

**EVIDENT  
CHANGE**  
Inform Systems. Transform Lives.



**FAMILY  
PRESERVATION  
SERVICES**

**AN ASSESSMENT OF  
RECENT DCFS PERFORMANCE  
AND OUTCOMES  
SFY 2021**

## ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES DIVISION OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES

June 2021

Structured Decision Making® and SDM® are registered in the US patent and trademark office.



### ABOUT EVIDENT CHANGE

Evident Change promotes just and equitable social systems for individuals, families, and communities through research, public policy, and practice. For more information, call (800) 306-6223 or visit us online at [EvidentChange.org](https://EvidentChange.org) and [@Evident\\_Change](https://twitter.com/Evident_Change) on Twitter.

© 2021 Evident Change

# CONTENTS

- INTRODUCTION .....1
  
- SAFETY .....2
  - Reports Accepted for Child Maltreatment Assessment.....2
  - Child Maltreatment Investigations .....3
  - Preventing Maltreatment Recurrence .....6
  - Differential Response Assessments.....7
  - In-Home Protective Services Cases.....9
  - Discussion ..... 10
  
- PERMANENCY ..... 11
  - Children in Foster Care..... 11
  - Child Removals After Substantiation ..... 13
  - Performance Metrics on Permanency ..... 14
  - Discussion ..... 19
  
- WELL-BEING..... 20
  - Assessing and Visiting Children and Families ..... 20
  - Discussion ..... 22

## APPENDIX

Tables

# INTRODUCTION

Act 906 of the 2001 session of the Arkansas legislature requires the Arkansas Division of Children and Family Services (DCFS) to conduct an annual evaluation of family preservation services. The Act specifies several types of services, including those designed to prevent the removal of children from their homes, facilitate the reunification of families with children who have been removed from their homes, and help children attain safe and appropriate permanent living arrangements in the event that reunification does not occur.

These services encompass virtually all activities performed by DCFS in its work with families and children. In this sense, any child or family that DCFS has assessed and/or for whom the agency has opened a case can be said to be in need of family preservation services. This report addresses whether the assistance and services that children and their families receive lead to positive results.

Within this context, the following report examines DCFS's performance during calendar year 2020 compared with its performance during the preceding two years. Many of the metrics analyzed herein replicate indicators currently monitored by the federal Children's Bureau, while other metrics are commonly used within Arkansas's and other states' child welfare systems. The report metrics are structured around safety, permanency, and well-being.

In addition to assessing statewide performance, this report examines individual service areas and counties' ability to keep children safe, help them gain permanency, and promote their well-being; their performance is detailed in the report as well.

# SAFETY

## REPORTS ACCEPTED FOR CHILD MALTREATMENT ASSESSMENT


During 2020, Arkansas accepted 25,554 child maltreatment reports for an investigation. The number of reports accepted for investigation declined by nearly 11% from the previous year.

Of those investigations, 19,987 (78%) were assigned to DCFS; the remainder (n=5,567) were assigned to the Arkansas State Police Crimes Against Children Division.


DCFS was also responsible for all 3,738 reports that were accepted for differential response (DR) during the year. The number of DRs received decreased by 30% from the previous year (Figure 1).

Of assessments (investigations and DRs combined) assigned to DCFS during 2020, 19% originated from Area 1. DCFS staff from Area 10 received the fewest total assessments, followed by those in Areas 4 and 7 (Figure 2).

**Figure 1**  
**Accepted Child Maltreatment Reports Statewide**



**Figure 2**  
**Total Reports Assigned to DCFS by Area**



Note: Four reports did not have a county and area identified for the incident.

# CHILD MALTREATMENT INVESTIGATIONS


## TIMELINESS OF INITIATING INVESTIGATIONS

DCFS staff must see alleged victims within 24 hours of receipt of a priority one report and 72 hours of receipt of a priority two report. Agency staff statewide initiated 86% of their investigations on time during 2020 (Figure 3).


Areas 2, 8, and 10 initiated at least 90% of their investigations in a timely manner during the year, followed closely by Areas 4 and 9. The lowest timely initiation rate was in Area 6 (75%), i.e., Pulaski County (Figure 4).

Among other individual counties, less than 80% of investigations were initiated on time in Washington County (Area 1); Garland, Perry, and Saline counties (Area 3), Nevada County (Area 4), Bradley, Calhoun, and Jefferson counties (Area 7), and Crittenden County (Area 9). Appendix Table A1 displays, by county, the percentage of investigations with timely initiation.

**Figure 3**  
**Timely Investigation Initiation Statewide**



**Figure 4**  
**Timely Investigation Initiation by Area**



## TIMELINESS OF COMPLETING INVESTIGATIONS


Investigations are to be completed within 45 days of receipt of the report. In select instances, a 15-day extension may be granted, resulting in a 60-day completion requirement. DCFS completed 86% of its investigations on time in 2020, an improvement over each of the past two years (Figure 5).

Areas 2, 8, 9, and 10 had the highest timely completion rates (Figure 6). Almost all counties within these areas completed over 90% of their investigations on time. Area 6, which struggled to initiate investigations on time, did well with on-time completion.


Area 5 (62%) struggled the most to complete their investigations on time; the lowest timely completion rates were in Faulkner (48%), Pope (42%), and Conway (52%) counties. Multiple counties in Areas 3 (Garland, Perry, Saline) and 4 (Columbia, Hempstead, Lafayette, Nevada, Ouachita, and Union) struggled to complete investigations as well.

Appendix Table A2 displays the percentage of investigations completed on time by county.

**Figure 5**  
**Timely Investigation Completion Statewide**



**Figure 6**  
**Timely Investigation Completion by Area**




## SUBSTANTIATION OF INVESTIGATIONS

Of all DCFS investigations during 2020, 25% were substantiated, higher than in previous years (Figure 7).


DCFS staff from Areas 2, 4, and 10 substantiated at least 30% of their assigned investigations during 2020. Areas 1 and 7 had the lowest substantiation rates (Figure 8).

Among individual counties, investigations were substantiated at the lowest rates in Benton (Area 1), Howard (Area 3), Calhoun and Lonoke (Area 7), Lawrence and Clay (Area 8), and White (Area 9) counties. Meanwhile, the following counties substantiated investigations at the highest rates: Madison (Area 1); Sebastian (Area 2); Little River, Miller, and Sevier (Area 4); Marion (Area 5); Greene (Area 8); Woodruff (Area 9); and Arkansas, Lee, and St. Francis (Area 10). Appendix Table A3 displays the percentage of substantiated investigations by county.

**Figure 7**  
**Percentage of Substantiated DCFS Investigations Statewide**



**Figure 8**  
**Percentage of Substantiated DCFS Investigations by Area**






## PREVENTING MALTREATMENT RECURRENCE

Recurrence of maltreatment is measured by examining all child victims of maltreatment during a 12-month period and identifying how many were victimized within the next 12 months. For example, for 2020, all child victims of maltreatment during 2019 were tracked to see whether they were victims of a subsequent maltreatment report within 12 months of the initial report.


Just over 6% of child victims in Arkansas experienced a recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months of a substantiation for 2020. DCFS met the national standard with respect to the recurrence of maltreatment for each of the past three years (Figure 9). All 10 areas also achieved the national standard (Figure 10).

Of the 75 Arkansas counties, 59 met the standard; Ashley, Boone, Calhoun, Cleveland, Hot Spring, Izard, Jackson, Lafayette, Little River, Madison, Pike, Searcy, Sebastian, Sevier, Woodruff, and Yell counties did not. Aside from Sebastian, these counties are generally rural (i.e., sparsely populated). Appendix Table A4 displays the percentage of children who experienced maltreatment recurrence by county.

**Figure 9**  
**Recurrence Within 12 Months Statewide**



**Figure 10**  
**Recurrence Within 12 Months by Area**



## DIFFERENTIAL RESPONSE ASSESSMENTS

### TIMELINESS OF INITIATING DR REFERRAL

DCFS must see all alleged victim children and at least one caregiver within 72 hours for DR referrals. Arkansas initiated 74% of DRs on time during 2020, an improvement over each of the past two years (Figure 11).


Areas 5, 8, and 10 performed comparatively well at initiating DRs (Figure 12). Those areas initiated over 85% of DRs on time, and most of the counties within likewise did well.

Outside of these areas, only a handful of counties achieved a timely initiation rate of at least 90%: Benton (Area 1); Polk (Area 3); Ouachita (Area 4); Poinsett (Area 9); and Arkansas, Drew, and Monroe counties (Area 10).


Areas 3, 6, and 7 struggled to initiate DRs on time. For several counties throughout Areas 3 and 7, less than half of DRs were initiated on time, highlighted by Montgomery (47%), Perry (44%), Pike (47%), and Saline (47%) counties in Area 3 and Bradley (42%), Calhoun (0%), Cleveland (14%), Dallas (29%), Jefferson (39%), and Lincoln (31%) counties in Area 7.

Appendix Table A5 displays the percentage of timely DRs initiated by county.

**Figure 11**  
Timely DR Referral Initiation Statewide



**Figure 12**  
Timely DR Referral Initiation by Area



## TIMELINESS OF COMPLETING DR REFERRALS


Reports assigned for DR must be closed within 30 days of receipt of the report. In certain instances when the families' needs necessitate longer involvement, up to two 15-day extensions can be granted, for a total of 60 days. DCFS completed 77% of DRs on time for 2020. This was a decrease from the previous two years (Figure 13).

Areas 5 and 8 each completed over 90% of DRs in a timely manner, and Areas 2, 4, and 7 completed over 85% on time (Figure 14). Aside from a few exceptions (e.g., Crawford in Area 2, Jefferson in Area 7), the counties in these areas generally performed well.


The lowest DR completion rates were in Areas 3 (40%) and 6 (50%). Performance in these areas declined steeply from just two years ago (94% for Area 3 and 89% for Area 6). For the second consecutive year, the completion rate declined for both areas, including each county within Area 3.

Appendix Table A6 displays timely DR completion by county.

