

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Will	Simpson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Will Simpson North Little Rock, AR 72116	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kay	Sanders	AGAINST	I am for NOT using Dicamba. The researchers show that it drifts onto other property and destroys vegetation that all our local pollinators need to serve. I don't think that we can stand to lower our population of pollinators.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jane	Brown	AGAINST	I adamantly oppose the use of dicamba pesticide. Irreparable harm to the food source, to bee pollination, and to water is caused by its use.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carin	Hemmer	AGAINST	I would prefer to consume foods grown as organically as possible without genetic modification. Monsanto does not act in the best interest of Americans and our health.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mark	Coolican	AGAINST	I am against the extension of dicamba usage. This is capitalism at its' worst. Creating a need to poison us. Stop this.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Margaret	Nalley	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Margaret Nalley Little Rock, AR 72211	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
DREW	KELSO	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, DREW KELSO Little Rock, AR 72223	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cecelia	Kelso	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Cecelia Kelso Little Rock, AR 72223	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lee	Abel	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Lee Abel Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Debbie	King	AGAINST	The Plant Board should follow the science and protect wildlife and plants from unintended consequences of dicamba by voting no on the proposal.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
William	Jeter	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Emily	Adams	AGAINST	The long term effects of dicamba will cause much more damage than can be offset by any short term gains. Please don't extend the date of dicamba usage.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Matthew	Pekar	AGAINST	The manufacturers are being dishonest about Dicamba's properties, and the Plant Board, with this revision, is failing to protect Arkansas farmers and damaging the reputation and quality of Arkansas farm goods. I hope they will have a change of heart.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Edna	Delgado	AGAINST	The plant board should ban the use of dicamba in Arkansas. The herbicide is difficult to control and damaging to crops and the environment. If we must regulate, keep the April 16 to October 31 ban and increase the buffer to disincintivice use.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Priscilla	Pittman	AGAINST	The drift from dicamba is endangering and destroying crops and insect populations. Please do not approve this rule!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Deborah	Hall	AGAINST	The time of use should not be extended. Dic am a should not be allowed at all. Most countries have banned dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kimberly	Koch	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Eric	Fritschie	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Megan	Moore	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Una	Carroll	AGAINST	Dicamba needs to be outlawed period. It is lighter than air, and can't be controlled. Not only is it poison, but it wastes money, destroying crops forcing food prices higher.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gary	Yancy	AGAINST	It is dangerous for natural habitat and animals.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
William	Fuller	AGAINST	This debate needs much more discussion before rushing things through. The state of Arkansas will be giving Monsanto a monopoly on our agriculture with the approval of this chemical locking farmers into a deal with the devil. Please reconsider.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jacqueline	Bibbs	AGAINST	I don't want this chemical in the air or on the plants!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Ward	AGAINST	As a retired Circuit Judge, I have followed the arguments both for and against the use of dicamba. Approval by the board will be as regretful as the approval of the hog farm permit was by a sister Arkansas board. Food safety is in your hands. Please!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Dena	Singleton	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Alice	Curtis	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Megan	Pike	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Homer J.	McKee	AGAINST	We need to do far MORE to protect our environment, not limit the few protections we have.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Julianna	Grantham	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Janath	McKee	AGAINST	I have become gravely concerned with the lack of environmental protection in our state and our country. I urge the State Plant Board NOT to relax any restrictions on dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Ryan	Rohrscheib	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sarah	Fritschie	AGAINST	Why would this be allowed to be used at all?? Do the studies show nothing ?? Come on...	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	Carter	AGAINST	I am against any pesticide that hurts bees. We can't live without bees. Everyone has the right to garden and farm without their crops being damaged by dicamba. I am against its use completely	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cherie	Wilkerson	AGAINST	Stop the use of dicamba completely. I am the daughter of many generations of farmers in Phillips County, Arkansas. I was on the family farm this year and my brother showed me the damage done by dicamba. He can't grow a garden anymore.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jerry	Savage	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Darlene	Baker	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Hayley	Bierman	AGAINST	Dicamba is poison. Keep it away from our food please.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Olivia	Hobbs	AGAINST	It is very important that we save the lives of the birds & bees because the Dicamba Rule is very dangerous. We must provide a voice and do something about it.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Larry	Hunt	AGAINST	The rule should be eliminating the use of this pesticide, not increasing it. This stuff is deadly and dangerous and it's expanded use will destroy beneficial insects and animals. Of particular concern are bee populations that are dangerously low now.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Maria	Geels	AGAINST	People who are gardening organically, or even farming with chemicals, but without the use of Dicamba, should not have to deal with drift, nor should consumers of organic food. The use of this chemical needs to be outlawed. No Dicamba after April 15.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Max	White	AGAINST	Ensuring survival of our ecosystem is more important than small world growth.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brenda	Tharp	AGAINST	Please stand up against the extension date of dicamba usage. This is a dangerous chemical.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Suzanne	Ritchie	AGAINST	The flora, fauna, insects, and humans will be adversely affected by spraying Dicamba. One cannot keep it from spreading from one farm to another. It is a dangerous chemical; it's effects might not be known for years.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Larry	Larson	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Frederick	Wilson	AGAINST	Getting a low dose of a toxic chemical is like a low dose of arsenic. Any at all is too much.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Hall	AGAINST	Dicamba has been proven to cause cancer and is banned in Europe and Canada. It doesn't just kill weeds, it kills the people who eat the food too, and when one farmer sprays his crop for weeds it drifts and kills other farmers crops too!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brenda	Kennett	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Venita	Franklin	AGAINST	My vote is AGAINST the use of Dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
paul	akers	AGAINST	This chemical to TOO harmful to be used on farms	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Norman	Pollard	AGAINST	if I understand it correctly, being against this change would hopefully end the use of Dicamba. That is my position, but as usual it is made unclear with lawyer talk so can YOU understand this? I want Dicamba discontinued!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Anne	Allen	AGAINST	I have great concerns that AR residents are unaware of the comprehensive adverse and long-term destructive effects of the usage of dicamba on our environment. There is a large body of research that documents my concerns.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carolyn	Quick	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ray	Quick	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
anthony	patton	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Lynn	Bell	AGAINST	I have not read the entire rule, but I am opposed to ANY USE of Dicamba. There is too much at stake. It's impossible to closely limit where it is applied without affecting adjacent areas. Bees, wildlife, water - TOO IMPORTANT & too fragile. NO NO NO!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
TERRY	DELEON	AGAINST	I want our food supply along with wildlife to be safe and don't want dicamba used as a pesticide.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kevin	Ehemann	AGAINST	I support a complete ban on the use of dicamba in Arkansas	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Krystina	Stark	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Krystina Stark Little Rock, AR 72209	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Camille	Ostergren	AGAINST	Stop contaminating our food supply	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Emily	Kelly	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Emily Kelly Scott, AR 72142	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Cindy	Franklin	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Cindy Franklin Little Rock, AR 72207	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Charles	Gulick	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Charles Gulick Jacksonville, AR 72076	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Charles	Gulick	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Charles Gulick Jacksonville, AR 72076	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Charles	Gulick	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Charles Gulick Jacksonville, AR 72076	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Stephanie	Edwards	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Stephanie Edwards Little Rock, AR 72210	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Adrienne	Brietzke	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Adrienne Brietzke North Little Rock, AR 72116	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	MUJERCY RESPONSE
Peggy	Frazier	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Peggy Frazier Jacksonville, AR 72076	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Audrey	Lockhart	AGAINST	Dicamba is already so harmful to our plants, bees, and non-GMO crops. This proposed rule is irresponsible and will hurt Arkansans in more ways than one. Do better. Protect Arkansas and not Monsanto.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Robert	McLarty	AGAINST	Listening to leading scientist and horticultural experts from U of A opposing the extension of usage of Dicamba should be a major concern for the state to proceed with a rule change. I would urge state leaders and plant board members to not extend.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kathleen	Brotherton	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Kathleen Brotherton Little Rock, AR 72212	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brittany	Nichols	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Brittany Nichols Little Rock, AR 72202	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jolynn	Loffus	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jolynn Loffus Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Elizabeth	Ferguson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Elizabeth Ferguson Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kristina	Waltermire	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Kristina Waltermire North Little Rock, AR 72117	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kelly	Hitt	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Kelly Hitt Little Rock, AR 72210	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jennifer	Finley	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jennifer Finley Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
