

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Judith	Hudson	AGAINST	Farm with fewer chemicals. Protections for the air we all share. Allow the small farmer, organic farmer, home gardener to all have opportunity to HARVEST what they plant. Preserve these things: birds, bees, butterflies AND the habitat they live in!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gil	Gonzalez	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nolan	Thornton	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Alex	Hogan	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Judy	Moore	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Laura	Oconnell	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

RECEIVED

FEB 22 2019

BUREAU OF
LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Robert	Brooks	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Erika	Sparkman	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jacqueline	Forrester	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brian	Kelly	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Martin	Schenck	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Amanda	Louks	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Deanna	Beasley	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Matthew	Bintliff	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Michael	Pollard	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bobby	Lindsay, Jr.	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Utkarsh	Math	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Alice	Andrews	AGAINST	I oppose dicamba because: critical threat to pollinators--our bees, butterflies, birds & other insects, ruin neighboring farmers crops by wind drift, & a threat to groundwater.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Direction	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Christine	Austin	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kristina	Lovin	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joseph	Dodd	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lesa	Casper	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rod	Miller	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kathy	Givens	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Suska	Jones	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jeanette	Selleck	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Caleb	Stovall	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sherry	Clifton	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ashley	Cooper	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Montique	Kemp	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Tara	Walker	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Charles	Harris	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Amanda	Miller	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Teresa	Todd	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Matthew	Long	AGAINST	Please prohibit agricultural dicamba use in Arkansas after April 15th, a measure supported by science and the Dicamba Task Force. Extension to May 20th will sharply increase drift damage to crops, trees and natural areas, even with a 1-mile buffer zone	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Donna	Blanchard	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Hanna	Burgess	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kristin	Osburn	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Virginia	Thompson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Angela	Dunigan	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Johnathan	Stotts	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Samantha	Lahaie	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Jessica	Fianigan	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sean	Coder	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jaimee	Jensen	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Naaman	Freeman	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Margie	Raimondo	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sarah	Hall	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Beverly	Wright	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Megan	Ross	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Amanda	Mirabella	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jennifer	Nehus	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lila	McCauley	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mare	Simonar-Dykes	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Justin	Vollmer	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Katherine	Aki	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Anthony	Rodriguez	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Miranda	Peebles	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lori	Lange	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carol	Johnson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Arthur	Naylor	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Melissa	Stuckey	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Skip	Kelley	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Denise	Bratcher	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Danielle	Barron	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Wanda	Horne	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Kevin	Bailey	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mike	Manion	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sherry	Carter	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Melanie	Coffman	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mia	Ramos	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rami	Alsadig	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Ra Tyler	Jenkins	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Michael	Ladd	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gregory	Stane	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Magan	Reynolds	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Steve	Metheny	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tollicia	Colbert	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Samantha	Martinez	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joe	Jackson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Julie	Freeman	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nancy	Freyaldenhove	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Michael	Brewer	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
William	McNece	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Sarah	Francis	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Larry	Lorren Sr.	AGAINST	STOP ALL USE OF Dicamba!!! it has become almost impossible to raise a veg. garden!!! Pecans Peaches Apples & almonds!!! All of my family & a lot of friends are taking allergy medications now that never did!!! Almost never see honey bees!!!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Heidi	Prevatt	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bob	Maretic	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Angelica	Landaverde	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jamie	Dallas	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Robert	Goff	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kaheem	Spann	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tashera	Williams	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Becky	Brownfiel	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mallory	Smith	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Danny	Townsend	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Carolyn	Wadhvani	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jose	Cortez	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chris	Parker	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Justin	Batten	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Pamela	Brunson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Phillip	Claxton	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Onine and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Austin	Meeks	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Susan	Burns	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Caroline	Davis	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gary	Smith	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Madison	Daffron	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ashley	Hafer	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Cathy	Hall	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Amy	Dacus	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
