

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES, DIVISION OF MEDICAL SERVICES

SUBJECT: SPA-2020-0005 Vaccine Administration Fee Rate Increase

DESCRIPTION:

Statement of Necessity

The Arkansas Department of Human Services (DHS), Division of Medical SErvices (DMS), intends to revise the Arkansas Medicaid State Plan rates for vaccine administration fees based on a rate review process that was completed in July 2019 as required by Executive Order 19-02. DHS bases the rate increases upon a rate review recommendation. Providers of flu immunizations and other vaccines expressed concern of growing program costs given that no rate increases occurred in over 10 years. The rate increases ensure access and availability of immunizations to members of Arkansas Medicaid.

Rule Summary

This State Plan Amendment (SPA) increases the rates in the Physicians, Nurse Practitioner, ARKids B, and Pharmacy programs to fifteen dollars and forty-five cents (\$15.45) for administration of the influenza immunization. The SPA increases rates for other Medicaid payable vaccines to thirteen dollars and fourteen cents (\$13.14).

PUBLIC COMMENT: No public hearing was held on this rule. The public comment period expired May 11, 2020. The agency provided the following summary of the public comments it received and its responses to those comments:

Commenter's Name: Anna Strong, Executive Director, Arkansas Chapter, American Academy of Pediatrics

COMMENT:

On behalf of approximately 420 pediatrician members, the Arkansas Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics would like to provide public comment for SPA-2020-0005: Vaccine Administration Fee Rate Increase.

We are grateful to see this rate increase for childhood and flu vaccine administration move forward after several years of advocacy. While it is less than the amount we were originally anticipating that would have fully covered costs, and less than the Medicaid program's vaccine administration state cap, we hope this increase will enable most Arkansas pediatricians to continue to provide an in-office vaccine program and ensure children have timely access to needed immunizations.

We do hope that, over time, the immunization rate for childhood vaccinations will be at parity with the rate offered for flu vaccinations due to the more extensive counseling that is required with many families for childhood vaccinations.

RESPONSE: Thank you for your support of SPA-2020-0005: Vaccine Administration Fee Rate Increase. We utilized the Medicare fees for influenza immunization administration and for administration of all other vaccines as a basis to establish the administration fee. Medicare makes the same type of distinction in their rates. We will continue to review vaccine policies as they are updated to ensure adequate care is provided to Medicaid beneficiaries. We value your support and look forward to continuing to work with you in the future.

Commenter's Name: Steven C. Anderson, FASAE, CAE, IOM, President and Chief Executive Office

Comment:

On behalf of our members operating approximately 1929 chain pharmacies across the state, the National Association of Chain Drug Stores ("NACDS") thanks the Arkansas Department of Human Services ("Department") for the opportunity to comment on the proposed State Plan Amendment ("SPA") to increase Medicaid payment rates for vaccines. Considering that vaccine payment rates have remained unchanged for the past 10 years while cost of business for healthcare providers have continued to climb, we commend the Department for its work to remedy this inequity by initiating the proposed increase to vaccine payment rates.

NACDS represents traditional drug stores, supermarkets and mass merchants with pharmacies. Chains operate nearly 40,000 pharmacies, and NACDS' 80 chain member companies include regional chains, with a minimum of four stores, and national companies. Chains employ nearly 3 million individuals, including 155,000 pharmacists. They fill over 3 billion prescriptions yearly, and help patients use medicines correctly and safely, while offering innovative services that improve patient health and healthcare affordability. NACDS members also include more than 900 supplier partners and over 70 international members representing 21 countries. Please visit nacds.org.

Vaccine services save lives. While the prevalence of vaccine-preventable diseases in adults remains a significant public health issue in the United States, vaccines have prevented at least 10 million deaths between 2010 and 2015 alone, and many million more lives have been spared from suffering and disability associated with vaccine-preventable disease. Vaccinations reduce the rates of disease and improve overall lifespans by: controlling the spread of infectious diseases; mitigating the severity of disease; and, helping to protect unvaccinated people, including those who are contraindicated for the vaccine.

