

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Kathy	Johnson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
David	Grigsby	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Francesca	Wilson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Debbie	Kirby	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Franchita	Johnson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Christine	Johnston	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Paige	Garner	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tammy	Houston	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Debra	Simmons	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tanna	Thigpen	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mia	Garcia	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Melissa	Jenkins	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Kinley	Davenport	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ashlea	Spencer	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Michelle	Willcutt	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Eli	Uban	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Frank	Williams	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lia	Avia	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Epic	Gamer	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Janirette	Chaves	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Julia	Wood	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ibrahim	Tahir	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Karina	Lizette	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Vicki	Weber	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Laura	Brightwell	AGA/INST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chris	Moten	AGA/INST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Pakou	Vang	AGA/INST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Fernando	Martinez	AGA/INST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Charlotte	Serazio	AGA/INST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sandy	Moore	AGA/INST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Direction	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Bo	Bennett	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Shalan	Souza	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carlos	Dickey	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kimberly	Owens	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Stephanie	Engledowl	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Penney	Baggett	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Joe	Smith	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Deny	Velazquez	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jennifer	Goodwin	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Blythe	Sloan	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Julia	Bracamontes	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Stacy	Tidwell	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Beth	Bonner	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Vivien	Odom	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Andrea	Robinson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sheryl	Whited	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Valerie	Dahl	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kristi	Cook	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Janae	Montambault	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Eddie	Dev	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joshua	Guzman	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jason	Gutama	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Candace	Clarke	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Meghan	McClain	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Melody	Strassmann	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Heath	Hawkins	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jayne	Wagster	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Marquishia	Beck	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Iris	Garcia	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Keshena	Pena	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Mark	Gibson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Leigh	Lassiter-Counts	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Keith	Edens	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Milka	Espinal	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tiffny	Stewart	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Debbie	Garroutte	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Amy	Janssen	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Christine	Keller	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carolyn	Yount	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Hector	Sostre	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cheyenne	Hovey	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Anna	Bolte	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Kristen	Crawley	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lisa	Casto	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ryan	brewster	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bob	Walsh	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Alissa	Woodford	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Katriona	Bagwell	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Pollction	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Jackie	Williams	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tami	Chandler	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ali	Babiker	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Aerielle	Phelps	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Robert	Addison	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Shawn	Peebles	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Dana	Grummer	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ricky	Cochran	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Aseel	Satti	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mary Ann	King	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Robin	Harrell	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brandon	Gordon	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Jennifer	Neal	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mayci	Johnson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Emma	Clay	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Vanessa	Lawrence	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Danny	Sutherland	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Thomas	Hargrove	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Nerissa	Nägel	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Pamela	Maness	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
MeLeisa	Williams	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Karen	Hicks	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Debra	Vassar	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jeremy	Adams	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Ashley	Chastain	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jason	Smith	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brooklyn	Morgan	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Debra	Gwinn	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cordell	Carr	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Angela	Carson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Tim	Crist	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chelsea	Halcom	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Amie	Cole	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jonathan	Lee	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Angela	Strother	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Leigh	Robbins	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Reflection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Gael	Sammartino	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gabriel	Galvez	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nixon	Vang	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sarra	Tripp	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Corinne	Smith	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Caleb	Decker	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