DeAnn	McGrew	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Wayne	Tutt	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Elizabeth	Kibbons	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Heather	Smith	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Gregory	Ringle	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jared	Hunter	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Suzann	McCommon	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Richard	Coy	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jessica	Dortch	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Shane	Duhon	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Lori	Austin	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kristian	Tyler	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Melissa	Brown	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brian	Jones	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
kelln	key	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
izzeldin	ahmed	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Reflection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Jim and Rhonda	Carroll	AGAINST	The use of Dicamba has proved to be a good weed killer in soybeans. But the harms nearby trees, nonresistant soybeans, fruits, vegetables and plants used as habitats by bees. Herbicide injury can't be reversed! We feel that more research is needed	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Greyson	Stephens	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
robert	Lichty	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
eduardo	coronado	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
dylan	clark	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Emmanuel	Puello	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Michael	Phillips	AGAINST	Dicamba should be completely banned from use.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gloria	Hernandez	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jeanie	Frauenthal	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jeanie Frauenthal North Little Rock, AR 72116	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Erica	Johnson	AGAINST	Please protect our farms and vote AGAINST the Dicamba Rule.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Bowling	AGAINST	As the present restrictions on Dicamba application have not eliminated the damage to non-resistant crops within several miles of application sites, I believe the application restriction date should not be extended. Thank you.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Angela	Thompson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Rellection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Carlie	Tyus	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Levi	Arens	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jason	Willey	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Erin	Turner	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Connie	Sjostrom	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Danielle	Eastman	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Peri	Doubleday	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tammy	Pipe	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Laplant	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Matthew	Camacho	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sophia	Stephenson	AGAINST	Due to many farmers in Arkansas and around the country experiencing crop loss from dicamba drift, I oppose the use of dicamba in Arkansas unless the buffer zone is greatly increased.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jerry	Clampit	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Sara	Rowland	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Talia	Isaacson	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Norman	Gilden	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Andrea	Herrmann	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jaime	Cartagena	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tabaruk	Gibriel	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
April	Higgins	AGA/INST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Julee	Jaeger	AGA/INST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brandi	Robertson	AGA/INST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Zach	Shipp	AGA/INST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Julie	Meyer	AGA/INST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Holly	Wescott	AGA/INST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Adam	Barron	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lynne	Clifton	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Lynne Clifton Little Rock, AR 72207	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Michael	carty	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lance	Schmidt	AGAINST	As an agronomist, I see more and more marketing over science and this proposed change to the science based, previous rule is no different. Our climate forbids these chemistry types from being acceptable especially with more eyes than ever watching	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Alexia	Watts	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Courtney	Beard	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Tim	Dockins	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jeremy	Sanders	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ashley	Carson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
eric	Williams	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Vicki	Hanna	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Shan	Srihar	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Steve	Cline	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Patrice	O'Donoghue	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jessica	Jones	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jerry Garcia	Rodriguez	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Heather	Beck	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lori	Mayo	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Krista	Jepson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Champ	Hammond	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Allison	Glenn	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kelly	Carney	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Steve	Hatch	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Serenity	Grey	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Dorothy	Graves	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kerra	Williams	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kelly	Stockman	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Barry	Honeycutt	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Oscar	Martinez	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Johnny	McLean	AGAINST	There has been independent research from the U of A that shows how harmful this chemical can be to crops & native plants, & we still don't know the long term effects on animals, and even humans. Please choose science over money (Monsanto). Thanks	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Diane	Wheeler	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tony	Chen	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kerin	Hawkins	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Krista	Kjorlaug	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jack	Frazier	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mason	ODaniel	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Amy	Huerta	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cynthia	Stoll	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rebecca	Davis	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Xavier	Boskey	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jimmy	Trivino	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Shawn	Hawkins	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
James	cliff	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Avan	Carter	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jimmy	Williams	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bryce	Dickerson	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Michaela	Stone	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tim	Hayes	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Tim Hayes San Diego, CA 92115	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Rian	Thomas	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Wanya	Strickland	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