Global eradication of deadly, yet formerly common diseases, such as polio, is finally within reach thanks to widespread vaccination efforts. In addition to public health

benefits, vaccines have a societal economic benefit. Vaccine-preventable diseases and deaths create an approximately \$9 billion economic burden on the healthcare system in hospital and doctor visits and loss of income each year.

Ensuring access to vaccine services – including those available from pharmacists in community pharmacy settings – is instrumental to reducing rates of vaccine-preventable illness and disease. As committed stewards of public health, the pharmacy community continues to play a vital role alongside other healthcare providers in providing important vaccine services in the communities they serve. Especially during the COVID-19 response when clinics, urgent care, and physician offices are stressed by increased demand, access to and coverage for pharmacy care services – including immunizations provided in community pharmacies – is essential. Moreover, at such time when the coronavirus vaccine becomes available, leveraging pharmacy providers to provide vaccine services will be exceedingly critical to extending the reach of public health to prevent further spread of this disease.

Patients visit community pharmacies 10 times more often than they visit other healthcare settings, making community pharmacies convenient healthcare destinations and community pharmacists particularly well positioned to expand access to cost-effective vaccination assessment and delivery. As the face of neighborhood healthcare, pharmacists help states increase their vaccination rates and further reduce the incidence of vaccine preventable diseases. Given community pharmacists exceptional potential to increase immunization rates, NACDS supports policies – including the Department's existing Medicaid program design enabling Medicaid beneficiaries to obtain recommended vaccines at their local pharmacies – that facilitate access to the convenient, accessible and cost-effective vaccination services available from pharmacy providers.

Increasing payment rates is critical to maintaining access to vaccine services. As mentioned above, the rates paid to Medicaid providers of vaccine services have remained stagnant for the past 10 years while providers' operational costs have continued to increase. Ultimately, this may prove unsustainable for many vaccine providers and impede access to vaccine services for Medicaid beneficiaries. This must be remedied. Accordingly, NACDS strongly supports the Department's proposed vaccine payment rate increases, as these rate increases are integral to ensuring ongoing access to vaccine services for Medicaid beneficiaries.

In conclusion. NACDS thanks you for considering our feedback on the SPA and welcomes the opportunity to discuss this matter further with the Department. As the Department strives to initiate critical recovery and reopening plans, we strongly encourage the agency to take affirmative action to authorize pharmacists to administer forthcoming FDA-authorized or FDA-approved vaccines and treatment as they become available.

Additionally, as mentioned above, immunizations are one of many patient care services that community pharmacists are well-trained and positioned to deliver to Medicaid beneficiaries. Should the Department have an interest to explore additional service areas

for pharmacist delivery and reimbursement, we welcome continued conversation. Along these lines, we have included appendices that provide details on the qualifications of pharmacists as compared to other clinicians (Appendix 1), the proven clinical and economic value of pharmacy care (Appendix 2), and state opportunities for pharmacy care (Appendix 3). For follow-up, please contact NACDS' Mary Staples, Director of State Government Affairs, at (817) 442-1155 or mstaples@nacds.org.

RESPONSE: Thank you for your support of SPA-2020-0005: Vaccine Administration Fee Rate Increase. We will review the additional information you provided and will continue to review vaccine policies to ensure adequate care is provided to Medicaid beneficiaries. We value your support and look forward to continuing to work with you in the future.

The agency indicated that this proposed rule received CMS approval on May 12, 2020. The proposed effective date is July 1, 2020.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: The agency indicated that this rule has a financial impact.

Per the agency, the additional cost to implement the rule is \$3,218,553 for the current fiscal year (\$915,035 in general revenue and \$2,303,518 in federal funds) and \$3,218,553 for the next fiscal year (\$915,035 in general revenue and \$2,303,518 in federal funds). The total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, county, and municipal government to implement the rule is \$915,035 for the current fiscal year and \$915,035 for the next fiscal year.

The agency indicated that there is a new or increased cost or obligation of at least \$100,000 per year to a private individual, private entity, private business, state government, county government, municipal government, or to two or more of those entities combined. Accordingly, the agency provided the following written findings:

- (1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;
- As required by Executive Order 19-02, the rate review process for influenza immunization administration fees was completed in July 2019. The State Plan Amendment effectuates a rate increase for the influenza immunization administration fee to assure access and availability of immunizations to members of Arkansas Medicaid.
- (2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;

Providers of flu immunizations and other vaccines were concerned about being able to meet growing program costs given that there has not been a rate increase in over 10 years.

- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
- (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
- (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;

As required by Executive Order 19-02, the rate review process for influenza immunization administration fees was completed in July 2019. The rate increase is based upon a rate review recommendation. The rate increase helps ensure access to care.

- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule; There are no less costly alternatives.
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;

 None at this time.
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and None.
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
- (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
- (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
- (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives

Executive Order 19-02 requires influenza immunization administration fees to be reviewed no less frequently than every four years.

LEGAL AUTHORIZATION: The Department of Human Services has the authority to administer assigned forms of public assistance and to make rules as necessary to carry out its duties. Ark. Code Ann. § 20-76-201(1), (12). The Department is specifically tasked with establishing and maintaining an indigent medical care program. Ark. Code Ann. § 20-77-107(a)(1). This includes promulgating rules to ensure compliance with federal law in order to receive federal funding. Ark. Code Ann. § 25-10-129(b).

antina di la composita della estrata di la composita di la contra anciona antino di la composita di la composita Livering differenzia di la composita della composita della processioni di la composita di la

i de la consigliar de la telegra departa de la desta de la consecuencia de la consecuencia de la consecuencia Partir de la consecuencia de la co Partir de la consecuencia del la consecuencia de la consecuencia del la c

in the state of the second The second of the

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES AND REGULATIONS WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

DEPARTMENT/AGENCY_	Department of Hur	man Services			
DIVISION	Division of Medica	al Services			
DIVISION DIRECTOR	Janet Mann				
CONTACT PERSON	Alexandra Rouse				
ADDRESS	P. O. Box 1437, SI	ot S295 Little Roc	k, AR 722	03-1437	
PHONE NO. 501-508-88 NAME OF PRESENTER AT MEETING		501-404-4619 Janet N		Alexandra.ro	use@dhs.arkansas.gov
PRESENTER E-MAIL Jar	et.Mann@dhs.arka	nsas.gov			
 A. Please make copies of thi B. Please answer each quest necessary. C. If you have a method of i this Rule" below. D. Submit two (2) copies of two (2) copies of the prop 	s form for future usion completely usindexing your rulesthis questionnaire	ing layman terms s, please give the and financial imp	proposed o	citation after	r "Short Title of
Arkansas Leg Bureau of Leg One Capitol M Little Rock, A ***********************************	e Rules Review Se islative Council gislative Research Mall, 5 th Floor R 72201 ***********************************	******			
rule? SPA #2020-0005 Vaccine Administration Fee Rate Increase					
2. What is the subject of the prule?		#2020-0005 Vacc	ine Admin	istration Fee	Rate Increase
3. Is this rule required to comregulation? If yes, please provide the fecitation.			Yes		No 🖂
4. Was this rule filed under th	ne emergency provi	sions of the Admir	nistrative P	rocedure Ac	t?
If yes, what is the effective rule?	date of the emerge	ency —————	Yes		No 🖂
When does the emergency expire?	rule				0

and for the state of the state

n for blacks

#7 Q. 10 1 X 31

and the first of the property of the control of the

omen mily a conferior and a situation construction of an example of the state of the construction of the state the situation of the construction of the state of

The section of the se

entige us condition from the entire that are t

en de la companya de Para de la companya Para de la companya de la companya

The second of th

aye a algreen a leader to the control of the contro

nos i sigificado.