AnnaCarol	Nirman	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, AnnaCarol Nirman Little Rock, AR 72211	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Christine	Ringgold	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Christine Ringgold North Little Rock, AR 72116	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Dora	Bradley	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Dora Bradley North Little Rock, AR 72116	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Grace	Christie	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Grace Christie Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Judy	Henry	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Judy Henry Little Rock, AR 72201	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Janet	Nye	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Janet Nye Maumelle, AR 72113	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carol	Young	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Carol Young Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Peggy	Frazier	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Peggy Frazier Jacksonville, AR 72076	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Teri	Patrick	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Teri Patrick Little Rock, AR 72227	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Casey	Shepard	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Casey Shepard Little Rock, AR 72211	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jonathan	Young	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jonathan Young Little Rock, AR 72211	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tom	Utley	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Tom Utley Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Suellen	Dimassimo	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Suellen Dimassimo Maumelle, AR 72113	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Charles	Mullins	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Charles Mullins Little Rock, AR 72227	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Rellection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Suellen	Dimassimo	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Suellen Dimassimo Maumelle, AR 72113	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Margaret	Lincourt	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Margaret Lincourt Little Rock, AR 72227	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Margaret	Lincourt	AGAINST	I am a member of the Arkansas Native Plant Society. I am deeply concerned about the negative effects of Dicamba on native plants and dependent insect and bird populations. Please do not allow spraying after April 15.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Barbara	Jarvis	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Barbara Jarvis Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Teri	Patrick	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Teri Patrick Little Rock, AR 72227	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Phillips	Taylor	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Phillips Taylor Little Rock, AR 72211	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
John	Cortes	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, John Cortes North Little Rock, AR 72116	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Candace	Casey	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Candace Casey Little Rock, AR 72204	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Myrna	Thomas	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Myrna Thomas Little Rock, AR 72227	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Clay	McCastlain	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Clay McCastlain Little Rock, AR 72223	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Linda	Vanblaricom	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Linda Vanblaricom Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Maria	Cortes	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Maria Cortes North Little Rock, AR 72116	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Robert	Walker	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Robert Walker Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tabitha	Mullins	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Tabitha Mullins Little Rock, AR 72206	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Allison	Clark	AGAINST	Please do not change this date! There is NO new science to support this change! The only people who support this date extension are the people who can make money off of it! This is damaging to farmers! There is nothing wrong with the date as is!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Allison	Clark	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Allison Clark Little Rock, AR 72207	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dottie	Funk	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Dottie Funk Little Rock, AR 72212	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kathleen	Brotherton	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Kathleen Brotherton Little Rock, AR 72212	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Catherine	Caldwell	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Catherine Caldwell Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lynn	Bell	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Lynn Bell Little Rock, AR 72207	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Neil	Matthews	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Neil Matthews Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carol	Meyerdirk	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Carol Meyerdirk Little Rock, AR 72223	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Laura	Edwards	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Laura Edwards Roland, AR 72135	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lenore	Arent	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Lenore Arent Little Rock, AR 72207	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Michele	Langston	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Michele Langston Jacksonville, AR 72076	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dale	Allinson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Dale Allinson Sherwood, AR 72120	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dottie	Boyles	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Dottie Boyles Little Rock, AR 72212	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jeffrey	Pyne	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jeffrey Pyne Little Rock, AR 72211	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Marian	Berry	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Marian Berry Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ashli	Ahrens	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Ashli Ahrens Little Rock, AR 72201	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Direction	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Diane	Lord	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Diane Lord Little Rock, AR 72207	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Debra	Milam	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Debra Milam Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Meredith	Hawkins	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Meredith Hawkins Roland, AR 72135	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sydney	Howell	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Sydney Howell Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
JoAnn	Drew	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, JoAnn Drew Little Rock, AR 72207	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Barbara	Jarvis	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Barbara Jarvis Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
William	Jeter	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, William Jeter Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Yolanda	Dreher	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Yolanda Dreher Little Rock, AR 72211	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Barbara	Wise	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Barbara Wise Little Rock, AR 72206	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
George	Wise	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, George Wise Little Rock, AR 72206	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Luanne	Blaylock	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Luanne Blaylock Maumelle, AR 72113	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bettina	Brownstein	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Bettina Brownstein Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Emily	Roberts	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Emily Roberts Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
William	Holmes	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, William Holmes Little Rock, AR 72207	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Deborah	Maldahl	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Deborah Maldahl Sherwood, AR 72120	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lauren	Burke	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Lauren Burke Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ann	Honeycutt	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Ann Honeycutt Little Rock, AR 72211	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
tiffany	weinkopff	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, tiffany weinkopff Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Theresa	Flippin	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Theresa Flippin Sherwood, AR 72120	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Martha	Strother	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Martha Strother Little Rock, AR 72204	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	Haguewood	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, James Haguewood North Little Rock, AR 72118	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cheryl	Hellmann	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Cheryl Hellmann Little Rock, AR 72212	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Frances	Buchanan	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Frances Buchanan Little Rock, AR 72207	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Theresa	Russell	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Theresa Russell Little Rock, AR 72202	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Melissa	Gill	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Melissa Gill Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Charles	Mullins	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Charles Mullins Little Rock, AR 72227	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
David	Parker	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, David Parker Sherwood, AR 72120	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Angela	Gardner	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Angela Gardner North Little Rock, AR 72116	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bonnie	Clough	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Bonnie Clough North Little Rock, AR 72116	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
jacqueline	walker	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, jacqueline walker Little Rock, AR 72227	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Onine and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Lyndal	York	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Lyndal York Little Rock, AR 72207	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Polly	Tanner	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Polly Tanner Little Rock, AR 72227	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Keith	Rumion	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Keith Rumion Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tammy	Roberson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Tammy Roberson Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
JoAnn	Drew	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, JoAnn Drew Little Rock, AR 72207	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Judith	Hunt	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Judith Hunt Little Rock, AR 72211	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Susan	Leslie	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Susan Leslie Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