**Figure 13**  
**Timely DR Referral Completion Statewide**



**Figure 14**  
**Timely DR Referral Completion by Area**




## IN-HOME PROTECTIVE SERVICES CASES

When a maltreatment report or court order necessitates DCFS involvement with a family but there is no immediate threat to any child's safety, the agency can provide in-home protective services to the family in which no children are removed from the home. DCFS was responsible for 2,489 open in-home cases at the end of 2020, an increase of 29% from 2018 (Figure 15). Figure 16 displays the number of in-home cases by area.


The number of in-home cases increased in nine of the 10 areas from 2018, with the largest jump occurring in Area 6, where the number of cases more than doubled (191 to 419). The next-largest increases occurred in Areas 4 (55%), 1 (38%), and 2 (32%). The only decrease in in-home cases occurred in Area 9. Among counties, the largest net increases in in-home cases occurred in Washington (142 to 210), Craighead (99 to 135), Benton (98 to 132), and Sebastian (91 to 122) counties; the largest decreases occurred in Phillips (30 to 14) and Lonoke (36 to 25) counties.

Appendix Table A7 reviews the number of in-home protective services cases open at the end of the year by county.

**Figure 15**  
**Open In-Home Protective Services Cases Statewide**



**Figure 16**  
**Open In-Home Protective Services Cases by Area**  
N = 2,489



## DISCUSSION

### STRENGTHS

Arkansas accepted 25,554 child maltreatment reports for investigation and another 3,738 for DR during 2020; these figures were well below the number of investigations and DRs received during the previous year. For 2020, DCFS was responsible for 19,987 (78%) investigations and all DRs received.

The rate at which DCFS initiated and completed its investigations improved in 2020; the agency both initiated and completed 86% of investigations on time during the year. Areas 2, 8, and 10 performed especially well, exceeding 90% compliance for each of these tasks. DCFS staff also improved the rate at which they initiate DRs on time, increasing from 63% in 2018 to 74% for 2020.

DCFS successfully limited maltreatment recurrence within 12 months, bettering the national standard (9.1% or less) for each of the last three years. All 10 areas met the standard for 2020.

### AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT

While DCFS continued to make progress regarding the timely initiation of DRs during 2020, additional improvement is necessary to ensure that these families are seen more quickly. In particular, Areas 3, 6, and 7 had trouble initiating DRs on time.

Additionally, timely DR completion has regressed from 86% two years ago to 77% for 2020. DRs originating from Areas 3 and 6 were especially problematic for agency staff.

# PERMANENCY

## CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE

### THE POPULATION


There were 4,651 children in foster care at the end of 2020, an increase of 10% over the previous year (Figure 17). Prior to 2020, the number of children in foster care had steadily declined since reaching an all-time high (5,234) during 2016 (not shown) until the end of 2019. Figure 18 shows children in care by area at the end of 2020.

Much of the foster child increase during the year can be attributed to Areas 6 (386 to 621) and 4 (280 to 387), with more modest increases occurring in Areas 3, 5, and 7. Excluding Area 6 (i.e., Pulaski County), the largest net increases within these areas occurred in Garland County (152 to 177) in Area 3, Hempstead (23 to 44) and Miller (90 to 131) counties in Area 4, Boone County (40 to 71) in Area 5, and Jefferson County (86 to 119) in Area 7.


The foster child populations decreased in Areas 8, 9, and 10. With the exception of Greene (Area 8) and White (Area 9) counties, the foster child population either decreased or effectively stayed the same for the counties in those areas.

Appendix Table A8 displays the number of children in care at the end of the year by county.

**Figure 17**  
**Children in Foster Care Statewide**



**Figure 18**  
**Children in Foster Care by Area**



N = 4,651

## PROXIMITY OF CHILDREN'S PLACEMENTS


Of children in care at the end of 2020, 73% were residing in a placement setting either within the same county from which they were removed or a neighboring county (Figure 19). This was similar to the previous year and a considerable improvement from five years ago at 62% (not shown).

Areas 1 (85%) and 6 (84%) placed the highest percentage of children in close proximity to their homes (Figure 20).


Within Area 1, over 95% of the children from Benton County were placed in close proximity, while just 59% of children in care from Area 10 were placed in close proximity to their homes. All Area 10 counties except Monroe placed less than two thirds of children close by. The percentage of nearby child placements ranged from 67–74% for the other seven areas. Noteworthy counties include Crawford (33%) and Sebastian (68%) in Area 2, Garland (77%) and Saline (87%) in Area 3, Miller (68%) in Area 4, Faulkner (66%) and Pope (71%) in Area 5, Jefferson (79%) and Lonoke (78%) in Area 7, Craighead (83%) and Greene (70%) in Area 8, and Crittenden (85%) and White (81%) in Area 9.

Appendix Table A9 displays the percentage of foster children placed in (1) their home county and (2) their home county or a neighboring county, by removal county.

**Figure 19**  
Proximity of Child's Placement (Same or Neighboring County) Statewide



**Figure 20**  
Proximity of Child's Placement (Same or Neighboring County) by Area



## CHILD REMOVALS AFTER SUBSTANTIATION

DCFS staff removed 21% of the victim children in response to a true report (i.e., the children were removed from their home either during the investigation or within 10 days of its closure) in 2020, similar to the removal rates for the previous two years (Figure 21).


For the second consecutive year, victim children from Area 4 were more likely to be removed in response to a true report (33%) than those from any other area. Area 4's removal rate was driven by Sevier (53%), Little River (42%), Lafayette, (42%), and Miller (37%) counties.

Area 6—where the removal rate was 16% for 2018 and 20% for 2019—surged to 25% for 2020. Area 7's rate was also comparatively high and clearly impacted by Bradley (56%), Grant (52%), and Jefferson (26%) counties.


Area 10 (9%) was least likely to remove children in response to a true report. Within Area 10, children from Desha (16%) and Phillips (14%) had the highest removal rates; the remaining seven counties had a removal rate of less than 10%.

Appendix Table A10 displays the percentage of children removed from their homes in response to a true report (i.e., substantiation) by county.

**Figure 21**  
**Child Removal After Substantiation Statewide**



**Figure 22**  
**Child Removal After Substantiation by Area**





## PERFORMANCE METRICS ON PERMANENCY

### PLACEMENT WITH RELATIVES


Data show that children placed with relatives achieve better outcomes than those placed with nonrelatives. As a result, DCFS has made a deliberate effort in recent years to use relatives as appropriate foster care placements. As of the end of 2020, 37% of children in care were in relative placements, higher than the rate observed for the previous two years (Figure 23). Five years ago (2015), the relative placement rate was just under 20% (not shown).

Eight of the 10 areas—Areas 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 10—met the agency’s goal of 33%, and Area 6 (32%) came very close (Figure 24). The best-performing counties within these areas were Benton (Area 1), Yell (Area 2), Montgomery and Perry (Area 3), Columbia (Area 4), Conway and Faulkner (Area 5), Cleveland and Prairie (Area 7), Fulton and Sharp (Area 8), and Arkansas and Lee (Area 10).


Area 9 had the lowest relative placement rate (27%; Figure 24). While some counties within Area 9 did well at placing children with relatives (e.g., Cleburne at 56%), others were less successful (e.g., White County at 16%).

Appendix Table A11 displays the percentage of children in care residing with relatives by county.

**Figure 23**  
Placement With Relatives Statewide



**Figure 24**  
Placement With Relatives by Area



## PLACEMENT STABILITY

Placement stability is measured by the rate of placement moves per 1,000 days in care for children who enter care over a 12-month period. The national standard is a rate of 4.12 or less.

For 2020, the placement stability rate was 5.64, lower than the rate observed for the past two years but still higher than the national standard (Figure 25). In 2015, the placement stability rate was 7.54 (not shown).


Children entering care from Areas 2 and 5 reached greater placement stability than those from any other area. The placement rate was less than 4.00 for several counties there (Franklin and Logan counties in Area 2; Baxter, Conway, Newton, and Searcy counties in Area 5).

Children entering care from Areas 6 and 8 experienced the highest number of moves on average (Figure 26). Within Area 8, the highest rates were in Greene, Randolph, and Craighead counties.


Overall, 26 of Arkansas's 75 counties met the national standard for placement stability, compared with 18 counties in 2019. Saline County (Area 3) and White County (Area 9), the sixth and ninth most populous in the state, excelled at limiting children's moves.

Appendix Table A12 displays placement stability rates by county.

**Figure 25**  
**Placement Stability Rate Statewide**



**Figure 26**  
**Placement Stability Rate by Area**



## REACHING PERMANENCY


Arkansas consistently exceeds the national standard of 40.5% or more for achieving permanency for children within 12 months of removal (Figure 27). Discharges from foster care due to reunification, relative custody, noncustodial parent custody, guardianship, adoption, and nonrelative custody are considered to be permanent living situations.

Eight areas met the national standard, with only Areas 2 and 6 falling short (Figure 28).


The state also exceeded the national standards of 43.6% for children in care for 12 to 23 months who reached permanency within the subsequent 12 months (59%) and the national standard of 30.3% for children in care for 24 months or longer who reached permanency within the ensuing 12 months (35%). All areas met the standard for the 12- to 23-month interval. For the interval of 24 months or longer, most areas either met or came close to meeting the standard (the only area to fall well short was Area 7).

Appendix Tables A13–A15 display the state’s performance with respect to achieving permanency for these three intervals by county.

**Figure 27**  
**Permanency Within 12 Months of Entering Care Statewide**



**Figure 28**  
**Permanency Within 12 Months of Entering Care by Area**




## PREVENTING FOSTER CARE REENTRY

Arkansas consistently meets the national standard (8.3% or less) for preventing child reentry into foster care within 12 months of discharge. For 2020, the statewide reentry rate improved (i.e., decreased) slightly compared with the previous two years (Figure 29).


Seven of the 10 areas—Areas 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 9, and 10—met the standard for limiting child reentry into care, and Area 3 came close to doing so. At the other end of the spectrum, 20% of the children who reunified in Area 7 subsequently reentered care within 12 months (Figure 30). Area 7's struggles with limiting reentries stemmed largely from Jefferson (29%) and Lonoke (21%) counties.