	Act?					
		es 🗌	No 🗌			
5.	. Is this a new rule? Yes \(\sum \) No \(\sum \) If yes, please provide a brief summary explaining the regulation					
	Does this repeal an existing rule? Yes \(\subseteq \text{No } \otimes \) If yes, a copy of the repealed rule is to be included with your completed replaced with a new rule, please provide a summary of the rule giving a does. \(\)	questionnaire. n explanation o	If it is being of what the rule			
rul	Is this an amendment to an existing yes No No If yes, please attach a mark-up showing the changes in the existing rule changes. Note: The summary should explain what the amendment should be clearly labeled "mark-up."	and a summary does, and the	y of the substantive mark-up copy			
6.	Cite the state law that grants the authority for this proposed rule? If cod Code citation. Arkansas Code §§ 20-76-201, 20-77-107, and 25-10-129		ve the Arkansas			
7.	. What is the purpose of this proposed rule? Why is it necessary? See At	tached.				
8.	Please provide the address where this rule is publicly accessible in electronic form via the Internet as required by Arkansas Code § 25-19-108(b). https://medicaid.mmis.arkansas.gov/general/comment/comment.aspx					
9.	Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule? Yes No XI If yes, please complete the following:					
	Date:					
	Time:					
	Place:					
10.	0. When does the public comment period expire for permanent promulgation May 11, 2020	on? (Must prov	vide a date.)			
11.	1. What is the proposed effective date of this proposed rule? (Must provide July 1, 2020	e a date.)				
	2. Please provide a copy of the notice required under Ark. Code Ann. § 25 ublication of said notice. See Attached.	-15-204(a), and	d proof of the			
13.	3. Please provide proof of filing the rule with the Secretary of State as required Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e). See Attached.	uired pursuant	to Ark.			
14.	4. Please give the names of persons, groups, or organizations that you expense provide their position (for or against) if known. <u>Providers, in favorable and the providers of t</u>	ect to comment	t on these rules?			

ty of sense tempts of the selection assets to asset out of michaely temptom and the segment of the selection of the selection

Commence of the commence of th

gland """ on the green on and most set to the set of th

all determination of the control of

n magle of the control of the contro

ing the strain of the second strain and the second strain and the second part of the second strains.

The companies and the security of the companies of the co

to the control of the

n sent a anno seganti. Les conglues segan semenany mellondra e un conservadora de cela a completa das el completa das el completa de compl

n i uzi e i ni en ni e ar Karlotha kazasareng unather rabberout elikeri ora rei en ilin i unit Villi

For the manager of the Ent 2003, and the state of state of particular and supplied to a street the second of

forting to the CH CH State of the continue of the property of the state of the stat

and the second of the second of the contract of the second of the second

and beet in the context of persons green is a suggestable of the context of a section of the context of the co The compression was tree green as (i) is a commonly from a context of the c

JC on The 4 Year

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

DE	PAR	TMENT	Department	of Human Se	rvices			
DΙ	VISI	ON	Division of I	Medical Servi	ices			
PE	RSO	N COMPL	ETING THIS	STATEME	NT Lynn	Burton		
ΤЕ	LEP	HONE (50	1) 682-1857	FAX (501) 682-8155	EMAIL: Lyn	n.burton@dh	s.arkansas.gov
To Sta	com	ply with Arent and file	k. Code Ann. two copies wit	§ 25-15-204(6 h the question	e), please co nnaire and p	mplete the follow oposed rules.	ing Financial	Impact
SF	IOR	T TITLE O	F THIS RUL	E SPA #20	20-0005 Va	ccine Administrati	ion Fee Rate	ncrease
1.	Doe	s this propo	sed, amended	, or repealed r	cule have a f	nancial impact?	Yes 🖂	No 🗌
2.	Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule? Yes No							
3.	In c	onsideration he agency to	n of the alterna o be the least c	tives to this r costly rule cor	ule, was this nsidered?	rule determined	Yes 🔀	No 🗌
	If ar	n agency is	proposing a m	ore costly rule	e, please star	e the following:		
	(a) How the additional benefits of the more costly rule justify its additional cost;							
	(b) The reason for adoption of the more costly rule;							
	 (c) Whether the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or welfare, and if so, please explain; and; (d) Whether the reason is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority; and if so, please explain. 						welfare, and	
							so, please	
4.	. If the purpose of this rule is to implement a federal rule or regulation, please state the following:						ving:	
	(a)	What is th	e cost to imple	ement the fed	eral rule or r	egulation?		
Cu	ırren	t Fiscal Ye	<u>ar</u>		<u>N</u>	ext Fiscal Year		
General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)		Fe Ca Sp	eneral Revenue deral Funds ish Funds ecial Revenue her (Identify)					
Total				Т	Total			