George	Wilken	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, George Wilken Little Rock, AR 72211	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chris	Lalande	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Chris Lalande Little Rock, AR 72211	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Oliver	Williams	AGAINST	This posed rule goes against the science as published by the Cooperative Extension and last years experience with dicamba. The cut off last year was inadequately enforced and is not adequately addressed in this rule. At least use last yr,s stop time	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Pat	Harrelson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Pat Harrelson Sherwood, AR 72120	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Pat	Harrelson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Pat Harrelson Sherwood, AR 72120	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Carla	Starks	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Carla Starks Little Rock, AR 72224	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
William	Shepherd	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, William Shepherd Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Elizabeth	Fletcher	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Elizabeth Fletcher Little Rock, AR 72212	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jim	Woolly	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jim Woolly Lraftb, AR 72227	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Paula	Padilla	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Paula Padilla Little Rock, AR 72223	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jean	Pritchard	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jean Pritchard North Little Rock, AR 72116	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Lynn	Sims	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Lynn Sims Little Rock, AR 72204	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mary	Tanner	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Mary Tanner Little Rock, AR 72202	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Eleanor	Bragg	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Eleanor Bragg Little Rock, AR 72223	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kim	Hillis	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Kim Hillis Little Rock, AR 72207	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Don	Hamilton	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Don Hamilton Little Rock, AR 72227	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Charles	James	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Charles James Little Rock, AR 72202	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Submission	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
MaryAnne	Morrow	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, MaryAnne Morrow Jacksonville, AR 72076	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lance	Runion	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Lance Runion Little Rock, AR 72207	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carl	Eggers	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Carl Eggers Roland, AR 72135	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lynn	Warren	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Lynn Warren Little Rock, AR 72207	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Beth	Eggers	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Beth Eggers Roland, AR 72135	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Judith Anne E	Bell	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Judith Anne E Bell Little Rock, AR 72207	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Joe	Hudak	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Joe Hudak Maumelle, AR 72113	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Anne	Holcomb	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Anne Holcomb Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lucy	Sauer	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Lucy Sauer Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jerry	Vaughn	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jerry Vaughn Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brenda	Scheffler	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Brenda Scheffler Little Rock, AR 72205	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rebecca	Cowling	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Rebecca Cowling Little Rock, AR 72223	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Loretta	Madden	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Loretta Madden Little Rock, AR 72210	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Douglas	Barton	AGAINST	It's clear that inadequate testing was done as evidenced by damage and complaints that followed by so many farmers and others. We have to move toward using more natural and sustainable means to protect our crops so that they will be healthy.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Alice	Kunce	AGAINST	As a backyard gardener and dedicated local produce consumer, I encourage crop farmers to find a better way to fight weed infestation. Our state is small, our agriculture diverse. Dicamba ruins our ability to maintain biodiversity.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Daniel	Scheiman	AGAINST	Don't ignore the science. Think about the consequences for non-target crops, and the money lost and ill-will created when damage is done. Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and riparian areas are threatened by expanded dicamba use.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gary	Moody	AGAINST	Follow the science not the money. There are other ways to combat pig weed that do not carry the significant dangers to other farmers and the surrounding environment. Dicamba is simply too volatile for warm season safe use.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Valerie	Paterson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Valerie Paterson Pocahontas, AR 72455	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Marilyn	Guthrie	AGAINST	I have developed severe Asthma. For the last 4 years. I think it comes from this dicamba. We have a lot of people with breathing problems are our area. We didn't use to. It needs to be researched. Check our air. I have no more bees for my garden. TY	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Matt	Fair	AGAINST	I think this pesticide should be totally banned.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Valerie	Paterson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Valerie Paterson Pocahontas, AR 72455	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joy	Johnson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Joy Johnson Biggers, AR 72413	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Forrest	Payne	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Forrest Payne Benton, AR 72015	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Emilie	Monk	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Emilie Monk Bryant, AR 72022	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Reflection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Robin	Rumph	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Robin Rumph Benton, AR 72019	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Marilyn	Rumph	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Marilyn Rumph Benton, AR 72019	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cherie	McGhee	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Cherie McGhee Benton, AR 72019	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kae	Barron	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Kae Barron Benton, AR 72019	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Larry	Reynolds	AGAINST	I am a bee keeper and it is difficult enough with the pesticides already being used.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jessie	Burchfield	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Jo	Arellanes	AGAINST	Absolutely no change of the final application date of APRIL 15, 2019. The usual temperature warming trends after this date increases the wind velocity and downwind contamination and kill-off of Honey Bee colonies, trees, and other flowering plants.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kelly	Arellanes	AGAINST	Absolutely no change of the final application date of APRIL 15, 2019. The usual temperature warming trends after this date increases the wind velocity and downwind contamination and kill-off of Honey Bee colonies, trees, and other flowering plants.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
David	Arellanes	AGAINST	Absolutely no change of the final application date of APRIL 15, 2019. The usual temperature warming trends after this date increases the wind velocity and downwind contamination and kill-off of Honey Bee colonies, trees, and other flowering plants.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Marian	Johnson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Marian Johnson Bryant, AR 72077	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Doris	Marr	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Doris Marr Mabelvale, AR 72103	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dolores	DeLeuil	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Dolores DeLeuil Mabelvale, AR 72103	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Submission	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
JOAN	ONEAL	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, JOAN ONEAL Benton, AR 72015	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jesse	Pruitt	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Heather	Ashton	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Heather Ashton Mabelvale, AR 72103	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sherry	Gilbert	AGAINST	Because of the damage to nearby plants with the use of dicamba	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gary	Fults	AGAINST	I oppose the use of dicamba	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tacey	Reese	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Tacey Reese Benton, AR 72015	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Rose	Harris	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Rose Harris Bauxite, AR 72011	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Andrea	Burcham	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Andrea Burcham Benton, AR 72019	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Marian	Johnson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Marian Johnson Bryant, AR 72022	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
test	test	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, test test Bauxite, AR 72011	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mary	Mahan	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Mary Mahan Alexander, AR 72002	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Yoriko	Perritt	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Yoriko Perritt Mabelvale, AR 72103	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
T	West	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, T West Benton, AR 72015	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Susanna	McLehaney	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Susanna McLehaney Benton, AR 72015	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carolyn	Geffken	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Carolyn Geffken Bryant, AR 72022	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