John	Fitzpatrick	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Marisabel	Baiges	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Michael	Keller	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Out of	Order	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Anthony	Ruiz	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
T txyffy	Fryfu	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Direction	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Christal	Sellers	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kelly	Slack	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tammy	Smith	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Miranda	Sullivan	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rebecca	Perkins	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
N.	A.	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Jaimi	Zeringue	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	shmit	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carol	Chaplin	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Christian	Gunnoe	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Holly	Ware	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kimberly	Parchman	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Michaille	Crisco	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tassia	Kafailias	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mike	M.	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Andrew	Booth	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ben	Dover	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mya	Posey	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Shirley	Armour	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jessica K.	Sullivan	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jerica	Scott	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Abbey	Pinkston	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Susan	Harris	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Williams	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Onine and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
John	Devazfer	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Johnathon	Morris	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
David	Hundley	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Amber Denton	Watts	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gage	Hall	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jim	Houhoulis	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Luis	Valdivia	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Niki	Moss	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Robert	Stave	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Malinda	Gills	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jonathan	Din	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tricia	Malott-Sturm	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Paula	Corpler	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Troy	Paxton	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tina	Malbrough	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Stephen	Addison	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chandra	Ottis	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Michelle	Wilson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Andrew	Lehing	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Diana	Angelo	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Penny	Burkhalter	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Diane	Lydon	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jamie	Harris	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tersa	Russell-Zapata	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Reflection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Anthony	Adams	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Michelle	McDowell	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Catherine	Morris	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Clairvette	Rodriguez	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cecelia	Wood	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Elsie	Wright	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	election	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Isaiah	Aguil	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joshua	Cunningham	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lilly	Feltner	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lisa	Perry	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Christopher	Baugh	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Justus	Watkins	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Susanna	Derr	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Alan	Gaines	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kaahlee	Moua	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Vyasa	Babu	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Francisco	Granados	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Steven	Morris	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Position	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Trena	Buckner	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Michael	Talbert	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Darin	Smith	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gloria	Brown	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Amanda	Gaines	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joni	Hutton	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Adam	Miller	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Itallie	Wilson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Melanie	Ward	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
David	Adams	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Anna	McCorquodale	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Johanna	Ackerman	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Position	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Yaritza	Rosa	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jacqueline	Serrano	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Emily	Ramirez	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mays	Gunderson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Zaybin	Johnson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
William	Hurrelbrink	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Irls	Hawkins	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gabriella	Rosario	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Marie	Aldrich	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mark	Zuckerberg	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kathy	Grissom	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ashlee	Simonson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Chris	Middleton	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Patti	Roy	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mike	Milton	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mereilyn	Rhoten	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lindsey	Baskerville	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Davis	Austin	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Ross	Wood	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dwayne	McFarlan	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Samantha	Smith	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Debra	Milam	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Patricia	Haley	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lindsey	Tugman	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Direction	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Thane and Nancy	Fleming	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Evelyn	Caldwell	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mary	Hammock	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Josh	Doering	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Michael	Phillips	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Janine	Bell	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Lori	Hundley	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Paula	Clauson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bethany	Blake	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kate	Turner	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bethany	McKay	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Monica	Moore	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Edgar	Flores	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sheena	Gross	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jose	Gutierrez	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Laura	Taylor	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Vanessia	Fletcher	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Donna	Ballance	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Dennis	Kaplan	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cathy	Douglas	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