taylor	mckuin	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
nick	richardson	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Meredith	Krisell	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
austin	mitchell	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Garrett	Barley	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
brooke	reed	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Heather	Hart	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lynne	Clifton	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Lynne Clifton Little Rock, AR 72207	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Priscilla	Poole	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Priscilla Poole Little Rock, AR 72204	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jeanne	De Lanois	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jeanne De Lanois Little Rock, AR 72210	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Elanor	Toombs	AGAINST	It's killing our bees !!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James E.	Mullins	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, James E. Mullins Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lugene	Woods	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Pamela	Luther	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Judith	Eiane	AGAINST	One less poison in our already toxic environment.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lynna	Schonert	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Erik	Carlson	AGAINST	I am against because the buffers are not feasible. Farmers need dicamba for financial stability against the war on weeds. Every state around Arkansas uses the technology and has had good luck. I hope that farmers can use this technology until June	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mary	Todd	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Mary Todd Little Rock, AR 72227	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carrie	Phyljky Rimes	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Carrie Phyljky Rimes Ashland, OR 97520	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Juanita	Turner	AGAINST	We lost crops last year because of dicamba drift.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Prince, Eileen	Prince	AGAINST	come on, Dicamba is wrong, so don't let it be used	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kathy	Baldridge	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Injection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Doyle	Scbesta	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Doyle Sebesta Stockdale, TX 78160	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Marion	Wilson	AGAINST	Stop the date extension for this poison.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Virginia	Currie	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Virginia Currie Asheville, NC 28803	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Alan	Gaines	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Louise	Mann	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Louise Mann Fayetteville, AR 72702	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Citizengico	Willmon	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Citizengico Willmon Grand Jct, CO 81503	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Jacob	Achor	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jacob Achor Eureka Springs, AR 72632	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Neumeister	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Meghan	Ward	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Meghan Ward Bella Vista, AR 72714	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jean	Langford	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jean Langford Huntsville, AL 35803	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Leah	Killeen	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Leah Killeen Niantic, CT 06357	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Denise	Thomas	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Denise Thomas West St Paul, MN 55118	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Heather	Hudgens	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Heather Hudgens Springdale, AR 72764	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
AnnaCarol	Norman	AGAINST	I am concerned about the proposal to increase dicamba use - and the fact that it isn't possible to control it's affects on food crops, wildlife and trees.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
gina	espino	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, gina espino Cadiz, CA 94306	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
JOHN	DEDDY	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, JOHN DEDDY Miami, FL 33176	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Fletcher	Smith	AGAINST	The application of dicamba can not be controlled. It's very application presents a hazard to neighboring crops, wildlife, and water.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gary	Talley	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Arljbe	Jackson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Arljbe Jackson North Little Rock, AR 72116	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carole	Menninger	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Carole Menninger Katy, TX 77450	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carole	Menninger	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Carole Menninger Katy, TX 77450	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carole	Menninger	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Carole Menninger Katy, TX 77450	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Janet	Nye	AGAINST	I have read AR Audubon's Dr. Dan Scheiman's letter responding to the proposed 2019 dicamba rule. He expresses my thoughts about this proposal. Please do not extend the use of dicamba for the health of pollinators, plants and birds.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Phil	McCarty	AGAINST	Need to spray till June 15th	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Alaina	Hartman	AGAINST	Please vote with the science- protecting the natural state	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kristina	Mitchell	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Kristina Mitchell Southaven, MS 38671	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Meghan	Ward	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Meghan Ward Bella Vista, AR 72714	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Debbie	Stewart	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Debbie Stewart Aima, AR 72921	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Iane	Bryant	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Iane Bryant West Fork, AR 72774	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jeff	Seebach	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jeff Seebach Durango, CO 81301	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Stephen	Dybas	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Stephen Dybas South Burlington, VT 05403	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	Abbott	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Leisa	Kennedy	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Will	Campbell	AGAINST	You're putting animals and people in danger. Please don't do this.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ann	Bradley	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cecilia	Vellozo	AGAINST	Dicamba will harm our children and ourselves. I am vehemently opposed to the current proposal.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Fred	Roberson	AGAINST	I am opposed to the extension date for dicamba usage. I think the negative effects of allowing the extension far outweigh any benefits of the extension.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