SCOTT	Bigelow	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, SCOTT Bigelow Benton, AR 72019	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dan	Henry	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Dan Henry Benton, AR 72018	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kae	Barron	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Kae Barron Benton, AR 72019	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Direction	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Rhonda	Townsend	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Rhonda Townsend Alexander, AR 72002	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Janine	Perlman	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Janine Perlman Alexander, AR 72002	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ian	Phillips	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kara	Callahan	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Stacey	Benson	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Danny	Townsend	AGAINST	U of A's data proves that 80 F temps exponentially increase volatility of the product. It's hotter than 80 F in May. Dicamba gas cannot be contained and chemical trespassing will be the result. Non-agricultural plants and wildlife will be damaged	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Ruth	Weinstein	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Ruth Weinstein Marshall, AR 72650	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jo	Smith	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jo Smith Marshall, AR 72650	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Madeline	Marquette	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Madeline Marquette Fort Smith, AR 72903	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mark	Goebel	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ragupathy	Kannan	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Ragupathy Kannan Fort Smith, AR 72908	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brenda	Pereira	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Brenda Pereira Fort Smith, AR 72903	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Katherine	Gregory	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Katherine Gregory Fort Smith, AR 72904	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nathan	Dale	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Nathan Dale Fort Smith, AR 72903	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ruthie	McRae	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Ruthie McRae Fort Smith, AR 72901	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jose	Alvarez	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Elizabeth	Allen	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Elizabeth Allen Lockesburg, AR 71846	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Darrell	Gates	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Darrell Gates De Queen, AR 71832	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Char	Leverette	AGAINST	Leave it at April 16. That's enough. That's MORE than enough time. Dicamba ought to be outlawed altogether.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John H.	Muir	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Erma	Brown	AGAINST	Stop did ambassador poisoning. It is killing too much stuff, honey bees, fruit trees producing, etc.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sarah	Cumnock	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Sarah Cumnock Cave City, AR 72521	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
G.T.	Lalman	AGAINST	The drift problem is unpredictable and to harmful to many other types of plants, trees and ornamentals.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lee	Davis	AGAINST	I've had crops show signs of product damage the past two years and it was legal last crop year.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Dan	Ricker	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Renee	Ricker	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
G. T.	Lalman	AGAINST	Dicamba is harmful to alot of plants other than our agriculture crops, such vegetables,some trees and shrubs.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kara	Beach	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Kara Beach Timbo, AR 72680	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gerald	Weber	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Gerald Weber Mountain View, AR 72560	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Esther	Tester	AGAINST	This is a test	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Linda	Caperton-Brod	AGAINST	Sounds ridiculous. Why use a pesticide with such potential to destroy healthy crops essential to Arkansas economy? Terms of use sound difficult to police.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Deanna G. Roge	Rogers	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Hans	Heaney	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Hans Heaney Jerusalem, AR 72080	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Maxine	Haley	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Maxine Haley Shirley, AR 72153	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Maxine	Haley	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Madelyn	Coats	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Hans	Heaney	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Hans Heaney Jerusalem, AR 72080	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jennifer	Heaney	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jennifer Heaney Jerusalem, AR 72080	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Susan	Heaney	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Susan Heaney Jerusalem, AR 72080	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Virginia	Reynolds	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Virginia Reynolds Fairfield Bay, AR 72088	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dianemarie	Yates	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Dianemarie Yates Scotland, AR 72141	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Teresa	Turk	AGAINST	I am against any and all use of Dicamba. This is a very dangerous product and kills other plants by being transported to other farms not using Monsanto products. Ban this poison and do not allow any use of it in Arkansas or any other place.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Janet	Brown	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Janet Brown Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Audrey	Zavaleta	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Michael	Rush	AGAINST	The risks and losses, far out weigh any benefits realized by the use of Dicamba. This is a very dangerous chemical and its use should be against the law in Arkansas. Common sense alone, should prohibit its use in any form. I am AGAINST!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brian	Hirschy	AGAINST	bad for communities and surrounding farmers. You guys know it, too. Do the right thing.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Michal	Wilcox	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
SUZANNE	SCHERER	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, SUZANNE SCHERER Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Direction	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Bonnie	Davis	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Bonnie Davis Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nicole	Lambert	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Nicole Lambert Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Linda	Coffey	AGAINST	Too many days allowed to apply. What is the enforcement for the buffer zone?	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jared	Phillips	AGAINST	I believe the existing rules for dicamba use are too lax as it is. Please do not loosen the rules. Instead, work with farmers like myself on other means of weed control and seed development.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
ANN	MESROBIAN	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, ANN MESROBIAN Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lindsay	Lloyd	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Lindsay Lloyd Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Mary Alice	Larson	AGAINST	I have read all of the most important facts about dicamba. It should be obvious that its use should be decreased, not increased! We must all fight against poison in our food!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carol	Sherman	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Carol Sherman Springdale, AR 72762	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gin	Headrick	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Gin Headrick Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sally	Wimberly	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Sally Wimberly Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Trudi	Rust	AGAINST	We have grown an organic garden over forty years. In the past our garden was negatively effected by drift from herbicide sprays. We do NOT want to consume toxins/carcinogens. We need bees pollinating our crops. these toxins poison all waterways	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Audra	Richardson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Audra Richardson West Fork, AR 72774	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Trudi	Rust	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Trudi Rust Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carl	Poye	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Carl Poye Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Katherine	Murdock	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Katherine Murdock Greenland, AR 72737	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lisa	Kelso	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Lisa Kelso Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	Hicks	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, James Hicks Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rachel	Pope	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Rachel Pope Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Jacqueline	Golden	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jacqueline Golden Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rehea I	Youde	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Rehea I Youde Farmingtong, AR 72730	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lynn	Risser	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Lynn Risser Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Margaret	Britain	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Margaret Britain Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Yvonne	Segal	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Yvonne Segal Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Susan	Mcgaughey	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Susan Mcgaughey West Fork, AR 72774	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Position	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Kristina	Coleman	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Kristina Coleman Springdale, AR 72762	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Hagberg	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, John Hagberg Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
William L	Brown	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, William L Brown Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Missy	Gocio	AGAINST	Extending the usage time of Dicamba could further harm the native plants and pollinators of our state. The natural beauty and function of our state ecosystem is important to native Arkansans and those with whom we share our products. Let's protect	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bob	Echols	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Christeen	Klime	AGAINST	I oppose the expanded use of dicamba. Why? Because I love Arkansas and I see the expanded use of dicamba as harmful to farmers, gardeners, wildlife and the environment. We serve God as trustees of this beautiful planet. Our duty is to him not \$.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Direction	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Marcy	Benham	AGAINST	Dicamba use in Arkansas has already destroyed a local apiary, along with the jobs and revenue it produced, and should NOT be allowed at ALL! This is a dangerous and volatile chemical that has negative consequences beyond where it's sprayed.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Patricia	McKeown	AGAINST	I am against the proposed rule because of the pollination problem I feel will occur.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nan	Lawler	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joy	Foy	AGAINST	Arkansas is supposed to be the Natural State. There is nothing Natural about GMO crops or the devastating effect of the toxic chemicals sprayed on them.I say Ban Them All!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brian	Thompson	AGAINST	People living close to where this is in use will notice the leaf curl of their trees and gardens and realize that their children, and grandchildren are inhaling this stuff as well. Far too many unknowns. Agent Orange was once considered safe as well	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sarah	Thompson	AGAINST	We cannot continue to live and grow food crops that sustain human life if there are no pollinators. Dicamba kills pollinators and thus kills humans. Not only should its use NOT be increased, it should be BANNED from any use. NOT to extension.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
George	Kuepper	AGAINST	Dicamba is far too volatile under Arkansas weather and climate to be used in areas where non-resistant crops, gardens, private properties and sensitive ecosystems exist. It is too hazardous.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sarah	Gheen	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Peter	Vu	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ruth	Raborn	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Art	Hobson	AGAINST	Allowing dicamba us later in the growing season will cause damage to the environment because of the greater volatility of dicamba in warmer weather. Sincerely, Art Hobson, Emeritus Professor of Physics, University of Arkansas	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
April	Maranto	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, April Maranto Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
