Special Solutions of Arkansas

Response To Intervention

Special Solutions of Arkansas Debbie Van Dyke, M.S.E. 1965 Collins Dr. Pea Ridge, AR 72751 479-518-6008

These materials may be reproduced.

Response to Intervention

Regulations

On December 3, 2004, Congress reauthorized the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA 2004). The language that Congress uses in IDEA 2004 and No Child Left Behind (NCLB 2001) stresses the use of professionally sound interventions and instruction based on defensible research, as well as the delivery of effective academic and behavior programs to improve student performance. Congress believes that as a result, fewer children will require special education services. Provisions of IDEA 2004 allow school districts to use scientific, research-based interventions as an alternative method for identifying students with specific learning disabilities (SLD). This process is generally referred to as Response to Intervention (RTI).

The National Research Center on Learning Disabilities (NRCLD, 2006) defines RTI as:

"...an assessment and intervention process for systematically monitoring student progress and making decisions about the need for instructional modifications or increasingly intensified services using progress monitoring data."

RTI is an integrated approach to service delivery that encompasses general, remedial <u>and</u> special education through a multi-tiered service delivery model. It utilizes a problem-solving framework to identify and address academic and behavioral difficulties for all students using scientific, research-based instruction. Essentially, RTI is the practice of: (a) providing high-quality instruction/intervention matched to all students needs and (b) using learning rate over time and level of performance to (c) make important educational decisions to guide instruction (National Association of State Directors of Special Education, 2005). RTI practices are proactive, incorporating both prevention and intervention and is effective at all levels from early childhood through high school.

RTI is intended to reduce the incidence of "instructional casualties" by ensuring that students are provided high quality instruction with fidelity. By using RTI, districts can provide interventions to students as soon as a need

- 3. Monitor classroom performance. General education teachers play a vital role in designing and providing high quality instruction. Furthermore they are in the best position to assess students' performance and progress against grade level standards in the general education curriculum. This principle emphasizes the importance of general education teachers in monitoring student progress rather than waiting to determine how students are learning in relation to their same-aged peers based on results of state-wide or district-wide assessments.
- 4. Conduct universal screening/benchmarking. School staff conduct universal screening in all core academic areas and behavior. Screening data on all students can provide an indication of an individual student's performance and progress compared to the peer group's performance and progress. These data form the basis for an initial examination of individual and group patterns on specific academic skills (e.g., identifying letters of the alphabet or reading a list of high frequency words) as well as behavior skills (e.g., attendance, cooperation, tardiness, truancy, suspensions, and/or disciplinary actions). Universal screening is the least intensive level of assessment completed within a RTI system and helps educators and parents identify students early who might be "at-risk." Since screening data may not be as reliable as other assessments, it is important to use multiple sources of evidence in reaching inferences regarding students "at risk."
- 5. Use a multi-tier model of service delivery. A RTI approach incorporates a multi-tiered model of service delivery in which each tier represents an increasingly intense level of services associated with increasing levels of learner needs. The system described in this manual reflects a three-tiered design. All multi-tiered systems, regardless of the number of levels chosen, should yield the same practical effects and outcomes.

In a RTI system, all students receive instruction in the core curriculum supported by strategic and intensive interventions when needed. Therefore, all students, including those with disabilities, are found in Tiers I, II, and III. Important features, such as universal screening, progress monitoring, fidelity of implementation and problem solving occur

their eligibility for other programs. It is important to note that RTI is not a placement model; it is a <u>flexible</u> service model.

Tier I-Core Instruction

In the RTI framework, all students in Tier I receive high quality scientific, research-based instruction from general education teachers in the core curriculum. The core curriculum provides the foundation for instruction upon which all strategic and intensive interventions are formulated. While Tier I instruction occurs in the general education setting, it is not necessarily grade level instruction. Instruction at Tier I includes all developmental domains such as behavioral and social development along with instruction in academic content areas. Tier I instruction must be both differentiated and culturally responsive to serve approximately 80-90% of the student body and is effective for the vast majority of students. At this phase, general education teachers match students' prerequisite skills with course content to create an appropriate instructional match and use instructional strategies with fidelity that are evidence-based.