David	Jones	AGAINST	Dicamba has destroyed many crops in NE Arkansas and farmers have not been able to control drifting. The Plant Board has not been aggressive enough on previously introduced chemicals to control collateral damage, and it doesn't appear willing to do	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Drew	Jansen	AGAINST	It's almost impossible to control Dicamba and keep it from spreading beyond the targeted crops. Farms downwind of Dicamba treated crops have been decimated and destroyed by Dicamba. Agribusinesses are being disrupted across the state of Arkansas.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dana	Jones	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Donna Gayle	Rogers	AGAINST	I recommend April 15th cut off.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carol	Brigrance	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
John	Simpson	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Allen	Dobson	AGAINST	I oppose extending the use of Dicamba. I have a B.S. in Agriculture/Pest Mgmt degree (primarily entomology) and I am deeply concerned about Dicamba's impact on bees and other insects.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carolyn Are	Brown	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Judith	Booker	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Merwin	Beavers	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ilna	shearer	AGAINST	stop use of dicamba all together	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Loretta	Martin	AGAINST	I have always preferred local honey. I am saddened that I will no longer be able to buy honey from Crooked Creek Bee Company in Jonesboro, Arkansas. Please save and protect the rest of our local food sources!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Catherine	Murphy	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ed	Grubbs	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nancy	Quinn	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Charles	Mullins	AGAINST	Arkansas has already lost one of the largest bee keepers in the U.S. due to Dicamba so it's clear it's a serious environmental hazard. Many farmers have also been damaged by its use.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ken	Ackley	AGAINST	Please limit dicabra It is toxic	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Diane	Mereness	AGAINST	Please prohibit agricultural dicamba use in Arkansas after April 15th, a measure supported by science and the Dicamba Task Force. Extension to May 20th will sharply increase drift damage to crops, trees and natural areas, even with a 1-mile buffer zone	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cathi	Nisenbaum	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Maryann	Stafford	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bruce	Woods	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Bruce Woods Russellville, AR 72802	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Keith	Runion	AGAINST	This material is unnecessary and creating problems. Use an alternative.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Angela	Beauchamp	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Janet	Bartos	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Roger	Dennington	AGAINST	As an old Arkansas boy I am opposed to this extension.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dana	Mereness	AGAINST	My Arkansas roots run deep and dear. Our conservationist daddy taught us to love & respect GOD'S NATURE, to care for & preserve it. PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW use of dicamba after April 15. It is destructive to bees & habitat beyond the fields.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Barbara	Roush	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lee	Cowan	AGAINST	It's possible this is my second email to ask you to continue to strongly control dicamba. Sorry, but some computer problems. If not, this is my vote against. Thank you.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ava	Simmons	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Direction	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Susa	Carter	AGAINST	Dicamba should be banned altogether, cancer causing, and kills insects and bees.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Adam	Watson	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bobby	Akin	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Beth	Squires	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Connie	Detmers	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Broc	Detmers	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
MARY	SMITH	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Debra	Ross	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Annee	Littell	AGAINST	Dicamba's volatility makes it a serious threat to agriculture and our environment in general when used in warm weather conditions. Please do not allow it to be used after the current deadline. I am an organic gardener and care about this.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sergio	Picado	AGAINST	Please do not lift the ban. I am against the dicamba extension. This chemical will go down in history like agent orange.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Diane	Payne	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tom	Crow	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Linda	Schmellig	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Patricia	Larese	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brandon	Finch	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Scott	Markham	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Scott Markham Conway, AR 72034	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Judy	Fersch	AGAINST	Farmers need more than one herbicide system to prevent buildup of glyphosate resistant weeds. The 2019 US EPA label for Engenia contains necessary requirements for mitigating off-target movement of dicamba when followed. Against rule as written.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Edward	Hommema	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Edward Hommema Hot Springs Village, AR 71909	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Megan	O'Neal King	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Megan O'Neal King Conway, AR 72034	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jill	Jones	AGAINST	Please ban dicamba. It is destroying our crops and gardens!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Janice	Freund	AGAINST	We need chemical free food. We don't want all your chemicals to be spread all over, which causes humans our health and welfare. I'm against spreading Dicamba and other toxic chemicals on anything in our state or other states.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Audra	Higgins	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jan	Scholl	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Charles	Pool	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Direction	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Holly	Williams	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Greg	Semora	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Greg Semora Mayflower, AR 72106	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Vicky	Robertson	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Stefanie	Dwyer	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mary	Christie	AGAINST	I can't imagine anyone being for poisoning themselves, their family, and their friends!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Andrea	Brodkin	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Andrea Brodkin Santa Monica, CA 90405	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Megan	Brick	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Marcia	Kelly	AGAINST	My father had a soybean and rice farm in prairie county and I am against Dicamba for all the harm it could do to farmers who do not use gmo seed. Dr. Jason Norsworthy a University of Arkansas weed scientist said it will have bad results on non gmo.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ann	Copas	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ginger	Murry	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gary	Eddy-McCain	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mary	Lemberger	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Mary Lemberger Hot Springs Village, AR 71909	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Doctor Assad	Rasheed	AGAINST	Our food must be protected in order to maintain a healthy lifestyle. I am against this proposal.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