William	Martin	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, William Martin Fayetteville, AR 72764	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Eugene	Martin	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Eugene Martin Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Becky	McCain	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Becky McCain Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jennifer	Kirk	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
William	Martin	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, William Martin Fayetteville, AR 72704	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Zachary	Mathews	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Zachary Mathews Fayetteville, AR 72704	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Evan	Munro	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Evan Munro Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rebecca	Hartman	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Rebecca Hartman Farmington, AR 72730	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Henry	HARTMAN	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Henry HARTMAN Farmington, AR 72601	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mary	Parker	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Mary Parker Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nancy	Hartney	AGAINST	I request all use of dicamba in the state be banned. While this rule is an improvement, it does not go far enough.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
April	Bartlett	AGAINST	Evolution is important but it should happen slowly and on its own. We are lacking many of the vital nutrients from our food because of these modifications for flavor, size, production and so on. Many farmers/Gardener's want to preserve heirloom seeds	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Joel	Ward	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Michael	Norton	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jeremy	Gawthrop	AGAINST	Stop spraying chemicals. Stop killing bees. This is the only Earth we have. Stop making laws for corporations to benefit. Stop being assholes.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Emily	Crain	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Justine	Lentz	AGAINST	I do not support extending the use of this chemical agent.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mya	Norman	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Infection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
John	Wofford	AGAINST	Research shows that strains of weeds start to become resistant to the Dicamba after only 3 generations. This is not a fix to the so called problem and only a giant wast of a money pit.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Timothy	Hammer	AGAINST	Dicamba is harmful to ecosystems and will hurt organic growers crop yield. Arkansas is branding itself as the natural state. Nothing is natural or healthy about dicamba. Bayer and dow dupont need to respect our democracy and let Arkansans make laws.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Virginia	Hinterthuer	AGAINST	We need to stop all uses of dicamba & glyphosate We are slowly killing ourselves.We need to wake up to what we put into the soil, water & air is what goes in to us. these 2 substances are not good for us. Period. Let's stop using them all together	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Olivia	Fredricks	AGAINST	I don't want dicamba	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lindi	Phillips	AGAINST	My husband and I have a small livestock operation. There is a fair amount of row cropping in our area. We are very concerned about dicamba drift and adverse affect on our watershed. Short term profit is not worth the risk to farm, family, and planet.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Emily	Baker	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Reflection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Dylan	McAlister	AGAINST	This chemical is dangerous to ecosystems and personal property. I want it's use prohibited, period.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Fariah	Majid	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Aneeka	Majid	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Shamima	Majid	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nihad	Majid	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rhiannon	Hicks	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Rebecca	Reynolds	AGAINST	Our farms are all of our lives!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Charles	Alison	AGAINST	Dicamba too easily produces unintended consequences. Reject this proposal, please.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Laura	Keilams	AGAINST	Farmers should be able to choose how they grow their crops without products from nearby fields affecting their own. These farmers are fighting to protect MY food supply, and they should have the freedom to choose the products that work best for them.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Matt	McGowan	AGAINST	This is about small farms - family farms - instead of agribusiness. Come on, Arkansas!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Janine	Parry	AGAINST	Dicamba is the new DDT. I'm grateful to Arkansas farmers for their effort to get our attention about its negative effects ... on crop diversity, on fair competition, and on human health. Monsanto cares about profit. It's Goliath, we're David.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Deborah	Griffin	AGAINST	Please DO NOT extend the use of this poison!!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Joye	Ryan-Jones	AGAINST	Keep dicamba out of Arkansas! How can this be good for the entire state if it kills everything exc put chemically altered plants?!?!?	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Georgia	Lance	AGAINST	We do not need any more poisons in our food supply chain. Dicamba is poison. I refuse to eat commercially grown produce and fruits because of all the poisons being sprayed on it. I eat organic, locally grown food only.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Clint	Hale	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
T.A.	Sampson	AGAINST	This is bad stuff. As was evidenced last year, some farmers cannot be trusted to obey the rules or bans. This stuff can drift for miles, the 50 some foot safe zone is a joke.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Holly	Adams	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Holly Adams Springdale, AR 72762	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Alex	Dopp	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Alex Dopp Springdale, AR 72764	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Collection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Faebyan	Whittle	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Faebyan Whittle Fayetteville, AR 72704	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sarah	Thompson	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brad	Bailey	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Brad Bailey Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kathryn	Miller	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Kathryn Miller Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Holly	Adams	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Holly Adams Springdale, AR 72762	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tiffany	Anhalt	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Tiffany Anhalt Fayetteville, AR 72704	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Deborah	Griffin	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Deborah Griffin Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nancy	Folker	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Nancy Folker Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mendi	Kildow	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Mendi Kildow Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Karen	Willard	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Karen Willard Winslow, AR 72959	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nancy	Edelman	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Nancy Edelman Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nan	Lawler	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Nan Lawler Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Taunya	Kopke	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Taunya Kopke Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
SUZANNE	SCHERER	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, SUZANNE SCHERER Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Emma	Foster	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Emma Foster West Fork, AR 72774	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Holly	Childs	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Holly Childs Fayetteville, AR 72704	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Holland	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, John Holland West Fork, AR 72774	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lisa	Lamar	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Lisa Lamar Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Trudi	Rust	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Trudi Rust Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Roger	Burke	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Roger Burke Springdale, AR 72764	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kim	Kapity	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Kim Kapity Lincoln, AR 72744	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Luke	Collins	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Luke Collins Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Audra	Richardson	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Audra Richardson West Fork, AR 72774	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lynne	Spiva	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Lynne Spiva Springdale, AR 72764	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Fran	Alexander	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Fran Alexander Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cheryl	Gordon-Pike	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Cheryl Gordon-Pike West Fork, AR 72774	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lisa	Netherland	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Lisa Netherland Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Barry	Bennett	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Barry Bennett Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Hagberg	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, John Hagberg Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joe	Neal	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Joe Neal Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Danny	Jenkins	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Danny Jenkins Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Carl	Poye	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Carl Poye Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Derek	Van Lynn	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Derek Van Lynn Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cynthia	Patton	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Cynthia Patton Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gin	Headrick	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Gin Headrick Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jessica	McGaugh	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jessica McGaugh Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Sally	Wimberly	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Sally Wimberly Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Katherine	Murdock	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Katherine Murdock Greenland, AR 72737	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rachel	Pope	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Rachel Pope Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Anita	Zisner	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Anita Zisner Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
William L	Brown	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, William L Brown Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Marion	Oates	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Marion Oates Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
