

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Section	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Bart	Dixon	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Becton	Bell	FOR	For the use but need 6-15 and the buffers are extreme	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chris	Berry	FOR	Would like to be able to apply dicamba through June 15th	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chris	Leible	FOR	Needs to be through June 15.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Wren	Felts	FOR	Need to spray through June 15	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	Wray	FOR	June 15th cut off date	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
John	Carlson	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Michael	McCarthy	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Scott	Gibson	FOR	I actually favor a mid-June cut-off; however, I do not want to be counted as a "no" vote. Thank you for your service, and time, on this issue.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dal	Luther	FOR	The only negative thing about this new rule is that it should be extended for a longer period of time. The farmers might not have enough time to get the applications out.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Larry	Bray	FOR	I don't like these chemicals in all our daily materials	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Robin	Lloyd	FOR	We need to quit harming nature. We are altering soil, water & foods with these chemicals. It is past timetomouse a healthier approach.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	affiliation	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Chris	Crosskno	FOR	Please make a rule one way or the other and then stick with it. Anyone caught in violation should be prosecuted and fined publicly. Otherwise disband the plant board and continue to let this be a free for all	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Suzann	McCommon	FOR	Put the rules in place with strong penalties for not following them. ENFORCE the rules !	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
ken	naquin	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Monsanto		FOR	Staff Summary: Monsanto supports regulation, wants 3 changes. Eliminate buffer, eliminate date restriction, and eliminate prohibition on glyphosate tank mixes.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Allen	Hales	FOR	Staff Summary: This farmer is the proposed regulation change for dicamba. Stated with the resistance problem he really needs dicamba for weed control.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kevin	Robb	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Jacob	Davis	FOR	I want a June 15th cut off date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Charles	Ashley	FOR	I would like to be able to spray dicamba till June 15th as originally proposed.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Larry	McClendon	FOR	I am for the use expansion of dicamba but feel the May date is too restrictive. The May date will force a compressed application window that would be unnecessary with a later date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lyle	Wheeler	FOR	I wholeheartedly support the in-crop use of Dicamba and ask the date be extended to 6/15 with no buffers or restrictions on tank mixes. I NEED Dicamba and have NO viable alternatives to fight pigweed. My family and farm will suffer losses without	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Greg	Alexander	FOR	Yes I want to spray dicamba through June 15th as originally proposed.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jason	Edwards	FOR	Crop spraying thru June 15th	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Hilary	Norcross	FOR	I am for the use of Dicamba but prefer the original June 15 cutoff date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jerry	Flynn	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jenny	Kyle	FOR	Would like June15 cut off	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bobette	Hallum	FOR	This is the only chemical we have to successfully fight the pigweed.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chris	Hallum	FOR	It is the only chemical the farmer has to fight successfully the pigweed.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dalton	Morrisett	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Mark	Hall	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rita	Loucks	FOR	Please don't damage crops that can't tolerate Dicamba. Some of us don't want to ingest pesticide.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	sullivan	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Terry	London	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joe	Hooper	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James W.	Johnson	FOR	We also need Dicamba during the fall. June 15 cutoff would help us some.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Affiliation	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Mike	Riley	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
icer	crouse	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bobby	Fisher	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Susan	Schuett	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dennis	Schuett	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kressa	Schuett	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Charles	Schueitt	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
