

Family Preservation Services

An Assessment of Recent DCFS Performance and Outcomes State Fiscal Year 2020

June 2020



Arkansas Department of Human Services Division of Children and Family Services

Contents

Introduction	1
Safety	2
Reports Accepted for a Child Maltreatment Assessment	
Child Maltreatment Investigations	
Preventing Maltreatment Recurrence	
Differential Response Assessments	
In-Home Protective Services Cases	
Discussion	10
Permanency	11
Children in Foster Care	11
Child Removals After Substantiation	13
Performance Metrics on Permanency	14
Discussion	
Well-Being	20
Assessing and Visiting Children and Families	
Discussion	

APPENDIX Tables

The NCCD Children's Research Center is a nonprofit social research organization and a center of the National Council on Crime and Delinquency.

Introduction

Act 906 of the 2001 session of the Arkansas legislature requires the Arkansas Division of Children and Family Services (DCFS) to conduct an annual evaluation of family preservation services. The Act specifies several types of services, including those designed to prevent the removal of children from their homes, facilitate the reunification of families with children who have been removed from their homes, and help children attain safe and appropriate permanent living arrangements in event that reunification does not occur.

These services encompass virtually all activities performed by DCFS in its work with families and children. In this sense, any child or family that DCFS has assessed and/or for whom the agency has opened a case can be said to be in need of family preservation services. This report addresses whether the assistance and services that children and their families receive lead to positive results.

Within this context, the goal of this report is to examine DCFS's performance during Calendar Year 2019 (CY 2019), comparing it to its performance during the preceding two years. Many of the metrics analyzed herein replicate indicators currently monitored by the federal Children's Bureau, while other metrics are commonly used within Arkansas's and other states' child welfare systems. The report metrics are structured around safety, permanency, and well-being.

In addition to assessing statewide performance, individual service areas and counties' ability to keep children safe, help them gain permanency, and promote their well-being are examined; their performance is detailed in the report as well.

Reports Accepted for a Child Maltreatment Assessment

During CY 2019, Arkansas accepted 28,645 child maltreatment reports for an investigation.

Of those investigations, 80% (n=22,955) were assigned to DCFS; the remainder (n=5,690) were assigned to the Arkansas State Police Crimes Against Children Division.

DCFS was also responsible for all 5,367 reports that were accepted for differential response (DR) during the year. The number of DRs received decreased by more than 16% from the previous year (Figure 1).

Of assessments (investigations and DRs combined) assigned to DCFS during CY 2019, 19% originated from Area 1 (Figure 2).

DCFS staff from Area 10 received the fewest total assessments, followed by those in Areas 4 and 7.

Figure 1


Accepted Child Maltreatment Reports Statewide by

Calendar Year



Total Reports Assigned to DCFS by Area (CY 2019)

Figure 2



Note: Two reports did not have a county and area identified for the incident.

Child Maltreatment Investigations

Timeliness of Initiating Investigations

DCFS staff must see alleged victims within 24 hours of receipt of a priority one report and 72 hours of receipt of a priority two report. Agency staff statewide initiated 84% of their investigations on time during CY 2019 (Figure 3).

Areas 8 and 10 initiated at least 90% of their investigations in a timely manner during the year, followed closely by Area 9 at 87% (Figure 4).

Nearly all counties within these three Areas performed well at initiating investigations on time, with the highest initiation rates in Clay, Izard, and Randolph counties (Area 8); Stone, Cleburne, and Woodruff counties (Area 9); and Drew, Chicot, and Desha counties (Area 10).

The lowest timely initiation rate was in Area 6 (76%), which is composed entirely of Pulaski County, and Area 3 (79%). Area 3's performance is attributed largely to Saline (75%) and Garland (76%) counties. Also in Area 3, Perry County (62%) initiated a smaller share of its investigation on time than any other county.

Appendix Table A1 displays, by county, the percentage of investigations with timely initiation.

Figure 3

Timeliness of Initiating Investigations Statewide by

Calendar Year





Figure 4

Timeliness of Initiating Investigations by Area
(CY 2019)



Timeliness of Completing Investigations

Investigations are to be completed within 45 days of receipt of the report. In select instances, a 15-day extension may be granted, resulting in a 60-day completion requirement. DCFS completed 79% of its investigations on time in CY 2019, a decrease from CY 2017 (Figure 5).

As with timely initiation rates, Areas 8, 9, and 10 had the highest timely completion rates. Areas 4 (53%) and 6 (60%) struggled the most to complete their investigations on time during the year (Figure 6).

Virtually all counties in Areas 8, 9, and 10— Independence County (86%) in Area 9 was the lone exception—completed at least 90% of their investigations on time.

All counties in Area 4 except Nevada completed less than two thirds of their investigations on time. Less than half of investigations originating from Columbia, Lafayette, Ouachita, Sevier, and Union counties had timely completion.

Appendix Table A2 displays the percentage of investigations completed on time by county.

Figure 5

Timeliness of Completing Investigations Statewide by Calendar Year





Figure 6

Timeliness of Completing Investigations by Area (CY 2019)



Substantiation of Investigations

DCFS substantiated 21% of its investigations during CY 2019, similar to in previous years (Figure 7).

DCFS staff from Areas 2, 4, 9, and 10 substantiated approximately a quarter (25–26%) of their assigned investigations during CY 2019. The lowest substantiation rates were in Areas 1 and 7 (Figure 8).

Among individual counties, Dallas (11%) and Lonoke (12%) in Area 7 substantiated their investigations at a lower rate than any other county. Meanwhile, the following counties substantiated investigations at the highest rates: Sebastian (Area 2); Hempstead and Little River (Area 4); Baxter, Marion, and Pope (Area 5); Cleveland (Area 7); Cross and Jackson (Area 9); and Lee and Monroe (Area 10).

Appendix Table A3 displays the percentage of substantiated investigations by county.


Percentage of Substantiated DCFS Investigations
Statewide by Calendar Year



Figure 8

Percentage of Substantiated DCFS Investigations by

Area (CY 2019)



Preventing Maltreatment Recurrence

Recurrence of maltreatment is measured by examining all child victims of maltreatment during a 12-month period and identifying how many were victimized within the next 12 months. For example, for CY 2019, all child victims of maltreatment during CY 2018 were tracked to see whether they were victims of a subsequent maltreatment report within 12 months of the initial report.

Just 6% of child victims in Arkansas experienced a recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months of a substantiation for CY 2019 (Figure 9). DCFS met the national standard with respect to the recurrence of maltreatment for each of the past three years. All 10 areas also achieved the national standard (Figure 10).

Of the 75 Arkansas counties, 61 met the standard; Baxter, Boone, Fulton, Hempstead, Jackson, Lawrence, Madison, Newton, Pike, Poinsett, Polk, Searcy, White, and Woodruff counties did not. Most of these counties are sparsely populated. Appendix Table A4 displays the percentage of children who experienced maltreatment recurrence by county.

Figure 9

Recurrence Within 12 Months Statewide by Calendar

Year




Figure 10

Recurrence Within 12 Months by Area (CY 2019)



Differential Response Assessments

Timeliness of Initiating DR Reports

DCFS must see all alleged victims and at least one caregiver within 72 hours for DR referrals. Though the agency improved its timely initiation rate for DRs in 2019, overall, staff have struggled to initiate DRs on time. Arkansas initiated less than 70% of DRs on time for each of the past three years (Figure 11).

Areas 5, 8, and 10 performed comparatively well at initiating DRs; each of those areas initiated at least 85% on time. Meanwhile, Areas 2 and 6 struggled the most (Figure 12).

Only a handful of counties had timely initiation rates of at least 90%: Montgomery County (Area 4); Baxter, Boone, Conway, and Searcy counties (Area 5); Greene and Mississippi counties (Area 8); and Arkansas, Desha, Drew, and Monroe counties (Area 10).

In several counties within Area 2, less than half of DRs were initiated on time, highlighted by the timely initiation rates for Johnson (29%), Yell (31%), Logan (46%) and Franklin (48%) counties.

Appendix Table A5 displays the percentage of timely DRs initiated by county.

Figure 11

Timeliness of Initiating DR Referrals Statewide by

Calendar Year





Figure 12

Timeliness of Initiating DR Referrals by Area (CY 2019)



Timeliness of Completing DR Reports

Reports assigned for DR must be closed within 30 days of receipt of the report. In certain instances when the families' needs necessitate longer involvement, up to two 15-day extensions can be granted, for a total of 60 days.

DCFS completed 80% of DRs on time for CY 2019. This was lower than the previous year but identical to its performance two years ago (Figure 13).

Areas 5 and 8 each completed over 95% of DRs in a timely manner (Figure 14). All counties in these two areas performed well.

The lowest DR completion rates were in Areas 3 (59%) and 10 (58%). Area 3's performance is notable in contrast with its performance one year ago (94%). The completion rate plummeted for each county within the Area.

Area 10 struggled to complete DRs on time despite performing well at initiating and completing investigations and initiating DRs. Specifically, DRs originating from Ashley, Chicot, Desha, and Drew counties were rarely completed on time. Appendix Table A6 displays timely DR completion by county.

Figure 13

Timeliness of DR Referral Completion Statewide by

Calendar Year





Figure 14

Timeliness of DR Referral Completion by Area (CY 2019)



In-Home Protective Services Cases

When a maltreatment report or court order necessitates DCFS involvement with a family but there is not an immediate threat to any child's safety, the agency can provide in-home protective services to the family in which no children are removed from the home.

DCFS was responsible for 2,202 open in-home cases at the end of CY 2019, an increase from the previous year but still a decrease of 8% since CY 2017 (Figure 15). Figure 16 shows the number of in-home cases by area at the end of CY 2019.

The number of in-home cases decreased in six areas from CY 2017, with the largest decreases in Areas 7 (35%) and 10 (34%). The largest increase in in-home cases occurred in Areas 6 (15%) and 1 (13%).

Among counties, the largest net decreases in in-home cases occurred in Lonoke (93 to 31) and Greene (89 to 59) counties; the largest increases occurred in Benton (78 to 147) and Craighead (83 to 124) counties.

Appendix Table A7 reviews the number of in-home protective services cases open at the end of the year by county.

Figure 15

Open In-Home Protective Services Cases Statewide
by Calendar Year





Figure 16

Open In-Home Protective Services Cases by Area
(CY 2019)



Discussion

Strengths

Of the 28,645 child maltreatment reports accepted for investigation in Arkansas during CY 2019, 22,955 (80%) were assigned to DCFS. DCFS was also responsible for all 5,367 reports accepted for DR over the same period.

The rate at which DCFS initiated its investigations continued to improve during CY 2019; agency staff initiated 84% on time during CY 2019. Areas 8, 9, and 10 performed particularly well.

DCFS also performed well at limiting the recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months, bettering the national standard (9.1% or less) for each of the last three years. All 10 areas met the standard for CY 2019.

Areas for Improvement

While DCFS made some strides with respect to initiating DR reports on time (jumping by several percentage points to 68%) during CY 2019, additional improvement is necessary to ensure that the children and families involved in these reports are seen more quickly. Agency staff had particular difficulty with initiating DRs originating from Areas 2 and 6.

DCFS performance at completing timely investigations and DRs during CY 2019 regressed from the previous year, with completion rates of 79% for investigations and 80% for DRs. The agency had particular difficulty completing timely investigations originating from Areas 2 and 6 and timely DRs originating from Areas 3 and 6.

Permanency

Children in Foster Care

The Population

The number of children in foster care decreased by 12% since CY 2017 (Figure 17). The number of children in care has fallen by nearly 1,000 (not shown) since reaching an all-time high during CY 2016. Figure 18 shows children in care by area at the end of CY 2019.

Over the past two years, the population of children in care decreased in seven areas, with the largest decreases in Areas 2 (by 25%), 8 (23%), and 5 (18%). Within these areas, the largest net decreases occurred in Sebastian (683 to 531), Crawford (146 to 85), and Logan (99 to 66) counties for Area 2; Greene (143 to 82) and Craighead (145 to 104) counties for Area 8; and Faulkner (140 to 104) and Boone (65 to 44) counties for Area 5.

The foster child populations increased in Areas 1, 7, and 9. Among counties in these areas, the largest net increases occurred in Benton County (146 to 170) for Area 1, Lonoke County (91 to 118) for Area 7, and Cleburne County (25 to 55) for Area 9.

Appendix Table A8 displays the number of children in care at the end of the year by county.

Figure 17

Children in Foster Care Statewide by Calendar Year





Figure 18

Children in Foster Care by Area (CY 2019)



N = 4,226

Proximity of Children's Placements

Of children in care at the end of CY 2019, 74% were residing in a placement setting either within the same county from which they were removed or a neighboring county, a considerable improvement over previous years (Figure 19).

Eight of 10 areas improved since CY 2017. Areas 1 (87%) and 6 (85%) placed the highest share of children in close proximity to their homes (Figure 20). Within Area 1, more than 89% of the children from Benton, Madison, and Washington counties were placed in close proximity.

At the other end of the spectrum, less than two thirds of children in care from Areas 2, 4, and 10 were placed in close proximity to their homes. Noteworthy counties that struggled within these areas included Crawford (20%) in Area 2, Miller (53%) and Ouachita (56%) in Area 4, and Ashley (54%) and St. Francis (55%) in Area 10.

Appendix Table A9 displays the percentage of foster children placed in (1) their home county and (2) their home county or a neighboring county, by removal county.

Figure 19

Proximity of Child's Placement (Same or Neighboring County) Statewide by Calendar Year




Figure 20

Proximity of Child's Placement (Same or Neighboring County) by Area (CY 2019)



Child Removals After Substantiation

DCFS staff removed 22% of the victim children in response to a true report (i.e., the children were removed from their home either during the investigation or within 10 days of its closure) during CY 2019, lower than the removal rate during CY 2017 (Figure 21).

Areas 4 (27%) and 9 (25%) removed the highest proportion of children in response to a true report during CY 2019 (Figure 22). Area 4's removal rate stemmed largely from Sevier (38%) and Miller (31%) counties; Area 9's was clearly affected by Cross County (52%)

Areas 8 and 10 (17% each) were least likely to remove children in response to a true report. Within Area 8, Craighead County demonstrated restraint with respect to removing children; it removed less than 11% for the second consecutive year. Within Area 10, a removal rate of less than 11% was observed for Desha, Lee, and Phillips counties for the second consecutive year.

Appendix Table A10 displays the percentage of children removed from their homes in response to a true report (i.e., substantiation) by county.