Fidelity refers to the degree to which RTI components are implemented as designed, intended, and planned. Fidelity is achieved through sufficient time allocation, adequate intervention intensity, qualified and trained staff, and sufficient materials and resources. Fidelity is vital in universal screening, instructional delivery and progress monitoring.

An important first step in identifying at-risk students is the use of universal screening and/or benchmarking of students in all core academic areas and behavior. Students who are at-risk are not suspected as having a disability absent other data or indicators. At Tier I, universal screening for all students is conducted at least three times during a school year: fall, winter and spring. Scores earned at different times during the year are used to determine whether a student's performance and progress is increasing, decreasing, or staying the same. Universal screening is typically done through brief assessments such as curriculum-based measures (CBMs). Significant numbers of students meeting proficiency levels (e.g., 80% or greater) based on the results of universal screening tools is an indicator that the instruction in the core curriculum is effective. When there is evidence that instruction in the core curriculum is not effective, schools

consuming. False negatives, on the other hand, can result in an under identification of students in need of Tier II or Tier III interventions.

Schools should implement screening instruments with fidelity and emphasize high sensitivity and specificity. When choosing screening instruments, greater emphasis should be placed on sensitivity to ensure identification of at risk students. The trade off can be increased false positives which will later be identified through progress monitoring.

CBMs are primarily used as a method for progress monitoring and are characterized as brief, easy to administer and score, and produce measures that are good predictors of a student's academic ability. CBMs are used for both screening/benchmarking and progress monitoring. Other measures of student performance such as classroom observations, state-wide and district-wide assessments, and other standardized testing may be considered when measuring the effectiveness of the interventions provided.

The data collected during progress monitoring at Tier I to at risk students helps teams make informed decisions at the classroom level. These data provide a picture of the student's performance and rate of growth (e.g., progress) to inform instructional and curricular changes so that every student reaches proficiency on targeted skills. Students who do not reach a proficiency level at Tier I will need more strategic interventions. Lack of responsiveness is defined as the rate of improvement, or a progress slope, that is not sufficient for the student to become proficient with state standards without more interventions. Five weeks or more after progress monitoring has been initiated for at risk students is suggested as a sufficient period to review lack of responsiveness at Tier I. The decision to advance to Tier II is based upon an analysis of the progress monitoring data and a determination of a lack of responsiveness at Tier I.

Tier II-Strategic Interventions

At Tier II, strategic interventions are provided to students who are not achieving the desired standards through the core curriculum alone. Tier II typically consists of 5-10% of the student body. Strategic interventions supplement the instruction in the core curriculum provided in Tier I and

Students who are successful at Tier II may be reintegrated into Tier I. However, for a small percentage of students, Tier II interventions will not be enough. If a student is not meeting proficiency after it is determined that Tier II strategic interventions have been implemented with fidelity, the student will require intensive interventions at Tier III.

<u> Tier III - Intensive Interventions</u>

Intensive interventions at Tier III are designed to accelerate a student's rate of learning by increasing the frequency and duration of individualized interventions based on targeted assessments that analyze the lack of responsiveness to the interventions provided at Tier I and Tier II. Intensive interventions at Tier III may either support and enhance instruction provided at Tier I and supported by Tier II, or be substituted for a portion of the Tier I and Tier II interventions if those interventions have been tried with increased frequency and duration and proven ineffective. Students at Tier III are those students who are performing significantly below standards and who have not adequately responded to high quality interventions provided at Tier I and Tier II.

Tier III generally serves fewer than 5% of the student body. Intensive interventions are usually delivered in groups of no more than three students and may occur longer than 9-12 week blocks. Progress monitoring at Tier III is completed more frequently, at least on a weekly basis. An example of an intervention plan at Tier III may include two 30-minute sessions daily, in addition to the interventions the student is receiving in the core curriculum.

Prior to selecting intensive interventions, targeted assessments are typically conducted when a student enters Tier III. These assessments use direct measures in addition to analysis of RTI data to provide more in-depth information about a student's instructional needs and are used to identify the student's skill deficits. Targeted assessments may be administered by reading specialists, Title I/LAP teachers, school psychologists, special education teachers, specially trained general education teachers, or other specialists. Targeted assessments include the use of interviews, observations, error analysis techniques, CBMs, CBM mastery measures, which are used to target a very narrow skill, other standardized assessments, and/or functional behavioral assessments. Students who are

specific problems. For example, a student should not be identified as simply having an academic or a behavior problem. The team should try to narrow the problem (based upon available data) to identify the deficit skill area(s) (e.g., phonemic awareness, problem solving skills, math calculations, vocabulary, reading comprehension, or peer interactions, etc.).

