

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, CENTER FOR HEALTH ADVANCEMENT

SUBJECT: Rules Governing the Licensure of Interpreters

<u>DESCRIPTION</u>: The Rules Governing the Licensure of Interpreters are being amended as follows:

- Amended for numerical inclusion of new sections of the Advisory Board Rules and amended numbering of all sections.
- Addition of definitions of "automatic licensure" and "returning military veteran."
- Changed wording for form in Section VII.
- Added Section VIII, Application for Temporary Provisional Licensure in compliance with Act 426.
- Added Section IX, Application for Automatic Licensure in compliance with Act 820.
- Added Section X, Reciprocity in compliance with Act 1011.
- Added Section XI, License for Individuals From a State That Does Not License Profession in compliance with Act 1011.
- Reduced fee for initial application and licensure from \$120.00 to \$90.00. Reduced annual fee from \$90.00 to \$75.00.

<u>PUBLIC COMMENT</u>: No public hearing was held on this proposed rule. The public comment period expired on September 15, 2020. The agency indicated that it received no public comments.

The proposed effective date is December 1, 2020.

<u>FINANCIAL IMPACT</u>: The agency indicated that this rule does not have a financial impact.

LEGAL AUTHORIZATION: The Department of Health has the authority to issue, deny, and renew licenses for interpreters "between a hearing individual and an individual who is deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or oral deaf[.]" Ark. Code Ann. § 20-14-806. The Department may also "[e]stablish reasonable fees for licensure and renewal of licensure." Ark. Code Ann. § 20-14-806(a)(5). The State Board of Health may promulgate rules regarding these duties. Ark. Code Ann. § 20-14-809. This proposed rule implements Acts 426, 820, and 1011 of 2019.

Act 426, sponsored by Representative Bruce Cozart, created the Red Tape Reduction Expedited Temporary and Provisional Licensure Act and authorized occupational licensing entities to grant expedited temporary and provisional licensing for certain individuals. The Act requires occupational licensing entities to "by rule adopt the least restrictive requirements for occupational licensure" for certain individuals. Ark. Code Ann. § 17-1-108(b), as created by Act 426.

Act 820, sponsored by Senator Missy Irvin, amended the law concerning the occupational licensure of active duty service members, returning military veterans, and their spouses and provided automatic licensure.

Act 1011, sponsored by Representative Jim Dotson, amended the law concerning licensing, registration, and certification for certain professions and established a system of endorsement, recognition, and reciprocity for licensing, registration, and certification for certain professions.

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

DE	PARTMENT/AGENCY Department of Health			
	TSION Center for Health Advancement			
	ISION DIRECTOR Cristy Sellers, Center Director			
CO	NTACT PERSON Laura Shue, General Counsel			
	DRESS 4815 West Markham, Little Rock AR 72205			
	ONE NO. (501) 661-2297 FAX NO. (501) 661-2357 E-MAIL laura.shue@arkansas.gov			
NA	ME OF PRESENTER AT COMMITTEE MEETING Laura Shue ESENTER E-MAIL laura.shue@arkansas.gov			
rĸ	SENTER E-IVIAIL			
	INSTRUCTIONS			
 A. Please make copies of this form for future use. B. Please answer each question completely using layman terms. You may use additional sheets if necess C. If you have a method of indexing your rules, please give the proposed citation after "Short Title of the D. Rule" below. E. Submit two (2) copies of the Questionnaire and Financial Impact Statement attached to the front of the copies of the proposed rule and required documents. Mail or deliver to: 				
***	Jessica C. Sutton Administrative Rules Review Section Arkansas Legislative Council Bureau of Legislative Research One Capitol Mall, 5th Floor Little Rock, AR 72201			
1.	What is the short title of this rule? Rules Governing the Licensure of Interpreters			
8580	Rules Governing the Electistic of Interpreters			
2.	What is the subject of the proposed rule? Licensing for Interpreters between hearing individuals and individuals who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or oral deaf			
3.	Is this rule required to comply with a federal statute, rule, or regulation? Yes No 🗸			
٠.				
	If yes, please provide the federal rule, regulation, and/or statute citation.			
4.	Was this rule filed under the emergency provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act?			
	Yes No V			
	If yes, what is the effective date of the emergency rule?			
	if yes, what is the effective date of the emergency rule.			
	When does the emergency rule expire?			
	Will this emergency rule be promulgated under the permanent provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act? Yes □No ✓			
	Revised June 201			