Figure 21

Child Removal After Substantiation Statewide by

Calendar Year





Figure 22

Child Removal After Substantiation by Area (CY 2019)



Performance Metrics on Permanency

Placement With Relatives

DCFS has identified and used relatives as appropriate foster care placements more often in recent years. As of the end of CY 2019, 33% of children in care were in relative placements, meeting the agency's goal (Figure 23).

Areas 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 10 each met the agency's goal (33%), and Areas 2 and 3 (31% each) were close behind. The best-performing counties within these areas were Benton and Madison counties (Area 1), Franklin County (Area 2), Montgomery and Pike counties (Area 3), Columbia and Ouachita counties (Area 4), Conway and Searcy counties (Area 5), Cleveland and Bradley counties (Area 7), Clay and Lawrence counties (Area 8), and Arkansas and Lee counties (Area 10). Meanwhile, the lowest relative placement rate was in Area 6 (20%; Figure 24).

Appendix Table A11 displays the percentage of children in care residing with relatives by county.

Figure 23

Placement With Relatives Statewide by Calendar
Year





Figure 24

Placement With Relatives by Area (CY 2019)



Placement Stability

Placement stability is measured by the rate of placement moves per 1,000 days in care for children who enter care over a 12-month period. The national standard is a rate of 4.12 or less.

For CY 2019, the placement stability rate was 6.32, higher than the previous year but lower than two years ago (Figure 25).

Nearly a quarter (n=18) of Arkansas' 75 counties met the national standard for placement stability. For five of the nine counties in Area 5 where children experienced greater placement stability (5.16) than those entering care from any other area the placement stability rate was less than 4.00. It also warrants mentioning that Saline County (Area 3) and Craighead County (Area 8), the sixth and seventh most populous in the state, excelled at limiting children's moves. Meanwhile, children entering care from Areas 4, 6, 8, 9, and 10 experienced the highest number of moves on average (Figure 26).

Appendix Table A12 displays placement stability rates by county.

Figure 25

Placement Stability Rate Statewide by Calendar

Year





Figure 26

Placement Stability Rate by Area (CY 2019)



Achieving Permanency Within 12 Months

Arkansas consistently exceeds the national standard of 40.5% or more for achieving permanency for children within 12 months of removal (Figure 27). Discharges from foster care due to reunification, relative custody, noncustodial parent custody, guardianship, adoption, and nonrelative custody are considered to be permanent living situations.


Most areas met this standard, with only Areas 2 and 6 narrowly missing it (Figure 28).

The state also exceeded the national standards of 43.6% for children who had been in care for 12 to 23 months and achieved permanency within the subsequent 12 months (59%) and 30.3% for children who had been in care for 24 months or longer and achieved permanency within the ensuing 12 months (41%). All areas met the standard for the 12-to-23-month interval, while all but one area (Area 7) met the standard for the 24-months-or-longer interval.

Appendix Tables A13–15 display the state's performance with respect to achieving permanency for these three intervals by county.


Figure 27

Permanency Within 12 Months of Entering Care
Statewide by Calendar Year



Permanency Within 12 Months of Entering Care by Area (CY 2019)

Figure 28



Preventing Foster Care Reentry

The state met the national standard (8.3% or less) for preventing child reentry into care within 12 months of discharge for CY 2019 (Figure 29).

The statewide reentry rate improved (i.e., decreased) by two percentage points from CY 2017.

Six of the 10 areas met the standard for limiting child reentry into care (Figure 30). Of the remaining four, Area 5's struggles with limiting reentries stemmed largely from Faulkner County (21%), Area 7's from Lonoke County (33%), Area 8's from Greene County (22%), and Area 10's from St. Francis County (40%).

Appendix Table A16 displays foster care reentry rates by county.

Figure 29

Reentries Within 12 Months Statewide by Calendar Year





Figure 30

Reentries Within 12 Months by Area (CY 2019)



Time to Adoption

Adoptions were finalized for 985 children in CY 2019, slightly higher than the number finalized during each of the previous two years (Figure 31).

The average length of time from termination of parental rights (TPR) until adoption finalization was 13 months for CY 2019, nearly two months longer than the average time it took in CY 2017 and CY 2018 (Figure 32).


It took 10 months, on average, to finalize adoptions in Area 10, making it the only area in the state where adoptions were finalized faster than the national average of 11.6 months. Areas 2 and 4 (12 months each) were the next closest. Adoptions finalized in Areas 6 (16 months on average) and 1 (14 months on average) took the longest.

Appendix Table A17 displays the number of finalized adoptions and the average time from TPR to adoption finalization by county.

Figure 31


Number of Finalized Adoptions Statewide by Calendar

Year



Time in Months From TPR to Adoption Finalization Statewide by Calendar Year

Figure 32



Discussion

Strengths

There were 4,226 children in care as of the end of CY 2019, a decrease of nearly 1,000 since the all-time high in CY 2016.

DCFS made considerable improvements with both placing children in care close to the homes from which they were removed and placing children with relatives. Just over 74% of children resided in a foster care setting in either their home county or a neighboring county at the end of CY 2019, compared to 69% for both CY 2017 and CY 2018. Moreover, 33% of children in care were residing with relatives, higher than the relative placement rate during each of the previous two years (29% in CY 2017 and 28% in CY 2018) and thereby matching DCFS's goal.

DCFS did well at moving children in care toward permanency regardless of their length of time in care. For each of the past three years, DCFS met the federal standards with respect to achieving permanency within 12 months for (1) children who enter care during the year, (2) children who had been in care for 12 to 23 months, and (3) children who had been in care for 24 months or longer.

DCFS performed well at preventing children from reentering care within 12 months of discharge. For the second consecutive year, Arkansas met the national standard of 8.3% or less.

Areas for Improvement

Although DCFS has improved the stability of children's foster care placements in recent years, it falls well short of the national standard. The placement stability rate for CY 2019 (6.32) was higher than the preceding year (5.96) but less than the rate two years ago (6.64). None of the 10 areas met the standard for CY 2019; the highest rate of placement moves was in Area 6.

The average length of time from TPR to adoption finalization was 13.2 months for the 985 adoptions finalized during CY 2019, two months longer than the average during each of the previous two years. Thus, Arkansas' performance on this item is less than the national average of 11.6 months.

Assessing and Visiting Children and Families

DCFS must assess the children and families involved in its in-home and foster care cases on an ongoing basis to identify their needs and provide them with appropriate services. DCFS's primary means of assessing and monitoring children and families is consistent face-to-face visits. Caseworkers must visit each child in care in the placement setting once a month; for in-home protective services cases, caseworkers must visit family members in their home at least once a month.

DCFS caseworkers completed 75% of visits to in-home families during CY 2019, an increase of 15 percentage points from its visitation rate in CY 2017 (60%) and closer to DCFS's goal of 85% (Figure 33).

The in-home visitation rate has increased in all 10 areas since CY 2017. Area 4—led by its efforts to see in-home families in Union (96%), Miller (95%), and Hempstead (93%) counties—met DCFS's goal, while Areas 5, 6, 8, 9, and 10 all came very close (Figure 34).

Conversely, staff made less than three-fourths of the required visits to see in-home families in Areas 1, 2, 3, and 7. The in-home visitation rates in Benton County (59%) in Area 1, Sebastian (65%) in Area 2, Saline (51%) in Area 3, and Jefferson (51%) in Area 7 contributed to the below-average performance in those areas (not shown).

Appendix Table A18 displays the agency's performance at visiting in-home families by county.

Figure 33

Caseworker Visitation With In-Home Cases Statewide
by Calendar Year





Figure 34

In-Home Monthly Visits by Area (CY 2019)



Visiting Children in Foster Care

DCFS completed 85% of its required monthly visits to see foster children in their placement during CY 2019, 13 percentage points higher than in CY 2017 (Figure 35).

Areas 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, and 10 met DCFS's goal of 85% for monthly visits to foster children during CY 2019. The remaining four areas completed at least 80% of their required visits to foster children (Figure 36).

Appendix Table A19 displays the agency's rate of visiting children in care by county.

Figure 35

Caseworker Visitation With Foster Children Statewide by Calendar Year





Figure 36

Foster Care Monthly Visits Statewide by Area (CY 2019)



Discussion

Strengths

DCFS improved the rate at which it visited the children and families in its in-home cases during CY 2019. The agency made 75% of its required monthly visits to in-home families, higher than the rates during the preceding two years (60% and 73%, respectively).

DCFS likewise improved the rate at which it visited children in care, culminating in staff completing 85% of their required monthly visits during CY 2019. As a result, the agency met its goal of 85%.

Areas for Improvement

Though DCFS has commendably improved the rate at which it monitors its in-home cases in recent years, further is still needed to reach the in-home visitation goal of 85%. The lowest in-home visitation rates for CY 2019 were in Areas 1, 3, and 7.

Appendix

Tables

		Table A1				
Percentage of Investigations With Timely Initiation						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Benton	88.4%	91.9%	87.6%		
A 1	Carroll	88.3%	87.0%	85.0%		
Area 1	Madison	82.4%	86.8%	81.5%		
	Washington	87.3%	84.5%	79.6%		
Area 1 Tota	ı	87.7%	88.1%	83.7%		
	Crawford	73.8%	85.4%	92.9%		
	Franklin	68.4%	83.3%	92.1%		
	Johnson	68.2%	76.7%	87.7%		
Area 2	Logan	79.9%	51.2%	81.2%		
	Scott	60.5%	69.1%	82.1%		
	Sebastian	74.5%	63.1%	82.1%		
	Yell	78.2%	67.1%	77.7%		
Area 2 Tota	ıl	73.5%	70.2%	85.2%		
	Clark	93.8%	91.3%	86.2%		
	Garland	89.5%	89.0%	76.2%		
	Hot Spring	93.0%	90.9%	85.2%		
	Howard	95.0%	97.4%	88.0%		
Area 3	Montgomery	94.4%	95.1%	96.9%		
	Perry	81.5%	76.1%	61.5%		
	Pike	93.9%	91.1%	89.9%		
	Polk	87.1%	91.3%	91.0%		
	Saline	88.8%	80.3%	75.2%		
Area 3 Tota	ı	90.0%	86.9%	79.3%		
	Columbia	83.6%	78.5%	90.5%		
	Hempstead	86.8%	85.7%	82.9%		
	Lafayette	78.4%	66.0%	91.5%		
	Little River	70.7%	79.5%	88.9%		
4 Total	Miller	66.8%	67.9%	86.6%		
	Nevada	82.5%	91.7%	89.7%		
	Ouachita	68.7%	79.9%	74.3%		
	Sevier	79.2%	84.3%	93.3%		
	Union	79.4%	72.8%	79.5%		
Area 4 Tota	I	75.1%	75.9%	84.6%		

		Table A1					
Percentage of Investigations With Timely Initiation							
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019			
	Baxter	88.3%	88.6%	91.4%			
	Boone	79.2%	84.4%	90.2%			
	Conway	70.7%	74.7%	88.2%			
	Faulkner	50.2%	64.4%	77.0%			
Area 5	Marion	79.6%	94.7%	95.4%			
	Newton	73.5%	85.7%	92.3%			
	Pope	88.7%	83.8%	90.2%			
	Searcy	40.0%	47.6%	72.3%			
	Van Buren	48.3%	57.4%	74.2%			
Area 5 Tota	al	69.4%	75.7%	84.3%			
Area 6	Pulaski	72.8%	76.3%	75.9%			
Area 6 Tota	al	72.8%	76.3%	75.9%			
	Bradley	63.8%	77.9%	79.7%			
	Calhoun	71.4%	60.7%	66.7%			
	Cleveland	62.1%	79.4%	69.4%			
	Dallas	89.2%	78.9%	73.3%			
Area 7	Grant	46.5%	81.5%	84.6%			
	Jefferson	59.2%	47.0%	65.2%			
	Lincoln	60.5%	74.2%	86.4%			
	Lonoke	80.7%	77.2%	94.9%			
	Prairie	71.6%	77.3%	98.1%			
Area 7 Tota	al	70.0%	69.7%	83.0%			
	Clay	99.2%	99.3%	96.1%			
	Craighead	92.9%	89.6%	88.0%			
	Fulton	90.7%	89.0%	91.3%			
	Greene	83.2%	80.5%	86.9%			
Area 8	Izard	90.7%	88.4%	94.0%			
	Lawrence	81.1%	87.4%	89.9%			
	Mississippi	88.9%	93.5%	92.1%			
	Randolph	94.6%	94.0%	94.0%			
	Sharp	84.3%	92.0%	93.4%			
Area 8 Tota	al	89.1%	89.0%	89.8%			

		Table A1				
Percentage of Investigations With Timely Initiation						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Cleburne	97.1%	94.4%	97.0%		
	Crittenden	74.9%	82.2%	74.1%		
	Cross	80.2%	85.8%	87.4%		
	Independence	81.3%	93.3%	92.4%		
Area 9	Jackson	94.5%	94.2%	91.4%		
	Poinsett	79.6%	86.3%	87.5%		
	Stone	92.1%	94.0%	98.9%		
	White	87.0%	82.2%	85.8%		
	Woodruff	85.2%	89.8%	94.6%		
Area 9 Tota	al	84.1%	86.9%	87.1%		
	Arkansas	91.2%	94.0%	93.7%		
	Ashley	94.0%	91.6%	92.9%		
	Chicot	81.9%	96.2%	96.6%		
	Desha	90.9%	87.8%	96.2%		
Area 10	Drew	95.3%	94.9%	97.0%		
	Lee	70.0%	87.8%	86.0%		
	Monroe	75.8%	86.2%	91.1%		
	Phillips	80.5%	88.1%	90.4%		
	St. Francis	81.6%	91.4%	91.4%		
Area 10 To	tal	85.6%	91.3%	93.1%		
TOTAL		80.5%	81.6%	84.0%		

