1	State of Arkansas 89th General Assembly A Bill	
2		
3	Regular Session, 2013 SENATE BILI	L 833
4	Dev Constant Fill att	
5	By: Senator Elliott	
6	By: Representative Lenderman	
7 8	For An Act To Be Entitled	
9	AN ACT TO AMEND THE TEACHER EXCELLENCE AND SUPPORT	
10	SYSTEM; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.	
11	SISIEM, AND FOR OTHER TURIOSES.	
12		
13	Subtitle	
14	TO AMEND THE TEACHER EXCELLENCE AND	
15	SUPPORT SYSTEM.	
16		
17		
18	BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ARKANSAS:	
19		
20	SECTION 1. Arkansas Code § 6-17-2803(2)-(5), concerning the	
21	definitions for the Teacher Excellence and Support System, is amended to m	read
22	as follows:	
23	(2)(A) "Evaluation" means the process under this subchapter u	ısed
24	to:	
25	(i) Assess with evidence what a teacher should kn	ow
26	and be able to do as measured by the categories <u>domains</u> and performance	
27	levels ratings of an evaluation framework; and	
28	(ii) Promote teacher growth through professional	
29	learning.	
30	(B) "Evaluation" does not include a teacher's performan	ıce
31	relating to competitive athletics and competitive extracurricular activiti	ies;
32	(3) "Evaluation framework" means a standardized set of teache	er
33	evaluation categories <u>domains</u> that provide the overall basis for an	
34	evaluation;	
35	(4) "Evaluation rubric" means a set of performance descriptor	rs
36	components for each teacher evaluation category domain in the evaluation	

03-06-2013 12:19:18 CLR204

1	framework;						
2	(5) "Evaluator" means a person licensed by the State Board of						
3	Education as an administrator who is designated as the person responsible for						
4	evaluating teachers and who is an employee of the school district in which						
5	the evaluations are performed;						
6							
7	SECTION 2. Arkansas Code § 6-17-2803(11)(B), concerning the definition						
8	of "interim teacher appraisal" for the Teacher Excellence and Support System						
9	is amended to read as follows:						
10	(B) Uses standards for teacher growth and performance that						
11	are consistent with the evaluation rubrics for the teacher evaluation						
12	categories domains of a summative evaluation;						
13							
14	SECTION 3. Arkansas Code § 6-17-2803(18), concerning the definition of						
15	"summative evaluation" for the Teacher Excellence and Support System, is						
16	amended to read as follows:						
17	(18) "Summative evaluation" means an evaluation of a teacher's						
18	performance that evaluates all <u>eategories</u> <u>domains</u> of the evaluation framework						
19	that supports:						
20	(A) Improvement in the teacher's teaching practices and student						
21	achievement; and						
22	(B) A school district's employment decision concerning the						
23	teacher;						
24							
25	SECTION 4. Arkansas Code § 6-17-2804(b)(6)-(8), concerning						
26	administrative agency responsibilities for the Teacher Excellence and Support						
27	System, is amended to read as follows:						
28	(6) Ensure that student growth is analyzed at every level phase						
29	of the evaluation system to illustrate teacher effectiveness;						
30	(7) Require annual evidence of student growth from artifacts and						
31	external assessment measures;						
32	(8) Include clearly defined teacher evaluation categories						
33	domains, performance levels ratings, and evaluation rubric descriptors						
34	components for the evaluation framework;						

35 36

SECTION 5. Arkansas Code § 6-17-2805 is amended to read as follows:

1 6-17-2805. Summative evaluations. 2 The evaluation framework for a summative evaluation for a 3 classroom teacher shall include: 4 (1) The following teacher evaluation categories domains: 5 (A) Planning and preparation; 6 (B) Classroom environment; 7 (C) Instruction; and 8 (D) Professional responsibilities; and 9 (2) An evaluation rubric using nationally accepted descriptors components that consists of the following four (4) performance levels 10 11 ratings: 12 (A) Distinguished; 13 (B) Proficient; 14 (C) Basic; and 15 (D) Unsatisfactory. 16 (b) A summative evaluation shall result in a written: 17 Evaluation determination for the teacher's performance level 18 rating on each teacher evaluation category domain; and 19 (2) Summative evaluation determination of the teacher's 20 performance level rating on all teacher evaluation eategories domains as a 21 whole. 22 (c) A summative evaluation shall use an appropriate evaluation 23 framework, evaluation rubric, and external assessment measurements for a 24 teacher who is not a classroom teacher, including without limitation: 25 (1) A guidance counselor; 26 (2) A library media specialist; 27 (3) A special education teacher; or 28 (4) Other teacher as identified by the State Board of Education. 29 (d)(1) In a tested content area, one half (1/2) of among the artifacts considered by the teacher and evaluator shall be external assessment measures 30 31 chosen by the teacher and evaluator, or by the evaluator if the teacher and 32 evaluator are unable to agree. 33 (2)(A) Except as provided in subdivision (d)(2)(B), in a 34 nontested content area, one-half (1/2) of among the artifacts considered by 35 the teacher and evaluator, or by the evaluator if the teacher and evaluator 36 cannot agree, shall be external assessments.