Appendix Table A16 displays child foster care reentry rates by county.

**Figure 29**  
**Reentries Within 12 Months Statewide**



**Figure 30**  
**Reentries Within 12 Months by Area**




## TIME TO ADOPTION

Arkansas finalized adoptions for 713 children in 2020, far lower than in each of the previous two years (Figure 31).


The average length of time from termination of parental rights (TPR) until adoption finalization was 13.9 months for 2020, nearly one month longer than in 2019 and three months longer than in 2018 (Figure 32).

In four areas, adoptions were finalized faster than the national average of 11.8 months; Areas 3, 7, and 9, took an average of eight to nine months to finalize adoptions, while Area 10 took 10 months. Adoptions finalized in Area 2 took the longest (19 months on average); Crawford and Sebastian counties accounted for 70% of the Area's adoptions and took 19 and 21 months, respectively. Adoptions finalized in Areas 1 and 6 (16 months each) took a long time as well. Appendix Table A17 displays the number of finalized adoptions and the average time from TPR to adoption finalization by county.

**Figure 31**  
**Number of Finalized Adoptions Statewide**



**Figure 32**  
**Time in Months From TPR to Adoption Finalization Statewide**



## DISCUSSION

### STRENGTHS

Although the number of children in foster care increased by 10% during 2020—from 4,226 to 4,651 children—DCFS generally succeeded or performed well on many activities designed to preserve children’s existing connections and expedite permanency.

Arkansas has continued to prioritize relative foster care placements: 37% of children in foster care at the end of 2020 were residing with relatives, four percentage points higher than one year ago and nearly double that of five years ago (20%). For 2021, Arkansas has increased its relative placement goal from 33% to 40%. The focus on relative placements has positively impacted Arkansas’s placement stability rate, which decreased considerably over this same period (7.54 in 2015 down to 5.64 for 2020).

The increased use of relatives also has likely contributed to the parallel increase in foster children residing in a placement setting either within the removal county or a neighboring county during this five-year period; 73% of children were placed in a home or neighboring county at the end of 2020, up from 62% for 2015.

DCFS performed well at moving children in care toward permanency regardless of their length of time in care. For each of the past three years, the agency met the federal standards with respect to achieving permanency within 12 months for (1) children who entered care during the year, (2) children in care for 12 to 23 months, and (3) children in care for 24 months or longer.

DCFS likewise did well at preventing children in foster care who reunify with their families from reentering care within 12 months. Arkansas met the national standard of 8.3% or less for the third consecutive year.

### AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT

Although DCFS has made considerable improvements in children’s foster care placement stability in recent years, the rate still falls well below the national standard. While none of the 10 areas met the standard for 2020, Areas 2 and 5 nearly did. Conversely, the highest rates of placement moves were in Areas 6 and 8.

The average length of time from TPR to adoption finalization was nearly 14 months for the 713 adoptions finalized during 2020, longer than in each of the previous two years. As a result, Arkansas’s performance on this item for 2020 lagged two months behind the national average of 11.8 months.

# WELL-BEING

## ASSESSING AND VISITING CHILDREN AND FAMILIES


DCFS must assess children and families in in-home and foster care cases on an ongoing basis to identify their needs and provide appropriate services. DCFS’s primary means of assessing and monitoring children and families is consistent face-to-face visits. Workers must visit each child in care in the placement setting once a month; for in-home protective services cases, workers must visit family members in their home at least once a month.

DCFS workers completed 77% of visits to in-home families during 2020, an increase of four percentage points from 2018 (73%) and closer to DCFS’s goal of 85% (Figure 33). In 2015, the in-home visitation rate was 56% (not shown).


The in-home visitation rate has improved in nine of the 10 areas since 2018, with the sharpest increase in Area 2 (62% to 90%; not shown). For 2020, Areas 2, 4, and 9 met DCFS’s goal and Areas 5, 8, and 10 came close (Figure 34).

In Area 6, the visitation rate has decreased over the past two years from 82% to 56%. Despite improved performances within Areas 1 and 3, several counties struggled to make their required in-home visits (Washington County in Area 1 and Clark, Garland, and Saline counties in Area 3). Appendix Table A18 displays the agency’s performance at visiting in-home families by county.

**Figure 33**  
**Monthly Worker Visitation With In-Home Families Statewide**



**Figure 34**  
**Monthly Worker Visitation With In-Home Families by Area**



## VISITING CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE


DCFS completed 85% of its required monthly visits to see foster children in their placement during 2020, similar to 2019 and slightly higher than 2018 (Figure 35). Five years ago (2015), the foster child visitation rate was 73%.

As was the case with in-home visits, all areas except Area 6 improved the rate at which workers visited children in foster care from the previous year. As a result, eight areas—Areas 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, and 10—met DCFS’s goal of 85% for monthly visits to foster children during 2020 (Figure 36). Area 6 met this goal for 2018 and 2019 before falling to 65% in 2020.


Aside from Area 6 (i.e., Pulaski County), the foster child visitation rate only fell below 75% for 2020 in Mississippi County (57%) in Area 8.

Appendix Table A19 displays the agency’s rate of visiting children in care by county.

**Figure 35**  
**Monthly Worker Visitation With Children in Foster Care Statewide**



**Figure 36**  
**Foster Child Monthly Visits by Area**





## DISCUSSION

### STRENGTHS

DCFS workers have consistently improved their rate of in-person visits to children in foster care and families with in-home cases in recent years. Agency workers made just 56% of required monthly visits to in-home families in 2015, but by 2020, the rate had increased to 77%. Agency staff made 73% of their required monthly visits to children in foster care in 2015, but compliance reached 85% for 2019 and for 2020. As a result, the agency met its goal of 85% for the past two years. DCFS has increased its foster child visitation goal from 85% to 95% for 2021.

### AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT

While DCFS has steadily improved the frequency at which it monitors in-home cases, there is more work to do to reach the in-home visitation goal of 85%. The lowest in-home visitation rates for 2020 were in Areas 1, 3, and 6.

# APPENDIX: TABLES

TABLE A1			
PERCENTAGE OF INVESTIGATIONS WITH TIMELY INITIATION			
COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 1</b>			
Benton	91.9%	87.6%	89.1%
Carroll	87.0%	85.0%	86.0%
Madison	86.8%	81.5%	85.9%
Washington	84.5%	79.6%	74.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>88.1%</b>	<b>83.7%</b>	<b>82.4%</b>
<b>Area 2</b>			
Crawford	85.4%	92.9%	91.4%
Franklin	83.3%	92.1%	92.0%
Johnson	76.7%	87.7%	93.2%
Logan	51.2%	81.2%	89.5%
Scott	69.1%	82.1%	91.6%
Sebastian	63.1%	82.1%	88.6%
Yell	67.1%	77.7%	90.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>70.2%</b>	<b>85.2%</b>	<b>90.1%</b>
<b>Area 3</b>			
Clark	91.3%	86.2%	90.7%
Garland	89.0%	76.2%	71.5%
Hot Spring	90.9%	85.2%	95.0%
Howard	97.4%	88.0%	91.7%
Montgomery	95.1%	96.9%	93.6%
Perry	76.1%	61.5%	71.0%
Pike	91.1%	89.9%	93.4%
Polk	91.3%	91.0%	94.0%
Saline	80.3%	75.2%	79.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>86.9%</b>	<b>79.3%</b>	<b>80.9%</b>

TABLE A1

## PERCENTAGE OF INVESTIGATIONS WITH TIMELY INITIATION

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 4</b>			
Columbia	78.5%	90.5%	89.6%
Hempstead	85.7%	82.9%	84.8%
Lafayette	66.0%	91.5%	80.0%
Little River	79.5%	88.9%	95.1%
Miller	67.9%	86.6%	91.3%
Nevada	91.7%	89.7%	73.9%
Ouachita	79.9%	74.3%	85.6%
Sevier	84.3%	93.3%	94.9%
Union	72.8%	79.5%	83.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>75.9%</b>	<b>84.6%</b>	<b>88.0%</b>
<b>Area 5</b>			
Baxter	88.6%	91.4%	95.9%
Boone	84.4%	90.2%	90.1%
Conway	74.7%	88.2%	85.9%
Faulkner	64.4%	77.0%	83.6%
Marion	94.7%	95.4%	98.3%
Newton	85.7%	92.3%	95.1%
Pope	83.8%	90.2%	80.7%
Searcy	47.6%	72.3%	80.4%
Van Buren	57.4%	74.2%	82.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>75.7%</b>	<b>84.3%</b>	<b>86.5%</b>
<b>Area 6</b>			
Pulaski	76.3%	75.9%	75.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>76.3%</b>	<b>75.9%</b>	<b>75.3%</b>

TABLE A1

## PERCENTAGE OF INVESTIGATIONS WITH TIMELY INITIATION

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 7</b>			
Bradley	77.9%	79.7%	73.2%
Calhoun	60.7%	66.7%	77.8%
Cleveland	79.4%	69.4%	92.9%
Dallas	78.9%	73.3%	88.8%
Grant	81.5%	84.6%	95.9%
Jefferson	47.0%	65.2%	69.5%
Lincoln	74.2%	86.4%	93.8%
Lonoke	77.2%	94.9%	96.3%
Prairie	77.3%	98.1%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>69.7%</b>	<b>83.0%</b>	<b>86.8%</b>
<b>Area 8</b>			
Clay	99.3%	96.1%	99.0%
Craighead	89.6%	88.0%	90.6%
Fulton	89.0%	91.3%	94.6%
Greene	80.5%	86.9%	89.2%
Izard	88.4%	94.0%	97.1%
Lawrence	87.4%	89.9%	96.3%
Mississippi	93.5%	92.1%	92.9%
Randolph	94.0%	94.0%	96.7%
Sharp	92.0%	93.4%	94.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>89.0%</b>	<b>89.8%</b>	<b>92.3%</b>
<b>Area 9</b>			
Cleburne	94.4%	97.0%	99.5%
Crittenden	82.2%	74.1%	69.0%
Cross	85.8%	87.4%	92.2%
Independence	93.3%	92.4%	94.2%
Jackson	94.2%	91.4%	87.0%
Poinsett	86.3%	87.5%	86.5%
Stone	94.0%	98.9%	97.2%
White	82.2%	85.8%	90.9%
Woodruff	89.8%	94.6%	94.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>86.9%</b>	<b>87.1%</b>	<b>88.6%</b>