Parader Carlos Como de Actue de Carlos Reservados Reservados de Carlos Carlos

grande ger eingen ist åt vigt <u>gje</u>lled til til de taget i 1975 och fill statet i 1975 och

grande in the state of the stat

and the second of the second o

in the second of the second of

n to the first of the second o

t engliste de la companya de la transfera de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya del la companya de la compan

and the second of the second o

t weeklast in the restriction of the state o

The angle of the second

((b) What is the ad	ditional cost of the state r	rule?		
	Current Fiscal Y	<u>ear</u>	Next Fiscal Year		
	General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)	\$ 915,035 \$ 2,303,518	Cash Funds Special Revenue	\$ 915,035 \$ 2,303,518	
		\$ 3,218,553	Total	\$ 3,218,553	
1	What is the total es the proposed, amen explain how they a	ided, or repealed rule? Id	or to any private individual, entity lentify the entity(ies) subject to the	y and business subject to he proposed rule and	
<u>Cu</u> \$	rrent Fiscal Year		Next Fiscal Year \$: —	
	this rule? Is this the rent Fiscal Year	stimated cost by fiscal ye ne cost of the program or	ar to state, county, and municipa grant? Please explain how the g Next Fiscal Year	overnment is affected.	
\$_	915,035		\$ _915,035	_	
7.	or obligation of at private entity, priv	least one hundred thousa		a private individual,	
	time of filing the f	inancial impact statement	Yes No No Certain No Certain No No Certain N	iled simultaneously	
	review proces The State Plan	s for influenza immuniz n Amendment effectuate n fee to assure access an	ose; As required by Executive (cation administration fees was ess a rate increase for the influend availability of immunization	completed in July 2019. nza immunization	
	a rule is require concerned abo	ed by statute; Providers	s with the proposed rule, including of flu immunizations and other rowing program costs given the	r vaccines were	
	(3) a description of	f the factual evidence tha	t:		

Talua — manasari kupanin samba — kana ta

example and the second of the

in the season in the control of the strong control of the strong and the season of the season of the strong of the strong of the season of the

and Carelle and the second of the second of

and the state of the The state of the state

and the second of the second o

and the sign of the second of the second of the second share the second of the second

i de la fille por el especial de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la co La composition de la La composition de la

place of a little of the place of the place

- (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
- (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs; As required by Executive Order 19-02, the rate review process for influenza immunization administration fees was completed in July 2019. The rate increase is based upon a rate review recommendation. The rate increase helps ensure access to care.
- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule; **There are no less costly alternatives.**
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule; **None at this time.**
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and **None**
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.

Executive Order 19-02 requires influenza immunization administration fees to be reviewed no less frequently than every four years.

- The property of the control of the c
- (i) bit is a control of the control of the first or control of the control of
- e and letter de de de de la companie La contraction de la companie de la La companie de la compa
 - The infection of the file of the color of the color of the second of the second of the second of the color of the color of the second of the s
 - man pagety explain, and a mile explanation of the
 - rieger i se ali di la perguarra, il ini interio alfordamenta alta (a)
 - and on the remaining and more was allowed the common defendance of the second design.

Statement of Necessity and Rule Summary

SPA #2020-0005 Vaccine Administration Fee Rate Increase

Statement of Necessity

The Arkansas Department of Human Services (DHS), Division of Medical Services (DMS), intends to revise the Arkansas Medicaid State Plan rates for vaccine administrations fees as required by Executive Order 19-02 that was completed in July 2019. DHS bases the rate increases upon a rate review recommendation. Providers of flu immunizations and other vaccines expressed concern of growing program costs given that no rate increases occurred in over 10 years. The rate increases ensure access and availability of immunizations to members of Arkansas Medicaid.

Rule Summary

This State Plan Amendment (SPA) increases the rates in the Physicians, Nurse Practitioner, ARKids B, and Pharmacy programs to fifteen dollars and forty-five cents (\$15.45) for administration of the influenza immunization. The SPA increases rates for other Medicaid payable vaccines to thirteen dollars and fourteen cents (\$13.14).

reference a special description of the contract of the contract of

no na sistema di Salata III. Il ali angan i mangkangga da kangkangan di Pantah (1977 ni di 1977 ni di 1977 ni d

The part of the first specification

mas traversi such