- Analyze the cause Once the problem is defined, the decision making team needs to develop a hypothesis as to why the problem is occurring and continuing. This involves analyzing those variables that can be altered through instruction in order to find an instructional solution. This includes questions of fidelity, missing skills, motivational factors, or lack of exposure to the general curriculum. The team should focus on explanations of the problem that can be addressed through instruction. In addition to the cause of the problem, the team needs to consider the student's rate of learning. In doing this, the team reviews the student's learning trend (e.g., progress) in the areas identified by the decision making team. The team should also compare the student's progress to peers over time.
- Develop a plan Once the problem has been analyzed, the team identifies interventions that will meet the student's needs. The team does this by developing a plan that includes: an implementation timeframe (e.g., 4 weeks, 6 weeks, or 8 weeks); the frequency of the interventions (how often the intervention will be provided and for how many minutes per week); who will provide the intervention (e.g. classroom teacher, Title I teacher, etc); and a timeframe to evaluate the effectiveness of the intervention. The student's plan should outline the goal for progress. The team plots an "aim-line" (graphic representation) depicting the desired rate of progress a student needs to reach the goal from the current baseline.
- Implement the plan- Interventions must be implemented with fidelity.
 To ensure fidelity, qualified staff must deliver the interventions
 according to the prescribed process and prescribed timeframe. Schools
 should document their delivery of the interventions using multiple
 sources (e.g. observation notes, lesson plans and grade books, student

Response to Inte, vention Checklist for Implementation

- Tier III: Intensive interventions for students whose interventions needs are greater than the Tier II: Individual interventions for students who need additional supports which can be interventions given in an individual intervention plan. (e.g., AIP's) 1. Determine the interventions available in the district at each level. general education can meet. (e.g., pull out programs) Tier I: Universal interventions available to all students
- 2. Establish a structured format for problem solving
- Provide staff with a range of scientifically based interventions that have a high rate of success.
- Train staff to collect frequent progress monitoring data
 - Develop building level intervention programs
- 6. Establish building level intervention teams

Sample Intervention Team Model

- Step 1: Assess teacher concerns
- Step 2: Inventory student's strengths and talents
 - Step 3: Review background/baseline data
- Step 4: Select target teacher concerns
- Step 5: Set academic and behavior goals
 - Step 6: Design intervention plan
- Step 7: Select method of progress monitoring
- Step 8: Plan how to share information with student's parent(s)
- tep 9: Review the intervention and monitoring plans

Example of Information for Evaluation Report Using RTI Data****

The following example provides evaluation groups information and sample language that could be used to compile RTI data in support of determining SLD. This example uses reading and math and may be adapted further in those areas or to include writing.

a) Evidence of resistance to general education interventions. (Interventions attempted and data showing results):

At Tier III, the student received at least two attempts of intensive reading/math interventions coupled with the following Tier II interventions (list interventions and other accommodations). The intensive Tier III interventions were provided as follows: <insert (e.g., for reading: initially, phonemic segmentation); (e.g., for math: initially, math computation)> instruction was provided for two 30minute periods per day in addition to the core <insert grade> reading/math curriculum. After two weeks, <insert name of intervention program> replaced one of the daily <insert (e.g., phonemic segmentation/math computation)> training periods. In week seven, the student's <insert (e.g., phonemic segmentation/math computation)> was replaced by an additional session of <insert program name and/or description of reading/math instruction>; a fluency/math program <insert name> was also added at that time. The attached intervention plan and progress-monitoring graph document the student's progress throughout the < insert # of weeks> intervention period. From <insert # correct words per minute (CWPM)/correct digits per minute (CDPM) > during baseline, the student improved a total of <insert # CWPM/CDPM> during the is < insert # of weeks> period. This represents an acquisition rate of <insert # CWPM/CDPM> per week, well below the goal of <insert # CWPM/CDPM> increase per week, and also below the established goal criteria of <insert # CWPM/CDPM> increase.