first	last	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Clint	Keller	FOR	We need the date to be moved to June.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tad	Keller	FOR	Please have a June 15 cutoff	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jason	Kimbrell	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joc	Wencer	FOR	i need dicamba for cotton production. 1 in crop application.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Paul	Dunavant	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bill	Elliott	FOR	For May 20th cutoff date but would prefer the June 15th, originally proposed.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Billy	Elliott	FOR	For this proposal but would rather have the original proposed date of June 15th. Completely against any cut off before May 20th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Katina	Wilson	FOR	A June 15th cut off would work better on my farm.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cary	Wilson	FOR	A June 15 cut off date would work way better for our farm operation, our farm borders the Missouri state line which makes planting Diacamba soybeans a must for us. A June 15 cut off would allow us to spray all our our diacamba beans at least once.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chris	Tarno	FOR	We desperately need a June 15 cut off date	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Mark	Williams	FOR	WE NEED IT !!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chad	Fullerton	FOR	Should be extended to June 15th for xtend applications!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Doin	Bowers	FOR	I'm am for the original June 15 cutoff date	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Robb	Dedman	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Allie	Ferguson	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tad	Terrell	FOR	I want a June 15th cut off!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Herman	Maddox	FOR	I support the Arkansas State Plant Board cut off date of April 15 .	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Rebecca	Long	FOR	Need use of dicamba through June 15th!!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Shelton	Wray	FOR	We need a cut off date of 6-15	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Aaron	Winningham	FOR	Please re examine the petition. We need longer spray periods especially after the wet fall we had this past season.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
David	Schug	FOR	I think we need this chemical because there is nothing that works better on Pigweeds. We can all be careful and follow the label and not use the volatile cheaper formulations that are off label. I believe a select few made it bad for the one followin	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Logan	Dewitt	FOR	I am in favor of allowing the in crop use of Dicamba through June 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Phil	Pirani	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Seth	Tacker	FOR	The surrounding states are going by federal label on dicamba . Why should we not be allowed the same opportunity. The restrictions are to tuff to allow us the use of dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Trey	Tacker	FOR	This will not allow us the time needed to spray our beans effectively. The buffers & time allocation needed to be redone.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	McAuley	FOR	it's the only control we have for pig weed that works !!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kelli	Carlson	FOR	Need to spray through June 15	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Frank	Mccarty	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Submission	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Eric	Driver	FOR	Too restrictive and need to be able to spray til at least June 15th	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Candy	Carlson	FOR	Need dicamba to grow soybeans To control weeds to be able to make a profit Date need t be changes back to June 15 as the original petition Go to federal EPA label Thank you	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gail	Fogleman	FOR	We need a longer application period. Dicamba controls resistant weeds and could be the difference between prophet and loss for some producers.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Frank	Fogleman	FOR	Dicamba is acceptable in 30+ states and Arkansas should follow suit. Control of resistant weeds is needed and Arkansas should allow spraying of Dicamba into June. Without Dicamba, many, many producers will lose yield without Dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Loren	Cates	FOR	Application date needs to be May 30. The restrictions with buffer zones of 1 mile in all directions of any sensitive crop are unrealistic. What defines a Organic crop ? Certified Organic would be real . The ability to grow a profitable crop !	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Peyton	Wann	FOR	The rules as stated are not fair to farmers. They need to be able to use for 45 days after planting.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Direction	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
RD	Gill	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mike	Carlson	FOR	Yes I'm for dicamba so my sons and I can grow soybeans and control our weeds Label should be the same as federal EPA label Dates in Arkansas should be extended to June 15 th Thank you	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lillian	Fogleman	FOR	The rules as stated are not fair to farmers. They need to be able to use for 45 days AFTER planting.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Will	Fogleman	FOR	I am for it but it's too restrictive. Pigweed will be too hard to kill. Pigweed costs farmers money and I need to be able to kill it. Also dates are too early and need federal label	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sam	Donovan	FOR	Desperately need this technology to make a crop with increasingly resilient pigweed. The proposed label is an improvement from previous versions but still too restrictive. Dates are too early and buffers will be all but impossible to follow	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Laura	Carlson	FOR	As a farmer and from a farming family, Arkansas farmers are at a financial disadvantage to ALL OTHER US FARMERS because we can't use Dicamba. I feel the Arkansas STATE PLANT BOARD is doing farmers an injustice for not allowing use and early cutoff	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Kevin	Mooney	FOR	I'm for dicamba. The dates and buffers that are listed will not work. The other surrounding states are going with the federal labels so why do we not have the same opportunity to have clean crops and increase our profitability.