**TABLE A1**

**PERCENTAGE OF INVESTIGATIONS WITH TIMELY INITIATION**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 10</b>			
Arkansas	94.0%	93.7%	96.9%
Ashley	91.6%	92.9%	94.4%
Chicot	96.2%	96.6%	93.8%
Desha	87.8%	96.2%	97.9%
Drew	94.9%	97.0%	97.1%
Lee	87.8%	86.0%	97.7%
Monroe	86.2%	91.1%	89.3%
Phillips	88.1%	90.4%	86.5%
St. Francis	91.4%	91.4%	91.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>91.3%</b>	<b>93.1%</b>	<b>93.4%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>81.6%</b>	<b>84.0%</b>	<b>85.6%</b>

TABLE A2

## PERCENTAGE OF INVESTIGATIONS WITH TIMELY COMPLETION

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 1</b>			
Benton	96.9%	91.2%	93.2%
Carroll	84.9%	89.1%	97.3%
Madison	89.6%	83.2%	96.9%
Washington	78.9%	75.5%	77.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>87.9%</b>	<b>83.9%</b>	<b>86.6%</b>
<b>Area 2</b>			
Crawford	94.8%	95.0%	95.4%
Franklin	70.8%	95.0%	100.0%
Johnson	99.1%	100.0%	99.0%
Logan	51.6%	86.0%	98.3%
Scott	62.7%	69.2%	91.6%
Sebastian	82.1%	46.4%	91.8%
Yell	37.0%	70.9%	96.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>79.8%</b>	<b>70.7%</b>	<b>94.5%</b>
<b>Area 3</b>			
Clark	93.3%	97.1%	96.6%
Garland	75.3%	54.2%	74.5%
Hot Spring	98.6%	94.3%	93.7%
Howard	93.6%	97.6%	94.0%
Montgomery	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Perry	88.7%	50.8%	54.8%
Pike	100.0%	95.7%	95.1%
Polk	96.0%	89.1%	88.8%
Saline	79.6%	64.5%	73.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>83.7%</b>	<b>70.2%</b>	<b>79.8%</b>

TABLE A2

## PERCENTAGE OF INVESTIGATIONS WITH TIMELY COMPLETION

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 4</b>			
Columbia	42.1%	47.4%	51.9%
Hempstead	86.5%	66.0%	76.5%
Lafayette	53.2%	44.7%	53.3%
Little River	26.0%	51.4%	91.2%
Miller	50.0%	60.0%	87.8%
Nevada	83.3%	79.4%	60.9%
Ouachita	58.0%	38.0%	70.7%
Sevier	27.8%	41.0%	87.9%
Union	60.2%	47.9%	67.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>54.8%</b>	<b>52.9%</b>	<b>75.9%</b>
<b>Area 5</b>			
Baxter	85.3%	79.2%	95.5%
Boone	82.5%	87.7%	81.5%
Conway	85.6%	89.6%	52.3%
Faulkner	86.8%	61.7%	47.9%
Marion	98.2%	92.3%	97.4%
Newton	76.2%	94.9%	90.2%
Pope	67.8%	77.1%	42.2%
Searcy	61.9%	73.8%	66.7%
Van Buren	50.0%	63.6%	55.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>80.0%</b>	<b>74.3%</b>	<b>61.7%</b>
<b>Area 6</b>			
Pulaski	79.6%	59.8%	91.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>79.6%</b>	<b>59.8%</b>	<b>91.0%</b>

TABLE A2

## PERCENTAGE OF INVESTIGATIONS WITH TIMELY COMPLETION

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 7</b>			
Bradley	70.6%	87.5%	89.3%
Calhoun	92.9%	83.3%	94.4%
Cleveland	79.4%	72.2%	89.3%
Dallas	98.9%	88.9%	91.3%
Grant	93.3%	91.5%	99.0%
Jefferson	59.4%	58.4%	66.4%
Lincoln	81.8%	69.7%	83.3%
Lonoke	97.9%	99.7%	100.0%
Prairie	98.5%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>85.4%</b>	<b>84.8%</b>	<b>88.3%</b>
<b>Area 8</b>			
Clay	100.0%	99.2%	99.0%
Craighead	99.9%	95.6%	99.0%
Fulton	85.0%	99.0%	100.0%
Greene	93.1%	96.6%	99.1%
Izard	85.7%	98.0%	100.0%
Lawrence	88.1%	97.6%	100.0%
Mississippi	87.7%	99.2%	100.0%
Randolph	98.8%	99.4%	99.3%
Sharp	93.3%	95.2%	98.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>94.5%</b>	<b>97.0%</b>	<b>99.3%</b>
<b>Area 9</b>			
Cleburne	95.5%	100.0%	100.0%
Crittenden	99.4%	92.6%	76.9%
Cross	95.0%	95.3%	97.8%
Independence	91.2%	86.2%	100.0%
Jackson	97.4%	94.4%	81.3%
Poinsett	86.3%	89.9%	94.9%
Stone	98.0%	96.7%	100.0%
White	92.6%	91.5%	93.6%
Woodruff	98.3%	91.9%	89.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>93.5%</b>	<b>91.9%</b>	<b>92.6%</b>



**TABLE A2**

**PERCENTAGE OF INVESTIGATIONS WITH TIMELY COMPLETION**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 10</b>			
Arkansas	99.2%	97.6%	97.9%
Ashley	95.8%	99.1%	100.0%
Chicot	100.0%	96.6%	97.9%
Desha	96.3%	100.0%	100.0%
Drew	98.3%	99.2%	98.6%
Lee	100.0%	97.7%	100.0%
Monroe	96.6%	97.8%	96.4%
Phillips	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
St. Francis	99.4%	99.3%	99.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>98.4%</b>	<b>98.9%</b>	<b>99.1%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>84.4%</b>	<b>78.6%</b>	<b>86.3%</b>

TABLE A3

## PERCENTAGE OF SUBSTANTIATED INVESTIGATIONS

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 1</b>			
Benton	12.1%	14.1%	17.0%
Carroll	23.4%	20.1%	28.4%
Madison	33.0%	26.0%	43.6%
Washington	16.8%	16.1%	20.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>20.4%</b>
<b>Area 2</b>			
Crawford	19.1%	21.5%	26.7%
Franklin	32.9%	23.4%	29.9%
Johnson	25.6%	13.2%	26.6%
Logan	21.9%	16.4%	25.6%
Scott	20.2%	23.4%	31.6%
Sebastian	25.8%	30.2%	33.7%
Yell	24.7%	24.6%	26.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>23.9%</b>	<b>24.6%</b>	<b>30.0%</b>
<b>Area 3</b>			
Clark	26.0%	20.3%	32.2%
Garland	22.5%	28.1%	26.9%
Hot Spring	18.1%	24.0%	27.0%
Howard	15.4%	21.7%	16.7%
Montgomery	18.0%	20.3%	25.5%
Perry	18.3%	20.0%	19.7%
Pike	25.0%	20.3%	27.9%
Polk	16.1%	21.2%	30.3%
Saline	13.0%	16.1%	23.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>25.8%</b>

**TABLE A3**

**PERCENTAGE OF SUBSTANTIATED INVESTIGATIONS**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 4</b>			
Columbia	21.5%	25.9%	29.2%
Hempstead	22.0%	30.5%	31.8%
Lafayette	10.6%	23.4%	33.3%
Little River	28.8%	31.9%	36.3%
Miller	21.2%	24.0%	34.1%
Nevada	16.7%	25.0%	28.3%
Ouachita	22.5%	28.8%	26.1%
Sevier	29.6%	20.0%	41.4%
Union	22.4%	18.5%	30.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>24.7%</b>	<b>32.1%</b>
<b>Area 5</b>			
Baxter	25.2%	29.7%	25.7%
Boone	30.7%	27.1%	30.6%
Conway	25.3%	27.1%	25.0%
Faulkner	18.7%	16.5%	24.2%
Marion	30.1%	33.1%	38.8%
Newton	31.0%	17.9%	24.4%
Pope	25.5%	29.2%	30.9%
Searcy	28.6%	20.0%	21.6%
Van Buren	12.5%	15.2%	24.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>23.6%</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>27.2%</b>
<b>Area 6</b>			
Pulaski	16.6%	19.1%	24.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>19.1%</b>	<b>24.5%</b>

TABLE A3

## PERCENTAGE OF SUBSTANTIATED INVESTIGATIONS

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 7</b>			
Bradley	14.7%	23.4%	19.6%
Calhoun	21.4%	20.8%	11.1%
Cleveland	32.4%	38.9%	32.1%
Dallas	18.9%	11.1%	22.5%
Grant	13.3%	22.2%	29.9%
Jefferson	16.9%	22.8%	24.5%
Lincoln	21.2%	24.2%	31.3%
Lonoke	15.8%	12.3%	13.5%
Prairie	9.1%	22.2%	14.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>19.9%</b>
<b>Area 8</b>			
Clay	12.7%	16.5%	13.5%
Craighead	30.1%	25.0%	24.8%
Fulton	31.0%	22.1%	23.9%
Greene	19.5%	23.0%	35.0%
Izard	32.1%	23.0%	29.1%
Lawrence	18.5%	13.7%	9.6%
Mississippi	19.8%	19.2%	23.9%
Randolph	24.0%	27.7%	19.3%
Sharp	26.4%	19.2%	30.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>24.4%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>25.8%</b>
<b>Area 9</b>			
Cleburne	24.2%	19.8%	24.0%
Crittenden	31.1%	25.1%	28.8%
Cross	28.4%	31.5%	28.9%
Independence	22.6%	26.7%	29.2%
Jackson	26.0%	31.5%	26.0%
Poinsett	25.6%	27.0%	25.5%
Stone	24.0%	22.2%	22.2%
White	19.1%	21.8%	17.2%
Woodruff	33.9%	21.6%	53.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>24.3%</b>	<b>24.9%</b>	<b>24.5%</b>