- b) Evidence of low performance when compared with peer's performance in the areas of concern. (Must use multiple indicators; two or more are needed to demonstrate that a student is a low performer.)
 - Compared to grade level peers on the school-wide curriculum-based measure (CBM), the student's median score of <insert # CWPM/CDPM> is <insert #> times discrepant from the class median score of <insert # CWPM/CDPM> (7% or less of current grade level). Progress monitoring data revealed that the student gained a total of <insert # words/digits> over a <insert #> week period an acquisition rate of <insert # CWPM/CDPM> per week. The student's median reading/math computation rate over the course of this period was <insert # CWPM/CDPM>. On CBM reading/math probes at one grade level below his/her current grade placement, the student's median reading/math score is <insert # CWPM/CDPM>. This corresponds to the <insert #>

specially designed instruction and make sufficient recommendations about the student's service needs so that an IEP may be developed. If appropriate, attach intervention plans, graphs and relevant reports.

**** Modified from documents originally developed by Wayne Callender

Behavior					
Name the Scientific Research Based prog	gram used for Behavior Intervention				
Name and credentials of certified instru	ctor providing intervention:				
Results of Inte	erventions				
Behavior Area Addressed	Describe Results or Outcomes				

Attach assessment results for each area to document implementation.

As part of the ADE requirements, schools must document that RTI and Scientific Research Based Interventions were provided by a highly qualified instructor.

Progress Monitoring Documentation Form

The purpose of this follow-up is to review the progress and effectiveness of prior actions

Student:	Grade:		Date:
Teacher:	School:		Initial Meeting Date:
Discussion of Progress	s (Summarize)		
Previous Action Taken	Outcomes	Effectivenes	s New Information
		,	
New Actions	Purpose	Who	1How/When
	,		
Based on discussion a	nd evaluation of action	l us taken previo	ously, the recommendation is:
Continue present int			
☐ Change the present☐ Phase out the prese☐ Conduct additional e☐ Meet to consider fu☐ Exit the interventio	nt interventions/servi valuations, observatio rther referral of this	ices by ns, interviews student.	 , work samples, etc.

Project

preschool adjustment problems (Walker, Severson, and Feil,

1995).

http://www.nekesc.k12.ks.us/esp.html

Kindergarten

Research-based screening process (math, reading, writing) for

Curriculum-Based

kindergartners.

Measurement (K-

http://www.gosbr.net/screening/

CBM)

Skill Deficits ("Can't

Do's") vs.

Performance Deficits

http://cecp.air.org/fba/problembehavior2/figures.htm#Figure%201

("Won't Do's")

Uniform screening for young children exhibiting both

Systematic Screening for Behavioral

externalizing and internalizing behaviors (Walker & Severson,

1990).

http://www.ed.gov/pubs/EPTW/eptw12/eptw12h.html

Walker-McConnell Scale of Social

Disorders (SSBD)

Competence and

http://www.cccoe.net/social/Asmttools.htm

School Adjustment

5. Math Assessments and Information http://www.studentprogress.org/summer institute/2007/math/StudentProgressMonitoring-Math 2007.ppt

http://www.studentprogress.org/summer_institute/2007/math/CBMMathHandouts_2007.doc

http://www.studentprogress.org/summer institute/2007/math/CBMMathManual 2007.do c

6. Tier III Intervention Model for Math

http://www.rti4success.org/images/stories/pdfs/serp-math.dcairppt.pdf

Checklist of Required RTI/Pre-referral Data

Check when completed	Areas
<u> </u>	Identification of Problem
···	Educational Records
······································	Educational History
	Classroom Performance
	Curriculum Based Assessment
	Report Cards, Work Samples
	Standardized Assessment Results
	Attendance Record
 	Discipline Records
	Parent Contact Information
	Health and Medical Information
	Social History
	Vision/Hearing Screening Results
	Physician/Medical Reports as needed
	Interventions in Regular Classroom
	All Required Information Present
	All information must be present to schedule a conference to address
	Response to Intervention

ammi C limeton	Date
Signature of RTI Coordinator	

4. Question: When should a school district initiate a special education referral in a RTI system?

Answer: A school district should initiate a referral when it obtains information to cause it to suspect that a student has a disability or when a parent or any other person makes a referral requesting that a student be evaluated for special education services. A school district's child find responsibilities do not end when the district chooses to implement a RTI approach. Parents, teachers or any interested persons may also initiate a referral at any time if they believe a child requires special education services. Non-responsiveness at Tier III represents a baseline within a RTI system when a disability should be suspected absent other information and school districts may not require that a student demonstrate non-responsiveness at Tier III before initiating a referral.