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dusty	Carlson	FOR	I am in favor of dicamba but need JUNE 15 deadline. The Arkansas PLANT BOARD needs to keep Arkansas farmers and residents as a top priority and not allow people/organization with no vested interest in Arkansas agriculture/economy push them over	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Peyton	Fogleman	FOR	I am for this new proposal however, I feel that it won't work efficiently. It is too restrictive and the buffers are wrong. Arkansas should be just like every other state and should be able to spray weeds that hurt you financially.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Laura	Rikard	FOR	Farmers need relief from the proposal. It's too restrictive and won't work. Need to be able to kill weeds that hurt you financially.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Manning	Shidler	FOR	It will not work and is too restrictive	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ryan	Carey	FOR	Arkansas Farmers need dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Kelly	Fogleman	FOR	I am in support of dicamba but need to be able to spray it through June 15!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
WD	Fogleman	FOR	I am for Dicamba use, but the date range for use buffer rules are unreasonable and too restrictive. I want to be able to compete with farmers in adjacent states who have longer date range and more reasonable restrictions on buffers and wind speeds.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	Boney	FOR	In favor because it is better than an April 15 cutoff but would prefer a June 15 cutoff date. Or federal label.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lee	Wiener	FOR	I am opposed to the May cut off date. The dates in June are best served because of double crop options. Considerations given to usage only in Eastern AR counties pigweed pressure is severe. Higher yielding crops more revenue for farmer and state	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nicole	McCarty	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Todd	Allen	FOR	We need dicamba	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Emmett	Gadberry	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
JESSICA	SAMPLEY	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chuck	Farr	FOR	With resistance in row crops being very heavy to glyphosate and PPO chemistry in our area we just have very limited option on herbicide use in our area. WE ARE VERY DIFFERENT THAN OTHER AREAS OF THE STATE WITH RESISTANCE BEING SO HEAVY	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lathan	Lovell	FOR	I'm in favor of dicamba but think the May 20 cutoff should be moved back to a later time	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Leanne	Lovell	FOR	I am for Dicamba use but not for early cut off.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brady	Devazier	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Lance	Lovell	FOR	I am for the use of dicamba but not the May 20 cutoff date. I think we should just go with the federal label like our surrounding states.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ryan	McGruder	FOR	Proposal is too restrictive. We need dates and other regulations like bordering states to be able to protect ourselves and combat the pigweed epidemic that is facing us now. Please allow us to use this technology to stay in business!!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Luke	Lovell	FOR	I am for the use of dicamba, but not the may 20th cutoff.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nathan	Sullivan	FOR	We need the use of dicamba in season. This proposal is better than the status quo, however, we should emulate other states' labeling..	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jerry	Dallas	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jeff	Sisk	FOR	We are spending an exorbitant amount of money on weed control that's not working. Arkansas is at an economic disadvantage compared to our surrounding states that allow the use of Dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Affiliation	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Billy	Garner	FOR	We need this change!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Freeman	FOR	June 15th cut off.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Layne	Miles	FOR	We as farmers need this. We need the spray deadline changed. This WILL help our farming community!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Matt	Miles	FOR	We really need this tool and I feel it can be done right.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nelson	Crow	FOR	Farmers need to be able to spray as long as possible with every tool available to combat today's weed pressure that is becoming a unprecedented problem!!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Autumn	Atwill	FOR	Farmers NEED this technology PERIOD!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Joe	Nowlen	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Hal	Gibson	FOR	Need to go back to old ways of weed control, hoeing with cold steel!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Charles	Graham	FOR	Dicamba makes it's possible for farmers to use their time more efficiently. Without it we spend countless hours and money fighting pig weeds, when Dicamba could be used and the problem solved	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nathan	Little	FOR	Dicamba makes it's possible for farmers to use their time more efficiently. Without it we spend countless hours and money fighting pig weeds, when Dicamba could be used and the problem solved. We need the deadline extended into June	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mike	Graham	FOR	Dicamba makes it's possible for farmers to use their time more efficiently. Without it we spend countless hours and money fighting pig weeds. We need this deadline extended to June 15th	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mark	Graham	FOR	Dicamba makes it's possible for farmers to use their time more efficiently. Without it we spend countless hours and money fighting pig weeds, when Dicamba could be used and the problem solved	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Mitzie	Reddick	FOR	Please extend to 6/15/19 cutoff.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Roger	Reddick	FOR	We really need the date extended to June 15 cutoff. A cool wet spring will not allow enough time for the pigweed to emerge by the proposed May 21st cutoff.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Scott	Pillow	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joey	Branch	FOR	I SUPPORT the use of Dicamba, but need to spray through June 15 as originally approved	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Hoyt	Brown	FOR	Get ride of it.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