		Table A2				
Percentage of Investigations With Timely Completion						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Benton	97.8%	96.9%	91.2%		
	Carroll	92.1%	84.9%	89.1%		
Area 1	Madison	87.6%	89.6%	83.2%		
	Washington	95.6%	78.9%	75.5%		
Area 1 Tota	Į į	96.1%	87.9%	83.9%		
	Crawford	67.6%	94.8%	95.0%		
	Franklin	80.9%	70.8%	95.0%		
	Johnson	72.5%	99.1%	100.0%		
Area 2	Logan	41.2%	51.6%	86.0%		
	Scott	34.6%	62.7%	69.2%		
	Sebastian	71.3%	82.1%	46.4%		
	Yell	72.5%	37.0%	70.9%		
Area 2 Tota	l	67.8%	79.8%	70.7%		
	Clark	95.3%	93.3%	97.1%		
	Garland	92.6%	75.3%	54.2%		
	Hot Spring	94.0%	98.6%	94.3%		
	Howard	98.0%	93.6%	97.6%		
Area 3	Montgomery	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
	Perry	98.5%	88.7%	50.8%		
	Pike	97.6%	100.0%	95.7%		
	Polk	89.8%	96.0%	89.1%		
	Saline	91.1%	79.6%	64.5%		
Area 3 Tota	I	93.0%	83.7%	70.2%		
	Columbia	50.0%	42.1%	47.4%		
	Hempstead	84.2%	86.5%	66.0%		
	Lafayette	51.4%	53.2%	44.7%		
	Little River	41.5%	26.0%	51.4%		
Area 4	Miller	52.1%	50.0%	60.0%		
	Nevada	91.2%	83.3%	79.4%		
	Ouachita	66.5%	58.0%	38.0%		
	Sevier	39.6%	27.8%	41.0%		
	Union	75.3%	60.2%	47.9%		
Area 4 Tota	l	61.5%	54.8%	52.9%		

Table A2						
Percentage of Investigations With Timely Completion						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Baxter	86.1%	85.3%	79.2%		
	Boone	76.1%	82.5%	87.7%		
	Conway	70.7%	85.6%	89.6%		
	Faulkner	81.7%	86.8%	61.7%		
Area 5	Marion	79.6%	98.2%	92.3%		
	Newton	73.5%	76.2%	94.9%		
	Pope	89.5%	67.8%	77.1%		
	Searcy	60.0%	61.9%	73.8%		
	Van Buren	66.0%	50.0%	63.6%		
Area 5 Tota	al	80.2%	80.0%	74.3%		
Area 6	Pulaski	84.7%	79.6%	59.8%		
Area 6 Tota	al	84.7%	79.6%	59.8%		
	Bradley	76.8%	70.6%	87.5%		
	Calhoun	95.2%	92.9%	83.3%		
	Cleveland	69.0%	79.4%	72.2%		
	Dallas	93.8%	98.9%	88.9%		
Area 7	Grant	76.7%	93.3%	91.5%		
	Jefferson	77.5%	59.4%	58.4%		
	Lincoln	64.0%	81.8%	69.7%		
	Lonoke	97.9%	97.9%	99.7%		
	Prairie	94.0%	98.5%	100.0%		
Area 7 Tota	al '	87.2%	85.4%	84.8%		
	Clay	99.2%	100.0%	99.2%		
	Craighead	99.8%	99.9%	95.6%		
	Fulton	93.8%	85.0%	99.0%		
	Greene	79.0%	93.1%	96.6%		
Area 8	Izard	76.7%	85.7%	98.0%		
	Lawrence	85.1%	88.1%	97.6%		
	Mississippi	97.9%	87.7%	99.2%		
	Randolph	96.6%	98.8%	99.4%		
	Sharp	64.7%	93.3%	95.2%		
Area 8 Tota		90.5%	94.5%	97.0%		

Table A2							
Percentage of Investigations With Timely Completion							
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019			
	Cleburne	98.8%	95.5%	100.0%			
	Crittenden	91.0%	99.4%	92.6%			
	Cross	84.5%	95.0%	95.3%			
	Independence	94.3%	91.2%	86.2%			
Area 9	Jackson	93.0%	97.4%	94.4%			
	Poinsett	87.8%	86.3%	89.9%			
	Stone	96.1%	98.0%	96.7%			
	White	83.9%	92.6%	91.5%			
	Woodruff	77.0%	98.3%	91.9%			
Area 9 Tota	l	89.0%	93.5%	91.9%			
	Arkansas	98.2%	99.2%	97.6%			
	Ashley	87.9%	95.8%	99.1%			
	Chicot	95.8%	100.0%	96.6%			
	Desha	93.9%	96.3%	100.0%			
Area 10	Drew	91.5%	98.3%	99.2%			
	Lee	98.0%	100.0%	97.7%			
	Monroe	98.4%	96.6%	97.8%			
	Phillips	98.7%	100.0%	100.0%			
	St. Francis	97.3%	99.4%	99.3%			
Area 10 Tot	al	95.5%	98.4%	98.9%			
TOTAL		85.6%	84.4%	78.6%			

		Table A3				
Percentage of Investigations Substantiated						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Benton	13.6%	12.1%	14.1%		
Area 1	Carroll	16.8%	23.4%	20.1%		
Area I	Madison	22.4%	33.0%	26.0%		
	Washington	16.7%	16.8%	16.1%		
Area 1 Tota	I	15.5%	15.7%	15.8%		
	Crawford	24.9%	19.1%	21.5%		
	Franklin	29.6%	32.9%	23.4%		
	Johnson	32.2%	25.6%	13.2%		
Area 2	Logan	29.9%	21.9%	16.4%		
	Scott	29.6%	20.2%	23.4%		
	Sebastian	25.9%	25.8%	30.2%		
	Yell	22.5%	24.7%	24.6%		
Area 2 Tota	I	26.7%	23.9%	24.6%		
	Clark	20.9%	26.0%	20.3%		
	Garland	21.2%	22.5%	28.1%		
	Hot Spring	18.9%	18.1%	24.0%		
	Howard	17.0%	15.4%	21.7%		
Area 3	Montgomery	11.1%	18.0%	20.3%		
	Perry	26.2%	18.3%	20.0%		
	Pike	23.2%	25.0%	20.3%		
	Polk	23.1%	16.1%	21.2%		
	Saline	14.8%	13.0%	16.1%		
Area 3 Tota	I	19.0%	18.5%	22.2%		
	Columbia	31.0%	21.5%	25.9%		
	Hempstead	24.3%	22.0%	30.5%		
	Lafayette	18.9%	10.6%	23.4%		
	Little River	19.5%	28.8%	31.9%		
Area 4	Miller	18.9%	21.2%	24.0%		
	Nevada	19.3%	16.7%	25.0%		
	Ouachita	36.9%	22.5%	28.8%		
	Sevier	34.9%	29.6%	20.0%		
	Union	19.0%	22.4%	18.5%		
Area 4 Tota	ıl	24.2%	22.2%	24.7%		

		Table A3					
Percentage of Investigations Substantiated							
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019			
	Baxter	23.9%	25.2%	29.7%			
	Boone	22.5%	30.7%	27.1%			
	Conway	25.1%	25.3%	27.1%			
	Faulkner	20.1%	18.7%	16.5%			
Area 5	Marion	24.6%	30.1%	33.1%			
	Newton	26.5%	31.0%	17.9%			
	Pope	22.4%	25.5%	29.2%			
	Searcy	15.4%	28.6%	20.0%			
	Van Buren	28.7%	12.5%	15.2%			
Area 5 Tota	al	22.5%	23.6%	23.1%			
Area 6	Pulaski	17.0%	16.6%	19.1%			
Area 6 Tota	al	17.0%	16.6%	19.1%			
	Bradley	30.4%	14.7%	23.4%			
	Calhoun	19.0%	21.4%	20.8%			
	Cleveland	31.0%	32.4%	38.9%			
	Dallas	18.5%	18.9%	11.1%			
Area 7	Grant	20.2%	13.3%	22.2%			
	Jefferson	14.6%	16.9%	22.8%			
	Lincoln	16.3%	21.2%	24.2%			
	Lonoke	17.9%	15.8%	12.3%			
	Prairie	13.4%	9.1%	22.2%			
Area 7 Tota	al _.	17.9%	16.4%	18.0%			
	Clay	12.9%	12.7%	16.5%			
	Craighead	22.3%	30.1%	25.0%			
	Fulton	36.1%	31.0%	22.1%			
	Greene	20.5%	19.5%	23.0%			
Area 8	Izard	25.6%	32.1%	23.0%			
	Lawrence	13.5%	18.5%	13.7%			
	Mississippi	18.8%	19.8%	19.2%			
	Randolph	16.2%	24.0%	27.7%			
	Sharp	30.1%	26.4%	19.2%			
Area 8 Tota	al	21.1%	24.4%	22.3%			

		Table A3				
Percentage of Investigations Substantiated						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Cleburne	29.7%	24.2%	19.8%		
	Crittenden	21.4%	31.1%	25.1%		
	Cross	19.0%	28.4%	31.5%		
	Independence	21.4%	22.6%	26.7%		
Area 9	Jackson	29.7%	26.0%	31.5%		
	Poinsett	16.2%	25.6%	27.0%		
	Stone	21.1%	24.0%	22.2%		
	White	21.9%	19.1%	21.8%		
	Woodruff	19.7%	33.9%	21.6%		
Area 9 Tota	nl	21.7%	24.3%	24.9%		
	Arkansas	13.3%	15.8%	21.3%		
	Ashley	27.6%	30.3%	20.5%		
	Chicot	27.8%	15.1%	27.6%		
	Desha	13.6%	19.5%	20.5%		
Area 10	Drew	21.7%	22.9%	21.8%		
	Lee	28.0%	18.4%	37.2%		
	Monroe	24.2%	29.3%	46.7%		
	Phillips	36.2%	25.7%	28.0%		
	St. Francis	33.3%	23.4%	27.0%		
Area 10 To	tal	26.2%	22.7%	25.7%		
TOTAL		20.4%	20.4%	21.3%		

Table A4

Percentage of Child Maltreatment Victims Who Experienced a Recurrence Within 12 Months of Initial Report

National Standard: 9.1%					
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019	
	Benton	5.7%	3.1%	5.3%	
Area 1	Carroll	13.6%	6.8%	7.7%	
Area i	Madison	1.7%	6.1%	14.9%	
	Washington	4.0%	7.4%	6.2%	
Area 1 Total		5.1%	5.7%	6.7%	
	Crawford	6.1%	7.9%	2.8%	
	Franklin	8.3%	5.1%	2.7%	
	Johnson	9.1%	6.3%	1.4%	
Area 2	Logan	2.3%	5.0%	6.9%	
	Scott	9.8%	10.0%	9.1%	
	Sebastian	3.1%	5.2%	3.2%	
	Yell	3.9%	1.8%	5.8%	
Area 2 Total		4.8%	5.8%	3.7%	
	Clark	0.0%	21.1%	5.8%	
	Garland	4.8%	2.6%	5.6%	
	Hot Spring	6.2%	4.6%	5.9%	
	Howard	7.1%	3.2%	0.0%	
Area 3	Montgomery	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	
	Perry	9.1%	3.2%	0.0%	
	Pike	5.7%	25.0%	20.0%	
	Polk	10.2%	5.7%	15.2%	
	Saline	4.2%	5.5%	4.4%	
Area 3 Total		5.1%	5.6%	6.0%	
	Columbia	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	
	Hempstead	7.4%	0.0%	9.5%	
	Lafayette	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Little River	0.0%	11.8%	0.0%	
Area 4	Miller	5.0%	0.0%	3.1%	
	Nevada	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Ouachita	3.8%	7.1%	2.9%	
	Sevier	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	
	Union	3.9%	2.5%	0.0%	
Area 4 Total		3.4%	3.4%	2.6%	

Table A4

Percentage of Child Maltreatment Victims Who Experienced a Recurrence Within 12 Months of Initial Report

National Standard: 9.1% Area **County CY 2017 CY 2018 CY 2019** Baxter 8.2% 5.9% 17.9% 9.4% Boone 14.5% 13.8% 10.5% 2.4% 5.1% Conway Faulkner 4.3% 4.9% 6.0% Area 5 Marion 8.9% 32.1% 3.9% Newton 8.3% 35.3% 20.0% 5.2% Pope 5.3% 4.7% Searcy 4.4% 13.3% 36.8% Van Buren 7.1% 7.5% 9.1% Area 5 Total 7.8% 8.7% 9.0% Pulaski 3.4% Area 6 2.4% 3.4% **Area 6 Total** 2.4% 3.4% 3.4% **Bradley** 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Calhoun 0.0% 6.3% 0.0% Cleveland 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% **Dallas** 5.6% 0.0% 0.0% Area 7 Grant 6.0% 0.0% 3.3% Jefferson 0.8% 2.4% 5.8% Lincoln 0.0% 0.0% 7.4% Lonoke 3.3% 5.4% 1.5% Prairie 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% **Area 7 Total** 2.3% 3.0% 3.0% 4.7% 0.0% 3.7% Clay Craighead 3.0% 4.4% 5.7% **Fulton** 7.4% 0.0% 20.0% Greene 10.1% 5.9% 3.3% Area 8 4.8% Izard 8.3% 3.5% Lawrence 0.0% 3.0% 15.2% 3.8% 1.5% 4.6% Mississippi Randolph 6.4% 0.0% 4.9% 4.6% 9.7% 6.0% Sharp **Area 8 Total** 5.5% 4.4% 5.9%

Table A4

Percentage of Child Maltreatment Victims Who Experienced a Recurrence Within 12 Months of Initial Report

							0.7
N.	atio	nal	3.0	3n/	1arc	ı٠ (U /2

Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019
	Cleburne	14.5%	5.3%	6.0%
	Crittenden	1.8%	2.0%	2.2%
	Cross	3.7%	5.9%	7.3%
	Independence	2.8%	5.2%	8.0%
Area 9	Jackson	7.1%	12.7%	16.7%
	Poinsett	6.3%	3.9%	10.4%
	Stone	10.0%	8.0%	4.2%
	White	3.4%	14.8%	11.7%
	Woodruff	0.0%	6.7%	16.2%
Area 9 Total		5.1%	7.9%	8.8%
	Arkansas	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
	Ashley	10.4%	9.4%	0.0%
	Chicot	1.6%	2.9%	0.0%
	Desha	3.2%	0.0%	4.4%
Area 10	Drew	8.2%	18.0%	2.4%
	Lee	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%
	Monroe	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Phillips	2.9%	4.3%	0.0%
	St. Francis	1.4%	2.6%	5.3%
Area 10 Total		3.8%	5.3%	1.8%
TOTAL		4.9%	5.6%	5.7%