5. Question: If a student is determined not eligible for special education services, how long may that student continue to receive the intensive interventions provided at Tier III?

Answer: Students who enter Tier III should initially receive at least two full attempts of intensive interventions in order to determine if that student is non-responsive. Because RTI is a system of delivering the general education curriculum, each school district determines the level of resource commitment beyond the amount of time typically needed to determine if a disability is suspected. When students are determined ineligible for special education, school districts should also consider how other federal and state funding sources can supplement implementation of Tier III. Districts have to consider the needs of students who require accommodations under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act or other applicable laws. Students who have been determined ineligible for special education services but continue to insufficiently progress may be re-referred for special education.

6. Question: Are school districts that choose to use RTI required to use the curriculum or interventions referenced in this manual in order to determine that a SLD exists?

Answer: No. However, school districts are required to use data developed from scientific research-based interventions when using RTI. The interventions that are referenced in this manual and on the curriculum and instruction section of OSPI's web page, are scientific research-based. School districts are free to choose from the interventions that OSPI has identified or choose other interventions that are scientific research-based.

Response to Intervention/Pre-Referral Procedures

- 1. Teacher obtains grade appropriate RTI/Pre-Referral Packet form.
- 2. Once the packet is obtained a minimum of 30 day period must be utilized to gather data, implement and document scientific-research based interventions, monitor student progress, conduct assessments at various intervals and complete the forms in the RTI packet:
 - a. Identification of the problem
 - b. Educational records information
 - c. Health and medical information
 - d. Scientific Research Based Interventions implemented
- 3. Teacher submits completed RTI packet to RTI coordinator
- 4. RTI coordinator schedules RTI meeting with committee members
- 5. Committee determines:
 - a. Appropriateness of the data
 - b. Level of success of interventions
 - c. Appropriate recommendations:
 - 1. Additional data or information needed
 - 2. Additional intervention(s) are warranted
 - 3. Interventions were successful and no special education referral needed
 - 4. Referral for special education services
 - 5. Other appropriate actions

SPECIAL EDUCATION STUDENT PRE-REFERRAL INFORMATION IDENTIFICATION OF PROBLEM

Student:	Grade:	AGE:
Teacher Signature Completing P	re-Referral:	
Position:	Date:	· .
What do you see as the primary p behavioral concern(s)? (be specif	ĭc):	
<u> </u>		
How does the described problem(s average)?		
		no academic concerns
basic reading skillsr	,	
written languagen	nath computation	math reasoning
communication skillsli		oral expression
Other (describe) BEHAVIORAL CONCERNS (chec		no behavior concern
poor attention/concentration	extreme mood swing	gseasily frustrated
noncompliance with teacher	difficulty with peers	staying on task
disrupts othersexcess	ively high/low activity level	temper tantrums
Other (describe)		

AUDITORY: () No Concern(s) () Does not respond readily to verbal instructions () Misinterprets verbal instructions () Appears to not be listening to verbal instructions () Frequently asks for instructions to be repeated () Shows confusion of similar words and sounds () Other:
 () No Concern(s) () Rubs eyes frequently () Covers an eye when reading () Frequently frowns or squints while reading or doing blackboard work () Uses a finger or marker to guide eyes while reading () Moves or tilts head while reading or writing () Other:
MOTOR PROBLEMS: () No Concern(s) () Appears unusually awkward and clumsy in large muscle activities () Has poor hand-eye coordination () Has problems going up and down stairs/steps () Has difficulty throwing a ball () Has difficulty buttoning/unbuttoning clothing () Has difficulty tying shoes () Has problems cutting along a line () Has difficulty writing on lined paper (frequently writes off the line) () Other:
EMOTIONAL/BEHAVIORAL/SOCIAL: () No Concern(s) () Often is uncooperative with teacher requests () Becomes easily upset over minor issues: explain:
 () Does not adapt well to change in routine () Does not take responsibility for actions (blames others) () Has difficulty with peers, does not make friends easily () Easily discouraged () Frequently challenges authority () Cannot work independently () Is over sensitive to criticism () Other:
HOMEWORK/OTHER ASSIGNMENTS: () Does not complete homework or turn in assignments () Student/parent often conveys that assignment was completed, but student never turns in () Missing homework/assignments are primary concern for prereferral () Other:

Compared to the mean of the district, this student's test scores: () are higher () are lower () are consistent () other:
ATTENDANCE:
Is attendance a factor contributing to academic concerns? () NO () YES Explain:
This student has been absentdays out ofschool days this year to date Reasons/excused absences:
Has the student made up all missed assignments? () NO () YES Explain:
Has the student attended any other schools? () NO () YES
List all school previously attended (include student's grade level while in attendance:
DISCIPLINE REPORTS
* Attach all discipline reports for the current school year and any other information on discipline which is deemed appropriate. If discipline in an issue be prepared to discuss it with the pre-referra committee.
Has the student been removed from class for disciplinary action(s) or assigned in-school suspension? () NO () YES If YES, explain:
Has the student been suspended from school (out-of-school suspension? () NO () YES If YES, how many days? Explain:
In your opinion does the student display behavior that is impeding learning of self or other? () NO () YES; If YES, explain

PARENT CONTACT

The students parent(s) (the individual who is caring for the student) must be contacted for input to discuss with the pre-referral team. The parent should be made aware of all areas of concern and be directly involved in assisting to correct the problem if possible.

^{*} Attach telephone documentation of discussion

PRE-REFERRAL INTERVENTIONS IMPLEMENTED IN REGULAR CLASSROOM

(Be prepared to discuss and show documentation to pre-referral team prior and at referral conference if warranted)

Place a (+) by interventions tried that have proven successful Place a (x) by the intervention tried that were not successful Leave blank any intervention not yet utilized

	ALTERED ASSIGNMENTS:
	Extra time allowed for homework, testing or assignments
	Use of assignment sheet between home and school to ensure homework is turned in
	Make-up missing assignments
	Modified or shortened assignments
	Taped Assignments
	Opportunity to respond orally
	allowed to print or use of word processor
_	Emphasis on major points
	Special projects in lieu of assignments
	Other
	TO COMPANY CONTRACT.
	INSTRUCTION:
	Preferential seating Short instructions (break into steps)
_	Opportunity to repeat and explain instructions
	Tr
	Encouraged to verbalize steps needed to complete assignment/appropriate activities
	Opportunity to write instructions
	Assignment/appropriate activity notebooks
	Visual aids (pictures, flash cards, etc.)
	Auditory aids (cues, tapes, etc.)
	Study guides
	Extra time for oral/augmentative response
	Extra time for written response
	Study carrel
	Fraguent/immediate feedback
	Encouragement for classroom/appropriate activity participation
	Poor tutoring/ngired working arrangement
	Opportunity for student to dictate answers, information to tape
	Other
	MATERIALS
	Peer to read materials
	Tape recording of required readings
	Highlighted/color coated materials for emphasis
	Altered format of materials
	FSL/other primary language materials

Social History						Da	ate				
Confidential)						Sc	hool	•			
Child's Name						Ra	ice				
D.O.B.	Age	Grad	le		Social Sec						
Home Mailing Addres	~					•	_	·			
Home 911 Address			·					-	-		
Phone Number			Prir	nary Lai	nguage Spok	en in the	e Home		-		
Family Data:											
Father / Guardian's Na	ame				Age	Pho	ne				
Occupation	•					Wor	k Phone				
Mother / Guardian's N	ame				Age	— Pho	ne				
Occupation			•			Wor	k Phone				
Child lives with Father		Mother 🗌	, B	oth 🔲	Guardia	ın 🗌					
Brother, Sisters, and o	thers living	g in the hon	ne:								
Name:				Age:	Grade:	Sex:		Relation	onship		
		· ·									
							_				
							_				
								_			
							_				
Pregnancy/Birth Histo	•						15	•			
.ile mother was preg	nant with	this child, w	ere the	ere any p	orobiems?			yes, pleas	se explain	i:	
16 Ab			6-11-								
If there were any compl	iications n	otea aaring	OF TOIL	owing de	envery for the	monei	or baby	, please t	ехріаін.		
Did your child seem slo	w 🗆 .	verage 🗆	or o	nuicker t	han average	in	walking	, talking,			
coordination. If difficult			, 0, 0	quiotter i	aran average	L '''	waxiiig	, tanting,			
Medical History:											
Is the child eligible for M	ledicaid?[.	lfso w	/hat is th	ne number?	,					
Primary Care Physician		- ,	11 00, 1		Physician P	hone N	umber				
Emergency Contact		<u> </u>	re	lationsh	-		Phone				
Please list current medic	cations, do	sage, dura			·		_				
		-5-,	= - ·								
	_										
Has your child had or ha											
	Yes	Age	No				Yes	Age	No		
Measies				Cysti	c Fibrosis						
leart Condition				Ear I	nfections						
Asthma				Kidne	ey Infections						
Diabetes				Frequ	ient Nose Ble	eds					
oglycemia				Polio							
Allergies				Cerel	oral Palsy						
Convulsions - Controlled				Not C	ontrolled						