West	Higginbotham	FOR	I support the use of dicamba in Arkansas. I do not support the May cutoff. The original wording of the petition was June 15th. The May cutoff is not a workable date for farmers. The buffer area requirements are also impossible to comply with.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Jed	Anderson	FOR	We need it till June 20th. We need Dicamba beans to protect against drift from Mississippi too.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Charles	Doughtie	FOR	Cutoff date needs to be June 15th like every other state	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Oliver	Doughtie, Jr.	FOR	Please make the cutoff June 15th	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chad	Russell	FOR	Please allow until 6-15 as originally proposed Thanks	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
McLean	Doughtie	FOR	Please be consistent with other states and make the cutoff June 15th	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Harrell	Schaeffer	FOR	I am for the use of Dicamba but there is no reason for Arkansas to be more restrictive than other states. The cutoff needs to be June 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Oliver	Doughtie	FOR	I am for the proposal but would like the cutoff date for Dicamba use to be June 15th, which is consistent with the Federal guidelines	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Angie	Stapp	FOR	The federal guidelines state we can spray until June 15th. The state of Arkansas should follow the same guidelines.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	Stapp	FOR	I am for spraying dicamba, arkansas farmers need it to battle noxious weeds that effect the bottom line of farmers. The cut off date should be June 15, not a day earlier. Arkansas farmers should follow federal label, similar to every state joining us	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bobby	Byrd	FOR	Will not work and to restrict	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ray	Dawson	FOR	I wholeheartedly support the in-crop use of Dicamba and ask the date be extended to 6/15 with no buffers or restrictions on tank mixes. I NEED Dicamba and have NO viable alternatives to fight pigweed. My family and farm will suffer losses without it	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Stefen	Powell	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Robert	Wilson	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Adam	Compton	FOR	I would prefer a mid June cutoff and half mile. Two years ago with quarter mile restriction, we had virtually no damage and record yields in over 200K acres we service and farm. We had mixtures of all technologies across these acres as well.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
robin	minton	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bart	Minton	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brooks	Minton	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Don	Compton	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Section	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Greg	Minton	FOR	I would prefer mid June cutoff and reduced buffer zone.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dee	Henderson	FOR	Farmers need it for weed control until June 15th, had 4000 acres in 2017 and had no complaints with the chemical.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Taylor	FOR	I want to be able to spray dicamba till June 15th as previously proposed.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bryan	Goble	FOR	The continued extended use for Dicamba in soybeans is a vital part in our ability to grow soybeans in light of the herbicide resistance problem. The deadline of June 15 is vital to this.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lex	Goble	FOR	I support the use of Dicamba also and want the deadline to be on June 15. Soybeans are an integral part of the economy of Mississippi County. Dicamba is the only chemical which will allow us to economically grow soybeans.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	Allbritton	FOR	I support the use of dicamba but the cut off date needs to be June 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Adam	Henard	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Robert	Davis	FOR	I want a June 15th cut off	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kevin	Goble	FOR	I SUPPORT THE USE OF DICAMBA AND I NEED THE DEADLINE TO BE JUNE 15 PLEASE!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
KANE	Winningham	FOR	I would like the Foglemans proposal to be reconsidered.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Peyton	Winningham	FOR	I would like the plant board to reconsider the Fogleman proposal. Especially after the wet fall we had this past season.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