Table A5				
	Percenta	age of DR Reports With T	imely Initiation	
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019
	Benton	71.2%	56.2%	63.6%
Area 1	Carroll	68.7%	61.8%	58.4%
Area I	Madison	68.8%	57.9%	77.4%
	Washington	69.6%	56.8%	68.6%
Area 1 Total		70.2%	56.9%	65.9%
	Crawford	59.3%	38.6%	53.8%
	Franklin	51.4%	25.7%	47.6%
	Johnson	69.8%	41.2%	29.1%
Area 2	Logan	39.3%	22.0%	45.6%
	Scott	76.2%	52.6%	71.4%
	Sebastian	72.1%	73.6%	72.2%
	Yell	51.9%	38.1%	30.6%
Area 2 Total		63.2%	52.8%	57.0%
	Clark	88.1%	81.8%	81.5%
	Garland	87.0%	82.9%	75.6%
	Hot Spring	77.6%	79.5%	71.9%
	Howard	90.0%	80.0%	73.3%
Area 3	Montgomery	82.4%	68.8%	90.9%
	Perry	36.8%	45.8%	46.2%
	Pike	92.3%	83.3%	72.7%
	Polk	78.9%	65.6%	75.5%
	Saline	59.4%	67.6%	58.2%
Area 3 Total		76.0%	75.0%	69.3%
	Columbia	46.4%	80.0%	69.0%
	Hempstead	60.7%	67.4%	67.7%
	Lafayette	44.4%	80.0%	88.9%
	Little River	77.8%	94.7%	46.2%
Area 4	Miller	86.4%	72.5%	81.3%
	Nevada	60.0%	84.2%	75.0%
	Ouachita	60.6%	65.9%	71.0%
	Sevier	92.6%	87.5%	80.0%
	Union	65.0%	76.8%	68.3%
Area 4 Total		70.9%	75.9%	74.1%

		Table A5		
	Percenta	nge of DR Reports With T	imely Initiation	
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019
	Baxter	89.2%	95.9%	90.3%
	Boone	84.5%	88.0%	92.3%
	Conway	91.3%	88.1%	94.9%
	Faulkner	65.5%	75.1%	85.3%
Area 5	Marion	96.6%	92.3%	88.9%
	Newton	100.0%	100.0%	84.6%
	Pope	81.0%	82.5%	85.3%
	Searcy	81.8%	69.2%	94.7%
	Van Buren	60.6%	79.2%	86.2%
Area 5 Tota	I	78.9%	83.6%	88.3%
Area 6	Pulaski	52.7%	51.1%	42.3%
Area 6 Tota	I	52.7%	51.1%	42.3%
	Bradley	20.0%	57.1%	43.8%
	Calhoun	25.0%	40.0%	75.0%
	Cleveland	7.7%	50.0%	57.1%
	Dallas	31.3%	22.2%	60.0%
Area 7	Grant	65.0%	66.7%	64.0%
	Jefferson	25.5%	33.3%	40.0%
	Lincoln	40.0%	53.8%	36.4%
	Lonoke	68.5%	65.0%	76.6%
	Prairie	75.0%	63.2%	66.7%
Area 7 Tota	<u> </u>	47.0%	54.4%	62.3%
	Clay	68.2%	83.3%	86.7%
	Craighead	65.7%	77.3%	86.2%
	Fulton	40.0%	56.0%	84.6%
	Greene	74.8%	93.1%	96.1%
Area 8	Izard	54.1%	57.7%	88.0%
	Lawrence	62.0%	85.4%	75.7%
	Mississippi	70.3%	83.6%	91.1%
	Randolph	67.6%	85.2%	82.6%
	Sharp	43.8%	55.9%	85.7%
Area 8 Tota	 I	66.3%	81.4%	88.8%

Table A5						
Percentage of DR Reports With Timely Initiation						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Cleburne	67.4%	39.6%	46.9%		
	Crittenden	37.2%	39.2%	57.7%		
	Cross	47.6%	53.6%	75.0%		
	Independence	63.5%	34.4%	55.1%		
Area 9	Jackson	56.3%	50.0%	69.6%		
	Poinsett	47.6%	55.7%	71.8%		
	Stone	67.9%	79.2%	51.9%		
	White	63.2%	38.3%	60.5%		
	Woodruff	30.0%	50.0%	40.0%		
Area 9 Total		55.9%	44.6%	60.7%		
	Arkansas	82.4%	87.5%	96.4%		
	Ashley	70.8%	93.1%	75.0%		
	Chicot	76.9%	88.2%	76.2%		
	Desha	88.9%	70.4%	93.8%		
Area 10	Drew	90.5%	86.4%	90.9%		
	Lee	75.0%	90.0%	80.0%		
	Monroe	76.9%	85.7%	100.0%		
	Phillips	71.9%	68.2%	81.3%		
	St. Francis	86.4%	100.0%	80.0%		
Area 10 Tota	al	79.8%	85.1%	84.8%		
TOTAL		65.4%	63.2%	68.0%		

		Table A6				
	Percentage of DR Reports With Timely Completion					
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Benton	76.9%	94.1%	85.7%		
A 1	Carroll	76.1%	92.1%	80.5%		
Area 1	Madison	71.9%	97.4%	71.0%		
	Washington	64.1%	95.1%	86.1%		
Area 1 Total		71.0%	94.6%	85.1%		
	Crawford	89.8%	70.3%	79.8%		
	Franklin	77.1%	62.9%	76.2%		
	Johnson	88.7%	80.9%	65.5%		
Area 2	Logan	48.2%	63.4%	70.2%		
	Scott	95.2%	97.4%	100.0%		
	Sebastian	88.8%	98.5%	94.9%		
	Yell	44.4%	59.5%	61.1%		
Area 2 Total		81.9%	83.5%	83.1%		
	Clark	95.2%	97.0%	40.7%		
	Garland	99.0%	95.7%	56.7%		
	Hot Spring	92.1%	93.2%	54.7%		
	Howard	95.0%	92.0%	43.3%		
Area 3	Montgomery	100.0%	93.8%	36.4%		
	Perry	94.7%	100.0%	76.9%		
	Pike	100.0%	95.8%	31.8%		
	Polk	97.4%	96.7%	46.9%		
	Saline	85.5%	88.6%	73.6%		
Area 3 Total		93.7%	93.7%	58.6%		
	Columbia	32.1%	80.0%	55.2%		
	Hempstead	60.7%	71.7%	83.9%		
	Lafayette	44.4%	100.0%	88.9%		
	Little River	83.3%	94.7%	76.9%		
Area 4	Miller	71.6%	93.8%	90.2%		
	Nevada	73.3%	78.9%	65.0%		
	Ouachita	60.6%	75.6%	67.7%		
	Sevier	100.0%	84.4%	100.0%		
	Union	60.0%	85.5%	73.0%		
Area 4 Total		66.0%	84.2%	80.7%		

Table A6				
	Percentac	ge of DR Reports With Tin	nely Completion	
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019
	Baxter	92.3%	98.6%	96.8%
	Boone	96.4%	95.7%	93.6%
	Conway	100.0%	95.2%	100.0%
	Faulkner	99.4%	99.4%	94.4%
Area 5	Marion	86.2%	96.2%	100.0%
	Newton	100.0%	100.0%	92.3%
	Pope	99.1%	98.2%	96.1%
	Searcy	90.9%	100.0%	94.7%
	Van Buren	100.0%	100.0%	96.6%
Area 5 Total		97.3%	98.1%	95.7%
Area 6	Pulaski	93.1%	89.1%	66.1%
Area 6 Total		93.1%	89.1%	66.1%
	Bradley	66.7%	78.6%	81.3%
	Calhoun	75.0%	80.0%	100.0%
	Cleveland	23.1%	63.6%	85.7%
	Dallas	100.0%	100.0%	90.0%
Area 7	Grant	80.0%	55.6%	88.0%
	Jefferson	86.2%	55.9%	81.1%
	Lincoln	33.3%	76.9%	63.6%
	Lonoke	47.6%	61.4%	87.7%
	Prairie	58.3%	68.4%	95.8%
Area 7 Total		63.9%	62.7%	85.8%
	Clay	93.2%	83.3%	96.7%
	Craighead	94.9%	97.3%	97.3%
	Fulton	90.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Greene	98.7%	88.3%	88.9%
Area 8	Izard	94.6%	100.0%	100.0%
	Lawrence	96.0%	93.8%	100.0%
	Mississippi	87.0%	93.6%	100.0%
	Randolph	94.6%	96.3%	95.7%
	Sharp	81.3%	100.0%	100.0%
Area 8 Total		93.4%	93.7%	96.3%

Table A6 Percentage of DR Reports With Timely Completion					
	Cleburne	34.9%	49.1%	81.3%	
	Crittenden	39.7%	45.9%	59.2%	
	Cross	57.1%	46.4%	57.1%	
	Independence	47.3%	73.8%	73.9%	
Area 9	Jackson	18.8%	70.6%	91.3%	
	Poinsett	37.8%	46.4%	61.2%	
	Stone	78.6%	91.7%	88.9%	
	White	31.9%	46.7%	76.7%	
	Woodruff	20.0%	43.8%	50.0%	
Area 9 Total		39.4%	52.9%	71.4%	
	Arkansas	100.0%	100.0%	96.4%	
	Ashley	41.7%	58.6%	8.3%	
	Chicot	61.5%	35.3%	9.5%	
	Desha	61.1%	33.3%	12.5%	
Area 10	Drew	71.4%	31.8%	13.6%	
	Lee	100.0%	100.0%	90.0%	
	Monroe	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	Phillips	96.9%	100.0%	96.9%	
	St. Francis	95.5%	89.5%	100.0%	
Area 10 Tota	l	79.8%	70.3%	58.2%	
TOTAL		80.1%	85.7%	79.6%	

		Table A7				
	Number of In-Home Protective Services Cases at End of Year					
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Benton	78	98	147		
	Carroll	16	17	16		
Area 1	Madison	25	23	14		
	Washington	173	142	152		
Area 1 Tota	I	292	280	329		
	Crawford	69	56	80		
	Franklin	16	27	21		
	Johnson	33	8	15		
Area 2	Logan	40	25	19		
	Scott	8	14	9		
	Sebastian	118	91	137		
	Yell	17	19	21		
Area 2 Tota	I	301	240	302		
	Clark	15	8	12		
	Garland	81	72	79		
	Hot Spring	18	23	25		
	Howard	4	5	8		
Area 3	Montgomery	5	1	3		
	Perry	8	12	5		
	Pike	5	5	5		
	Polk	17	10	7		
	Saline	35	44	61		
Area 3 Tota	I	188	180	205		
	Columbia	22	14	18		
	Hempstead	23	21	31		
	Lafayette	1	2	7		
	Little River	12	9	13		
Area 4	Miller	45	29	37		
	Nevada	16	6	9		
	Ouachita	32	12	19		
	Sevier	18	15	19		
	Union	24	15	10		
Area 4 Tota	I	193	123	163		

		Table A7		
	Number of In-	-Home Protective Service:	s Cases at End of Year	
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019
	Baxter	41	41	25
	Boone	43	40	40
	Conway	29	20	20
	Faulkner	74	55	46
Area 5	Marion	16	26	20
	Newton	10	7	4
	Pope	39	42	39
	Searcy	7	3	6
	Van Buren	9	8	5
Area 5 Tota	1	268	242	205
Area 6	Pulaski	224	191	257
Area 6 Tota	1	224	191	257
	Bradley	6	1	5
	Calhoun	0	3	4
	Cleveland	7	2	2
	Dallas	2	5	4
Area 7	Grant	15	4	2
	Jefferson	55	49	66
	Lincoln	6	2	2
	Lonoke	93	36	31
	Prairie	8	4	7
Area 7 Tota	ı	192	106	123
	Clay	13	10	12
	Craighead	83	99	124
	Fulton	20	8	13
	Greene	89	36	59
Area 8	Izard	15	10	16
	Lawrence	15	22	11
	Mississippi	30	35	31
	Randolph	20	16	20
	Sharp	31	13	17
Area 8 Tota	ıl	316	249	303

Table A7						
Number of In-Home Protective Services Cases at End of Year						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Cleburne	27	13	15		
	Crittenden	48	35	31		
	Cross	14	8	10		
	Independence	37	20	36		
Area 9	Jackson	20	18	10		
	Poinsett	20	23	21		
	Stone	13	15	13		
	White	96	76	75		
	Woodruff	8	14	6		
Area 9 Tota]	283	222	217		
	Arkansas	10	5	8		
	Ashley	18	14	8		
	Chicot	13	5	1		
	Desha	6	8	9		
Area 10	Drew	11	7	8		
	Lee	6	2	6		
	Monroe	9	4	5		
	Phillips	37	30	22		
	St. Francis	39	22	31		
Area 10 Tot	al	149	97	98		
STATEWIDE	TOTAL	2,406	1,930	2,202		

	Table A8					
Number of Children in Care at End of Year						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Benton	146	128	170		
A 4	Carroll	40	59	57		
Area 1	Madison	51	52	64		
	Washington	310	307	274		
Area 1 Total		547	546	565		
	Crawford	146	115	85		
	Franklin	38	28	23		
	Johnson	50	45	30		
Area 2	Logan	99	78	66		
	Scott	41	44	41		
	Sebastian	683	529	531		
	Yell	55	42	59		
Area 2 Total		1,112	881	835		
	Clark	30	11	13		
	Garland	122	130	152		
	Hot Spring	74	55	36		
	Howard	8	5	5		
Area 3	Montgomery	6	6	2		
	Perry	11	6	5		
	Pike	21	25	23		
	Polk	36	36	41		
	Saline	71	62	90		
Area 3 Total		379	336	367		
	Columbia	51	28	24		
	Hempstead	14	18	23		
	Lafayette	12	7	8		
	Little River	16	22	25		
Area 4	Miller	93	85	90		
	Nevada	2	8	6		
	Ouachita	35	38	29		
	Sevier	31	33	25		
	Union	65	40	50		
Area 4 Total		319	279	280		

		Table A8				
Number of Children in Care at End of Year						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Baxter	39	28	44		
	Boone	65	43	40		
	Conway	77	47	58		
	Faulkner	140	114	104		
Area 5	Marion	13	13	13		
	Newton	9	2	2		
	Pope	55	56	65		
	Searcy	6	7	12		
	Van Buren	23	18	14		
Area 5 Total		427	328	352		
Area 6	Pulaski	459	372	386		
Area 6 Total		459	372	386		
	Bradley	11	10	9		
	Calhoun	4	2	0		
	Cleveland	8	16	9		
	Dallas	18	15	9		
Area 7	Grant	27	24	18		
	Jefferson	77	82	86		
	Lincoln	5	3	12		
	Lonoke	91	121	118		
	Prairie	4	4	4		
Area 7 Total		245	277	265		
	Clay	24	19	17		
	Craighead	145	130	104		
	Fulton	43	34	28		
	Greene	143	114	82		
Area 8	Izard	33	25	20		
	Lawrence	32	23	30		
	Mississippi	61	94	89		
	Randolph	31	43	32		
	Sharp	54	44	34		
Area 8 Total		566	526	436		