HEARING AND VISION RESULTS

Student:	
School	·
·	
Hearing Screening	
1st Screening Date:	
2nd Screening Date:	
Results:	
. :	
Vision Screening	
1st Screening Date:	
Results:	
2nd Screening Date:	
Results:	•

PHYSICIAN'S REPORT

Date:	
Student's Name.	
School:	
Diagnostic/Medical Label:	
Symptoms are rated:	
Comments:	
*Any Medications:	
Side Effects:	
With this condition the school should anticipate:	
Periodic or intermittent school absences	
☐ Continuous absences	
☐ No attendance problems	
☐ Physical education ☐ Modified ☐ Deleted at this time	
☐ Inability to attend to a task for a long period of time	
☐ Shortened school day needed	
☐ Difficulty completing school work	
☐ Doesn't stay in seat very long	
☐ Impulsive behavior interferes with socialization	
☐ Disorganized in work activities	
Other (specify)	
•	
Physician's Signature	Date

Seizure Disorders- PLEASE indicate type and characteristics as well as treatment in the event of a seizure at school.

Please return to:

DOCUMENTATION OF PRE-REFFERAL CONFERENCE & DECISION

Student's Name:	Date:	Time:
Place:		
	nittee Members & Titles:	
		•
	_	
Data Reviewed/Relevant Information:		
		·
Action(s) To Be Taken:		
1		
If SPED REFERRAL IS MADE, THE REFERRA	AL FORM IS TO BE COMPLETI	ED):
	•	•
Additional Recommendations:	3	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
	- A	
t is important that the committee thorough	ally review all data and prior	to recommending referral
or the consideration of special education s referral Form should be present. Therefor		
ne necessary data to consider in the decision		conference confinitiee
ignature of Pre-Referral Designee	Date	

Student Intervention Plan

Date	Time	Place				
Student	Grade	Referring Tead	cher			
Student's strengths					·	
How long has the stu	udent been struggling?					
concern. After you indicated boxes listed as TARGET A		will need to TRANSFI	EK that sp	ecific conce	rn to the	
Math: Understandi Inability to read wor Writing:Sentence Communication: Behavior: Interpers	ng/Phonics/Phonemic Awarening CalculationsMemorizated problems ConstructionSpellingListening Comprehensionsonal Relationships Depression Under Normal Circumsta	tion of grade appropriate Paragraph Developmen Oral Expression Art ession/Anxiety Grou	e tacts t Elabo iculation	ration	ing/Reasoning	
uggestion from Comm		as applicable here. strategies). These lis	This ARE sted acco	EA is for list mmodation	ting ns should be	
						
Instructional Strategies	Effective	eness	Implemen Begin	tation Date End	Frequency (Daily, Weekly)	
Strategies						
			-			
	2 nd Target Area Subject: Specific concern: Instructional Effectiveness Implementation Date Frequency (Poits Weekly)					
2 nd Target Area Subje Instructional			•			
			Implement Begin	ation Date End	Frequency (Daily, Weekly)	
Instructional			•			