	Is this a new rule? Yes No If yes, please provide a brief summary explaining the rule.
	Does this repeal an existing rule? Yes No I f yes, a copy of the repealed rule is to be included with your
	completed questionnaire. If it is being replaced with a new rule, please provide a summary of the rule giving an explanation of what the rule does.
	an explanation of what the full does.
	Is this an amendment to an existing rule? Yes No If yes, please attach a mark-up showing the changes in
	the existing rule and a summary of the substantive changes. Note: The summary should explain what the
	amendment does, and the mark-up copy should be clearly labeled "mark-up."
	Updates sections to comply with Acts 820 and 1011 of 2019 for occupational licensing. Reduces application and Licensure fee from \$120 to \$90 and the annual renewal fee from \$90 to \$75.
_	
	Cite the state law that grants the authority for this proposed rule? If codified, please give the Arkansas Code citation.
	Acts 820 and 1011 of 2019
7.	What is the purpose of this proposed rule? Why is it necessary?
7.	What is the purpose of this proposed rule? Why is it necessary? To update the rules to comply with 2019 occupational licensing legislation and reduce fees.
7.	
7.	
7.	
7.	
7.	
7.	
7.	
7.	
7.	

	www.healthy.arkansas.gov/
	Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule? Yes No If yes, please complete the following:
	Date:
	Time:
	Place:
١.	When does the public comment period expire for permanent promulgation? (Must provide a date.) 09/15/2020
•	What is the proposed effective date of this proposed rule? (Must provide a date.) 12/01/2020
2.	Please provide a copy of the notice required under Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(a), and proof of the publication of said notice.
5.	Please provide proof of filing the rule with the Secretary of State as required pursuant to Ark. Code Ann. § 25.15-204(e).
١.	Please give the names of persons, groups, or organizations that you expect to comment on these rules? Please provide their position (for or against) if known.
	alabera analy and has provided from the approximation of entransactions of the first of the entransaction of the same of the s

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

SHORT TITLE OF THIS RULE Rules Governing the Licensure of Interpreters 1. Does this proposed, amended, or repealed rule have a financial impact? Yes No ✓ 2. Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or oth information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the r	pact Statement and file
TELEPHONE NO. (501) 661-2297 FAX NO. (501) 661-2357 EMAIL: laura.shue@arka To comply with Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e), please complete the following Financial Imp two (2) copies with the Questionnaire and proposed rules. SHORT TITLE OF THIS RULE Rules Governing the Licensure of Interpreters 1. Does this proposed, amended, or repealed rule have a financial impact? Yes No 2. Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or oth information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the r	pact Statement and file
To comply with Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e), please complete the following Financial Imp two (2) copies with the Questionnaire and proposed rules. SHORT TITLE OF THIS RULE Rules Governing the Licensure of Interpreters 1. Does this proposed, amended, or repealed rule have a financial impact? Yes No	pact Statement and file
 Does this proposed, amended, or repealed rule have a financial impact? Yes No Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or oth information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the r 	
2. Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or oth information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the r	
information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the r	
	rule?
Yes No	
3. In consideration of the alternatives to this rule, was this rule determined by the agency to rule considered? Yes No	o be the least costly
If an agency is proposing a more costly rule, please state the following:	
a) How the additional benefits of the more costly rule justify its additional cost;	
b) The reason for adoption of the more costly rule;	
c) Whether the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or wel	lfare, and if so, please
explain; and	
d) Whether the reason is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority, and if so,	please explain.