JoAnn	Kulaski	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Constance	Decker	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Whitney	Faulkner	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kim	Van Scoy	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
John	Ballard	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Elizabeth	Keller	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Barbara	Harmon	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lingwen	Xu	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Natalie	Battin	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sarah	Hitchcock	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Janelle	Miller	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Staci	Fritzes	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	Kjorlaug	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kimberly	Showers	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ms.	Lady	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kitty	Farnsworth	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Marley	Halter	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Missy	McGaha	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tara	Stainton	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cynthia	Parke	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mallory	Manton	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Judy	Fleming	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Murray	Britton	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Siya	Patel	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cathy	Meyer	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carol	Patterson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Elizabeth	Moad	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Steve	Kirk	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Justin	Ureha	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Pam	Bridges	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Amber	Pirnique	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jami	Latture	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Victoria	Adams	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Shannon	Schoening	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Les	Briwn	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Barry B.	Benson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Erick	Rojas	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dani	Taylor	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nancy	Allen	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dennis	Martin	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Dorothy	Green	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mona	Mobaraki	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Richard	Owens	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	Hoover	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lisa	Garretson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nathan	Brown	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Jaclyn	Langston	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Martha	Richardson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Suhair	Balal	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jim	Anderson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Grant	Nabholz	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lauren	Smith	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Kara	Davis	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chris	Estacio	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Big	Dick	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
RaShele	West	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jennifer	Shell	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Melissa	Stalcup	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Fallon	Winscott	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Alex	Errl	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jessica	Crum	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Elizabeth	Brock	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dawna	Heley	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Robert	Monteforte II	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE *
William	Corley	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Hosein	Ghar	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Connie	Wear	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jazmin	Gondola	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carmen	Silarski	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Colton	Sadler	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Suzanne	Emerson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dan	Scheiman	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Hannah	Primm	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jennifer	Bond	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kylie	Belk	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gracie	Miller	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Joy	Johnson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cindy	McDougle	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sherry	Smith	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Yosline	Cardenas-Hern	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rafael	Balado	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Craig	Hinchman	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Sara	R.	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ejla	Hatim	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Todd	Hess	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Crane	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
May	Ali	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Stephon	Castro	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
K	Wilson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jasmine	Ferguson	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Alex	Hanley	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Maryam	Bello	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jasmyne	Reynolds	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ryan	Hope	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Cindy	Garcia	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Maria	Dominicis	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Karoline	Kahny	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	Halderman	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Skyelar	Cato	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Damon	Cruz	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Section	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Gabriel	Pineda	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is against the proposed regulation change and wants the cutoff date for dicamba to stay as April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Helen	Hirsch	AGAINST	Magnolia tree look bad.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jimmy	Watson	AGAINST	Dicamba is known to pick up and move from one field to another....no way to control it. It has to be banned entirely for the safety of us all.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Waverland	Watson	AGAINST	It is ridiculous that this is even having to be discussed. This chemical is a KILLER! It is nothing but poison to our environment.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Christina	Bunch	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Olivia	Kline	AGAINST	The dates banning dicamba should not be pushed back to May 21st, but should remain from April 15th to October 31st. I also disagree with the rangeland exemption to the dicamba ban. Dicamba is harmful to many crops, and its use should be limited.