**TABLE A3**

**PERCENTAGE OF SUBSTANTIATED INVESTIGATIONS**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 10</b>			
Arkansas	15.8%	21.3%	37.5%
Ashley	30.3%	20.5%	28.9%
Chicot	15.1%	27.6%	20.8%
Desha	19.5%	20.5%	27.7%
Drew	22.9%	21.8%	31.9%
Lee	18.4%	37.2%	34.9%
Monroe	29.3%	46.7%	21.4%
Phillips	25.7%	28.0%	31.5%
St. Francis	23.4%	27.0%	41.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>22.7%</b>	<b>25.7%</b>	<b>33.1%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>20.4%</b>	<b>21.3%</b>	<b>25.4%</b>

TABLE A4

**PERCENTAGE OF VICTIM CHILDREN WHO EXPERIENCED MALTREATMENT RECURRENCE WITHIN  
12 MONTHS OF INITIAL REPORT  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 9.1%**

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 1</b>			
Benton	3.1%	5.3%	8.8%
Carroll	6.8%	7.7%	6.1%
Madison	6.1%	14.9%	14.0%
Washington	7.4%	6.2%	6.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>7.6%</b>
<b>Area 2</b>			
Crawford	7.9%	2.8%	7.7%
Franklin	5.1%	2.7%	4.4%
Johnson	6.3%	1.4%	8.5%
Logan	5.0%	6.9%	4.2%
Scott	10.0%	9.1%	8.0%
Sebastian	5.2%	3.2%	10.7%
Yell	1.8%	5.8%	9.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>8.9%</b>
<b>Area 3</b>			
Clark	21.1%	5.8%	0.0%
Garland	2.6%	5.6%	6.7%
Hot Spring	4.6%	5.9%	11.0%
Howard	3.2%	0.0%	7.3%
Montgomery	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Perry	3.2%	0.0%	8.0%
Pike	25.0%	20.0%	10.5%
Polk	5.7%	15.2%	4.3%
Saline	5.5%	4.4%	3.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>

**TABLE A4**

**PERCENTAGE OF VICTIM CHILDREN WHO EXPERIENCED MALTREATMENT RECURRENCE WITHIN  
12 MONTHS OF INITIAL REPORT  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 9.1%**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 4</b>			
Columbia	0.0%	6.5%	4.8%
Hempstead	0.0%	9.5%	6.2%
Lafayette	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%
Little River	11.8%	0.0%	13.6%
Miller	0.0%	3.1%	1.5%
Nevada	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ouachita	7.1%	2.9%	0.0%
Sevier	7.1%	0.0%	12.1%
Union	2.5%	0.0%	4.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>
<b>Area 5</b>			
Baxter	5.9%	17.9%	7.6%
Boone	13.8%	9.4%	13.5%
Conway	2.4%	5.1%	0.0%
Faulkner	4.9%	6.0%	1.0%
Marion	32.1%	3.9%	5.0%
Newton	35.3%	20.0%	0.0%
Pope	4.7%	5.2%	3.1%
Searcy	13.3%	36.8%	11.8%
Van Buren	7.5%	9.1%	2.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>
<b>Area 6</b>			
Pulaski	3.4%	3.4%	7.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>

TABLE A4

**PERCENTAGE OF VICTIM CHILDREN WHO EXPERIENCED MALTREATMENT RECURRENCE WITHIN  
12 MONTHS OF INITIAL REPORT  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 9.1%**

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 7</b>			
Bradley	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
Calhoun	6.3%	0.0%	33.3%
Cleveland	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%
Dallas	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%
Grant	0.0%	3.3%	8.6%
Jefferson	2.4%	5.8%	0.8%
Lincoln	0.0%	7.4%	0.0%
Lonoke	5.4%	1.5%	4.5%
Prairie	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>4.1%</b>
<b>Area 8</b>			
Clay	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%
Craighead	4.4%	5.7%	9.1%
Fulton	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Greene	5.9%	3.3%	4.9%
Izard	3.5%	4.8%	10.8%
Lawrence	3.0%	15.2%	2.6%
Mississippi	4.6%	3.8%	3.7%
Randolph	0.0%	4.9%	6.6%
Sharp	9.7%	6.0%	6.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>6.4%</b>



**TABLE A4**

**PERCENTAGE OF VICTIM CHILDREN WHO EXPERIENCED MALTREATMENT RECURRENCE WITHIN  
12 MONTHS OF INITIAL REPORT  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 9.1%**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 9</b>			
Cleburne	5.3%	6.0%	2.0%
Crittenden	2.0%	2.2%	5.6%
Cross	5.9%	7.3%	2.0%
Independence	5.2%	8.0%	5.2%
Jackson	12.7%	16.7%	16.9%
Poinsett	3.9%	10.4%	4.9%
Stone	8.0%	4.2%	4.9%
White	14.8%	11.7%	8.2%
Woodruff	6.7%	16.2%	11.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>
<b>Area 10</b>			
Arkansas	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ashley	9.4%	0.0%	10.8%
Chicot	2.9%	0.0%	4.6%
Desha	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%
Drew	18.0%	2.4%	5.4%
Lee	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Monroe	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Phillips	4.3%	0.0%	1.9%
St. Francis	2.6%	5.3%	6.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>6.4%</b>

TABLE A5

## PERCENTAGE OF DR REFERRALS WITH TIMELY INITIATION

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 1</b>			
Benton	56.2%	63.6%	68.4%
Carroll	61.8%	58.4%	81.1%
Madison	57.9%	77.4%	90.9%
Washington	56.8%	68.6%	71.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>65.9%</b>	<b>71.3%</b>	<b>56.9%</b>
<b>Area 2</b>			
Crawford	38.6%	53.8%	77.8%
Franklin	25.7%	47.6%	80.0%
Johnson	41.2%	29.1%	82.6%
Logan	22.0%	45.6%	85.7%
Scott	52.6%	71.4%	58.1%
Sebastian	73.6%	72.2%	75.3%
Yell	38.1%	30.6%	74.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>52.8%</b>	<b>57.0%</b>	<b>76.3%</b>
<b>Area 3</b>			
Clark	81.8%	81.5%	76.7%
Garland	82.9%	75.6%	59.2%
Hot Spring	79.5%	71.9%	67.3%
Howard	80.0%	73.3%	50.0%
Montgomery	68.8%	90.9%	47.4%
Perry	45.8%	46.2%	43.5%
Pike	83.3%	72.7%	46.7%
Polk	65.6%	75.5%	91.9%
Saline	67.6%	58.2%	47.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>69.3%</b>	<b>58.3%</b>

TABLE A5

## PERCENTAGE OF DR REFERRALS WITH TIMELY INITIATION

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 4</b>			
Columbia	80.0%	69.0%	72.0%
Hempstead	67.4%	67.7%	85.7%
Lafayette	80.0%	88.9%	83.3%
Little River	94.7%	46.2%	88.9%
Miller	72.5%	81.3%	77.3%
Nevada	84.2%	75.0%	70.6%
Ouachita	65.9%	71.0%	100.0%
Sevier	87.5%	80.0%	86.7%
Union	76.8%	68.3%	62.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>75.9%</b>	<b>74.1%</b>	<b>77.5%</b>
<b>Area 5</b>			
Baxter	95.9%	90.3%	94.0%
Boone	88.0%	92.3%	96.2%
Conway	88.1%	94.9%	93.2%
Faulkner	75.1%	85.3%	86.8%
Marion	92.3%	88.9%	76.9%
Newton	100.0%	84.6%	100.0%
Pope	82.5%	85.3%	92.3%
Searcy	69.2%	94.7%	90.0%
Van Buren	79.2%	86.2%	90.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>83.6%</b>	<b>88.3%</b>	<b>91.1%</b>
<b>Area 6</b>			
Pulaski	51.1%	42.3%	66.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>51.1%</b>	<b>42.3%</b>	<b>66.1%</b>

TABLE A5

## PERCENTAGE OF DR REFERRALS WITH TIMELY INITIATION

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 7</b>			
Bradley	57.1%	43.8%	41.7%
Calhoun	40.0%	75.0%	0.0%
Cleveland	50.0%	57.1%	14.3%
Dallas	22.2%	60.0%	28.6%
Grant	66.7%	64.0%	78.9%
Jefferson	33.3%	40.0%	39.4%
Lincoln	53.8%	36.4%	30.8%
Lonoke	65.0%	76.6%	80.7%
Prairie	63.2%	66.7%	82.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>54.4%</b>	<b>62.3%</b>	<b>61.5%</b>
<b>Area 8</b>			
Clay	83.3%	86.7%	93.8%
Craighead	77.3%	86.2%	83.7%
Fulton	56.0%	84.6%	85.0%
Greene	93.1%	96.1%	88.0%
Izard	57.7%	88.0%	92.9%
Lawrence	85.4%	75.7%	91.2%
Mississippi	83.6%	91.1%	84.8%
Randolph	85.2%	82.6%	84.0%
Sharp	55.9%	85.7%	84.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>81.4%</b>	<b>88.8%</b>	<b>86.0%</b>
<b>Area 9</b>			
Cleburne	39.6%	46.9%	60.0%
Crittenden	39.2%	57.7%	78.7%
Cross	53.6%	75.0%	86.7%
Independence	34.4%	55.1%	62.3%
Jackson	50.0%	69.6%	60.0%
Poinsett	55.7%	71.8%	93.0%
Stone	79.2%	51.9%	78.6%
White	38.3%	60.5%	68.3%
Woodruff	50.0%	40.0%	76.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>44.6%</b>	<b>60.7%</b>	<b>72.4%</b>