John	wujcik	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, John wujcik Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Candace	Carter	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Candace Carter Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ann	Womack	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Ann Womack Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ginny	Masullo	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Ginny Masullo Fayetteville, AR 72704	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nancy	Hartney	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Nancy Hartney Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Karen	Hutchins	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Karen Hutchins Fayetteville, AR 72703	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Rehea	Youde	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Rehea Youde Farmingtong, AR 72730	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Keaton	Smith	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Keaton Smith Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Pat	Zuber	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Pat Zuber Springdale, AR 72764	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gregory	Mitchell	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Gregory Mitchell Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Matthew	Baker	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Matthew Baker Springdale, AR 72762	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Ray	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, John Ray Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Yvonne	Segal	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Yvonne Segal Fayetteville, AR 72701	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Miranda	Bertrand	AGAINST	I'm appalled that the use of Dicamba is even being considered for use in the state of AR. Even more so the that one mile buffer distance is being suggested. How long before our cherished local organic farms are contaminated by wind, water, soil? :(The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Hayley	Verble	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Hamilton	AGAINST	I would hope the SPB would follow the recommendations of our Uofa weed scientists. Dicamba volatility is too unpredictable & dangerous to surrounding non-tolerant crops, gardens, trees, etc. At the least, the 2018 dicamba rules should be followed.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
William	Johnson	AGAINST	2015 crop yield 5000 pounds 2016 crop yield 300 pounds 2017 crop yield 800 pounds 2018 crop yield 1200 pounds Baccum commercial sheller in 2018 processed 20,000 pounds by Christmas, normally > 125,000 pounds. Last AR little or no production pecans	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ed	Willett	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Ed Willett Searcy, AR 72143	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Mary	Fish	AGAINST	Please protect our homes, plants, trees, and bees from this chemical!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Stacy	Ridings	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Danny	Hughes	AGAINST	We must protect our farmers from dicamba as well as citizens with this poison attacking our gardens, trees, and our Honey Bees which is a large investment for Honey producers. The Honey Bee population has already been hurt by various methods.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ralph	Ferguson	AGAINST	Dicamba use should be discontinued, since it has been proven to drift. Also because of natural run-off into streams, rivers, creeks, etc.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mary-Lou	Dunn	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Mary-Lou Dunn Searcy, AR 72143	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dian	Copeland	AGAINST	i am against any rule which would cause damage to our vegetable gardens, trees, animals, humans and especially bees. If we lose our bees, so many other things will go by the wayside. Please stop the use of dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
David	Coley	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Connie	Piper	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sharon	Grindstaff	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Sharon Grindstaff Beebe, AR 72012	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Susan	Staffield	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Susan Staffield Beebe, AR 72012	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jane	Wiewora	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jane Wiewora Searcy, AR 72143	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
JAMES	SCOTT	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, JAMES SCOTT Pangburn, AR 72121	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Scott	Smith	AGAINST	Staff Summary: This individual is for a ban of any toxic substance and all GE crops. Stated one of the major disadvantages of dicamba compared to glyphosate, which is that dicamba is more volatile.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kelly	Peebles	AGAINST	It is critical to the Arkansas State Plant Board that they protect our Natural State's diversified agriculture.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Altha	Hampton	AGAINST	Not as many bees and butterflies on my flowers, My pretty humming birds are gone,proble killed by this chemical!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jerry	England	AGAINST	Can't grow my good tomatoes!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mildred	England	AGAINST	It has killed my garden 2 times ,my flowers and shrubs. It drifts, can't be controlled.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Shawn	Peebles	AGAINST	I believe Dicamba is the most distructive product to ever be released in our eco system	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
John	Heath	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Doyle	Fowler	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
MORGAN	BERRY	AGAINST	This chemical from all that I have read and heard about might should be banned from use at all. Seem that it is doing more harm and good.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Doris	Yates	AGAINST	It's a harmful and can not be cotrolled	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Charlotte	Hitt	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Noel	Madison	AGAINST	I am opposed to any use of dicamba. It did damage to my garden and fruit trees in 2018 and also I believe affected my health.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Katherine	Meats	AGAINST		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joe	Lee	AGAINST	I have a 45 acre pecan orchard that was affected by Dicamba. My wife and I have had great production for 40 plus yrs., until this yr. This product moved 5 to 8 miles. I understand what the board is going through, I was on this board for 6 yrs.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jessica	Wingler	AGAINST	Dear AR State Plant Board, Public natural areas, conservation buffers, and family farms are threatened by expanded dicamba use. Sincerely, Jessica Wingler Belleville, AR 72824	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ryan	Richey	AGAINST	Thank you for protecting our local environment and workers by keeping the ban.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joe	Lee	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Saw reduction of pecans. He is a former Board Member. He is against the use of dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Robert	Walker	AGAINST	Public Comment: in favor of last years regulation. Doesn't want current regulation changed.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Direction	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Lynn	Christie	AGAINST	Public Comment: Vote no for extending use of dicamba. Concerns for off target species. Drift from volatility affects organic farms, etc. University research show dicamba can't be controlled.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Karen	Geiger	AGAINST	Public Comment: Vote no for use of dicamba. Dicamba impacts ecosystem and environment negatively.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ross	Wood	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Dicamba controls pigweed, but does move. He is not ok with chemical trespass. He respects U of A research. He wants Plant Board to police applicators. Stated there is either a problem with chemical or with the Plant Board Inspectors.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Danny	Townsend	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Stated Dr. Norisworthy/Researchers agree that dicamba has potential to move and that volatility is core issue. In-crop use will be unmanageable by State Regulatory. Dicamba is not the only control option. Non-GMO and Organic deserves a chance. Doesn't want use of dicamba in season.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dan	Scheiman	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Vote no for use of dicamba. EPA states volatility of dicamba is an issue. Labels don't address volatility. Fears atmospheric loading and affects on ecosystem. He wants local organic food. Stated MS County one of top county for vegetable production and has most dicamba complaints. Wants April 15th cutoff date for 2019.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jack	Stewart	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Is opposed any extended use of dicamba. Stated U of A scientists have research against a later use date. Vote no on use of dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Pam	Stewart	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Dicamba has negative affects on native plants/insects. Dicamba contributes to habitat loss.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Czarnecki	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: State dicamba and 2,4-D found in surface water with a higher concentration of dicamba than 2,4-D. State pigwee is drought tolerant and asked how long before it will be resistant to dicamba. Wants to keep April 15th cutoff date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Shawn	Peebles	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Stated dicamba is volatile and dangerous and can't be regulated.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Pat	Piper	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Stated organic farmers and citizens need help from the Plant Board and that farmer have other tools besides dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chad	Asmus	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Stated proposed rule will limit applications. Revised labels have been used successfully in other states.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Uta	Meyer	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Vote no for use of dicamba. Stated dicamba is a volatile product and hazardous to Auduburn habitats/wildlife.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
James	Williams	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Stated he experienced damage on farm from use of dicamba. Supports U of A research. Stated he sent affidavits for bias board members to recuse themselves.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jonathan	Young	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: He grows local native seeds for habitat restoration. Concerned with impact on Grand Prairie. Stated dicamba can wipe out wild flower population. Even if applicator does everything by label, it can move. Wants to stay with April 15th cutoff date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Leslie	Keen	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Stated EPA research is conducted with just dicamba without inert ingredients. Dicamba volatilization affects many crops/humans.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Karen	Seale	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Requests the board not to extend the use of dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jacqueline	Smith	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: She is a restaurant owner and serves organic local food. Extended use of dicamba would harm business.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jason	Smith	AGAINST	Public Comment: Concerned with dicamba effects. Is opposed extending use date or in season use.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Kim	Doughty-McCa	AGAINST	Public Comment: Opposed the use of dicamba after April 15th date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kate	Althoff	AGAINST	Public Comment: Asked how much drift/damage is acceptable. Opposed to extending the cutoff date for use.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Reed	Storey	AGAINST	Public Comment: Supports U of A Extension and their research, need all the technology that is available. Stated adoption of dicamba technology has been out of defense. Is against technology in current form. Supports April 15th date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Deborah J.	Meldahl	AGAINST	Public Comment: Stated all crops are important. Is against the use of dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mary Ann	King	AGAINST	Public Comment: NOT PRESENT WHEN CALLED UPON!	