David	O'Neal	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, David O'Neal Benton, AR 72015	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Daniel	Casciano	AGAINST	It is difficult to believe that this legislation condones the use of known poisons to humans and animals as well as the data that indicate that dicamba is a putative carcinogen. This is unbelievable when it is known that there are adequate less toxic	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sabrina	King	AGAINST	Harmful	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jan	gerber	AGAINST	Say NO to all poison	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kate	C	AGAINST	Save the bees!!!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
J.F.	Murdoch	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Peri	Doubleday	AGAINST	Again politicians are taking \$\$ over our wishes. No person, farmer or otherwise, has the to put other businesses and people at risk?? This chemical has already put a major honey producing family business out of business by 'drift' killing their hives	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Beth	Stone	AGAINST	Stop letting big corporate farms use methods that are dangerous in the name of profit.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Steve	Smith	AGAINST	I have submitted written comments by mail. This section would not allow complete inclusion of what I needed to do a complete comment. The Save Our Crops Coalition fully supports maintaining the previous cut-off date of April 16th for use of dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lee	Abel	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jessica	Caplan	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Sandra	Simmons	AGAINST	Our bees are dying. Then we all die!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Susan	Jeter	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Myrtle	Evans	AGAINST	We must, above all, consider (and act) to preserve of our food sources, non-gmo crops, fruits and vegetables, as well as trees and the habitat. As citizens, we have a responsibility to resist anything that will harm or threaten our environment.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Angela	Scott	AGAINST	I want a healthy environment for my future children and for all that I love on earth. May our world continue to be green..	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Christy	Marquis	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Anna	Jeter	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Onine and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Connie	Walden	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lia	LaFollette	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lauren	Guido	AGAINST	No extension!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ross	Maestas	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Melissa	Jenks	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kristi	Miller	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Heidi	Harris	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jacob	Harris	AGAINST	Dicamba has proven deadly to crops and people. Please do not accept this lethal new proposal.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Amanda	Killingsworth	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Glenda	Harlow	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jennifer	Doyle	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Diane	Browne	AGAINST	Please protect Arkansas farmers and our environment.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Joel	Nutt	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mitchell	Wine	AGAINST	These types of chemicals are environmentally toxic and therefore pose a risk to human health.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Harriette	Upshaw	AGAINST	Please consider the damage the chemical dicamba can and will do when in comes in contact with foliage it was not intended for. I am apposed to using dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jacob	Smithpeters	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chris	Smithpeters	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jessy	Green	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Kelle	Smithpeters	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Wendy	Hughes	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Hollie	Hutchison	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gretchen	Hunt	AGAINST	This will hurt our pollinators.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Starla	Morgan	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
matthew	cabe	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Hayden	Fischer	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Earlesha	Butler	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jerrold	Shaffer	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mariah	Seigel	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Thomas	Erickson	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Boyd	AGAINST	Due to the volatility of dicamba applicators have no control once it leaves the spray nozzle.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Direction	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Jason	Bird	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Myranda	Rowe	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joshua	Ludford	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Grant	McKittrick	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dawna	Schatzley	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Adrianna	Denay	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
David	Ash	AGAINST	You are killing Mother Earth. When the water is all poisoned, the food supply is gone, the wildlife is all extinct, maybe then you'll realize you can't eat money	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Oswaldo	M	AGAINST	Pesticides cause enough harm against the environment a cessation of these products can be a step in the right direction to the longevity of our environment, our home. These proposed laws are just to ensure profit instead of keeping us safe and health	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ashleigh	Almond	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Andrea	Bailey	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jay	shearer	AGAINST	stop use of dicamba. Your ballot is confusing. "Against extension date" leaves question whether respondent is against use of dicamba, or against a date of "who knows what is going to happen."	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Amanda	Swift	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Felicia	Speaks	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cindy	English	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Erica	Demarantville	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
ROBERT	MIDDLETON	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Grant	Speer	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Maty	Sisco	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Doug	Barton	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sharon	Bowles	AGAINST	I think dicamba use should be discontinued entirely.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jacqueline	Williams	AGAINST	Over 80 degrees Dicamba evaporates and travels miles from where it was sprayed killing or damaging everything it settles on. Science backs this up. Why is the state plant board and governor ignoring the evidence? Roll cutoff date back to April.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joanna	Lee	AGAINST	Please do not extend dicamba's approval for the sake of our environment.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Martin	Cruce	AGAINST	Dicamba use should be restricted more, do not extend the times that it can be used.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rachel	Huff	AGAINST	I do not want this chemical used in any way.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Mary	Kennedy	AGAINST	I am worried about my food.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gina	Burns	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Gina Burns Austin, AR 72007	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Patricia	Kirkwood	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Melanie	Mccollum	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Melanie Mccollum Conway, AR 72032	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chris	Walls	AGAINST	Save the planet.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cara	Davis	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Reflection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Annie	Smith	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Karen	Bishop	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Juliet	McGowan	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Barbara	Belew	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Morgana	Shaw	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rose	Kihm	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Rebecca	Hayes	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Greg	James	AGAINST	After a complete review of Jason Norsworthy's testing on dicamba/Extend beans, it appears that the risk to susceptible crops is huge. Other safer technologies exist even if they aren't owned by Monsanto.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Danny	Parchman	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kimberly	Parchman	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Keith	Simpson	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ed	Baker	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Jon	Bridges	AGAINST	It's simple. Prioritize.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