	Table A8					
Number of Children in Care at End of Year						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Cleburne	25	36	55		
	Crittenden	74	89	59		
	Cross	40	49	57		
	Independence	56	72	76		
Area 9	Jackson	63	50	53		
	Poinsett	132	114	89		
	Stone	14	19	17		
	White	98	103	106		
	Woodruff	25	24	30		
Area 9 Total	ļ	527	556	542		
	Arkansas	18	23	28		
	Ashley	22	25	22		
	Chicot	27	18	22		
	Desha	9	4	4		
Area 10	Drew	42	31	24		
	Lee	11	7	6		
	Monroe	14	21	19		
	Phillips	50	37	25		
	St. Francis	43	43	48		
Area 10 Tota	al	236	209	198		
STATEWIDE	TOTAL	4,817	4,310	4,226		

Table A9								
	Percentage of Children in Care Placed in Close Proximity to Home County as of End of the Year							
		C	Y 2017	C	Y 2018	CY 2019		
Area	County	Placed in Same County	Placed in Same or Neighboring County	Placed in Same County	Placed in Same or Neighboring County	Placed in Same County	Placed in Same or Neighboring County	
	Benton	69.7%	85.3%	62.7%	83.1%	70.4%	89.6%	
	Carroll	45.5%	69.7%	36.7%	65.3%	48.8%	65.1%	
Area 1	Madison	19.6%	93.5%	39.5%	97.4%	40.0%	95.6%	
	Washington	56.2%	84.5%	54.3%	88.7%	41.9%	89.2%	
Area 1 To	otal	54.9%	84.5%	52.5%	85.6%	50.9%	87.4%	
	Crawford	37.3%	46.6%	39.3%	47.2%	17.0%	21.3%	
	Franklin	17.4%	52.2%	27.3%	81.8%	66.7%	80.0%	
	Johnson	30.4%	60.9%	44.7%	68.4%	47.4%	63.2%	
Area 2	Logan	9.9%	60.5%	40.7%	79.7%	45.9%	70.3%	
	Scott	43.3%	53.3%	17.5%	20.0%	27.3%	48.5%	
	Sebastian	42.7%	57.3%	37.9%	56.2%	55.8%	69.7%	
	Yell	42.5%	55.0%	32.1%	46.4%	44.2%	48.8%	
Area 2 To	otal	37.8%	56.0%	36.9%	56.0%	49.0%	62.5%	
	Clark	21.7%	21.7%	30.0%	50.0%	25.0%	50.0%	
	Garland	40.9%	52.7%	40.9%	66.4%	56.0%	79.3%	
	Hot Spring	28.8%	81.8%	3.2%	67.7%	11.8%	64.7%	
	Howard	40.0%	40.0%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	
Area 3	Montgomery	50.0%	75.0%	20.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Perry	28.6%	100.0%	0.0%	75.0%	0.0%	66.7%	
	Pike	0.0%	55.0%	17.6%	29.4%	38.5%	53.8%	
	Polk	37.0%	66.7%	54.5%	69.7%	48.5%	69.7%	
	Saline	59.2%	87.8%	58.8%	82.4%	78.5%	95.4%	
Area 3 To	otal	36.4%	65.3%	39.2%	68.1%	55.0%	78.1%	
	Columbia	40.5%	45.2%	26.1%	56.5%	72.2%	83.3%	
	Hempstead	20.0%	90.0%	20.0%	73.3%	36.8%	84.2%	
	Lafayette	0.0%	44.4%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	66.7%	
	Little River	6.7%	53.3%	10.0%	85.0%	40.0%	73.3%	
Area 4	Miller	39.7%	41.1%	39.0%	42.9%	50.9%	52.8%	
	Nevada	0.0%	100.0%	57.1%	71.4%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Ouachita	39.3%	64.3%	12.9%	38.7%	24.0%	56.0%	
	Sevier	17.9%	64.3%	23.3%	46.7%	30.0%	60.0%	
	Union	61.8%	63.6%	35.7%	35.7%	64.7%	76.5%	
Area 4 To	otal	37.9%	54.4%	28.1%	49.8%	46.2%	64.6%	

Table A9								
	Percentage of Children in Care Placed in Close Proximity to Home County as of End of the Year							
		C	Y 2017	C	Y 2018	CY 2019		
Area	County	Placed in Same County	Placed in Same or Neighboring County	Placed in Same County	Placed in Same or Neighboring County	Placed in Same County	Placed in Same or Neighboring County	
	Baxter	68.0%	72.0%	68.4%	73.7%	61.3%	67.7%	
	Boone	62.2%	75.6%	46.7%	53.3%	75.8%	81.8%	
	Conway	42.9%	71.4%	27.3%	75.8%	41.3%	84.8%	
	Faulkner	76.9%	90.7%	78.4%	89.8%	68.5%	80.8%	
Area 5	Marion	36.4%	63.6%	10.0%	90.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	Newton	0.0%	60.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	Pope	38.8%	51.0%	50.0%	54.5%	46.5%	72.1%	
	Searcy	0.0%	80.0%	42.9%	57.1%	10.0%	60.0%	
	Van Buren	35.0%	75.0%	45.5%	81.8%	9.1%	90.9%	
Area 5 T	otal	56.2%	75.3%	56.4%	74.5%	52.7%	78.9%	
Area 6	Pulaski	75.3%	87.6%	72.4%	90.0%	75.3%	84.7%	
Area 6 T	otal	75.3%	87.6%	72.4%	90.0%	75.3%	84.7%	
	Bradley	11.1%	44.4%	14.3%	57.1%	0.0%	20.0%	
	Calhoun	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	N/A	N/A	
	Cleveland	0.0%	71.4%	60.0%	80.0%	25.0%	62.5%	
	Dallas	21.4%	28.6%	16.7%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	
Area 7	Grant	15.8%	73.7%	5.6%	61.1%	36.4%	90.9%	
	Jefferson	65.3%	91.8%	66.1%	86.4%	79.6%	92.6%	
	Lincoln	20.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	80.0%	
	Lonoke	59.0%	87.2%	62.8%	86.2%	51.7%	76.4%	
	Prairie	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	
Area 7 T	otal	46.7%	78.3%	52.1%	76.1%	53.8%	76.9%	
	Clay	35.7%	78.6%	27.3%	54.5%	55.6%	55.6%	
	Craighead	53.5%	76.8%	51.3%	76.3%	54.0%	84.1%	
	Fulton	63.6%	90.9%	54.2%	87.5%	54.5%	90.9%	
	Greene	43.3%	65.4%	53.3%	76.0%	55.3%	83.0%	
Area 8	Izard	43.3%	76.7%	47.6%	66.7%	22.2%	44.4%	
	Lawrence	40.0%	83.3%	45.0%	85.0%	42.1%	52.6%	
	Mississippi	58.3%	79.2%	58.8%	68.8%	54.5%	75.8%	
	Randolph	40.0%	70.0%	58.8%	76.5%	50.0%	66.7%	
	Sharp	31.7%	56.1%	25.7%	48.6%	42.1%	57.9%	
Area 8 T	otal	47.3%	73.5%	50.5%	72.1%	51.3%	74.2%	

Table A9 Percentage of Children in Care Placed in Close Proximity to Home County as of End of the Year **CY 2017 CY 2018** CY 2019 Placed in Placed in Placed in Placed in Placed in Placed in **Area** County Same or Same or Same or Same Same Same Neighboring Neighboring Neighboring **County** County County County County County Cleburne 65.0% 85.0% 66.7% 90.9% 59.1% 77.3% Crittenden 60.7% 33.9% 58.9% 51.7% 65.5% 34.4% Cross 53.3% 53.3% 46.3% 56.1% 54.8% 69.0% Independence 45.5% 70.5% 44.7% 68.1% 35.6% 71.1% Jackson Area 9 13.5% 67.3% 22.0% 68.3% 12.9% 80.6% Poinsett 37.3% 71.6% 23.3% 61.1% 8.3% 63.3% 30.8% Stone 76.9% 31.3% 81.3% 30.0% 70.0% White 38.3% 65.4% 45.8% 62.7% 45.5% 71.2% Woodruff 14.3% 66.7% 15.0% 35.0% 13.6% 68.2% **Area 9 Total** 36.1% 67.5% 36.8% 63.9% 35.8% 70.5% Arkansas 92.9% 92.9% 71.4% 90.5% 47.8% 82.6% Ashley 21.1% 42.1% 22.7% 59.1% 7.7% 53.8% 37.5% Chicot 47.6% 71.4% 12.5% 14.3% 64.3% Desha 33.3% 88.9% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Area 10 Drew 50.0% 54.8% 61.3% 63.6% 56.3% 62.5% 25.0% 25.0% 0.0% 50.0% 66.7% 100.0% Lee

22.2%

54.8%

37.8%

39.3%

45.2%

Monroe

Phillips

Area 10 Total

TOTAL

St. Francis

36.4%

43.2%

33.3%

43.8%

46.3%

63.6%

67.6%

56.4%

63.8%

69.2%

72.2%

83.9%

59.5%

66.5%

69.0%

35.7%

61.1%

48.4%

41.8%

50.7%

78.6%

61.1%

54.8%

64.9%

74.1%

		Table A10		
	Percentage of Alleged	Victims Removed in Resp	onse to Substantiated R	eport
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019
	Benton	17.6%	19.3%	17.8%
	Carroll	30.8%	33.5%	30.7%
Area 1	Madison	45.8%	19.1%	35.4%
	Washington	20.3%	19.4%	21.7%
Area 1 Total		21.3%	20.9%	21.5%
	Crawford	24.2%	12.2%	10.8%
	Franklin	42.4%	23.0%	21.1%
	Johnson	28.8%	9.2%	18.5%
Area 2	Logan	49.6%	16.7%	22.8%
	Scott	13.3%	26.9%	24.0%
	Sebastian	34.4%	24.0%	26.4%
	Yell	31.9%	8.5%	19.4%
Area 2 Total		32.5%	18.7%	21.5%
	Clark	25.4%	26.5%	13.6%
	Garland	22.3%	29.5%	30.8%
	Hot Spring	17.5%	14.4%	14.6%
	Howard	8.0%	20.0%	17.0%
Area 3	Montgomery	10.5%	9.5%	4.8%
	Perry	16.7%	3.7%	13.8%
	Pike	35.3%	22.2%	40.7%
	Polk	13.4%	11.1%	33.3%
	Saline	19.0%	12.4%	19.7%
Area 3 Total		19.7%	20.0%	24.4%
	Columbia	29.4%	8.7%	28.1%
	Hempstead	23.7%	10.1%	22.0%
	Lafayette	13.3%	7.1%	10.5%
	Little River	57.4%	24.3%	26.0%
Area 4	Miller	28.7%	19.9%	30.7%
	Nevada	0.0%	10.5%	22.6%
	Ouachita	21.8%	27.4%	27.3%
	Sevier	35.9%	37.0%	37.5%
	Union	41.2%	13.4%	25.9%
Area 4 Total		29.9%	18.8%	27.3%

		Table A10				
Percentage of Alleged Victims Removed in Response to Substantiated Report						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Baxter	12.4%	17.6%	26.8%		
	Boone	28.9%	12.2%	14.4%		
	Conway	40.6%	19.2%	27.8%		
	Faulkner	27.7%	21.9%	20.2%		
Area 5	Marion	9.8%	17.8%	3.8%		
	Newton	11.5%	15.2%	0.0%		
	Pope	15.9%	12.7%	19.2%		
	Searcy	8.3%	37.1%	20.0%		
	Van Buren	19.7%	16.0%	45.5%		
Area 5 Tota	l	22.7%	17.5%	20.0%		
Area 6	Pulaski	19.6%	16.2%	19.7%		
Area 6 Tota	 	19.6%	16.2%	19.7%		
	Bradley	15.8%	16.7%	20.7%		
	Calhoun	0.0%	46.2%	18.2%		
	Cleveland	28.6%	57.1%	34.4%		
	Dallas	13.8%	0.0%	9.7%		
Area 7	Grant	19.7%	24.3%	28.9%		
	Jefferson	24.2%	16.5%	20.1%		
	Lincoln	32.0%	14.8%	33.3%		
	Lonoke	21.1%	16.1%	24.1%		
	Prairie	15.8%	20.0%	38.1%		
Area 7 Tota	I	21.2%	18.7%	23.8%		
	Clay	47.7%	15.2%	18.0%		
	Craighead	21.5%	7.9%	10.8%		
	Fulton	41.2%	21.1%	34.6%		
	Greene	29.5%	26.8%	16.6%		
Area 8	Izard	42.0%	37.8%	8.5%		
	Lawrence	25.0%	10.9%	25.5%		
	Mississippi	30.1%	29.4%	20.6%		
	Randolph	25.0%	23.3%	16.7%		
	Sharp	37.1%	38.2%	32.2%		
Area 8 Tota	· ·	29.7%	19.8%	16.5%		

		Table A10				
Percentage of Alleged Victims Removed in Response to Substantiated Report						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Cleburne	19.0%	36.8%	36.4%		
	Crittenden	12.2%	18.5%	13.2%		
	Cross	44.4%	31.5%	51.5%		
	Independence	28.0%	27.7%	17.4%		
Area 9	Jackson	33.8%	25.0%	31.3%		
	Poinsett	39.3%	40.2%	21.4%		
	Stone	27.5%	21.6%	16.3%		
	White	29.6%	22.3%	30.5%		
	Woodruff	44.1%	40.0%	22.7%		
Area 9 Total		27.9%	28.2%	25.3%		
	Arkansas	22.9%	19.6%	21.7%		
	Ashley	18.3%	24.1%	26.8%		
	Chicot	12.8%	25.0%	44.4%		
	Desha	13.6%	10.0%	5.9%		
Area 10	Drew	35.7%	26.2%	22.8%		
	Lee	18.8%	10.5%	0.0%		
	Monroe	3.1%	11.5%	11.5%		
	Phillips	21.6%	10.9%	9.2%		
	St. Francis	15.6%	9.4%	16.0%		
Area 10 Tota	al	19.1%	16.7%	17.1%		
TOTAL		25.1%	20.0%	21.6%		