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Don	Davis	AGAINST	Why is our Legislature even considering making Dicamba legal for use when it will affect so many farmers, fruits, vegetables, trees, animals and fowl...there's enough chemicals being used now that causes so much health problems.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mike	Nelson	AGAINST	I have informally polled my neighboring farmers, ranchers, gardeners, bee keepers and I have 18 vehement No, do not extend votes. (Arkansas County Farmers Market president 2012-2017)	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Allen	Hunter	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sally	Hunter	AGAINST	I'm an organic vegetable grower and I am opposed to the use of harmful pesticides like dicamba. I'm concerned about the drift and the effects it can have on my own garden. There is no safe level of use!!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Frederick	McAfee	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Roy	Colts	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Direction	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Jennifer	Powers	AGAINST	Please vote against the extension of dicamba usage.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Barry	Tedder	AGAINST	The spread of dicamba to other crops and the killing of butterflies and other insects that effect or food sources should be more tightly regulated. We need to think about the health of our people and food sources and not just about profit margin	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Harvey	Howington	AGAINST	The April 15th date should be the LATEST date allowed to spray dicamba. But the permanent ban of the chemical is the only acceptable result.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dixie	Keyes	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jeremy	Adams	AGAINST	Dicamba should not be sprayed in AR for any reason after April. It is dangerous to other people farms and what they rely on to survive. If we allow dicamba to rule Arkansas I don't see how we can have a local food system.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
David	Wildy	AGAINST	I am opposed to extending the use date of dicamba from April 15 to May 21. There has been no technical or scientific data presented that would support a decision to move the cutoff date to May 21. 2017 proved in season use cannot be tolerated.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Phillip	James	AGAINST	I am for in-crop use of dicamba in soybeans. I had great success in 2017 with the extend cropping system. A June 15 cutoff date would allow me to make one application to my worst pigweed infested fields.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Danette	Lawrie	AGAINST	I am against Dicamba period. Danette	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Virginia	Scott	AGAINST	For several years my back yard garden has been either completely killed by chemicals from crop dusters flying over my house, to the point that we stopped gardening. I would like to have a garden again. I can if you will please ban Dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jennifer	Harp	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jan	VanSchuyver	AGAINST	As a gardener and nature lover, I'm aware of serious repercussions for bird habitat and the health of other wildlife. When the desire for short-term profit prevails over concern for the health of people and the planet, you must step up and say no.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gerry	Segal	AGAINST	Please prohibit agricultural dicamba use in Arkansas after April 15th, a measure supported by science and the Dicamba Task Force. Extension to May 20th will sharply increase drift damage to crops, trees and natural areas, even with a 1-mile buffer zone	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Teri	Patrick	AGAINST	Dicamba cannot be controlled in that it can drift whichever way the wind is blowing. That means local farmers could be put out of business. Extending dicamba use would be harmful to birds, bees, flowers, fruits and vegetables. Say no!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Marcus	Hutto	AGAINST	Nothing good can come from extending the application season of this product into the growing season.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Yolanda	Guevara	AGAINST	If you just HAVE TO use this dicamba, use a triple dose to eradicate what's killing the beautiful green trees and bushes: KUDZU! KILL KUDZU!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Patricia	Gleghorn	AGAINST	22 pages of marlarky will not prevent Dicamba being a danger to Bees, Butterflies and other pollinators. There would be violators, desperate to spray before optimum effect is gone. Don't poison our food!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Marrisa	Lytle	AGAINST	of that length we've drafted (or you can write your own): Please prohibit agricultural dicamba use in Arkansas after April 15th, a measure supported by science and the Dicamba Task Force. Extension to May 20th will sharply increase drift damage to	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kelly	Holbert	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Lloyd & Linda	Smith	AGAINST	Dicamba use as now allowed is risky enough to the neighboring crops, gardens, trees, and all other vegetation but to extend its use as the weather warms is verging on criminal.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nan	Jonson	AGAINST	As a retired farmer (vegetable, dairy goats) from MS, now living in AR, I am familiar with the drift of herbicides, pesticides, etc. I urge to keep the current restrictions and not allow Dicamba to be sprayed on into May, June, etc.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
RuthAnn	Cain	AGAINST	We are against the use of dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Daniel	Henry	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tracy	Brophy	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Marjorie	Barritt	AGAINST	Please prohibit agricultural dicamba use in Arkansas after April 15, a measure supported by science and the Dicamba Task Force. Extension to May 20 will sharply increase drift damage to crops, trees and natural areas, even with a 1.1 mile buffer zone.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
James	Stoltz	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brian	Lyczynski	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ralph	Kunz	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kristin	Scroggs	AGAINST	Let's not contribute to the demise of bees any further. We won't like our land without them!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
carol	ROBERSON	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jimmy	Roberson	AGAINST	I have lost both Blackberries an tomatoes to what is now being used legally. To many farmers are not careful about the use of chemicals. They did not try to stop pigweed in the beginning as it became a problem.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Direction	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Ben	Tinsley	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Amanda	Kennedy	AGAINST	This pesticide has already been deemed a probable human carcinogen. It causes cancer and is in our food and water. We don't need more poison in our bodies than we already have! It should stay banned. We banned it for a good reason already.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Erma	Eichelmann	AGAINST	Stand up against the extension date of dicamba usage. Thank you.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cheryl	Dugan	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ray	Balaster	AGAINST	Extending buffer zones will cause other ecological harm. This is a rather dangerous pesticide and it's not really safe for the natural state.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John Brahana	Brahana	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Raybonlene	Hill	AGAINST	To protect our local food sources. I am an advocate localist.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Donna	Cox	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nancy	Fondren	AGAINST	Please consider how fragile our environment is. We need to protect our pollinators and birds.