M	MITch	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bobera	Young	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chelsey	Gaters	AGAINST	I won't even attempt a hobby garden this year solely due to the last years failures resulting from irresponsible neighbors dicamba usage.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Richard	Hood	AGAINST	For the last two years we have planted and lost our garden. We have pecan trees that produce nothing now. We have oak and sycamore trees flowers and ornamental trees in our yard damaged. Dicamba should be banned. Does the home owner not have rights ?	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Barre	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, John Barre Greenbrier, AR 72058	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Section	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Marilyn	West	AGAINST	Too volatile a drug. Lots of damage to my families crops.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nancy	Bowers	AGAINST	I am amazed that this is even an issue. If you approve this you should have to spray it in your yard period! My son goes to Valley View schools it is surrounded by fields. If it is used. Get ready to bankrupt our state!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Annette	Avery	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Annette Avery North Little Rock, AR 72116	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Diann	Watson	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ed	Avery	AGAINST	Dicamba and Dicamba resistant Seed should be banded from Arkansas. I have suffered three years of losses as a result of Dicamba damage to my soybeans. If you read this proposal, why would you let anyone use a chemical this dangerous.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jay	Fulbright	AGAINST	The changes proposed will endanger homeowners, farmers who are not planting dicamba resistant crops and the environment. Dicamba ought to be banned from use in Arkansas, as it has been demonstrated to be an unsafe and unpredictable product.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Adam	Bodeker	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rebecca	Simmons	AGAINST	I have invested substantially in landscaping to improve the environment and to provide for wildlife in an effort to improve quality of life. Dicamba is killing it all. I suspect it is also killing me.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Timothy	Gannon	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joseph	Holder	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ruth	Voss	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Ruth Voss Conway, AR 72034	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Shelia	Milton	AGAINST	This is not ok! Please do not allow the use of Dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Kim	Kapity	AGAINST	These are not strong enough regulations. 2,4-D should not be allowed for use in pasture situations or row crops, period. Smaller farms and home gardeners are being affected by crop loss all over the state due to drift.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Susan	Hall	AGAINST	Save our bees!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ruth	Patterson Jenki	AGAINST	Take Dicamba off the market. It is poison to our bees and to people.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Harley	Morris	AGAINST	We need the bees and the honey, we don't need the poison dicamba!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nell	Matthews	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Nell Matthews Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nancy	Legg	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Nancy Legg Traskwood, AR 72167	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Leslie	Keene	AGAINST	Dicamba is proven to be more toxic than glyphosate & is more volatile, linked as a cause of cancer, & farmers whose fields have been affected by dicamba drift expect to lose 10-30% of this year's crops. Monsanto is killing people!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rick	Miller	AGAINST	water contamination food contamination direct into honey indirect through plant absorption weeds that become resistant health concerns AIR, WATER, FOOD children and pets in spray areas drift and overspray neighbors gardens and market crops	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Wayne	Moses	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Wayne Moses Texarkana, TX 75505	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Roger	Head	AGAINST	People come before corporate profits. Science has made it clear that this will cause more harm than good in its use.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sara	McCirmick	AGAINST	We want clean non chemical foods	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Angela	Connolly	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Penny	McClard	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Penny McClard Malvern, AR 72104	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kristina	Mitchell	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Kristina Mitchell Southaven, MS 38671	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lila	McCauley	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Lila McCauley Conway, AR 72034	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Elizabeth	Jones	AGAINST	Dicamba is toxic. The buffer zones are not enough. It is shameful that a state such as ours would be so ignorant and lazy as to use such a toxic chemical. Our farmers lives matter, our environment and our children's lives are at risk. No dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jerry	Clampit	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Susan	Douglass	AGAINST	I consider Dicamba deadly. A good man was murdered just to shut him up because he reported the damage this chemical can do! All for money!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Harold	Walker	AGAINST	DO NOT EXTEND THE DATE! You will kill my crops! Big seeds just want to make more money! Protect us small farmers!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	Williams	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	Advocacy Test, have a good day!