		Table A11				
Percentage of Children in Care Placed With Relatives as of End of the Year						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Benton	34.1%	27.8%	41.2%		
A 1	Carroll	24.3%	39.6%	28.9%		
Area 1	Madison	44.9%	21.6%	41.5%		
	Washington	30.7%	26.2%	27.0%		
Area 1 Total	1	32.5%	27.5%	32.9%		
	Crawford	29.4%	33.6%	27.9%		
	Franklin	32.3%	65.4%	61.9%		
	Johnson	30.6%	34.1%	20.0%		
Area 2	Logan	22.8%	37.7%	26.9%		
	Scott	32.5%	20.9%	38.9%		
	Sebastian	27.9%	22.3%	30.6%		
	Yell	25.0%	18.0%	36.2%		
Area 2 Tota	1	28.0%	26.9%	31.3%		
	Clark	24.0%	45.5%	41.7%		
	Garland	24.5%	14.5%	26.5%		
	Hot Spring	31.1%	22.6%	24.1%		
	Howard	37.5%	0.0%	20.0%		
Area 3	Montgomery	50.0%	40.0%	50.0%		
	Perry	60.0%	0.0%	40.0%		
	Pike	28.6%	41.7%	56.5%		
	Polk	39.4%	31.4%	15.0%		
	Saline	14.1%	23.3%	38.1%		
Area 3 Tota	1	27.2%	22.4%	30.6%		
	Columbia	27.7%	14.8%	60.9%		
	Hempstead	42.9%	50.0%	34.8%		
	Lafayette	8.3%	14.3%	57.1%		
	Little River	6.7%	38.1%	42.9%		
Area 4	Miller	24.1%	24.4%	30.3%		
	Nevada	50.0%	75.0%	50.0%		
	Ouachita	50.0%	38.2%	53.6%		
	Sevier	42.9%	27.3%	39.1%		
	Union	20.6%	25.0%	33.3%		
Area 4 Total		27.9%	29.6%	39.2%		

		Table A11				
Percentage of Children in Care Placed With Relatives as of End of the Year						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Baxter	24.2%	36.0%	23.3%		
	Boone	32.7%	26.2%	29.0%		
	Conway	27.5%	11.4%	55.6%		
	Faulkner	26.0%	31.0%	38.0%		
Area 5	Marion	61.5%	16.7%	9.1%		
	Newton	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%		
	Pope	20.8%	16.7%	31.3%		
	Searcy	0.0%	85.7%	54.6%		
	Van Buren	23.8%	37.5%	41.7%		
Area 5 Tota	1	26.7%	26.5%	36.1%		
Area 6	Pulaski	23.6%	18.8%	19.8%		
Area 6 Tota	1	23.6%	18.8%	19.8%		
	Bradley	27.3%	40.0%	55.6%		
	Calhoun	0.0%	50.0%	N/A		
	Cleveland	71.4%	50.0%	66.7%		
	Dallas	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Area 7	Grant	36.0%	50.0%	50.0%		
	Jefferson	33.8%	43.6%	43.5%		
	Lincoln	20.0%	33.3%	50.0%		
	Lonoke	28.6%	38.7%	33.6%		
	Prairie	0.0%	75.0%	50.0%		
Area 7 Tota	<u> </u>	29.4%	40.2%	39.5%		
	Clay	26.1%	22.2%	52.9%		
	Craighead	34.4%	32.2%	35.3%		
	Fulton	40.5%	53.6%	45.0%		
	Greene	32.3%	30.1%	31.1%		
Area 8	Izard	39.4%	45.8%	33.3%		
	Lawrence	34.4%	40.9%	47.8%		
	Mississippi	35.1%	51.2%	43.7%		
	Randolph	22.2%	28.6%	46.7%		
	Sharp	42.6%	26.8%	35.7%		
Area 8 Tota	1	34.4%	36.3%	39.3%		

Table A11						
Percentage of Children in Care Placed With Relatives as of End of the Year						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Cleburne	26.1%	29.4%	51.0%		
	Crittenden	37.3%	27.1%	22.2%		
	Cross	31.4%	42.9%	38.8%		
	Independence	28.8%	18.6%	26.7%		
Area 9	Jackson	23.3%	38.6%	23.9%		
	Poinsett	34.7%	30.6%	29.1%		
	Stone	21.4%	29.4%	40.0%		
	White	4.3%	11.5%	5.5%		
	Woodruff	21.7%	21.7%	33.3%		
Area 9 Total		25.6%	26.4%	26.9%		
	Arkansas	25.0%	26.1%	59.3%		
	Ashley	25.0%	20.0%	9.1%		
	Chicot	52.0%	41.2%	22.7%		
	Desha	44.4%	33.3%	0.0%		
Area 10	Drew	30.6%	13.3%	16.7%		
	Lee	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		
	Monroe	7.7%	50.0%	50.0%		
	Phillips	34.8%	44.1%	52.2%		
	St. Francis	46.5%	42.1%	53.7%		
Area 10 Tota	al	35.2%	33.3%	39.3%		
TOTAL		28.8%	28.3%	32.5%		

Placement Stability Rate (Moves Per 1,000 Days in Care)

		National Standard: 4.	12	
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019
Area 1	Benton	6.35	6.19	6.58
	Carroll	3.20	7.28	4.36
	Madison	5.49	2.68	4.95
	Washington	8.27	5.13	5.65
Area 1 Tota	I	7.01	5.52	5.77
Alea i iotai	Crawford	7.49	8.10	7.02
	Franklin	7.09	6.77	6.84
	Johnson	8.69	5.39	2.83
Area 2	Logan	5.67	5.46	6.28
	Scott	5.48	4.99	9.21
	Sebastian	6.90	5.18	5.47
	Yell	6.04	4.69	3.61
Area 2 Tota	l	6.89	5.67	5.56
	Clark	6.98	4.33	3.43
	Garland	5.15	6.03	6.29
	Hot Spring	5.46	3.02	7.21
	Howard	12.35	3.00	6.71
Area 3	Montgomery	16.64	4.74	12.05
	Perry	2.18	14.63	5.95
	Pike	10.68	9.54	3.43
	Polk	6.03	5.00	7.04
	Saline	6.59	4.77	4.08
Area 3 Tota	l	6.45	5.24	5.79
	Columbia	6.88	12.66	4.46
	Hempstead	3.90	12.68	6.66
	Lafayette	9.67	3.40	3.35
	Little River	8.39	7.74	7.10
Area 4	Miller	8.02	6.56	10.58
	Nevada	5.99	6.44	0.00
	Ouachita	6.58	5.31	7.96
	Sevier	6.22	6.73	1.99
	Union	9.83	7.66	7.14
Area 4 Tota	 I	7.52	7.02	7.24

Placement Stability Rate (Moves Per 1,000 Days in Care)

		National Standard: 4.	12	National Standard: 4.12						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019						
	Baxter	4.70	7.44	9.10						
	Boone	8.13	4.61	6.59						
	Conway	3.52	5.44	3.07						
	Faulkner	6.23	6.09	3.99						
Area 5	Marion	6.48	11.97	7.60						
	Newton	10.16	0.00	N/A						
	Pope	5.35	5.34	3.84						
	Searcy	N/A	9.63	2.96						
	Van Buren	4.39	1.90	2.39						
Area 5 Tota	I	5.71	6.19	5.16						
Area 6	Pulaski	5.01	4.96	7.56						
Area 6 Tota	I	5.01	4.96	7.56						
	Bradley	6.96	3.52	7.35						
	Calhoun	9.71	0.00	3.46						
	Cleveland	4.19	12.32	11.14						
	Dallas	3.42	5.76	0.00						
Area 7	Grant	12.04	6.57	13.02						
	Jefferson	4.13	6.85	5.51						
	Lincoln	10.29	8.30	4.57						
	Lonoke	6.76	6.69	5.37						
	Prairie	25.21	0.00	18.74						
Area 7 Tota	l .	6.64	7.04	6.36						
	Clay	7.42	3.40	6.53						
	Craighead	5.70	5.02	4.19						
	Fulton	6.81	6.71	7.81						
	Greene	7.36	6.81	8.87						
Area 8	Izard	8.09	4.02	5.94						
	Lawrence	7.32	10.08	6.70						
	Mississippi	8.95	7.15	10.56						
	Randolph	5.12	6.71	7.94						
	Sharp	5.52	6.34	6.65						
Area 8 Tota	l .	6.80	6.23	7.14						

Placement Stability Rate (Moves Per 1,000 Days in Care)

National Standard: 4.12					
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019	
	Cleburne	9.50	4.83	4.82	
	Crittenden	8.04	7.48	6.25	
	Cross	6.36	8.62	10.33	
	Independence	6.24	5.80	5.80	
Area 9	Jackson	6.83	4.71	4.27	
	Poinsett	6.71	8.12	9.26	
	Stone	13.29	3.28	5.16	
	White	4.76	6.41	7.66	
	Woodruff	25.20	4.03	6.51	
Area 9 Total		7.51	6.32	7.04	
	Arkansas	5.50	5.20	6.53	
	Ashley	3.93	7.48	7.93	
	Chicot	3.13	7.98	2.30	
	Desha	9.59	2.61	13.44	
Area 10	Drew	6.28	6.07	4.40	
	Lee	7.19	0.00	0.00	
	Monroe	10.74	2.19	12.73	
	Phillips	3.33	3.06	1.47	
	St. Francis	4.78	4.20	15.93	
Area 10 Total		5.35	4.97	7.01	
TOTAL		6.64	5.96	6.32	

Percentage of Children Who Achieved Permanency Within 12 Months of Entering Care

Nat	ional	Stanc	lard:	: 40.5%

	National Standard: 40.5%					
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Benton	72.2%	60.0%	63.0%		
Area 1	Carroll	75.0%	58.3%	42.9%		
Area I	Madison	40.4%	42.4%	48.6%		
	Washington	49.8%	54.2%	51.8%		
Area 1 Total		59.5%	55.3%	53.8%		
Alcu i lotui	Crawford	45.2%	44.7%	50.0%		
	Franklin	66.7%	65.8%	65.4%		
	Johnson	51.2%	54.6%	63.0%		
Area 2	Logan	45.3%	33.3%	42.9%		
	Scott	18.2%	17.4%	21.7%		
	Sebastian	26.0%	34.1%	33.3%		
	Yell	35.1%	31.3%	26.7%		
Area 2 Total	·	34.0%	38.4%	39.8%		
	Clark	65.2%	55.6%	83.3%		
	Garland	64.3%	61.9%	58.9%		
	Hot Spring	25.0%	32.5%	61.9%		
	Howard	100.0%	85.7%	100.0%		
Area 3	Montgomery	50.0%	75.0%	20.0%		
	Perry	100.0%	85.7%	0.0%		
	Pike	63.6%	25.0%	66.7%		
	Polk	30.8%	33.3%	9.5%		
	Saline	32.8%	60.8%	26.1%		
Area 3 Total		50.7%	52.8%	49.1%		
	Columbia	58.5%	48.6%	20.0%		
	Hempstead	88.9%	52.9%	85.7%		
	Lafayette	53.9%	75.0%	0.0%		
	Little River	61.5%	61.5%	50.0%		
Area 4	Miller	50.0%	51.0%	42.2%		
	Nevada	N/A	50.0%	72.7%		
	Ouachita	50.0%	66.7%	52.5%		
	Sevier	71.4%	58.8%	51.9%		
	Union	55.9%	67.4%	68.2%		
Area 4 Total		57.3%	58.7%	52.0%		

Percentage of Children Who Achieved Permanency Within 12 Months of Entering Care

		National Standard: 40	.5%	
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019
	Baxter	77.4%	73.1%	64.3%
	Boone	56.0%	71.4%	62.5%
	Conway	45.8%	51.6%	31.8%
	Faulkner	64.1%	63.1%	40.2%
Area 5	Marion	18.2%	33.3%	41.7%
	Newton	41.7%	33.3%	33.3%
	Pope	51.0%	55.2%	36.1%
	Searcy	30.8%	N/A	54.6%
	Van Buren	25.0%	63.2%	33.3%
Area 5 Total		54.3%	61.4%	45.0%
Area 6	Pulaski	36.5%	38.5%	37.4%
Area 6 Total		36.5%	38.5%	37.4%
	Bradley	54.6%	33.3%	16.7%
	Calhoun	83.3%	100.0%	100.0%
	Cleveland	84.6%	87.5%	46.7%
	Dallas	46.7%	11.1%	40.0%
Area 7	Grant	37.9%	78.6%	66.7%
		50.00/	50.00/	10.207

Area 6	Pulaski	36.5%	38.5%	37.4%
Area 6 Total		36.5%	38.5%	37.4%
	Bradley	54.6%	33.3%	16.7%
	Calhoun	83.3%	100.0%	100.0%
	Cleveland	84.6%	87.5%	46.7%
	Dallas	46.7%	11.1%	40.0%
Area 7	Grant	37.9%	78.6%	66.7%
	Jefferson	68.0%	68.9%	49.3%
	Lincoln	42.9%	90.9%	50.0%
	Lonoke	69.4%	21.7%	28.6%
	Prairie	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%
Area 7 Total		61.9%	53.5%	42.2%
	Clay	60.6%	65.0%	63.6%
	Craighead	36.4%	64.8%	38.9%
	Fulton	37.5%	50.0%	59.1%
	Greene	61.4%	71.1%	67.7%
Area 8	Izard	54.8%	60.0%	51.9%
	Lawrence	54.1%	45.5%	66.7%
	Mississippi	73.8%	59.4%	58.8%
	Randolph	62.9%	57.1%	55.6%
	Sharp	71.9%	48.8%	51.4%
Area 8 Total		56.3%	61.6%	56.4%

Percentage of Children Who Achieved Permanency Within 12 Months of Entering Care

National Standard: 40.5%

National Standard: 40.5%					
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019	
	Cleburne	65.3%	61.5%	40.6%	
	Crittenden	51.0%	77.6%	56.3%	
	Cross	33.3%	42.1%	44.7%	
	Independence	47.4%	60.4%	59.7%	
Area 9	Jackson	48.6%	36.0%	65.9%	
	Poinsett	50.0%	61.6%	56.3%	
	Stone	78.3%	84.2%	57.9%	
	White	69.6%	56.7%	68.9%	
	Woodruff	36.4%	50.0%	21.1%	
Area 9 Total		56.3%	59.6%	57.0%	
	Arkansas	53.9%	30.8%	60.9%	
	Ashley	59.1%	46.7%	47.4%	
	Chicot	54.6%	64.7%	16.7%	
	Desha	69.2%	87.5%	71.4%	
Area 10	Drew	69.0%	82.8%	50.0%	
	Lee	11.1%	100.0%	40.0%	
	Monroe	57.1%	18.2%	23.1%	
	Phillips	8.7%	33.3%	80.0%	
	St. Francis	54.6%	38.5%	32.0%	
Area 10 Tota	I	50.3%	52.9%	46.8%	
TOTAL		50.5%	52.7%	49.6%	

Percentage of Children in Care for 12 to 23 Months Who Achieved Permanency Within the Subsequent 12 Months