**TABLE A5**

**PERCENTAGE OF DR REFERRALS WITH TIMELY INITIATION**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 10</b>			
Arkansas	87.5%	96.4%	95.0%
Ashley	93.1%	75.0%	82.6%
Chicot	88.2%	76.2%	87.5%
Desha	70.4%	93.8%	81.8%
Drew	86.4%	90.9%	94.7%
Lee	90.0%	80.0%	85.7%
Monroe	85.7%	100.0%	100.0%
Phillips	68.2%	81.3%	73.7%
St. Francis	100.0%	80.0%	85.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>85.1%</b>	<b>84.8%</b>	<b>86.6%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>63.2%</b>	<b>68.0%</b>	<b>73.9%</b>

TABLE A6

## PERCENTAGE OF DR REFERRALS WITH TIMELY COMPLETION

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 1</b>			
Benton	94.1%	85.7%	87.0%
Carroll	92.1%	80.5%	84.9%
Madison	97.4%	71.0%	86.4%
Washington	95.1%	86.1%	77.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>94.6%</b>	<b>85.1%</b>	<b>83.0%</b>
<b>Area 2</b>			
Crawford	70.3%	79.8%	66.7%
Franklin	62.9%	76.2%	80.0%
Johnson	80.9%	65.5%	87.0%
Logan	63.4%	70.2%	91.4%
Scott	97.4%	100.0%	100.0%
Sebastian	98.5%	94.9%	95.6%
Yell	59.5%	61.1%	89.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>83.5%</b>	<b>83.1%</b>	<b>87.6%</b>
<b>Area 3</b>			
Clark	97.0%	40.7%	36.7%
Garland	95.7%	56.7%	32.7%
Hot Spring	93.2%	54.7%	42.3%
Howard	92.0%	43.3%	8.3%
Montgomery	93.8%	36.4%	10.5%
Perry	100.0%	76.9%	60.9%
Pike	95.8%	31.8%	6.7%
Polk	96.7%	46.9%	27.0%
Saline	88.6%	73.6%	60.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>93.7%</b>	<b>58.6%</b>	<b>39.8%</b>

**TABLE A6**

**PERCENTAGE OF DR REFERRALS WITH TIMELY COMPLETION**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 4</b>			
Columbia	80.0%	55.2%	76.0%
Hempstead	71.7%	83.9%	92.9%
Lafayette	100.0%	88.9%	100.0%
Little River	94.7%	76.9%	94.4%
Miller	93.8%	90.2%	97.0%
Nevada	78.9%	65.0%	88.2%
Ouachita	75.6%	67.7%	82.4%
Sevier	84.4%	100.0%	100.0%
Union	85.5%	73.0%	62.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>84.2%</b>	<b>80.7%</b>	<b>86.2%</b>
<b>Area 5</b>			
Baxter	98.6%	96.8%	96.0%
Boone	95.7%	93.6%	96.2%
Conway	95.2%	100.0%	86.4%
Faulkner	99.4%	94.4%	91.2%
Marion	96.2%	100.0%	100.0%
Newton	100.0%	92.3%	100.0%
Pope	98.2%	96.1%	87.7%
Searcy	100.0%	94.7%	100.0%
Van Buren	100.0%	96.6%	81.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>98.1%</b>	<b>95.7%</b>	<b>91.9%</b>
<b>Area 6</b>			
Pulaski	89.1%	66.1%	49.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>89.1%</b>	<b>66.1%</b>	<b>49.6%</b>

TABLE A6

## PERCENTAGE OF DR REFERRALS WITH TIMELY COMPLETION

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 7</b>			
Bradley	78.6%	81.3%	83.3%
Calhoun	80.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cleveland	63.6%	85.7%	85.7%
Dallas	100.0%	90.0%	100.0%
Grant	55.6%	88.0%	100.0%
Jefferson	55.9%	81.1%	60.6%
Lincoln	76.9%	63.6%	84.6%
Lonoke	61.4%	87.7%	95.6%
Prairie	68.4%	95.8%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>62.7%</b>	<b>85.8%</b>	<b>85.5%</b>
<b>Area 8</b>			
Clay	83.3%	96.7%	100.0%
Craighead	97.3%	97.3%	96.4%
Fulton	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Greene	88.3%	88.9%	97.0%
Izard	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Lawrence	93.8%	100.0%	100.0%
Mississippi	93.6%	100.0%	93.7%
Randolph	96.3%	95.7%	100.0%
Sharp	100.0%	100.0%	92.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>93.7%</b>	<b>96.3%</b>	<b>96.7%</b>
<b>Area 9</b>			
Cleburne	49.1%	81.3%	85.0%
Crittenden	45.9%	59.2%	68.1%
Cross	46.4%	57.1%	60.0%
Independence	73.8%	73.9%	70.5%
Jackson	70.6%	91.3%	70.0%
Poinsett	46.4%	61.2%	60.5%
Stone	91.7%	88.9%	92.9%
White	46.7%	76.7%	82.7%
Woodruff	43.8%	50.0%	82.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>52.9%</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>74.5%</b>



**TABLE A6**

**PERCENTAGE OF DR REFERRALS WITH TIMELY COMPLETION**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 10</b>			
Arkansas	100.0%	96.4%	95.0%
Ashley	58.6%	8.3%	43.5%
Chicot	35.3%	9.5%	62.5%
Desha	33.3%	12.5%	45.5%
Drew	31.8%	13.6%	21.1%
Lee	100.0%	90.0%	100.0%
Monroe	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Phillips	100.0%	96.9%	100.0%
St. Francis	89.5%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>70.3%</b>	<b>58.2%</b>	<b>71.6%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>85.7%</b>	<b>79.6%</b>	<b>76.5%</b>

TABLE A7

## NUMBER OF IN-HOME PROTECTIVE SERVICES CASES AT END OF YEAR

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 1</b>			
Benton	98	147	132
Carroll	17	16	19
Madison	23	14	24
Washington	142	152	210
<b>Total</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>385</b>
<b>Area 2</b>			
Crawford	56	80	82
Franklin	27	21	28
Johnson	8	15	19
Logan	25	19	30
Scott	14	9	16
Sebastian	91	137	122
Yell	19	21	20
<b>Total</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>302</b>	<b>317</b>
<b>Area 3</b>			
Clark	8	12	20
Garland	72	79	68
Hot Spring	23	25	20
Howard	5	8	7
Montgomery	1	3	1
Perry	12	5	8
Pike	5	5	7
Polk	10	7	9
Saline	44	61	62
<b>Total</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>202</b>

**TABLE A7**

**NUMBER OF IN-HOME PROTECTIVE SERVICES CASES AT END OF YEAR**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 4</b>			
Columbia	14	18	16
Hempstead	21	31	38
Lafayette	2	7	5
Little River	9	13	12
Miller	29	37	42
Nevada	6	9	8
Ouachita	12	19	27
Sevier	15	19	21
Union	15	10	22
<b>Total</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>191</b>
<b>Area 5</b>			
Baxter	41	25	38
Boone	40	40	41
Conway	20	20	17
Faulkner	55	46	70
Marion	26	20	17
Newton	7	4	6
Pope	42	39	53
Searcy	3	6	3
Van Buren	8	5	13
<b>Total</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>258</b>
<b>Area 6</b>			
Pulaski	191	257	419
<b>Total</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>419</b>

TABLE A7

## NUMBER OF IN-HOME PROTECTIVE SERVICES CASES AT END OF YEAR

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 7</b>			
Bradley	1	5	4
Calhoun	3	4	0
Cleveland	2	2	4
Dallas	5	4	3
Grant	4	2	8
Jefferson	49	66	72
Lincoln	2	2	5
Lonoke	36	31	25
Prairie	4	7	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>Area 8</b>			
Clay	10	12	6
Craighead	99	124	135
Fulton	8	13	5
Greene	36	59	60
Izard	10	16	6
Lawrence	22	11	12
Mississippi	35	31	25
Randolph	16	20	11
Sharp	13	17	21
<b>Total</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>281</b>
<b>Area 9</b>			
Cleburne	13	15	17
Crittenden	35	31	29
Cross	8	10	12
Independence	20	36	31
Jackson	18	10	11
Poinsett	23	21	26
Stone	15	13	6
White	76	75	66
Woodruff	14	6	7
<b>Total</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>205</b>

**TABLE A7**

**NUMBER OF IN-HOME PROTECTIVE SERVICES CASES AT END OF YEAR**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 10</b>			
Arkansas	5	8	15
Ashley	14	8	16
Chicot	5	1	8
Desha	8	9	7
Drew	7	8	7
Lee	2	6	6
Monroe	4	5	5
Phillips	30	22	14
St. Francis	22	31	29
<b>Total</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,930</b>	<b>2,202</b>	<b>2,489</b>

TABLE A8

## NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN CARE AT END OF YEAR

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 1</b>			
Benton	128	170	184
Carroll	59	57	50
Madison	52	64	64
Washington	307	274	283
<b>Total</b>	<b>546</b>	<b>565</b>	<b>581</b>
<b>Area 2</b>			
Crawford	115	85	69
Franklin	28	23	26
Johnson	45	30	28
Logan	78	66	65
Scott	44	41	50
Sebastian	529	531	556
Yell	42	59	63
<b>Total</b>	<b>881</b>	<b>835</b>	<b>857</b>
<b>Area 3</b>			
Clark	11	13	17
Garland	130	152	177
Hot Spring	55	36	47
Howard	5	5	11
Montgomery	6	2	7
Perry	6	5	12
Pike	25	23	13
Polk	36	41	35
Saline	62	90	93
<b>Total</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>412</b>

**TABLE A8**

**NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN CARE AT END OF YEAR**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 4</b>			
Columbia	28	24	24
Hempstead	18	23	44
Lafayette	7	8	12
Little River	22	25	39
Miller	85	90	131
Nevada	8	6	13
Ouachita	38	29	25
Sevier	33	25	44
Union	40	50	55
<b>Total</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>387</b>
<b>Area 5</b>			
Baxter	28	44	46
Boone	43	40	71
Conway	47	58	65
Faulkner	114	104	115
Marion	13	13	15
Newton	2	2	1
Pope	56	65	64
Searcy	7	12	12
Van Buren	18	14	13
<b>Total</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>402</b>
<b>Area 6</b>			
Pulaski	372	386	621
<b>Total</b>	<b>372</b>	<b>386</b>	<b>621</b>