William	Carr	FOR	I am for the use of Dicamba . I agree to the original June 15 cutoff date	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Vance	Dixon III	FOR	I am for the original June 15 cutoff	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Witt	Smith	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brandon	Snider	FOR	It would be a very beneficial defense against the pigweed.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joe	Tacker	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dakota	Wilson	FOR	We should be able to spray dicamba till June 15th	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Scottie	Winford	FOR	We need to spray Dicamba up to the originally proposed deadline of June 15	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Brandy	Winford	FOR	Need to spray Dicamba with June 15 deadline as originally proposed	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Langston Jr.	FOR	I am for the use of dicamba through June 15.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tyler	Davis	FOR	I'm for the rule by I'd like a 6/15 cutoff	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Wayne	Griffin	FOR	The June 15th cut-off needs to happen.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tommy	Veach	FOR	I want the original 6-15	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jamie	Brothers	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Jenna	Pirani	FOR	Due to the wet harvest and the current wet pattern we need to see longer periods. I am for the Fogleman proposal, as well as a June cutoff. Thank you for your consideration. Jenna Pirani	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dwight	Jackson	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mike	McCarthy	FOR	We need to spray until June 15 as previously approved. Farmers desperately need Dicamba to control pigweed.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Roland	Julian	FOR	Much needed !!!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Betty	Julian	FOR	We must have this to survive !!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Anita	Mccarty	FOR	Farmers need to spray through June 15!!!as previously approved !!! Farmers must have Dicamba to control pigweed!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Russ	Thomason	FOR	I am for spraying dicamba until June 15	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Melissa	Anderson	FOR	As long as it has a June 15 cutoff.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Robert	Veach	FOR	Would want the original 6/15 cutoff proposal	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Langston	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brian	Rose	FOR	We need a 6/15 cutoff, many years cotton in the north is barely emerged in the proposed time frame.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Caden	McCarty	FOR	Please allow the farmers in Arkansas the ability to use the latest technology along with the latest genetics like the other 32 surrounding states.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Collection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Logan mark	Perkins	FOR	I am for the use of dicamba and want the cut off of June 15 as was originally voted on	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ben	Sexton	FOR	Would like 6and15	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kenneth	Crosskno	FOR	We still need a June 15 cutoff date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Nathan	Long	FOR	Need use of dicamba through June 15th!!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jeffery	Lammers	FOR	I would like to see a June 15 cut off date like originally proposed	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Clark	Long Sr.	FOR	Need use of dicamba through June 15th!!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Clark	Long	FOR	We need use of Dicamba through June 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jason	Austin	FOR	We need June 15 cut off date as originally offered.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chad	Tillman	FOR	We need the cutoff date to be June 15	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Matthew	Cissell	FOR	Need to be able to spray through June 15.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joseph	Godsey	FOR	Please push cutoff date to June 15	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kristy	Henderson	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Nickie	Bell	FOR	I SUPPORT the use of Dicamba, but need to spray through June 15 as originally approved	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cavin	Sullivan	FOR	I am against the buffers and dates need to be like all other states	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mike	Sullivan	FOR	With Soybean prices at below breakeven we simply have to have this technology to be competitive with surrounding states.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ron	Holthouse	FOR	need to use fogleman rules.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
steve	caunt	FOR	"we need this to keep our crops clean"	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Travis	Senter II	FOR	go by the Fogelman suggestions	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Schedular	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Don	Cissell	FOR	We need cutoff date till 5/15 thank you.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mark	Elder	FOR	Farmers need dicamba	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jimmy	Randolph	FOR	Farmers in our area need it for suppression of pigweed if used by the label it's really safe to use	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
TIM	MYERS	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Andrew	Scott	FOR	I am for fogelman	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
ZACHARY	HILL	FOR	I support the use of dicamba and need to be able to apply it until end of June on my wheat beans and beans planted in river bottoms. The original June 15th cutoff date should be okay.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Collection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Luke	Dixon	FOR	I need Dicamba to keep my fields clean and would like to use it to June 15.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jarred	Hopper	FOR	I am in favor of in crop use of Dicamba but we need to be able to spray it until June 15th	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
James	Robertson	FOR	please re-examine the Fogleman petition. We need a longer spray period.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
William	Skaggs	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
David	Gammill	FOR	I support the June 15th cut off as was in the original proposal	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Devon	Dixon	FOR	My farm needs to spray dicamba thru June 15 to control pig weed.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