Kim	Lovely	AGAINST	Public Comment: NOT PRESENT WHEN CALLED UPON!	
Nancy	Deckard	AGAINST	Public Comment: Opposed the use of dicamba when risk for volatility is a concern. Wants to keep April 15th cutoff.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Cindy	Franklin	AGAINST	Public Comment: Stated pigweed will be resistant if continue along, is opposed extension of the cutoff date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Terry	Walker	AGAINST	Public Comment: Stated it is not just an Ag issue. Supports U of A Extension Research, best research available.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Richard	Coy	AGAINST	Public Comment: Opposed any use of dicamba. Dicamba causes damage to bee habitat, affects pollinators.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
David	Wildy	AGAINST	Public Comment: Opposed the proposed rule. Wants April 15th cutoff, no research to extend to later date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dottie	Funk	AGAINST	Public Comment: Opposed to extending the dicamba cutoff date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rand	Retzlaff	AGAINST	Public Comment: NOT PRESENT WHEN CALLED UPON!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Charles	Williams	AGAINST	Public Comment: BOARD DIDN'T ALLOW HIM TO SPEAK AGAIN SINCE HE ALREADY MADE HIS COMMENT EARLIER DURING THIS PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD THAT DAY!	
Gale	Stewart	AGAINST	Public Comment: Operates business funded through federal program for Monarch Butterflies. Against the use of dicamba. Dicamba is a threat to habitats and wildlife.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
David	Hundley	AGAINST	Public Comment: Represents Non-GMO growers. Stated this was not just about science that this about right and wrong. Plant Board should provide unbiased protection of citizens of AR.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Auguste	Forrester	AGAINST	Public Comment: Owner of farm to table restaurant. Stated pesticides have negative effects.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Suzanne	Hardin	AGAINST	Public Comment: Vote against extending date for use of dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nina	Orsini	AGAINST	Public Comment: WITHDREW HER REQUEST TO SPEAK BEFORE CALLED UPON!	
Ed	Fryar	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary:Recognized U of A staff. Stated you can't compromise with science, either you believe it or not. Wants to keep April 15th cutoff date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Faith	Mullins	AGAINST	Public Comment: NOT PRESENT WHEN CALLED UPON!	
Karl David	Stroud	AGAINST	Public Comment: NOT PRESENT WHEN CALLED UPON!	

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Scharmel	Roussel	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Stated use of pesticides is not necessary and that widespread use of dicamba after April is harmful to pollinators. Stated he buys local organic food.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Burthel	Thomas	AGAINST	Public Comment: NOT PRESENT WHEN CALLED UPON!	
Larry	Freeman	AGAINST	Public Comment: DECLINED TO SPEAK WHEN CALLED UPON!	
Linda	Vanbiaricom	AGAINST	Public Comment: NOT PRESENT WHEN CALLED UPON!	
Leslie	Keene	AGAINST	Public Comment: WITHDREW HER REQUEST TO SPEAK BEFORE CALLED UPON!	
Mara	Leveritt	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Concerned about pollinators, seeing decline in insects. Opposed extending date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Heather	Ashton	AGAINST	Public Comment: NOT PRESENT WHEN CALLED UPON!	
John	Bullen	AGAINST	Public Comment: NOT PRESENT WHEN CALLED UPON!	
Doug	Wright	AGAINST	Public Comment: NOT PRESENT WHEN CALLED UPON!	
Jacob	Powell	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Wants all living things protected. (Was not present when called upon but got a chance at a later point in time during this meeting.)	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Minnie	Shelar	AGAINST	Public Comment: NOT PRESENT WHEN CALLED UPON!	
Robert	Nunn	AGAINST	Public Comment: Stated Monsanto should reformulate product then release.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Rel B.	Corbin	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Want dicamba use restricted. Stated dicamba is very toxic.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jim	Hubbard	AGAINST	Public Comment: Supports U of A Extension. Stated farmers need dicamba but not in the current form	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Keith	Jones	AGAINST	Public Comment: Opposed to using dicamba in its current form after April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Glen	Hooks	AGAINST	Public Comment: Vote no for the use of dicamba after April 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tommy	Lalman	AGAINST	Public Comment: Former Board Member. Stated dicamba is needed but not in current form. He supports the researchers.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cal	McCastlain	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Concerned about volatility. Referred to Ag Dept. website Exhibit E - from Monsanto - page 21. Wants April 15th cutoff maintained.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Gary	Cawood	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Opposed to extending the dicamba cutoff date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rick	Bransford	AGAINST	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Supports U of A Researchers. Keep April 15th cutoff until volatility can be controlled.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Art	Browning	AGAINST	Public Comment: NOT PRESENT WHEN CALLED UPON!	