TABLE A8

## NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN CARE AT END OF YEAR

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 7</b>			
Bradley	10	9	19
Calhoun	2	0	0
Cleveland	16	9	2
Dallas	15	9	13
Grant	24	18	32
Jefferson	82	86	119
Lincoln	3	12	9
Lonoke	121	118	126
Prairie	4	4	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>265</b>	<b>323</b>
<b>Area 8</b>			
Clay	19	17	14
Craighead	130	104	97
Fulton	34	28	18
Greene	114	82	117
Izard	25	20	21
Lawrence	23	30	21
Mississippi	94	89	76
Randolph	43	32	18
Sharp	44	34	31
<b>Total</b>	<b>526</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>413</b>
<b>Area 9</b>			
Cleburne	36	55	54
Crittenden	89	59	54
Cross	49	57	40
Independence	72	76	72
Jackson	50	53	49
Poinsett	114	89	65
Stone	19	17	14
White	103	106	118
Woodruff	24	30	24
<b>Total</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>542</b>	<b>490</b>



**TABLE A8**

**NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN CARE AT END OF YEAR**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 10</b>			
Arkansas	23	28	31
Ashley	25	22	17
Chicot	18	22	12
Desha	4	4	6
Drew	31	24	24
Lee	7	6	5
Monroe	21	19	13
Phillips	37	25	14
St. Francis	43	48	43
<b>Total</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>165</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4,310</b>	<b>4,226</b>	<b>4,651</b>

**TABLE A9**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN IN CARE PLACED IN OR NEAR HOME COUNTY  
AS OF END OF THE YEAR**

COUNTY	CY 2018		CY 2019		CY 2020	
	PLACED IN SAME COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME OR NEIGHBORING COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME OR NEIGHBORING COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME OR NEIGHBORING COUNTY
<b>Area 1</b>						
Benton	62.7%	83.1%	70.4%	89.6%	72.3%	95.4%
Carroll	36.7%	65.3%	48.8%	65.1%	42.4%	69.7%
Madison	39.5%	97.4%	40.0%	95.6%	33.3%	88.9%
Washington	54.3%	88.7%	41.9%	89.2%	42.5%	79.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>52.5%</b>	<b>85.6%</b>	<b>50.9%</b>	<b>87.4%</b>	<b>51.9%</b>	<b>85.2%</b>
<b>Area 2</b>						
Crawford	39.3%	47.2%	17.0%	21.3%	30.8%	33.3%
Franklin	27.3%	81.8%	66.7%	80.0%	25.0%	58.3%
Johnson	44.7%	68.4%	47.4%	63.2%	46.2%	69.2%
Logan	40.7%	79.7%	45.9%	70.3%	47.9%	89.6%
Scott	17.5%	20.0%	27.3%	48.5%	22.9%	57.1%
Sebastian	37.9%	56.2%	55.8%	69.7%	45.9%	68.2%
Yell	32.1%	46.4%	44.2%	48.8%	48.9%	71.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>36.9%</b>	<b>56.0%</b>	<b>49.0%</b>	<b>62.5%</b>	<b>42.8%</b>	<b>66.7%</b>
<b>Area 3</b>						
Clark	30.0%	50.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	75.0%
Garland	40.9%	66.4%	56.0%	79.3%	64.5%	77.4%
Hot Spring	3.2%	67.7%	11.8%	64.7%	24.2%	63.6%
Howard	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	81.8%	81.8%
Montgomery	20.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	66.7%
Perry	0.0%	75.0%	0.0%	66.7%	10.0%	20.0%
Pike	17.6%	29.4%	38.5%	53.8%	20.0%	30.0%
Polk	54.5%	69.7%	48.5%	69.7%	81.0%	81.0%
Saline	58.8%	82.4%	78.5%	95.4%	64.2%	86.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>39.2%</b>	<b>68.1%</b>	<b>55.0%</b>	<b>78.1%</b>	<b>56.1%</b>	<b>73.9%</b>

**TABLE A9**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN IN CARE PLACED IN OR NEAR HOME COUNTY  
AS OF END OF THE YEAR**

COUNTY	CY 2018		CY 2019		CY 2020	
	PLACED IN SAME COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME OR NEIGHBORING COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME OR NEIGHBORING COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME OR NEIGHBORING COUNTY
<b>Area 4</b>						
Columbia	26.1%	56.5%	72.2%	83.3%	73.7%	89.5%
Hempstead	20.0%	73.3%	36.8%	84.2%	36.4%	54.5%
Lafayette	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	66.7%	45.5%	54.5%
Little River	10.0%	85.0%	40.0%	73.3%	50.0%	80.0%
Miller	39.0%	42.9%	50.9%	52.8%	60.0%	68.0%
Nevada	57.1%	71.4%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	27.3%
Ouachita	12.9%	38.7%	24.0%	56.0%	27.8%	55.6%
Sevier	23.3%	46.7%	30.0%	60.0%	45.2%	58.1%
Union	35.7%	35.7%	64.7%	76.5%	72.5%	87.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>28.1%</b>	<b>49.8%</b>	<b>46.2%</b>	<b>64.6%</b>	<b>53.5%</b>	<b>68.4%</b>
<b>Area 5</b>						
Baxter	68.4%	73.7%	61.3%	67.7%	35.3%	41.2%
Boone	46.7%	53.3%	75.8%	81.8%	63.0%	78.3%
Conway	27.3%	75.8%	41.3%	84.8%	25.0%	69.6%
Faulkner	78.4%	89.8%	68.5%	80.8%	52.4%	65.5%
Marion	10.0%	90.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	55.6%
Newton	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Pope	50.0%	54.5%	46.5%	72.1%	51.2%	70.7%
Searcy	42.9%	57.1%	10.0%	60.0%	37.5%	100.0%
Van Buren	45.5%	81.8%	9.1%	90.9%	54.5%	81.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>56.4%</b>	<b>74.5%</b>	<b>52.7%</b>	<b>78.9%</b>	<b>44.5%</b>	<b>67.6%</b>
<b>Area 6</b>						
Pulaski	72.4%	90.0%	75.3%	84.7%	71.4%	83.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>72.4%</b>	<b>90.0%</b>	<b>75.3%</b>	<b>84.7%</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>83.5%</b>

**TABLE A9**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN IN CARE PLACED IN OR NEAR HOME COUNTY  
AS OF END OF THE YEAR**

COUNTY	CY 2018		CY 2019		CY 2020	
	PLACED IN SAME COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME OR NEIGHBORING COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME OR NEIGHBORING COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME OR NEIGHBORING COUNTY
<b>Area 7</b>						
Bradley	14.3%	57.1%	0.0%	20.0%	45.5%	54.5%
Calhoun	0.0%	0.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cleveland	60.0%	80.0%	25.0%	62.5%	0.0%	100.0%
Dallas	16.7%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	42.9%	57.1%
Grant	5.6%	61.1%	36.4%	90.9%	23.1%	53.8%
Jefferson	66.1%	86.4%	79.6%	92.6%	53.2%	78.5%
Lincoln	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	80.0%	0.0%	75.0%
Lonoke	62.8%	86.2%	51.7%	76.4%	48.1%	77.8%
Prairie	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%	33.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>52.1%</b>	<b>76.1%</b>	<b>53.8%</b>	<b>76.9%</b>	<b>45.1%</b>	<b>72.8%</b>
<b>Area 8</b>						
Clay	27.3%	54.5%	55.6%	55.6%	40.0%	60.0%
Craighead	51.3%	76.3%	54.0%	84.1%	64.1%	82.8%
Fulton	54.2%	87.5%	54.5%	90.9%	63.6%	90.9%
Greene	53.3%	76.0%	55.3%	83.0%	36.8%	69.7%
Izard	47.6%	66.7%	22.2%	44.4%	61.5%	61.5%
Lawrence	45.0%	85.0%	42.1%	52.6%	18.8%	50.0%
Mississippi	58.8%	68.8%	54.5%	75.8%	61.8%	76.4%
Randolph	58.8%	76.5%	50.0%	66.7%	27.3%	63.6%
Sharp	25.7%	48.6%	42.1%	57.9%	43.5%	52.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>50.5%</b>	<b>72.1%</b>	<b>51.3%</b>	<b>74.2%</b>	<b>49.6%</b>	<b>71.5%</b>

**TABLE A9**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN IN CARE PLACED IN OR NEAR HOME COUNTY  
AS OF END OF THE YEAR**

COUNTY	CY 2018		CY 2019		CY 2020	
	PLACED IN SAME COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME OR NEIGHBORING COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME OR NEIGHBORING COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME COUNTY	PLACED IN SAME OR NEIGHBORING COUNTY
<b>Area 9</b>						
Cleburne	66.7%	90.9%	59.1%	77.3%	43.6%	51.3%
Crittenden	33.9%	58.9%	51.7%	65.5%	75.0%	85.0%
Cross	46.3%	56.1%	54.8%	69.0%	27.3%	63.6%
Independence	44.7%	68.1%	35.6%	71.1%	53.5%	79.1%
Jackson	22.0%	68.3%	12.9%	80.6%	0.0%	58.6%
Poinsett	23.3%	61.1%	8.3%	63.3%	20.0%	60.0%
Stone	31.3%	81.3%	30.0%	70.0%	30.0%	80.0%
White	45.8%	62.7%	45.5%	71.2%	44.1%	80.9%
Woodruff	15.0%	35.0%	13.6%	68.2%	6.7%	53.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>36.8%</b>	<b>63.9%</b>	<b>35.8%</b>	<b>70.5%</b>	<b>35.7%</b>	<b>68.7%</b>
<b>Area 10</b>						
Arkansas	71.4%	90.5%	47.8%	82.6%	21.7%	60.9%
Ashley	22.7%	59.1%	7.7%	53.8%	54.5%	63.6%
Chicot	12.5%	37.5%	14.3%	64.3%	0.0%	28.6%
Desha	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drew	50.0%	63.6%	56.3%	62.5%	29.4%	64.7%
Lee	0.0%	50.0%	66.7%	100.0%	60.0%	60.0%
Monroe	22.2%	72.2%	35.7%	78.6%	38.5%	92.3%
Phillips	54.8%	83.9%	61.1%	61.1%	30.0%	30.0%
St. Francis	37.8%	59.5%	48.4%	54.8%	44.4%	55.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	<b>66.5%</b>	<b>41.8%</b>	<b>64.9%</b>	<b>34.2%</b>	<b>58.8%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>45.2%</b>	<b>69.0%</b>	<b>50.7%</b>	<b>74.1%</b>	<b>49.9%</b>	<b>72.9%</b>