John	Nelson	FOR	Please re-examine the Fogerman proposal. We need a longer spray period.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jason	Dunlap	FOR	We need a longer spray date. That's the only way we can control pig weeds, with out the later date they will lower the yields by choking out the crops!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cary	Enmon	FOR	Please reexamine the Fogleman petition. We need a longer spray period.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dustin	Nash	FOR	Please re-examine the Fogleman petition. We need a longer spray period.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Timothy	Pirani	FOR	Please re-examine the Fogleman petition. We need a longer spray period.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dee	Henderson	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Murry	Henderson	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tim	Sullivan	FOR	JUNE 15 cut off	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Perry	Dixon	FOR	I need to be able to spray through June 15	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Moreland	White	FOR	I am a farmer in Ms county and I am in favor of the use of dicamba until June 15th as originally proposed.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Greg	Hart	FOR	We need June 15 cutoff	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Mark	Henderson	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Schedule	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Tom	Burnham	FOR	I would prefer 6/15 as originally proposed.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Benton	Felts	FOR	We need it until June 1	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Glenn	Moody	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Connor	Miller	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gordon	Miller	FOR	We need to have the cutoff date up to second week of June. Northern counties are behind southern counties in growing season. May 20th cutoff would not give us any time or opportunity to spray dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Cody	Fincher	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Justin	Martin	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Angelia	Barber	FOR	Dicamba is very destructive. We need to ban it.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Keath	Groves	FOR	I strongly support the use of dicamba but would suggest extending the date through June 15.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joey	Hopson	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Shawn	Porter	FOR	To be clear, I believe Dicamba should be banned year round. However, some rule is better than no rule at all. Pesticides / herbicides have adverse effects on non target species. Impacts to pollinators and other species are unacceptable.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Harry	Stephens IV	FOR	I wholeheartedly support the in-crop use of Dicamba and ask the date be extended to 6/15 with no buffers or restrictions on tank mixes. I NEED Dicamba and have NO viable alternative to fight pigweed. My family and farm will suffer losses without it	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Kimbrough	Stephens	FOR	I wholeheartedly support the in-crop use of Dicamba and ask the date be extended to 6/15 with no buffers or restrictions on tank mixes. I NEED Dicamba and have NO viable alternative to fight pigweed. My family farm will suffer without it.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gene	Johnson	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tommy	Young	FOR	would like to see mid june deadline on spraying, tank mix approved, and no buffer zones.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Clay	Young	FOR	I definetely support the use of dicamba but need the cutoff date to be extended til 6-15 with no buffers,no tank mix restrictions. I need dicamba on my farm.The surrounding states have a huge advantage over Arkansas . My family and farm will suffer	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Alan	Hargraves	FOR	Please reinstate Dicamba for the last legal date of use!!!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dallas Joseph	Young	FOR	I fully support in crop dicamba use, and have seen myself that it works great when following the label and not forcing out an application due to weather.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Vernon	Smith	FOR	Need to be extended till 6-15 .	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Betty Sue	Loeschner	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
David R.	Gregory	FOR	I wholeheartedly support the in-crop use of Dicamba and ask the date be extended to 6/15 with no buffers or restrictions on tank mixes.I NEED Dicamba and have NO viable alternatives to fight pigweed.My family and farm will suffer losses without it	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Hershell	Schwartz	FOR	I need to use dicamba thru June.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Charles	Loeschner	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Timothy	Jones	FOR	I wholeheartedly support the in-crop use of Dicamba and ask the date be extended to 6/15 with no buffers or restrictions on tank mixes. I NEED Dicamba and have NO viable alternatives to fight pigweed. My family and farm will suffer losses without	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Reflection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Connie	Dawson	FOR	I wholeheartedly support the in-crop use of Dicamba and ask the date be extended to 6/15 with no buffers or restrictions on tank mixes. I NEED Dicamba and have NO viable alternatives to fight pigweed. My family and farm will suffer losses without it	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	King	FOR	I fully support the in-crop use of Dicamba and ask the date to be extended to 6/15 with no buffers or restrictions on tank mixes. I need dicamba and no viable alternative to fight pigweed. My family and farm will suffer losses without it!!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
John	Tolar	FOR	I support the use of dicamba, but need to spray through June 15 as originally approved	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