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ebo	Tei	AGAINST	Enough is enough. How much chemicals should we be forced to consume by predators like Monsanto? Please do not extend dicamba!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kay	Carpenter	AGAINST	Limit the use of this and other potentially harmful pesticides to the fullest extent possible at this time. Thanks!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ada	Heath	AGAINST	I am opposed to the extension of the use of Dicamba in our farming area. I support our local farmer, Shawn Peebles, in his effort to oppose this extension. Not only are his crops in danger, but our local gardens and yards can be as well. NO!!!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Danny	Gray	AGAINST	Fix the drift	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Juanita	Ortega	AGAINST	I am opposed to the new proposed Dicamba Rule because of how dangerous these pesticides can be to our environment.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Genai	Tirel	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Norman	Brown	AGAINST	Dicamba is poison. Should not be allowed to be used in Arkansas.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
BETSY	MURDOCH	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cynthia	Lambaren	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Dave	Allgeyer	AGAINST	Ban Dicamba COMPLETELY...FIND other options!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jody	Burru	AGAINST	I am not educated enough on the subject to have voted on this in the first place. I vote against now to void previous vote for.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ashley	Lester	AGAINST	Please do the research on the affects of this pollutant, especially on our honey bees!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Harold	Williams	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Vilesia	Hall	AGAINST	I am against Dicamba because my father is a farmer and has been farming for 50yrs. He exampled to me that this chemical will destroy your crop and walk across the highway and destroy another.Dicamba if this harmful it possibly kills humans and insect	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lora	Shadwick	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Brett	Phillips	AGAINST	Please be as mindful possible when implementing rules like this. We need conscious persistent effort put into making more intelligent decisions that will positively influence our environment.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Guillermo	Rosales	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Shantella	Miller	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
ERICA	RICHARDSON	AGAINST	This is the natural state DICAMBA is totally unnatural	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tyler	Mckimney	AGAINST	NO	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Baker	AGAINST	Please, establish limits to industrial agriculture. The mix of toxic pesticides and GMO poses a serious threat to our environment and public health.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Kimberly	Hutchinson	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sue Ellen	Lupien	AGAINST	Please, no more dicamba! This chemical cannot be controlled once it is let loose in the environment. Please stop its spread now.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carol	Starthz	AGAINST	As a consumer of organic and local produce whenever possible, I am opposed to the use of dicamba at all.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Roger	Hawkins	AGAINST	There is no freedom to choose if this goes through, regardless of one's position about the science. Lessening the choice for consumers as well as for producers (farmers)	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sharon	Grindstaff	AGAINST	Years ago, my husband I farmed, using products that in later years were found to be poisonous to people and animals. After we'd retired, we found he had lung cancer. And after he died, I had COPD. We were healthy for years. Houseplants now have no	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tonya	Landrum	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Gina	Fiore	AGAINST	I am a cancer survivor of 22 years and I oppose the use of Dicamba. We should be smarter and start using products that improve our food not poison it, the environment around it as well as our lives and the lives of our animals and insects. No DICAMBA	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
David	Krementz	AGAINST	The current proposal as best as I can determine does not consider wetlands in the boundaries from the site of application. Wetlands plants and especially the bees that depend on some of those plants, are recognized as being sensitive to dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Melissa	Eubanks	AGAINST	Dicamba should be banned.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bruce	Miller	AGAINST	As a consumer of organic foods, I am very upset that Dicamba would be allowed to be used anywhere near organic farms or that it is allowed to be used at all. It is extremely dangerous to a clean food supply and not well controlled in application.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tami	Hornbeck	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Clayton	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Sally	Petrone	AGAINST	Loss of bees and "drift" of chemicals is very, very serious cause for alarm. Healthy food to sustain life must be the highest priority.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Faith	Cordes	AGAINST	While I understand that weed management is critical to crop yields; I don't believe that dicamba is going to be the silver bullet for pigweed when resistance is futile. The damage to farmers and non-targets is too great to not restrict from usage.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
david	dunavant	AGAINST	We don't need more chemicals spread across our ground	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tim	Tyler	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Tim Tyler Almyra, AR 72003	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	Worstell	AGAINST	No dicamba should ever be sprayed. It just drifts too much and kills my trees and plants	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sandra	Leyva	AGAINST	I believe Dicamba is harmful to people and the environment. It has been shown to damage crops that are located within the vicinity of areas sprayed with the chemical. It is not safe.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Direction	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Beverly	Parker	AGAINST	As an citizen and owner of farm property in St. Francis Co, I am registering my opposition to extend the dates that Dicamba can be used. It is obvious that big farma has the upper hand. Do not sacrifice small farms /fruit and vegetable growers.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gabrielle	Hargrove	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Gabrielle Hargrove Gillett, AR 72055	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tami	Hornbeck	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Tami Hornbeck De Witt, AR 72042	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Becky	Eubanks	AGAINST	It is bad for our honey bee population, STOP USING IT NOW !!!!!!!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Patricia	Gleghorn	AGAINST	Dicamba for ANY use needs to be banned. We over use exfoliants, herbicides, pesticides with the very things we seek to eliminate adapting resistance to them. The refusal of the Arkansas State Plant Board to ban use indicates vested interest or \$backs.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mason	Ellis	AGAINST	Please do not allow Dicamba to continue to harm our states farmers and environment. It should be outlawed in our state.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Natalie	Mannering	AGAINST	The increasing use of dicamba will put birds at risk in our agricultural landscape. Dicamba's volatility means it can do damage to non-GMO crops and native plants far beyond where applied.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	moon	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Robert	Harden	AGAINST	I am very much against using Dicamba at all	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Frances	Currie	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Frances Currie Wilmot, AR 71676	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bland	Currie	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Bland Currie Wilmot, AR 71676	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kenneth	Kluever	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ann	West	AGAINST	Please do NOT extend the date of dicamba usage.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	meeks	AGAINST	any product that can not be controled should not be labeled. why should i have to worry about someone else using a product that could potentially cost me my farm and my living?	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rita	Pogue	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Rita Pogue Hamburg, AR 71646	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kathleen	Hudson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Kathleen Hudson Mountain Home, AR 72653	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