A 1 4 4 1				1 43 607
Nat	ona	Stanc	iarc	1: 43.6%

Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019
Area 1	Benton	51.6%	52.0%	75.0%
	Carroll	75.0%	66.7%	66.7%
	Madison	100.0%	57.7%	58.3%
	Washington	56.1%	55.6%	59.1%
Area 1 Tota	al	60.1%	56.1%	61.5%
Area i iotai	Crawford	51.3%	60.6%	70.8%
	Franklin	80.0%	100.0%	0.0%
	Johnson	81.8%	35.7%	46.7%
Area 2	Logan	57.9%	40.9%	78.6%
	Scott	57.1%	42.9%	81.8%
	Sebastian	53.5%	56.0%	65.0%
	Yell	66.7%	60.0%	33.3%
Area 2 Tota	al	56.5%	55.1%	64.8%
	Clark	60.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Garland	31.6%	51.6%	56.5%
	Hot Spring	42.3%	33.3%	60.0%
	Howard	100.0%	N/A	100.0%
Area 3	Montgomery	N/A	100.0%	0.0%
	Perry	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Pike	N/A	0.0%	35.7%
	Polk	0.0%	85.7%	46.2%
	Saline	77.8%	83.9%	15.4%
Area 3 Tota	al _	42.4%	61.0%	48.2%
	Columbia	12.5%	80.0%	30.0%
	Hempstead	66.7%	0.0%	83.3%
	Lafayette	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	Little River	100.0%	100.0%	55.6%
Area 4	Miller	59.3%	12.5%	40.0%
	Nevada	N/A	N/A	0.0%
	Ouachita	100.0%	71.4%	90.0%
	Sevier	50.0%	N/A	44.4%
	Union	50.0%	75.0%	63.6%
Area 4		56.5%	56.9%	55.6%

Percentage of Children in Care for 12 to 23 Months Who Achieved Permanency Within the Subsequent 12 Months

				43 60/
Mari	nnal	Stanc	210	l: 43.6%
NGU		Duality		I. TJ.U/0

Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019
Area 5	Baxter	80.0%	25.0%	N/A
	Boone	47.1%	68.8%	70.0%
	Conway	45.5%	92.0%	85.7%
	Faulkner	65.0%	66.7%	66.7%
	Marion	57.1%	100.0%	50.0%
	Newton	50.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	Pope	29.4%	62.5%	45.5%
	Searcy	0.0%	100.0%	N/A
	Van Buren	85.7%	41.7%	66.7%
Area 5 Total		56.3%	71.9%	67.6%
Area 6	Pulaski	55.6%	56.0%	57.7%
Area 6 Total		55.6%	56.0%	57.7%
	Bradley	50.0%	0.0%	N/A
	Calhoun	100.0%	100.0%	N/A
	Cleveland	100.0%	50.0%	N/A
	Dallas	N/A	85.7%	0.0%
Area 7	Grant	87.5%	44.4%	100.0%
	Jefferson	57.1%	62.5%	62.5%
	Lincoln	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Lonoke	82.6%	71.4%	60.9%
	Prairie	N/A	100.0%	N/A
Area 7 Total		72.1%	58.5%	57.9%
	Clay	83.3%	55.6%	40.0%
	Craighead	55.9%	45.2%	77.8%
	Fulton	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
	Greene	36.4%	86.7%	56.3%
Area 8	Izard	83.3%	45.5%	60.0%
	Lawrence	85.7%	100.0%	37.5%
	Mississippi	58.8%	33.3%	47.8%
	Randolph	33.3%	80.0%	0.0%
	Sharp	75.0%	85.7%	69.2%
Area 8 Total		54.8%	64.0%	58.1%

Table A14

Percentage of Children in Care for 12 to 23 Months Who Achieved Permanency Within the Subsequent 12 Months

National Standard: 43.6%

Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019
	Cleburne	60.0%	57.1%	75.0%
	Crittenden	64.7%	33.3%	28.6%
	Cross	57.1%	77.8%	0.0%
	Independence	75.0%	84.6%	73.3%
Area 9	Jackson	80.0%	90.9%	66.7%
	Poinsett	52.5%	41.9%	64.3%
	Stone	50.0%	100.0%	0.0%
	White	76.9%	56.5%	36.0%
	Woodruff	N/A	83.3%	50.0%
Area 9 Total		61.8%	56.5%	50.0%
	Arkansas	83.3%	100.0%	66.7%
	Ashley	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%
	Chicot	100.0%	55.6%	75.0%
	Desha	100.0%	100.0%	N/A
Area 10	Drew	25.0%	37.5%	0.0%
	Lee	100.0%	25.0%	N/A
	Monroe	N/A	0.0%	85.7%
	Phillips	14.3%	5.9%	63.6%
	St. Francis	44.4%	55.6%	36.4%
Area 10 Total		53.5%	37.7%	64.4%
TOTAL		57.1%	57.8%	59.4%

Table A15

Percentage of Children in Care 24 Months or Longer Who Achieved Permanency Within the Subsequent 12 Months

National Standard: 30.3%

Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019
	Benton	50.0%	36.8%	41.7%
Area 1	Carroll	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%
	Madison	0.0%	100.0%	72.7%
	Washington	39.1%	23.8%	42.9%
Area 1 Total	·	39.5%	26.1%	48.0%
	Crawford	26.7%	43.5%	38.1%
	Franklin	60.0%	33.3%	0.0%
	Johnson	28.6%	85.7%	80.0%
Area 2	Logan	0.0%	36.4%	38.9%
	Scott	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
	Sebastian	37.8%	42.5%	32.9%
	Yell	10.0%	10.0%	38.5%
Area 2 Total	·	33.9%	42.3%	36.5%
	Clark	0.0%	75.0%	0.0%
	Garland	10.5%	34.8%	43.3%
	Hot Spring	88.9%	23.1%	79.2%
	Howard	0.0%	N/A	N/A
Area 3	Montgomery	100.0%	N/A	N/A
	Perry	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%
	Pike	N/A	N/A	50.0%
	Polk	44.4%	70.0%	33.3%
	Saline	66.7%	50.0%	20.0%
Area 3 Total		34.6%	44.8%	52.2%
	Columbia	0.0%	14.3%	66.7%
	Hempstead	25.0%	20.0%	50.0%
	Lafayette	0.0%	60.0%	80.0%
	Little River	100.0%	N/A	N/A
Area 4	Miller	16.7%	54.8%	41.4%
	Nevada	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Ouachita	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Sevier	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%
	Union	14.3%	30.8%	14.3%
Area 4 Total		15.2%	38.8%	42.7%

Table A15

Percentage of Children in Care 24 Months or Longer Who Achieved Permanency Within the Subsequent 12 Months

National Standard: 30.3%

Area	County	National Standard: 30.3 CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019
	Baxter	33.3%	40.0%	25.0%
	Boone	75.0%	44.4%	62.5%
	Conway	23.1%	28.6%	36.4%
	Faulkner	42.9%	66.7%	25.0%
Area 5	Marion	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%
	Newton	N/A	100.0%	N/A
	Pope	28.6%	33.3%	26.7%
	Searcy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Van Buren	0.0%	N/A	85.7%
Area 5 Total		36.9%	41.7%	41.7%
Area 6	Pulaski	36.7%	38.6%	33.9%
Area 6 Total	-	36.7%	38.6%	33.9%
	Bradley	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
	Calhoun	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Cleveland	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%
	Dallas	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%
Area 7	Grant	0.0%	33.3%	28.6%
	Jefferson	14.3%	10.0%	25.0%
	Lincoln	N/A	N/A	0.0%
	Lonoke	61.1%	33.3%	50.0%
	Prairie	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Area 7 Total	·	35.6%	18.0%	27.3%
	Clay	N/A	100.0%	50.0%
	Craighead	28.6%	18.2%	46.2%
	Fulton	83.3%	50.0%	50.0%
	Greene	29.7%	27.3%	45.5%
Area 8	Izard	N/A	N/A	33.3%
	Lawrence	64.3%	0.0%	0.0%
	Mississippi	30.8%	66.7%	33.3%
	Randolph	25.0%	16.7%	40.0%
	Sharp	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Area 8 Total		38.4%	30.0%	41.2%

Table A15

Percentage of Children in Care 24 Months or Longer Who Achieved Permanency Within the Subsequent 12 Months

National Standard: 30.3%

National Standard: 30.3%						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Cleburne	33.3%	40.0%	20.0%		
	Crittenden	63.6%	30.0%	73.9%		
	Cross	7.1%	46.7%	85.7%		
	Independence	11.1%	40.0%	0.0%		
Area 9	Jackson	46.7%	33.3%	0.0%		
	Poinsett	42.9%	37.0%	37.5%		
	Stone	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%		
	White	26.7%	12.5%	40.0%		
	Woodruff	0.0%	40.0%	50.0%		
Area 9 Total		32.6%	34.8%	46.0%		
	Arkansas	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
	Ashley	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%		
	Chicot	28.6%	0.0%	71.4%		
	Desha	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%		
Area 10	Drew	0.0%	28.6%	12.5%		
	Lee	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%		
	Monroe	N/A	N/A	0.0%		
	Phillips	28.6%	33.3%	52.6%		
	St. Francis	33.3%	25.0%	41.7%		
Area 10 Total		20.0%	19.1%	37.1%		
TOTAL		33.6%	36.0%	40.5%		

Percentage of Children Who Reentered Care Within 12 Months

	National Standard: 8.3%						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019			
Area 1	Benton	13.8%	8.8%	3.0%			
	Carroll	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%			
	Madison	7.7%	27.8%	14.3%			
	Washington	24.3%	14.1%	8.3%			
Area 1 Total		15.8%	11.2%	6.4%			
	Crawford	11.8%	2.2%	5.7%			
	Franklin	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%			
	Johnson	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%			
Area 2	Logan	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%			
	Scott	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%			
	Sebastian	14.6%	3.7%	6.3%			
	Yell	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%			
Area 2 Total		13.0%	3.6%	4.8%			
	Clark	22.2%	6.7%	0.0%			
	Garland	5.5%	16.9%	12.0%			
	Hot Spring	14.3%	9.1%	0.0%			
	Howard	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Area 3	Montgomery	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
	Perry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
	Pike	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
	Polk	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
	Saline	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%			
Area 3 Total		8.8%	10.6%	5.5%			
	Columbia	7.4%	4.3%	6.3%			
	Hempstead	18.8%	0.0%	0.0%			
	Lafayette	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%			
	Little River	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%			
Area 4	Miller	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%			
	Nevada	20.0%	N/A	0.0%			
	Ouachita	23.5%	6.3%	0.0%			
	Sevier	20.0%	0.0%	5.0%			
	Union	4.8%	21.6%	0.0%			
Area 4 Total		8.0%	10.1%	3.4%			

Percentage of Children Who Reentered Care Within 12 Months

National Standard: 8.3%

	National Standard: 8.3%						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019			
	Baxter	11.8%	16.7%	0.0%			
	Boone	13.3%	7.3%	7.9%			
	Conway	4.2%	9.1%	9.4%			
	Faulkner	5.1%	8.8%	20.5%			
Area 5	Marion	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
	Newton	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
	Pope	8.8%	0.0%	6.7%			
	Searcy	0.0%	0.0%	N/A			
	Van Buren	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Area 5 Total		6.8%	7.7%	11.7%			
Area 6	Pulaski	10.3%	5.6%	6.5%			
Area 6 Total		10.3%	5.6%	6.5%			
	Bradley	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
	Calhoun	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
	Cleveland	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
	Dallas	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
Area 7	Grant	0.0%	9.1%	9.1%			
	Jefferson	10.5%	9.4%	11.5%			
	Lincoln	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
	Lonoke	22.2%	2.5%	33.3%			
	Prairie	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Area 7 Total		12.4%	5.0%	12.4%			
	Clay	0.0%	15.0%	0.0%			
	Craighead	6.1%	9.5%	4.5%			
	Fulton	0.0%	13.3%	0.0%			
	Greene	11.0%	4.3%	21.8%			
Area 8	Izard	20.0%	17.6%	0.0%			
	Lawrence	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%			
	Mississippi	0.0%	9.3%	2.4%			
	Randolph	16.7%	0.0%	66.7%			
	Sharp	0.0%	12.8%	0.0%			
Area 8 Total		6.5%	8.1%	11.3%			

Percentage of Children Who Reentered Care Within 12 Months

National Standard: 8.3%

National Standard: 8.3%						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Cleburne	2.6%	6.5%	6.3%		
	Crittenden	1.3%	8.2%	1.9%		
	Cross	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%		
	Independence	6.5%	24.0%	16.1%		
Area 9	Jackson	5.3%	23.5%	11.8%		
	Poinsett	20.3%	7.1%	3.8%		
	Stone	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%		
	White	6.1%	3.9%	10.0%		
	Woodruff	33.3%	75.0%	0.0%		
Area 9 Total		7.4%	10.0%	6.4%		
	Arkansas	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%		
	Ashley	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
	Chicot	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%		
	Desha	33.3%	0.0%	28.6%		
Area 10	Drew	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%		
	Lee	N/A	0.0%	0.0%		
	Monroe	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
	Phillips	18.8%	0.0%	0.0%		
	St. Francis	0.0%	25.0%	40.0%		
Area 10 Tota	l	10.7%	3.7%	10.8%		
TOTAL		9.7%	8.0%	7.8%		

	Table A17							
	Average Time in Months From Termination of Parental Rights to Adoption Finalization							
			2017		2018		2019	
Area	County	Number of	Time	Number of	Time	Number of	Time	
		Adoptions	(Months)	Adoptions	(Months)	Adoptions	(Months)	
	Benton	32	13.3	26	10.3	33	9.4	
Area 1	Carroll	12	7.2	3	6.7	11	23.1	
	Madison	9	7.0	18	9.1	19	11.6	
	Washington	61	10.2	55	10.2	73	15.6	
Area 1 T	T	114	10.5	102	9.9	136	14.2	
	Crawford	26	12.7	21	12.3	29	15.3	
	Franklin	12	9.6	7	5.2	0	N/A	
_	Johnson	12	14.4	10	22.0	16	8.1	
Area 2	Logan	14	9.7	14	11.7	21	10.2	
	Scott	7	8.5	8	19.9	12	14.9	
	Sebastian	162	12.6	177	12.3	133	11.5	
	Yell	6	8.2	12	9.4	10	20.9	
Area 2 T		239	12.1	249	12.6	221	12.2	
	Clark	1	66.8	18	17.3	5	7.2	
	Garland	8	14.9	28	10.9	21	19.2	
	Hot Spring	19	11.2	10	12.2	29	13.4	
	Howard	1	9.4	1	13.1	3	2.5	
Area 3	Montgomery	1	46.6	2	14.0	4	4.9	
	Perry	1	6.4	0	N/A	3	8.2	
	Pike	0	N/A	0	N/A	6	17.8	
	Polk	3	40.8	10	9.0	6	7.8	
	Saline	10	15.6	27	8.4	7	6.3	
Area 3 T	otal	44	16.8	96	11.4	84	12.8	
	Columbia	3	4.2	10	8.1	11	12.8	
	Hempstead	4	8.3	1	14.3	7	14.9	
	Lafayette	2	2.9	3	19.8	5	18.4	
	Little River	2	16.8	1	2.5	6	3.9	
Area 4	Miller	18	4.1	16	11.8	18	9.3	
	Nevada	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
	Ouachita	4	4.9	1	3.5	5	4.0	
	Sevier	4	4.9	0	N/A	7	18.4	
	Union	12	11.0	12	24.5	10	15.5	
Area 4 T	otal	49	6.8	44	14.6	69	12.1	