terry	tolar	FOR	I am for the use of dicamba, but need to be able to spray through June 15 as originally approved	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Harry	Stephens	FOR	I wholeheartedly support the in-crop use of Dicamba and ask the date be extended to 6/15 with no buffers or restrictions on tank mixes. I NEED Dicamba and have NO viable alternatives to fight pigweed. My family and farm will suffer losses without	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tracy	Griffin	FOR	We need this technology!!!!	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Thomas	Turner	FOR	I need to be able to spray through June 15 as originally approved.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kaleb	Hall	FOR	I am in favor of using dicamba but I need to be able to spray it until June 15th	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jackie	Sanders	FOR	Dicamba under strict supervision is a valuable asset to farmers, but, everyone is not as careful as some. This chemical should be closely monitored	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Scott	Russell	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jan	Smith	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Will	Tacker	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Travis	Brown	FOR	June 15th spray date	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Trevor	Brown	FOR	June 15 cut off	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Stephen	Wray	FOR	I think it needs to go to 6-15	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Larry	Wray	FOR	Cut off date 6-15	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Justin	Wray	FOR	6/15 cutoff	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lillian	Norcross	FOR	I am for the use of Dicamba but prefer the original June 15 cutoff date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Grace	Norcross	FOR	I am for Dicamba use but prefer the original June 15th cutoff date	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lucy	Norcross	FOR	I am for the use of Dicamba but prefer the June 15th cutoff as originally approved.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Robert A. (Bobb)	Gammill	FOR	I am for the use of dicamba, but am in favor of the Fogleman proposed June 15 cut off date. With terrible field conditions caused by excessive rain last fall and no seed bed preparation to date farmers may not get soybeans up by June 1st.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Will	Tacker	FOR	I am in favor but for a June 15 cut off date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Herrick	Norcross	FOR	I am in favor of dicamba use for the 2019 season, with the original cutoff date of June 15th.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Daniel	Craig	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Kyle	Nail	FOR	I support Dicamba but we need the original June 15 cut off date	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Joe	Deace	FOR	We need this technology.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Brandon	Cain	FOR	I am for the use of dicamba. We need dicamba. I don't understand why the date was changed from the June 15 date originally proposed. We should consider going back to that date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Jonathan	Buford	FOR	I am for banning the use of dicamba totally in the state, there is no reason to use such a harmful chemical that causes secondary damage to beneficial plants, insects and animals. It is unfair for one persons use of this chemical to harm his neighbors.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Juantez	Lee	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Marc	Sherman	FOR	Protect our farmers right and safety stop using Dicamba herbicide	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
melanie	Meuse-warg	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Scott	Tyler	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Reino	Henderson	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Blake	Foust	FOR	In favor of the change from the April 15th cutoff, however this proposal will not work. Much too restrictive, we should do as the surrounding states and move towards the federal label.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Sam	Dillahunty	FOR	I am for the use of Dicamba, but we need to be able to use it until June 15 or we should have the Federal Label like every other state in the United States.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Tray	Dillahunty	FOR	I am for the use of Dicamba, but we need to be able to use it until June 15 or we should have the Federal Label like every other state in the United States.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Edger	Allison	FOR	I think that Arkansas farmers should have the same opportunity to control weeds in their crops as adjoining states.. I think Arkansas should at least go along with federal label .	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Shane	Hinze	FOR	Would like to have the same rules as neighboring states	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bobby	Cupples	FOR	We need the federal label like every other state	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lee	Machen	FOR	We need to go by the federal label like every other state.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Thomas T	Meurrier	FOR	We need the federal label like every other state in America	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Kenny	Perry	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the

Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
JAMES	MICCOY	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gladys	Tiffany	FOR	I support the Arkansas Plant Board to place sufficient restrictions on the use of Dicamba to protect our environment, our agricultural system, and farmers who prefer not to use dicamba. Arkansas farmers are suffering over this. No more.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Susan	Bolding	FOR	I have a degree in horticultural and have worked for myself in that area for 25 years. I long ago quite using any chemicals because of harm to our environment. I constantly search for alternative means if needed.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