April	Baily	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, April Baily Mountain Home, AR 72653	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Julie	Camp	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Julie Camp Mountain Home, AR 72653	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jane	Druff	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jane Druff Mountain Home, AR 72653	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Glenda	Huffine	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Glenda Huffine Mountain Home, AR 72653	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
elaine	burgess	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, elaine burgess Mountain Home, AR 72653	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sarah	Glenn	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Sarah Glenn Mountain Home, AR 72653	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sue	Howe	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Sue Howe Midway, AR 72651	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ulrike	Meyer	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Ulrike Meyer Mountain Home, AR 72653	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ulrike	Meyer	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Ulrike Meyer Mountain Home, AR 72653	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kirk	Rhoads	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Kirk Rhoads Mountain Home, AR 72653	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Tim	Roberts	AGAINST	The volatility of this product is a problem. University research shows time and time again that it will move and damage susceptible plants nearby. Please do not allow use of this product past April 15.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kara	Keley	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Linda	Padgett	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Linda Padgett Rogers, AR 72758	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Christine	Carlson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Christine Carlson Centeron, AR 72719	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Samantha	Blanchard	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Samantha Blanchard Bella Vista, AR 72714	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Margaret	Fortuny	AGAINST	There are other ways of managing crops without using dangerous chemicals that create some per bugs. Please support legislation to minimize the use of chemicals on our food. These pesticides are taken all over the place with the wind.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
DONNA	SCHWARTZ	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, DONNA SCHWARTZ Siloam Spgs, AR 72761	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Roy	Duncum	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Roy Duncum Rogers, AR 72756	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jason	Wakefield	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jason Wakefield Bella Vista, AR 72715	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Burnie	Ott	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Burnie Ott Rogers, AR 72758	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Yvonne	Herbst	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Yvonne Herbst Bentonville, AR 72713	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rebecca	Collier	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Rebecca Collier Bella Vista, AR 72715	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Katrina	Bradley	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Katrina Bradley Rogers, AR 72756	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Suzanne	Jessup	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Suzanne Jessup Bella Vista, AR 72715	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Damas	Du Be	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Damas Du Be Bella Vista, AR 72715	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jennifer	Frazee	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jennifer Frazee Rogers, AR 72756	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sherry	Adams	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Sherry Adams Rogers, AR 72758	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tiffany	Hackler	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Tiffany Hackler Rogers, AR 72756	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Sara	Adams	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Sara Adams Rogers, AR 72758	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kenneth	Leonard	AGAINST	I ask you to vote against the extended time allowed to use dicamba. The product is dangerous and destructive to crops and animals not resistant to its toxicity. Perhaps its should not be allowed at all. Extension should not be considered.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Van	Enderson	AGAINST	Do not like Dicamba usage anytime but especially from April 16 through Oct. 31. How can you have a buffer zone for Dicamba in a gaseous state? Wind blows, rains come, it's everywhere. Please don't embarrass our state. Follow the science.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Teresa	Pineda vega	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	Swim	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, James Swim Bentonville, AR 72712	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Christie	Craig	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Cynthia	Swim	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Cynthia Swim Bentonville, AR 72713	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Elaine	Rooney	AGAINST	I would like you to vote against Dicamba issue. I feel this is a detrimental product to use to control weeds	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gerald	Powell	AGAINST	This stuff should not even be allowed to be manufactured. It drifts and is deadly for to many plants and insects.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Marsha	Swim	AGAINST	MORE than scientific evidence against dicamba. it harms far more vegetation than that it is designed to target, which is what makes it so harmful. It cannot be contained. The vegetation it impacts effects bees and pollination as well. BAD stuff.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tracie	Young	AGAINST	The use of products that contain Dicamba must be restricted. The environmental impacts are too detrimental and alternative solutions must be pursued in order to continue to support the farming industry.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Elaine	Patton	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Elaine Patton Bella Vista, AR 72715	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