1	(B)(i) If an external assessment measure measurement does						
2	not exist for the nontested content area, the Department of Education shall						
3	by rule determine the type of artifact that may be used otherwise to satisfy						
4	the external assessment measure measurement requirement under subdivision						
5	(d)(2)(A) of this section.						
6	(ii) In a tested content area, the teacher and						
7	evaluator shall choose the summary growth statistic associated with the						
8	state-mandated assessment for the tested content area as one (1) of the						
9	external assessment measures to satisfy the requirements under subdivision						
10	(d)(l) of this section.						
11	(iii) In tested and nontested content areas, the						
12	department may authorize school districts to create external assessment						
13	measures to include without limitation formative assessments.						
14	(iv) If an external assessment measure does not						
15	exist for the nontested content area and a school district does not create an						
16	external assessment measure for the nontested content area, the department						
17	may prescribe a state-mandated assessment to satisfy the requirements of						
18	subdivision (d)(2) of this section.						
19	(e) A summative evaluation process shall include:						
20	(1) A pre-observation conference and post-observation						
21	conference;						
22	(2) A formal classroom observation and informal classroom						
23	observation;						
24	(3) Presentations of artifacts chosen by the teacher, the						
25	evaluator, or both;						
26	(4) An opportunity for the evaluator and teacher to discuss the						
27	review of external assessment measures used in the evaluation;						
28	(5) A written evaluation determination for each teacher						
29	evaluation eategory domain and a written summative evaluation determination;						
30	(6) Feedback based on the evaluation rubric that the teacher can						
31	use to improve teaching skills and student learning; and						
32	(7) Feedback from the teacher concerning the evaluation process						
33	and evaluator.						
34							
35	SECTION 6. Arkansas Code § 6-17-2807(a), concerning intensive support						

status, is amended to read as follows:

36

1	(a)(1) An evaluator shall place a teacher in intensive support status
2	if the teacher has a rating of "Unsatisfactory" in any one (1) entire teacher
3	evaluation category domain of the evaluation framework.
4	(2) An evaluator may place a teacher in intensive support status
5	if the teacher has a rating of "Unsatisfactory" or "Basic" in a majority of
6	descriptors components in a teacher evaluation category domain.

- SECTION 7. Arkansas Code § 6-17-2807(d), concerning intensive support status, is amended to read as follows:
 - (d) The evaluator shall work with the teacher to:
 - (1) Develop a clear set of goals and tasks that correlate to:
 - (A) The professional learning plan; and
- (B) Evidence-based research concerning the evaluation category domain that forms the basis for the intensive support status; and
- (2) Ensure the teacher is offered the support that the evaluator deems necessary for the teacher to accomplish the goals developed and $\underline{\text{to}}$ complete the tasks assigned while the teacher is in intensive support status.

- SECTION 8. Arkansas Code § 6-17-2808(b)(2)(C), concerning implementation and applicability of the Teacher Excellence and Support System, is amended to read as follows:
 - (C) During the two (2) years in which a summative evaluation is not required, a public school may conduct an evaluation that is lesser in scope than a summative evaluation but uses the portions of the evaluation framework and evaluation rubrics that are relevant to the evaluation teacher's professional growth plan.

- SECTION 9. Arkansas Code § 6-17-2809 is amended to read as follows: 6-17-2809. Administrator evaluations.
 - (a) The Department of Education shall provide technical assistance to school districts for developing and implementing instruments may develop and implement an administrator evaluation system for school districts to evaluate administrators that weight an administrator evaluation on student performance and growth to the same extent as provided for teachers under the Teacher Excellence and Support System.
 - (b) The State Board of Education may promulgate rules as necessary for

1	the	administr	ation	of	this	section.
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29						
30						
31						
32						
33						
34						
35						
36						