |
| $12 / 31 / 2014$ | $2,734,426$ | 554,879 | $2,179,547$ | 0 | N/A |
| $12 / 31 / 2015$ | $2,586,473$ | 508,898 | $2,077,574$ | 0 | N/A |

## Key Assumptions:

Cost Method
Amortization Method
Remaining Amortization
Asset Valuation
Investment Return/Discount
Mortality

Entry Age Normal
Level dollar, open
Five years
Market Value of Assets
5.00\%

1983 GAM

## APPENDIX E (Continued)

PINE BLUFF POLICE PENSION FUND REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENT RETURNS

| Fiscal Year Ending | Annual Money-weighted <br> Rate of Return |
| :---: | :---: |
| $12 / 31 / 2006$ | $11.49 \%$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2007$ | $7.00 \%$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2008$ | $-18.65 \%$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2009$ | $15.93 \%$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2010$ | $9.57 \%$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2011$ | $5.60 \%$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2012$ | $8.10 \%$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2013$ | $15.39 \%$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2014$ | $8.57 \%$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2015$ | $-2.18 \%$ |

The amounts shown are net of investment expenses.

The actuary calculated these rates using the provided information; therefore, these are annual money-weighted rates. Monthly money-weighted returns were not available.


#### Abstract

APPENDIX F

CALCULATION OF THE SINGLE DISCOUNT RATE


GASB 67 includes a specific requirement for the discount rate that is used for the purpose of the measurement of the Total Pension Liability. This rate considers the ability of the fund to meet benefit obligations in the future. To make this determination, employer contributions, employee contributions, benefit payments, expenses and investment returns are projected into the future. The Plan Net Position (assets) in future years can then be projected and compared to the obligation to make benefit payments in those years. As long as assets are projected to be sufficient in a future year, the assumed valuation discount rate is used. In years where assets are not projected to be sufficient to meet benefit payments, the use of a "risk-free" rate is required. The single discount rate is equivalent to applying these two rates to the benefits that are projected to be paid during the different time periods.

The Local Police and Fire Pension Funds do not have formal funding policies. They typically contribute the amounts required by law as outlined in Exhibit 7. For purposes of this projection, any millage amount is assumed to increase by $1 \%$ annually; the premium tax and additional allocation revenues, if any, are changed in proportion to the Net Pension Liability; all other sources are assumed to remain level.

These projections are generated under the guidelines of GASB 67 and the related implementation guide. They should be used solely to determine the single discount rate as defined in the GASB statements. Any implication beyond that determination is beyond the scope of this report.

For the purpose of this valuation, the expected rate of return on pension plan investments is $5.00 \%$; the municipal bond rate is $3.57 \%$; since the Net Position as projected with future income are NOT sufficient to meet benefit payments, the resulting single discount rate is $\mathbf{4 . 2 0 \%}$.