ROSS	BARBER	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Daryl	Boles	AGAINST	AGAINST the dicamba extension! This application date extension is dangerous to Arkansas! It can damage gardens & any Non GMO crop & harm natural habitats for birds & bees!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lowell	Collins	AGAINST	AGAINST the dicamba extension! This application date extension is dangerous to Arkansas! It can damage gardens & any Non GMO crop & harm natural habitats for birds & bees!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jonathan	Mcarthur	AGAINST	Please stop this large multinational corporation from corrupting our non GMO farms with their dangerous chemicals that volatilize and drift and have been found by University of Arkansas researchers to spread further then is claimed by the maker.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ina	Eaves	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Matthew	Peoples	AGAINST	Any application of this product in the spring is too risky to all the at-risk plants mentioned in the outline of the rule. If all of these safeguards are necessary to protect the environment from dicamba, it really shouldn't be allowed.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Catherine	Paschal	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Marilynn	Brown	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Thomas	Senor	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jene	Huffman-Gilrea	AGAINST	I am completely opposed to the use of dicamba and other similar pesticides and herbicides.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Martha	Wright	AGAINST	Dicamba needs to be banned completely! You can thank Monsanto for the problem you are now having with superweeds! The drift that happens when Dicamba is applied to GMO crops results in harming local food crops, organic crops, habitats for wildlife.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jason	Sminchak	AGAINST	Do NOT extend dicamba!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Gail	Wortz	AGAINST	I am a resident of Arkansas, a voter and I care about our farmers and the environment. I am opposed to the use of this chemical. I enjoy eating fresh produce from my area of Arkansas.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Celeste	Williams	AGAINST	I do not believe this product should be used at all.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ada	Ryan	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Ada Ryan Bentonville, AR 72713	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Michael	Battenfield	AGAINST	I am quite option government regulation, but with smaller farmers, and now honey produces bring forced out of business because of the use of Dicamba (uncontrollable drift and impact), I must voice my opposition to the ongoing use of this herbicide.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tim-Darla	Jenkins	AGAINST	it kills the plants, it poisons the land & poisons the people who in jest it plus it kills the bees & other pollinators!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Janice	LaBrie	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Janice LaBrie Bentonville, AR 72712	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Theresa	Brewer	AGAINST	April and May are months of spring rains (runoff) and potential hurricanes. Arkansas's central location allows weather systems from all directions to influence its climate. Dicamba's use will affect bees and other plants and get misused no matter what	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Christopher	Pistole	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Christopher Pistole Rogers, AR 72756	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Stephanie	Neevel	AGAINST	I am absolutely opposed to the proposed dicamba rule.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jennifer	Karpinko	AGAINST	Please do not lift the dicamba ban as it is a toxic chemical and has detrimental health impacts for us longterm. It will enter water sources that we drink and ruins any organic farms in the region. Our 5yp son is currently battling cancer.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Karen	Garrett	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Karen Gairrett Rogers, AR 72756	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tiffany	Hackler	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Tiffany Hackler Rogers, AR 72756	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Section	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Daniel	Mason	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Daniel Mason Siloam Springs, AR 72761	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rebecca	Collier	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Rebecca Collier Bella Vista, AR 72715	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Shawn	Hunter	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Shawn Hunter Gentry, AR 72734	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Deborah	Kipness	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Deborah Kipness Bentonville, AR 72712	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
David	Myers	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, David Myers Garfield, AR 72732	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Samantha	Blanchard	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Samantha Blanchard Bella Vista, AR 72714	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Adam	Schaffer	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Adam Schaffer Bentonville, AR 72712	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mike	Abb	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Mike Abb Bentonville, AR 72712	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tracy	Brophy	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Tracy Brophy Bentonville, AR 72712	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Meghan	Ward	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Meghan Ward Bella Vista, AR 72714	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cathy	Ross	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Cathy Ross Rogers, AR 72756	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Suzanne	Jessup	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Suzanne Jessup Bella Vista, AR 72715	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Tina	Bush	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Tina Bush Bentonville, AR 72712	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Elisa	Bowlet	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Elisa Bowlet Bentonville, AR 72712	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jennifer	Raney	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jennifer Raney Rogers, AR 72756	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sherry	Adams	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Sherry Adams Rogers, AR 72758	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sheila	J	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Sheila J Rogers, AR 72756	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Adam	Schaffer	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Betty	Isaak	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gail	Kimbrel	AGAINST	Dicamba has already proved to be a danger to natural resources, agriculture, homes & gardens, etc., as well as destroying livelihoods and putting companies out of business. It is been shown to be uncontrollable. No more dicamba use ever!!!!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sally Jo	Gibson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Sally Jo Gibson Harrison, AR 72601	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Natalie	Mannering	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Natalie Mannering Eureka Springs, AR 72632	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carol Joan	Patterson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Carol Joan Patterson Eureka Springs, AR 72632	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