Response to	Effectiveness	Implem D	Frequency Daily Weekly Minutes			
Interventions*:		Begin	End	D	W	- M
Response to Interventions*:	Effectiveness		entation ate End	Daily D	reque Weekly W	ency Minutes M
Date for Follow-up Meetin	gthe intervention process and agree with the	interver	ntion pla	in tha	l	
been developed for my child Plan will be sent home.	d. Parent Signatureid not attend intervention process meeting. opy: disperse plan to ALL applicable teach	А сору				
Signatures of Attendees:	••					
Signature/Title					7	
					_	
					_	

Permission to Screen

Your child's teacher has requested that a screening assessment be given to your child to determine if any academic deficits exist. If you agree to the district administering the assessment(s) please sign below and return. Once the assessment(s) are completed, you will be notified of the results and of any subsequent recommendations. If you have questions, please contact your child's teacher at
I give permission for the school district to conduct an academic screening of my child. I understand that the following assessment(s) checked below will be administered and the results will be reviewed with me.
I do not want the school district to administer screening assessment(s) to my child.
Your child will be screened in the following area(s):
Reading (which may include reading comprehension, vocabulary and fluency)
Math
Written Language
Intelligence screening (to determine your child's ability level)
Articulation
Receptive and/or Expressive Language
Parent Signature Date

Student:

Area of Need and Specific Deficit (objective based from content standard)	Describe research based interventions
	Time line and how progress will be assessed
	Who will implement the intervention
	Criteria for evaluating success
]	

Educational Evaluation

As part of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act 2004, the Response to Intervention (RTI) process was implemented prior to *students* referral/ (reevaluation) for special education. The results are as follows:

Pre-Referral Interventions (NEW REFERRALS)

Prior to, or as part of the referral process, the following strategies were implemented: (Select strategies)

- Early Intervention class
- JEDI
- · After school tutoring
- Corrective Reading
- Guided Reading
- Shared Reading
- Literature Circles
- Basal Readers
- Read Aloud
- Fluency Practice
- Writer's Workshop
- Word Building (Word Journeys)
- Reading Enrichment Lab
- Smart Step/Next Step Comprehension Strategies
- Math Enrichment Lab
- List others from your district

Pre-Referral Interventions (RE-EVALUATIONS)

Student has participated in scientific, research-based interventions that have addressed his deficit in reading, mathematics, and written expression. Student's teachers are highly qualified personnel who have received instruction in the following instructional strategies: ELLA, ACSIP Boys and Girls Town, IRA Conferences, Literacy Decision Makers Teleconferences, Model Schools Conference, Silver Grant Curriculum mapping, Literacy Lab, Effective Lit. Good to Great, Young Adult Author Series, Cognitively Guided Mathematics Instruction, and Developing Mathematical Ideas. (List all areas specific to your district) However, Student has not demonstrated progress sufficient to meet grade-level state approved standards.

The following are examples of sample data that can be collected for error analysis. Curriculum relevant/appropriate grade level measures should be used. When comparing the frequency and/or proportion of error types among the various measures there has to be an equal number of opportunities for each type of error to occur.

1. Sight (Dolch) Word Reading Accuracy (i.e. the, of, was, their, etc.)
Based on appropriate grade level sight words taught as of the date the data was collected.

Data collections

DATE	# words	# words correct	% correct	sample errors
	presented			
				·
				-
			}	·

2. Phonetically Regular Word Reading Accuracy
Correct identification on first attempt. Based on appropriate grade level sounds, blends, and syllables taught as of the date the data was collected.

Data collections

DATE # words		# words correct	% correct	sample errors		
	presented					
·						
· .						
		·				

DIBELS

Date L	NF	NWF	PSF	W⊍F	ORF
	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score

Note: LNF= Letter Name Fluency

NWF= Nonsense Word Fluency

PSF= Phoneme Segmentation Fluency

WUF= Word Use Fluency
ORF= Oral Reading Fluency

DRA

Date Instructional Level	Reading Accuracy	Rubric Comprehension
		·:

Current Standardized Test Results

Any of the following assessments may be used in narrative or table format:

ITBS SAT9 SRI NAEP DRA Explore JEDI ACTAAP
OS QELI DSA DIBELS

Iowa Tests of Basic Skills (ITBS) March 2006

Subtest	Standard Score	National Percentile Rank
Vocabulary		
Reading Comprehension		
Reading Total		
Word Analysis		
Listening		
Spelling		
Language Total		
Math Concepts		
Math Problems		
Mathematics Total		

		•
•		