Revised June 2019

Current Fiscal Yo	ear	Next Fiscal Y	<u>ear</u>						
General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue		Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue							
					Other (Identify)		Other (Identify)		
					Total \$ 0.0	0	Total	\$ 0.00	
					b) What is the additional cost of the state rule?				
Current Fiscal Year		Next Fiscal Year							
General Revenue		General Rev	enue						
Federal Funds		Federal Fun	ds						
Cash Funds		Cash Funds							
Special Revenue		Special Reve	enue						
Other (Identify)		Other (Iden	iify)						
	20		0.000						
	mated cost by fiscal y		\$ 0.00 dividual, entity and business subject to the proposed rule and e						
What is the total estin	mated cost by fiscal y	rear to any private in	dividual, entity and business subj						
What is the total estimproposed, amended, they are affected.	mated cost by fiscal y or repealed rule? Ide	rear to any private in	dividual, entity and business subject to the proposed rule and e						
What is the total estimproposed, amended, they are affected. Current Fiscal Year	mated cost by fiscal y or repealed rule? Ide	rear to any private in	dividual, entity and business subject to the proposed rule and e						
What is the total estimproposed, amended, they are affected. Current Fiscal Year	mated cost by fiscal y or repealed rule? Ide	rear to any private in	dividual, entity and business subject to the proposed rule and e						
What is the total esting proposed, amended, they are affected. Current Fiscal Year S What is the total esting	mated cost by fiscal y or repealed rule? Ide	rear to any private in entify the entity(ies)	dividual, entity and business subject to the proposed rule and example to the proposed rule and example to impart of the proposed rule and example to impart of the proposed rule and example to impart to imp	xplain ho					
What is the total esting proposed, amended, they are affected. Current Fiscal Year S What is the total esting	mated cost by fiscal y or repealed rule? Ide	rear to any private in entify the entity(ies)	dividual, entity and business subject to the proposed rule and example and exa	xplain ho					
What is the total esting proposed, amended, they are affected. Current Fiscal Year S What is the total esting	mated cost by fiscal y or repealed rule? Ide	rear to any private in entify the entity(ies)	dividual, entity and business subject to the proposed rule and example to the proposed rule and example to impart of the proposed rule and example to impart of the proposed rule and example to impart to imp	xplain ho					
What is the total esting proposed, amended, they are affected. Current Fiscal Year S What is the total esting rule? Is this the cost	mated cost by fiscal y or repealed rule? Ide	rear to any private in entify the entity(ies)	dividual, entity and business subject to the proposed rule and example to the proposed rule and example. Next Fiscal Year \$and municipal government to imple to the government is affected.	xplain					

7.	With respect to the agency's answers to Questions #5 and #6 above, is there a new or increased cost or obligation of at least one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) per year to a private individual, private entity, private business, state government, county government, municipal government, or to two (2) or more of those entities combined? Yes No
	If YES, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:
	(1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;
	(2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;
	 (3) a description of the factual evidence that: (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;
	(4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
	(5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons

- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
- (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.

ARKANSAS STATE BOARD OF HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD FOR INTERPRETERS BETWEEN HEARING INDIVIDUALS AND INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE DEAF, DEAFBLIND, HARD OF HEARING, OR ORALDEAF

Summary of Amendments:

P. 2	Numerical inclusion of new sections of the Advisory Board Rules and amended numbering of all sections.
P.3	Addition of definition of "Automatic Licensure."
P. 4	Addition of definition of "Returning Military Veteran."
P. 8	Changed wording for form in VII.
P. 9	Added VIII. Application for Temporary Provisional Licensure in compliance with Act 426.
P. 9	Added IX. Application for Automatic Licensure in compliance with Act 820.
P. 9-11	Added X. Reciprocity in compliance with Act 1011.
P. 11	Added XI. License for individuals from a State that does not license profession in compliance with Act 1011.
P. 14	Reduced Fee for Initial Application and Licensure from \$120.00 to \$90.00. Reduced Annual Renewal fee from \$90.00 to \$75.00.
P. 11-16	Corrected numerical sections.