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Deborah	Mahurin	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Deborah Mahurin Jacksonville, AR 72076	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jan	Scho	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jan Scho Sherwood, AR 72120	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Pat	McClelland	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Pat McClelland Little Rock, AR 72202	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Patty	Horner	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Patty Horner Salem, AR 72576	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Larry	Stobaugh	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Larry Stobaugh Maumelle, AR 72113	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mary	Kenny	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Mary Kenny Flippin, AR 72634	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lolly	Tindol	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Lolly Tindol Pettigrew, AR 72752	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jeanie	Frauenthal	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jeanie Frauenthal North Little Rock, AR 72116	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mary	Smith	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Mary Smith Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Doris	Brown	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Doris Brown Little Rock, AR 72212	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Charles	Bitting	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Charles Bitting Marble Falls, AR 72648	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Denise	Thomas	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Denise Thomas West St Paul, MN 55118	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Patricia	Sheffer	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Patricia Sheffer Savoy, IL 61874	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ruth	Teague	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Ruth Teague Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Francie Ferguson	Bolter	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Francie Ferguson Bolter Mayflower, AR 72106	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Geniece	Yates	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Geniece Yates Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kenny	Nichols	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Kenny Nichols Cabot, AR 72023	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dale	Johnson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Dale Johnson Berryville, AR 72616	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
William	Dinger	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, William Dinger Oklahoma City, OK 73112	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Doyle	Sebesta	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Doyle Sebesta Stockdale, TX 78160	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Peri	Doubleday	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Peri Doubleday Little Rock, AR 72207	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Caroline	Hughes	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Caroline Hughes Fouke, AR 71837	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Barry	Hughes	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Barry Hughes Bentonville, AR 72712	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Meredith	Reaves	AGAINST	I am already frustrated with the materials currently used. This will not make Arkansas safer. It will make the overall health of the state decline. Absolutely against this ban be lifted.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	Tinker	AGAINST	Say NO to extending dicamba us. It makes it impossible for me to grow my own food in my garden and I believe it killed my bees.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Alison	Taylor	AGAINST	Please don't lift the ban on this horrible chemical. It was banned for good reason and with cancer rates continually rising, we certainly don't need more toxic chemicals added to the food we eat. Not to mention the effects on the environment.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tina	Pryor	AGAINST	I oppose the time extension use of Dicamba. This will cause much more harm to the environment, birds and pollinators.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Esther	Tester	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Karen	Anderson	AGAINST	DO NOT relax the rules for dicamba use in Arkansas. I think it should be banned altogether. Drift and other unintended consequences cannot be controlled. There are safer alternatives.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Scharmell	Roussel	AGAINST	fewer chemicals please! more bees, birds, protection of fragile ecosystems that sustain life	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jason	Jacobs	AGAINST	Divan a does not kill the weed. Dicamba just stunts the growth so beans cover the weed you just can't see the weeds but they are still there. I think drift potential has not been determined why it happens. dont like it pushed on me.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Chris	Selby	AGAINST	Can't understand why our state wants to be different than all other states that are allowing dicamba applications. Plant board puts farmers at a competitive disadvantage.WE NEED DICAMBA.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Elizabeth	Pomper	AGAINST	I strongly oppose the proposed dicamba rule.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jason	Knolle	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nathan	Beck	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Laura	Wright	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ed		AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change for dicamba. Stated: science is clear dicamba volatilize when sprayed in warm weather; the new in crop, large-scale, warm weather applications of dicamba are causing a problem.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Helen	Mexaly	AGAINST	Staff Summary: No to dicamba after April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Pat	Besom	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is for a ban of dicamba. Blames dicamba for Crooked Creek Bee Co.'s closing.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kristin	Musgnug	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change for dicamba. Stated her concern regarding dicamba's volatility and ability to contaminate groundwater.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jerry	Butler	AGAINST	Staff Summary: The Garland Cty Audubon Society is against the proposed regulation change for dicamba because of the scientific evidence for it to be destructive to native plants which support the wild animals and birds.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rob	King	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change for dicamba, since it is proven that dicamba is harmful and the regulation change would set a dangerous precedent.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jan M.	VanSchuyver	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change for dicamba because of serious repercussions it could have for bird habitats and crop production in AR.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Susan	Snell	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This horticulturist/former applicator is against the proposed regulation change for dicamba because dicamba will volatilize in the higher temperatures in May.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sharla	Chalfant	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This tomato grower is against the proposed regulation change for dicamba. Stated had no tomatoes in the past 2 years worth eating due to dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dale	Caldwell	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change for dicamba. Stated him, friends and relatives had damage to their properties, loss in pecan production and vegetable gardens.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Keith	Tacker	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This farmer is for a ban of dicamba and wants regulations to be enforced with severe consequences. Can no longer afford planting Round-Up-Ready soybeans because people farming close to him spray dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gale	Stewart	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This attorney/farmer is for a ban of dicamba. Fears for his property which contains a rare and endangered ecosystem.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ronald	Tacker	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This farmer is against the proposed regulation change for dicamba. Wants stricter restrictions and penalties. Farms for 45 yrs and has not seen damage like he had in the past 3 yrs from dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Relaction	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Ford L.	Baldwin	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This Weed Scientist/Consultant is against the proposed regulation change for dicamba, because it will be less enforceable.