KATHRYN	MARTONE	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, KATHRYN MARTONE Eureka Springs, AR 72632	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Harrie	Farrow	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Harrie Farrow Eureka Springs, AR 72632	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Betty	Squires	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kristina	Turnbaugh	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Kristina Turnbaugh Eureka Springs, AR 72632	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Deborah	White	AGAINST	Please prohibit agricultural dicamba use in Arkansas after April 15th, a measure supported by science and the Dicamba Task Force. Extension to May 20th will sharply increase drift damage to crops, trees and natural areas, even with a 1-mile buffer zo	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Janet	Corley	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jamie	Mann	AGAINST	Keep our water clean please	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Barb	McClung	AGAINST	<p>Dear AR State Plant Board,</p> <p>Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use.</p> <p>Sincerely, Barb McClung Eureka Springs, AR 72631</p>	<p>The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.</p>
Paula	Koch	AGAINST	<p>If we want to eat, we need to protect our farmers and their crops. AND the BEES! Dicamba floats and cannot be contained. It is dangerous and unnecessary.</p>	<p>The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.</p>
Gwen	Bennett	AGAINST	<p>This chemical is not predictable and it's application causes damage to non target crops and wildlife buffers.</p>	<p>The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.</p>
Mark	Cain	AGAINST	<p>This product must not be allowed. State Plant Board can advise growers of alternatives for specific cases. There is a time when we must say that this is too much damage from this specific product.</p>	<p>The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.</p>
Judith A.	Griffith	AGAINST	<p>Dear AR State Plant Board,</p> <p>Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use.</p> <p>Sincerely, Judith A. Griffith Berryville, AR 72616</p>	<p>The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.</p>
Frank	Reuter	AGAINST	<p>Do not revise the dicamba use rules. Keep the April 16 to Oct 31 dates.</p>	<p>The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.</p>

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Mary	Reuter	AGAINST	Keep the April 16 to Oct 31 of each year dates for the prohibition of applications of products labeled for agricultural use that contain dicamba in place as they are now. Dicamba is too volatile.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carol Joan	Patterson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Carol Joan Patterson Eureka Springs, AR 72632	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Natalie	Manning	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Natalie Manning Eureka Springs, AR 72632	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ken	Trimble	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Ken Trimble Eureka Springs, AR 72632	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Penny	Sullivan	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Penny Sullivan Eureka Springs, AR 72632	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Edith	Smith	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Melissa	Brown	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carol	Johnson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Carol Johnson Arkadelphia, AR 71923	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Karen	Hammonds	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Karen Hammonds Gurdon, AR 71743	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Charles	Carter	AGAINST	The plant board is well aware that there is no safe application of Dicamba, and that the new rules are a compromise on the health of our people and our State's agriculture. Dicamba should be banned outright as the Plant Board had decided previously.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
William	Anderson	AGAINST	We have lost 2 young apple trees, a Magnolia tree, (the second survived) two years ago, and all our saplings were defoliated this past fall. All these chemicals cannot be good for our plants and food sources. We haven't seen our nesting blue birds	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Section	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
tony	thompson	AGAINST	We must protect our environment ! Or we all die !	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Johnnie	taylor	AGAINST	As the owner of Taylor Watermelon Farms growing from 150 to 200 acres annually since 1970. The last 2 years I have experienced slight damage from Dicamba but have not reported. But after seeing the results to the plants it may put me out of busine	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
SHARON	HINES	AGAINST	IT HAS NEGATIVE EFFECT ON MY VEGETABLE GARDEN AND MY FRUIT TREES. WE HAD TO CUT THE PEACH TREE DOWN AND IT ALSO KILLED ALL THE VEGETABLES IN THE GARDEN.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Andrea	Stallcup	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Donald & Anna	Grissom	AGAINST	I feel like it is very harmful for humans and animals breathing and can be deadly. Fresh garden vegetables? Forget it! No way can we have a garden any longer because of this chemical. No honey bees to pollinate crops & any vegetation.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mike	Arnold	AGAINST	This stuff kill anything it touches and a farmer with not obey the rules anyways you know they won't because in clay county they don't care about people garden or the bees or small produce farm	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
kathy	grissom	AGAINST	more chemicals are just killing Bees and people. especially dicamba	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Katie	Morgan	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Katie Morgan Tumbling Shoals, AR 72581	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Teresa	Tami-Worley	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Teresa Tami-Worley Heber Springs, AR 72543	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Grace	Ebket	AGAINST	I am totally against increasing the time frame for use of Dicamba. It's bad enough that it's use is allowed at all. It is destroying our pollinators, drift kills other citizens crops, gardens people depend on for clean food and pollute the atmosphere	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
K	Poullis	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, K Poullis Higden, AR 72067	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
K	Poullis	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, K Poullis Higden, AR 72067	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Position	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Kristi	Conner	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Kristi Conner Rison, AR 71665	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Donna	Best	AGAINST	I am a home food grower. I grow my garden in raised beds with organic soil. I do not want my food, either grown by myself or purchased, filled with chemicals. Please do not extend dicamba usage!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
mildred	millernew	AGAINST	farmers should have the right to produce and sell their products. this is the american way since america came into being!!!!!! This bill should NOT be passed . this infringes on our rights which the government is trying to take away from the AMERI	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Maranda	Henley	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Maranda Henley Rison, AR 71665	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kristi	Conner	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Kristi Conner Rison, AR 71665	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Allie	Ferguson	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Thelma	Mckaskle	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Shirley	Tinsley	AGAINST	Why would a product like Dicamba be used if it is harmful to any plant it comes in contact with.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carolyn	Yount	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Carolyn Yount Morrilton, AR 72110	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Elissa	Ellis	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Elissa Ellis Plumerville, AR 72127	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sabby	Davies	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Sabby Davies Morrilton, AR 72110	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kim	Wadley	AGAINST	Dicamba has unintended consequences to our vital bee population.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.