Bill	Shannon	FOR	I would rather see Dicamba banned outright until a non-volatile formulation is available.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bucky	Kennedy	FOR	Remove the one mile buffer and use EPA label guidelines. Use June 15 cutoff date requested by Arkansas farmers. Allow tank mix to be used.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Don	Erbach	FOR	SUPPORT the use of Dicamba, but need to spray through June 15 as originally approved	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Tim	Martin	FOR	Please reexamine the fogleman portion. We need a longer spray date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Richard	Blaylock	FOR	i think June 15 cut off would be fine	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Donny	Edwards	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Melvin	Shannon III	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tonja	Foster	FOR	Needs at least a June 15 cutoff.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Troy	Foster	FOR	Needs at least a June 15 cutoff.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Joan	gammill	FOR	Because farmers need Dicamba so badly I am in favor of the June 15 cutoff date. I think the best rule would be to follow EPA guidelines like so many other states do.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sheree	Robinson	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lowry	Robinson	FOR	June 15 cut off with 1/4 mile buffer.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brandy	Drace	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Suzy	mcauley	FOR	Dates wrong need longer use. Financially makes sense for the farm	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Madison	mcauley	FOR	Need longer use like other states	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Hunter	Nail	FOR	Can't farm without it it's a game changer for sure	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Thomas	Malone	FOR	Need this for financial weed control	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jordan	Davis	FOR	I want a June 15th the cutoff	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bill	mcauley	FOR	Buffers are wrong dates are wrong. Needs to be like other states and allowed to kill weeds that hurt you financially	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Christy	Catt	FOR	Buffers are wrong, dates are wrong, needs to be like other states and allow you to kill weeds that hurt you financially	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	Cartillar	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Reflection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Pam	Foster	FOR	The cutoff date needs to be June 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ted	Foster	FOR	Needs to be extended to June 15th at least.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Divya	Kandanool	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jonathan	Tacker	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jeanmarie	Mako	FOR	I totally support regulations on dicamba and urge you to consider banning the product altogether.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
David Matthew	Gammill	FOR	I am for the proposal and the June 15th cutoff date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
LARRY	KARIGAN-WINT	FOR	Though not from the part of the state with row crops using Dicamba, this issue effects so many other businesses that I want to support. I AM FOR THE RESTRICTING USE OF DICAMBA in AR, better to be banned entirely.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jason	Mcdonald	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Edward	Young	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jim	Mcdonald	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Steven	Walker	FOR	Farm in Mississippi Co,Permisco Co and Dunklin Co. It really needs to be close what Missouri is doing. Thanks	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Oliver	Coppedge	FOR	Arkansas producers need the DT technology in order to compete as an economic crisis exists. Surrounding states are allowing soybean farmers to spray much later into the growing season. Please consider moving the spray cut off date up to mid June.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Lawrence	Natha Young	FOR	I completely support the in crop use of Dicamba. Without it farmers all across the state and in the delta stand no chance of being able to keep resistant pigweed from taking over their fields.FARMERS IN ARKANSAS NEED DICAMBA past the June 15th cutoff	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Keith	Griffin	FOR	June 20th cutoff is what needs to happen like in the original proposal. Arkansas has become the laughing stock of the mid-south.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Russell	Greenway	FOR	I support it and we still need to be able to spray thru June 15 as originally proposed	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Stephen	Reid	FOR	Cut off date has to be June 15. For this thing as a whole to be effective. Thank you.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Keith	Mike! Paragoul	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Alice	Gibson	FOR	I would like to see it run thru June ,15. Cutoff, as originally voted for.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Lonnie	Gibson Sr.	FOR	It needs to be in effect until June 15. Cutoff as originally voted for.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Greg	Engle	FOR	We need a June 15 cut off	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ed	Clarke IV	FOR	I support the use of dicamba but need to be able to spray through June 15 as originally proposed!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Shawn	Nowlin	FOR	Cutoff needs to go past June 15 at least	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kenneth	Robinson	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Hunter	Veach	FOR	Would like to have the original 6-15 date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Michael	Riddick	FOR	Need June 15th cut off. My farm is split between Missouri and Arkansas	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Todd	Campbell	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Grig	Gibson	FOR	To extend application period for dicamba to June 15th	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Porter	Bird	FOR	It will not work and is too restrictive	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Scott	Fogleman	FOR	The date should be 6-15 and with less buffers. Get the politics and misinformation out of the State Plant Board. It's killing our agriculture	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Trey	Horner	FOR	I am for a June 15 cutoff as originally voted for.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Miles	Horner	FOR	I am for a June 15 cutoff as originally voted for.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Matthew	Horner	FOR	I am for a June 15 cutoff as originally voted for.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Shane	Frost	FOR	A June 10-15 th cut off would be better if the federal label isn't allowed	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Harry	Dixon	FOR	We need to be able to spray dicamba till June 15 like originally said	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Michael	Mengarelli	FOR	6-15	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Steve	Shepperson	FOR	June 15 is best	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Jerry	Carr	FOR	Need June 15 cutoff	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Donald	Barton	FOR	6-15	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Keely	Bell	FOR	My Dad needs dicamba on his farm desperately to fight pig weeds and make our living. Please allow this and consider the Fogleman petition to be able to spray in crop til June 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Steven	Emrich	FOR	I think cut off should be 6/15	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cross	Fogleman	FOR	I hate pigweed. Farmers need Dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Danny	Moore	FOR	We need the 6/15 cutoff	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Macy	Bell	FOR	My Dad needs Dicamba on his farm very bad to help make our living. Please consider the Fogleman petition and allow in crop spraying through June 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Garrett	Hart	FOR	I support a June 15 cutoff	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Matt	Smith	FOR	I am for the proposed rule. But as someone who farms in Missouri and Arkansas I would prefer the June 15 cutoff date that was asked for in the original petition. Our state is surrounded by Federal Label use.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Will	Drace	FOR	Buffers r wrong shut off dates to early...extend soybeans r a must behind Mississippi River levee. Too much pigweed pressure there..	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Emily	Neilson	FOR	I am a beekeeper. How are we suppose to plan hives when herbicides kill weeds in & around field & monoculture makes sparing blooms in spring then gone for the rest of the year? Too many wildlands are being poisoned nationwide; pollinators are starving	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Edward	Young	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Joel	Brown	FOR	I want June 15	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kevin	Farr	FOR	Still to restrictive to use but farmers need it to help keep my dad a job and keep growers in northeast Arkansas in business	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Halley	Henderson	FOR	June 15	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jimmy	Hart	FOR	I want June 15 cutoff	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Franklin	Fogleman	FOR	Date should be 6/15. Rules as established, unanimously by the pesticide committee, were justified. The current proposal is a de facto ban. One mile buffer is not support by any science. Again, Arkansas is an island.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Hall III	FOR	I wholeheartedly support the in-crop use of Dicamba and ask the date be extended to 6/15 with no buffers or restrictions on tank mixes. I NEED Dicamba and have NO viable alternatives to fight pigweed. My family and farm will suffer losses without	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Kim	Hart	FOR	I support June 15 cutoff for dicamba applications	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Paul	Pirani	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Will	Drace	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Wade	Drace	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Bryant	FOR	I wholeheartedly support the in-crop use of Dicamba and ask the date be extended to 6/15 with no buffers or restrictions on tank mixes. I NEED Dicamba and have NO viable alternatives to fight pigweed. My family and farm will suffer losses without	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Danny	Ellis, Jr	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Ross	Bell	FOR	I am for the use of in crop Dicamba use til May 21 but please reconsider the Fogleman petition to be able to spray to June 15th. Thanks	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jacob	Cissell	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Vince	Richmond	FOR	I strongly support the in-crop use of Dicamba and ask the date be extended to 6/15 with no buffers or restrictions on tank mixes. I NEED Dicamba and have NO viable alternatives to fight pigweed. My family and farm will suffer losses without it!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Paul	Sellers	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Pace	Hindsley	FOR	I wholeheartedly support the in-crop use of Dicamba and ask the date be extended to 6/15 with no buffers or restrictions with tank mixes. I NEED Dicamba and have no viable options to fight pig weighed on my farm. My family and farm will suffer.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ryan	Sullivan	FOR	I support the use of Dicamba in crop but we need to be able to use it until the original approval date of June 15 in order to do any good this far north in the state.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Todd	Fortenberry	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Blake	Hart	FOR	I am in favor of the originally approved rule allowing the use of dicamba through JUNE 15th. It is a necessity in my farming operation.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Michael	Stanley has	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Michael	Young	FOR	I support the use of dicamba, but we the farmers need to be able to spray through at least June 15 as originally approved THANKS	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jeff	McCann	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Franklin	Ricks	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Delane	Pamplin	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gene	Spragins	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ronnie	Pankey	FOR	We need dicamba until June 15	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Terry	Grimes	FOR	I am in favor of the new proposal, however I need to be able to spray through June 15th as originally proposed.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Hunter	Grimes	FOR	I am in favor of the new proposed rule, however I need to spray through June 15 as originally proposed.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Perry	Galloway	FOR	I am for the rule as originally approved by pesticide committee w a June 15 cutoff date and reasonable buffers around susceptible crops and areas. A May 20th date w 1 mile buffers is not acceptable to anyone wanting to use the technology.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.