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dan	Scheiman, Ph.D	AGAINST	Staff Summary: Audubon Arkansas, state office of the nonprofit conservation organization National Audubon Society, is against the proposed regulation change for dicamba. Stated scientific evidence doesn't support the date change.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dale	Haas, Judge (Re)	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This farmer is against the proposed regulation change for dicamba. Had an estimated 90% plus reduction in his crop production of pecan trees since dicamba has been used around his farm.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joanne Cullom	Moore	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is for a ban of dicamba. Stated her property had dicamba damage in 2017.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jewell	Rotton	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is for a ban of dicamba. Her property and vegetable garden had dicamba drift damage last year.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bonnie	Graham	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change for dicamba. Wants the ASPB to look out for the health of the public and welfare of honey producers and organic farmers.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Rev. Mark	Stengel, O.S.B.	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change for dicamba. Stated it would be completely unenforceable.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
T.A.	Sampson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is for a ban of dicamba. Stated farmers can't be trusted to honor any regulations that are being set up.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Pam	Alexander	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This beekeeper is for a ban of dicamba. The financial cost to her and the uncertainty of survival of a new bee hive due to the use of chemicals in her area makes it not feasible for her to pursue beekeeping right now.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carolyn	Roth	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change for dicamba, based on the damages already caused by dicamba under the current regulations.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Steve	Smith	AGAINST	Staff Summary: The Save Our Crops Coalition is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 16th since the cutoff date drastically reduced the off-target incidences of dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ray A.	Quick, P.G.	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is for a ban of dicamba. Stated dicamba has a negative impact on agriculture crops, groundwater resources and potentially to the citizen of our state.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Steve	Filipek	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change for dicamba due to dicamba's volatility. Stated to extend the use of dicamba to May 21 is not scientifically nor economically justified.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nancy	Hartney	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is for a ban of dicamba. Stated the buffer zone is inadequate.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Robert	Hartney	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is for a ban of dicamba. Stated it is shown that dicamba is a dangerous substance and can't be controlled, especially in warm weather conditions.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cindy	Osborne	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This organization is against the use of dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Pamela E.	Stewart	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change for dicamba due to the affects of dicamba to native plant species and pollinators and stated some pigweed is already showing resistance to dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sheree	Rogers	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against dicamba use period, based on scientists, farmers, and nature prove dicamba can be so destructive.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Clarence	Brent	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This farmer is against the proposed regulation change for dicamba, stated neither farmers nor public need this damage.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gerald	Koance	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th, based on well-documented scientific literature and reports in the Newspapers regarding the volatility of dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joe	Elkins	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Matt	Sheets	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brenda	Rupard	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Karen	Honea	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Position	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Grace	Anderson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bailey	Childs	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Guy	Chronister	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mandy	Young	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dana	McMahan	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Judith	Casteel	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Peggy	Pietz	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kim	Carter	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jamie	Powell	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Melissa	Baldwin	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Elizabeth	Cline	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
P.	Vellozo	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Kimberly	Bass	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jessica	Frankenberger	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gilda	Freije	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Hannah	Huffmaster	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brooke	Weaver	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tyrese	Thomas	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Britt	Frankenberger	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gazza	Franke	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Julia	Cothron	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bianca	Burgess	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cecilia	Vellozo	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Calvin	Beasley	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
LaRee	Trecee	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Morgan	Jackson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sandra	Priest	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Derreck	Ocker	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Debbie	Hampton	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brandy	Stephen	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Elijah	Chacon	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sydney	Pittman	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Judy	Miller	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brad	Anderson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jennifer	Cansler	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carol	Burns	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Monica	Alexander	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brandi	Bookout	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lesley	Dancer	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jackie	Bates	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gina	Wince	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kimberly	Oliver	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Dawn	Lee	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Diane	Stainton	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	Bailey	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Susan	Fisher	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Reed	Storey	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Christopher	Pope	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Hallie	Moix	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
McKenna	Mullis	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Johnna	Johnson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Myrna	Thomas	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jennie	Strange	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Maggie	Brewster	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.