charles	piazza	FOR	dicamba is a bad chemical. It should be totally banned from any type of use. The effects will be worse than DDT in the long term. My experience with the chemical had an impact on my fruit trees when the neighbor used chemical on his hay pasture.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Courtney	Phillips	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Caleob	Vanlandingham	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Mary	Galloway	FOR	My farm has been in family since mid 1800s. We cannot control our weeds. Please allow us to use dicamba like other states thru June 15 w minimum buffers.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Gerald A.	Reeves	FOR	Would rather be able to spray until June 15th as originally approved.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Geraldine	Madison	FOR	I do not understand why many should suffer for the few who plant gmo seed. I like non gmo and plant same in my home garden. Last year my garden and fruit trees had leaves to wilt and fruit to fall off. I saw the plane spraying from my back yard. H	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Bruce	Carothers	FOR		The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Allen	Hales	FOR	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Farms AR/OK border. Wishes to use dicamba.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
West	Higgenbotham	FOR	Public Comment/Staff Summary: In favor of Fogleman petition in original form. Lost yield from weeds. His farm is adjacent to Research Station - bufferzones are an issue for him. Doesn't agree with date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Michael	McCarty	FOR	Public Comment: Opposed 1 mile buffer, feels the EPA buffer of 110 feet is sufficient. The proposed buffer is essentially a ban. Wants a reduced buffer.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Barrett	Brothers	FOR	Public Comment: Dicamba was sprayed illegal in 2016 - 2018. Give a chance to spray legally.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Matt	Smith	FOR	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Farms AR/MO border. Board should focus on comments from general public. He believes this is a Ag use. Majority of farmers want June 15th cutoff date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chuck	Farr	FOR	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Stated pigweed is a major problem and a lot of consultants want dicamba as a tool to control pigweed.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dusty	Carlson	FOR	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Stated he is farmer in heart of dicamba applications, had no symptoms on home and his pecan harvest was up. Farms on TN/AR line and had no issues. East AR needs dicamba and wants Board to back off date and bufferzone.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Chad	Fullerton	FOR	Public Comment/Staff Summary: He farms next to pumpkin farm in North Clay Cty. Without dicamba, farmer will use more chemicals. Stated his concern with contamination of tanks across farms. Stated Plant Board doing disservice to AR farmers.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Danny	Moore	FOR	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Was speaking for 35 farmers who are asking for a May 31st cutoff date with 1/4 mile buffer.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Lee	Machen	FOR	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Stated farmers have mostly Xtend beans and are not going to meet May 20th date, wants June 15th cutoff date.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Harry G.	Stephens	FOR	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Stated he wants an opportunity to use dicamba, needs dicamba to farm.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Ross	Bell	FOR	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Farmer in NE AR. Stated dicamba is an important tool. Yield increase from dicamba use.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Frank	Fogleman	FOR	Public Comment/Staff Summary: State the proposal is a ban on dicamba and Arkansas will have same issues as years before.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Charles	Williams	FOR	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Stated proposal is a ban, that the buffer makes it impossible to spray dicamba. He questioned U of A research. Wants full label adopted or June 15th cutoff.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

Online and Written Comments regarding the Propose Dicamba Regulation Change for the Public Hearing on February 20, 2019

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	Selection	COMMENTS	AGENCY RESPONSE
Scott	Partridge	FOR	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Stated off target movement is on decline, that training has been effective. Wants label adopted without further restrictions. Proposed restrictions will take product out of farmers hands. Asked to reject proposal.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Eddy	Cates	FOR	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Has 40 yrs of experience. Stated farmers have limited use of technology and need dicamba in crop. The proposal is a ban. He supports permit behind levee. Cutoff date should be May 31st.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Herman P.	Maddox III	FOR	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Supports April 15th cutoff.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Dewayne L.	Goldimon	FOR	Public Comment/Staff Summary: Doesn't agree with permit amount or using the Engenia label in the regulation for definitions. Would like to see training provided prior to purchase of product.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Perry	Galloway	FOR	Public Comment: Stated he was in favor of the federal label or Fogleman's petition with a June 15th date as cutoff.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.
Farm Bureau Ar		OTHER	Staff Summary: Supports Plant Board process, encourages extreme caution be used in the release of resistant varieties, encourage work with U of A scientists, and farmers need multiple modes of action.	The concerns expressed in the comments in favor and against the proposed regulations were considered during the lengthy period of debate during the development of the proposed regulations. The Board amended the published regulation and voted to approve the amended regulation.