	Table A17							
	Average Time in Months From Termination of Parental Rights to Adoption Finalization							
		CY 2	2017	CY 2	2018	CY 2	2019	
Area	County	Number of Adoptions	Time (Months)	Number of Adoptions	Time (Months)	Number of Adoptions	Time (Months)	
	Baxter	11	13.0	10	13.7	5	14.2	
	Boone	13	26.9	10	9.5	11	19.4	
	Conway	11	10.5	24	10.3	17	13.3	
	Faulkner	34	9.3	28	8.3	28	9.6	
Area 5	Marion	3	6.4	7	11.4	2	31.7	
	Newton	1	3.0	1	2.5	1	3.5	
	Pope	11	8.0	12	7.7	11	18.3	
	Searcy	1	5.2	1	9.5	0	N/A	
	Van Buren	9	3.4	6	4.4	9	11.3	
Area 5 T	otal	94	11.4	99	9.3	84	13.7	
Area 6	Pulaski	122	14.0	126	13.7	109	15.7	
Area 6 T	otal	122	14.0	126	13.7	109	15.7	
	Bradley	0	N/A	1	34.9	0	N/A	
	Calhoun	0	N/A	1	2.7	0	N/A	
	Cleveland	4	12.4	0	N/A	4	14.0	
	Dallas	1	44.9	6	13.3	1	17.9	
Area 7	Grant	11	10.0	4	3.2	4	25.5	
	Jefferson	21	5.2	4	7.0	10	13.3	
	Lincoln	2	3.7	0	N/A	0	N/A	
	Lonoke	34	11.7	14	11.4	33	11.1	
	Prairie	0	N/A	0	N/A	3	9.3	
Area 7 T	otal	73	9.8	30	10.6	55	12.8	
	Clay	8	9.3	2	6.7	5	13.1	
	Craighead	29	8.3	18	13.4	20	13.3	
	Fulton	6	4.3	8	5.4	7	7.5	
	Greene	32	9.4	44	7.0	33	19.9	
Area 8	Izard	4	7.3	6	7.4	2	4.6	
	Lawrence	19	15.7	9	7.1	3	5.2	
	Mississippi	5	5.5	6	13.6	7	8.9	
	Randolph	8	10.5	7	10.2	5	16.3	
	Sharp	16	5.4	17	5.0	9	4.6	
Area 8 T	otal	127	9.2	117	8.1	91	13.7	

Table A17 Average Time in Months From Termination of Parental Rights to Adoption Finalization **CY 2017 CY 2018 CY 2019** Area County **Number of Number of Number of** Time Time Time **Adoptions** (Months) **Adoptions** (Months) **Adoptions** (Months) 8.0 8.7 17.9 Cleburne 7 5 1 7 7.6 Crittenden 11 15.5 20 15.4 1 6 10.8 8 Cross 7.8 13.4 7 7.5 5 5 5.3 Independence 9.5 7 Area 9 Jackson 14.1 9.8 7.9 15 19 Poinsett 10.0 15.6 27 14.4 24 20 5 Stone 10.9 3 19.7 3 5.7 White 20 12.1 15 8.4 21 13.6 5 Woodruff 0 N/A 12.5 10 7.5 **Area 9 Total** 90 11.5 85 11.2 102 12.5 Arkansas 7 6.5 2 7.1 4 7.6 Ashley 0 N/A 0 N/A 4 8.5 2 2 5 Chicot 12.9 9.9 13.4 5.5 Desha 3 4.9 4.3 4 1 2 3 Area 10 Drew 0 N/A 16.8 6.4 0 0 Lee N/A 0 N/A N/A 1 2.3 0 0 Monroe N/A N/A 2 7 **Phillips** 6.1 5 7.9 17.1 6 6 7.6 10 7.7 St. Francis 9.8 Area 10 Total 21 7.7 21 8.2 34 10.3 969 **TOTAL** 11.3 11.3 985 13.2 973

		Table A18			
Percentage of Required Visits Made for In-Home Cases					
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019	
	Benton	69.4%	65.6%	59.1%	
	Carroll	37.2%	22.3%	78.6%	
Area 1	Madison	36.6%	42.3%	68.0%	
	Washington	46.8%	51.1%	71.0%	
Area 1 Total		51.9%	53.2%	66.2%	
	Crawford	68.8%	74.7%	89.4%	
	Franklin	40.9%	51.4%	56.8%	
	Johnson	59.0%	69.6%	78.9%	
Area 2	Logan	44.1%	53.9%	74.3%	
	Scott	38.5%	50.9%	56.7%	
	Sebastian	48.7%	56.5%	64.8%	
	Yell	77.5%	69.0%	87.9%	
Area 2 Total		55.1%	62.0%	72.5%	
	Clark	32.3%	73.2%	77.1%	
	Garland	60.1%	80.5%	78.3%	
	Hot Spring	36.2%	59.9%	71.4%	
	Howard	62.3%	88.6%	89.9%	
Area 3	Montgomery	86.3%	83.3%	94.4%	
	Perry	78.2%	74.5%	36.6%	
	Pike	88.6%	74.0%	72.4%	
	Polk	81.6%	89.4%	90.3%	
	Saline	53.6%	47.8%	50.6%	
Area 3 Total		57.5%	69.8%	67.7%	
	Columbia	74.5%	73.6%	89.1%	
	Hempstead	89.9%	93.6%	92.7%	
	Lafayette	77.1%	83.9%	91.1%	
	Little River	83.2%	89.8%	80.6%	
Area 4	Miller	68.8%	88.6%	95.2%	
	Nevada	95.8%	87.2%	86.7%	
	Ouachita	68.9%	76.4%	71.5%	
	Sevier	84.7%	90.5%	65.6%	
	Union	95.3%	93.2%	96.2%	
Area 4 Total	·	79.8%	87.2%	86.0%	

		Table A18				
Percentage of Required Visits Made for In-Home Cases						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Baxter	60.9%	84.8%	89.4%		
	Boone	50.3%	67.7%	82.4%		
	Conway	74.2%	84.1%	78.0%		
	Faulkner	66.8%	86.5%	79.2%		
Area 5	Marion	53.5%	77.7%	84.6%		
	Newton	61.8%	77.9%	82.8%		
	Pope	64.4%	77.0%	70.5%		
	Searcy	66.7%	100.0%	90.9%		
	Van Buren	81.0%	97.8%	93.0%		
Area 5 Total		63.2%	81.6%	81.6%		
Area 6	Pulaski	60.4%	82.3%	78.8%		
Area 6 Total		60.4%	82.3%	78.8%		
	Bradley	23.8%	75.0%	100.0%		
	Calhoun	56.1%	87.2%	97.4%		
	Cleveland	82.6%	85.3%	80.4%		
	Dallas	65.7%	64.5%	82.0%		
Area 7	Grant	60.0%	85.5%	80.6%		
	Jefferson	38.1%	43.5%	51.3%		
	Lincoln	84.3%	91.5%	90.9%		
	Lonoke	70.1%	68.0%	82.8%		
	Prairie	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Area 7 Total		58.3%	61.7%	69.5%		
	Clay	98.4%	89.5%	97.1%		
	Craighead	65.6%	86.0%	80.2%		
	Fulton	83.7%	88.1%	87.1%		
	Greene	32.1%	70.2%	86.0%		
Area 8	Izard	93.8%	89.5%	95.8%		
	Lawrence	70.9%	80.2%	89.4%		
	Mississippi	47.5%	54.2%	42.0%		
	Randolph	77.5%	84.6%	96.9%		
	Sharp	71.8%	85.6%	88.2%		
Area 8 Total	· ·	56.7%	79.1%	80.2%		

		Table A18				
Percentage of Required Visits Made for In-Home Cases						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Cleburne	91.8%	93.6%	97.5%		
	Crittenden	58.9%	73.8%	67.7%		
	Cross	80.5%	88.9%	80.8%		
	Independence	79.2%	81.9%	72.8%		
Area 9	Jackson	79.5%	91.3%	75.4%		
	Poinsett	51.6%	68.8%	81.9%		
	Stone	80.6%	91.3%	94.1%		
	White	59.8%	83.8%	79.9%		
	Woodruff	91.3%	63.5%	82.3%		
Area 9 Total		68.1%	82.1%	79.1%		
	Arkansas	86.5%	76.2%	77.7%		
	Ashley	66.8%	76.1%	95.1%		
	Chicot	78.8%	81.2%	72.0%		
	Desha	85.4%	88.4%	93.4%		
Area 10	Drew	85.0%	92.3%	89.1%		
	Lee	98.0%	83.3%	97.7%		
	Monroe	89.7%	86.3%	90.3%		
	Phillips	67.3%	84.7%	87.6%		
	St. Francis	19.6%	61.4%	57.4%		
Area 10 Tota	l	60.6%	78.6%	78.9%		
TOTAL		60.3%	72.6%	75.4%		

		Table A19			
Percentage of Required Visits Made for Children in Care					
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019	
	Benton	71.9%	66.1%	66.9%	
	Carroll	76.5%	81.4%	93.6%	
Area 1	Madison	66.1%	94.8%	91.1%	
	Washington	67.6%	76.5%	80.9%	
Area 1 Total		69.6%	75.6%	79.8%	
	Crawford	70.0%	83.9%	85.6%	
	Franklin	70.3%	75.0%	88.4%	
	Johnson	74.9%	78.3%	88.9%	
Area 2	Logan	49.9%	63.1%	84.2%	
	Scott	77.0%	92.3%	90.4%	
	Sebastian	62.2%	72.6%	83.2%	
	Yell	71.3%	83.0%	87.5%	
Area 2 Total	<u>'</u>	64.2%	74.9%	84.6%	
	Clark	55.0%	83.8%	80.1%	
	Garland	64.1%	84.9%	84.9%	
	Hot Spring	57.7%	85.3%	93.3%	
	Howard	88.5%	85.3%	85.7%	
Area 3	Montgomery	86.4%	100.0%	90.2%	
	Perry	80.3%	83.7%	55.6%	
	Pike	76.2%	88.2%	91.9%	
	Polk	84.3%	94.8%	92.0%	
	Saline	83.6%	86.7%	72.7%	
Area 3 Total		69.6%	86.4%	83.8%	
	Columbia	88.2%	92.8%	97.4%	
	Hempstead	85.6%	96.8%	97.9%	
	Lafayette	97.1%	88.5%	93.8%	
	Little River	85.2%	89.8%	92.0%	
Area 4	Miller	82.8%	95.9%	95.4%	
	Nevada	100.0%	94.1%	96.2%	
	Ouachita	86.0%	89.2%	87.9%	
	Sevier	88.8%	94.4%	88.6%	
	Union	97.2%	98.1%	97.3%	
Area 4 Total	ı	88.5%	94.4%	94.2%	

		Table A19				
Percentage of Required Visits Made for Children in Care						
Area	County	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019		
	Baxter	82.9%	94.3%	95.9%		
	Boone	70.6%	84.2%	90.4%		
	Conway	75.2%	87.5%	82.3%		
	Faulkner	87.8%	96.3%	93.8%		
Area 5	Marion	67.6%	95.3%	98.5%		
	Newton	70.1%	84.8%	88.9%		
	Pope	73.4%	83.9%	81.3%		
	Searcy	67.7%	98.7%	100.0%		
	Van Buren	89.4%	95.7%	96.3%		
Area 5 Tota	I	78.7%	91.2%	90.2%		
Area 6	Pulaski	76.9%	90.7%	85.6%		
Area 6 Tota	I	76.9%	90.7%	85.6%		
	Bradley	66.9%	85.3%	89.5%		
	Calhoun	80.6%	81.8%	100.0%		
	Cleveland	85.2%	78.8%	95.2%		
	Dallas	84.1%	91.2%	95.4%		
Area 7	Grant	68.0%	98.2%	97.0%		
	Jefferson	55.1%	71.7%	80.6%		
	Lincoln	87.5%	97.1%	94.2%		
	Lonoke	89.2%	84.4%	86.0%		
	Prairie	90.7%	73.3%	95.9%		
Area 7 Tota	I	72.4%	81.9%	86.4%		
	Clay	88.8%	92.4%	85.5%		
	Craighead	71.1%	87.4%	77.0%		
	Fulton	87.7%	86.8%	90.1%		
	Greene	58.2%	72.1%	83.0%		
Area 8	Izard	83.2%	90.9%	97.6%		
	Lawrence	70.8%	89.5%	93.8%		
	Mississippi	48.6%	67.0%	63.2%		
	Randolph	81.2%	92.7%	95.7%		
	Sharp	79.6%	89.9%	92.5%		
Area 8 Tota	<u> </u>	69.5%	81.9%	81.4%		

Table A19 Percentage of Required Visits Made for Children in Care				
Area 9	Cleburne	93.0%	96.3%	93.0%
	Crittenden	71.5%	80.2%	72.3%
	Cross	88.1%	91.0%	88.0%
	Independence	79.2%	90.8%	86.8%
	Jackson	78.9%	76.9%	88.3%
	Poinsett	63.9%	80.4%	75.8%
	Stone	92.5%	94.2%	92.2%
	White	69.3%	86.5%	72.7%
	Woodruff	78.7%	78.3%	86.9%
Area 9 Total		74.9%	84.5%	81.2%
Area 10	Arkansas	93.6%	94.3%	85.7%
	Ashley	82.5%	91.0%	93.1%
	Chicot	79.1%	88.9%	82.6%
	Desha	92.9%	89.9%	90.2%
	Drew	88.5%	93.9%	96.7%
	Lee	97.4%	95.5%	94.3%
	Monroe	73.0%	91.7%	94.4%
	Phillips	79.1%	88.1%	92.4%
	St. Francis	64.7%	87.7%	86.0%
Area 10 Total		80.8%	90.5%	89.8%
TOTAL		72.3%	82.9%	84.6%