**TABLE A10**

**PERCENTAGE OF VICTIM CHILDREN REMOVED IN RESPONSE TO SUBSTANTIATED REPORT**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 1</b>			
Benton	19.3%	17.8%	19.1%
Carroll	33.5%	30.7%	27.7%
Madison	19.1%	35.4%	36.6%
Washington	19.4%	21.7%	20.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>20.9%</b>	<b>21.5%</b>	<b>21.8%</b>
<b>Area 2</b>			
Crawford	12.2%	10.8%	6.3%
Franklin	23.0%	21.1%	14.3%
Johnson	9.2%	18.5%	17.0%
Logan	16.7%	22.8%	20.9%
Scott	26.9%	24.0%	32.8%
Sebastian	24.0%	26.4%	27.8%
Yell	8.5%	19.4%	13.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>18.7%</b>	<b>21.5%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>
<b>Area 3</b>			
Clark	26.5%	13.6%	17.9%
Garland	29.5%	30.8%	34.7%
Hot Spring	14.4%	14.6%	16.9%
Howard	20.0%	17.0%	6.3%
Montgomery	9.5%	4.8%	15.8%
Perry	3.7%	13.8%	12.0%
Pike	22.2%	40.7%	16.7%
Polk	11.1%	33.3%	20.8%
Saline	12.4%	19.7%	12.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>24.4%</b>	<b>21.8%</b>

**TABLE A10**

**PERCENTAGE OF VICTIM CHILDREN REMOVED IN RESPONSE TO SUBSTANTIATED REPORT**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 4</b>			
Columbia	8.7%	28.1%	24.1%
Hempstead	10.1%	22.0%	22.7%
Lafayette	7.1%	10.5%	41.7%
Little River	24.3%	26.0%	42.0%
Miller	19.9%	30.7%	37.4%
Nevada	10.5%	22.6%	25.0%
Ouachita	27.4%	27.3%	11.8%
Sevier	37.0%	37.5%	52.6%
Union	13.4%	25.9%	30.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>27.3%</b>	<b>32.7%</b>
<b>Area 5</b>			
Baxter	17.6%	26.8%	11.9%
Boone	12.2%	14.4%	19.8%
Conway	19.2%	27.8%	32.2%
Faulkner	21.9%	20.2%	13.5%
Marion	17.8%	3.8%	14.3%
Newton	15.2%	0.0%	6.7%
Pope	12.7%	19.2%	17.7%
Searcy	37.1%	20.0%	27.8%
Van Buren	16.0%	45.5%	18.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>
<b>Area 6</b>			
Pulaski	16.2%	19.7%	25.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>16.2%</b>	<b>19.7%</b>	<b>25.3%</b>

**TABLE A10**

**PERCENTAGE OF VICTIM CHILDREN REMOVED IN RESPONSE TO SUBSTANTIATED REPORT**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 7</b>			
Bradley	16.7%	20.7%	56.0%
Calhoun	46.2%	18.2%	0.0%
Cleveland	57.1%	34.4%	5.0%
Dallas	0.0%	9.7%	6.9%
Grant	24.3%	28.9%	51.7%
Jefferson	16.5%	20.1%	25.9%
Lincoln	14.8%	33.3%	11.4%
Lonoke	16.1%	24.1%	18.3%
Prairie	20.0%	38.1%	14.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>18.7%</b>	<b>23.8%</b>	<b>24.6%</b>
<b>Area 8</b>			
Clay	15.2%	18.0%	16.1%
Craighead	7.9%	10.8%	12.3%
Fulton	21.1%	34.6%	18.9%
Greene	26.8%	16.6%	21.0%
Izard	37.8%	8.5%	33.3%
Lawrence	10.9%	25.5%	15.6%
Mississippi	29.4%	20.6%	18.2%
Randolph	23.3%	16.7%	17.2%
Sharp	38.2%	32.2%	26.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>18.3%</b>
<b>Area 9</b>			
Cleburne	36.8%	36.4%	30.4%
Crittenden	18.5%	13.2%	15.3%
Cross	31.5%	51.5%	41.7%
Independence	27.7%	17.4%	19.9%
Jackson	25.0%	31.3%	21.4%
Poinsett	40.2%	21.4%	16.0%
Stone	21.6%	16.3%	3.6%
White	22.3%	30.5%	16.8%
Woodruff	40.0%	22.7%	22.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>28.2%</b>	<b>25.3%</b>	<b>19.6%</b>



**TABLE A10**

**PERCENTAGE OF VICTIM CHILDREN REMOVED IN RESPONSE TO SUBSTANTIATED REPORT**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 10</b>			
Arkansas	19.6%	21.7%	6.7%
Ashley	24.1%	26.8%	7.4%
Chicot	25.0%	44.4%	9.5%
Desha	10.0%	5.9%	16.0%
Drew	26.2%	22.8%	4.1%
Lee	10.5%	0.0%	7.1%
Monroe	11.5%	11.5%	7.7%
Phillips	10.9%	9.2%	13.8%
St. Francis	9.4%	16.0%	9.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>8.7%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>21.6%</b>	<b>21.4%</b>

**TABLE A11**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN IN CARE PLACED WITH RELATIVES AS OF END OF THE YEAR**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 1</b>			
Benton	27.8%	41.2%	48.5%
Carroll	39.6%	28.9%	27.3%
Madison	21.6%	41.5%	42.0%
Washington	26.2%	27.0%	32.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>27.5%</b>	<b>32.9%</b>	<b>37.7%</b>
<b>Area 2</b>			
Crawford	33.6%	27.9%	18.0%
Franklin	65.4%	61.9%	44.0%
Johnson	34.1%	20.0%	25.9%
Logan	37.7%	26.9%	48.4%
Scott	20.9%	38.9%	14.6%
Sebastian	22.3%	30.6%	35.3%
Yell	18.0%	36.2%	49.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>26.9%</b>	<b>31.3%</b>	<b>35.0%</b>
<b>Area 3</b>			
Clark	45.5%	41.7%	60.0%
Garland	14.5%	26.5%	37.3%
Hot Spring	22.6%	24.1%	38.6%
Howard	0.0%	20.0%	40.0%
Montgomery	40.0%	50.0%	71.4%
Perry	0.0%	40.0%	75.0%
Pike	41.7%	56.5%	46.2%
Polk	31.4%	15.0%	35.3%
Saline	23.3%	38.1%	28.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>30.6%</b>	<b>38.4%</b>

**TABLE A11**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN IN CARE PLACED WITH RELATIVES AS OF END OF THE YEAR**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 4</b>			
Columbia	14.8%	60.9%	73.9%
Hempstead	50.0%	34.8%	54.8%
Lafayette	14.3%	57.1%	66.7%
Little River	38.1%	42.9%	57.9%
Miller	24.4%	30.3%	43.9%
Nevada	75.0%	50.0%	69.2%
Ouachita	38.2%	53.6%	44.0%
Sevier	27.3%	39.1%	51.4%
Union	25.0%	33.3%	38.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>29.6%</b>	<b>39.2%</b>	<b>49.9%</b>
<b>Area 5</b>			
Baxter	36.0%	23.3%	31.1%
Boone	26.2%	29.0%	31.7%
Conway	11.4%	55.6%	58.5%
Faulkner	31.0%	38.0%	44.6%
Marion	16.7%	9.1%	23.1%
Newton	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Pope	16.7%	31.3%	35.9%
Searcy	85.7%	54.6%	33.3%
Van Buren	37.5%	41.7%	38.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>26.5%</b>	<b>36.1%</b>	<b>40.8%</b>
<b>Area 6</b>			
Pulaski	18.8%	19.8%	32.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>32.1%</b>

**TABLE A11**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN IN CARE PLACED WITH RELATIVES AS OF END OF THE YEAR**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 7</b>			
Bradley	40.0%	55.6%	27.8%
Calhoun	50.0%	N/A	N/A
Cleveland	50.0%	66.7%	100.0%
Dallas	0.0%	0.0%	36.4%
Grant	50.0%	50.0%	63.3%
Jefferson	43.6%	43.5%	40.0%
Lincoln	33.3%	50.0%	63.6%
Lonoke	38.7%	33.6%	17.3%
Prairie	75.0%	50.0%	66.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>40.2%</b>	<b>39.5%</b>	<b>34.9%</b>
<b>Area 8</b>			
Clay	22.2%	52.9%	20.0%
Craighead	32.2%	35.3%	34.8%
Fulton	53.6%	45.0%	56.3%
Greene	30.1%	31.1%	26.7%
Izard	45.8%	33.3%	38.9%
Lawrence	40.9%	47.8%	47.6%
Mississippi	51.2%	43.7%	36.6%
Randolph	28.6%	46.7%	52.9%
Sharp	26.8%	35.7%	58.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>36.3%</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	<b>37.1%</b>
<b>Area 9</b>			
Cleburne	29.4%	51.0%	55.6%
Crittenden	27.1%	22.2%	31.6%
Cross	42.9%	38.8%	20.6%
Independence	18.6%	26.7%	33.3%
Jackson	38.6%	23.9%	24.4%
Poinsett	30.6%	29.1%	25.0%
Stone	29.4%	40.0%	28.6%
White	11.5%	5.5%	16.0%
Woodruff	21.7%	33.3%	21.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>26.4%</b>	<b>26.9%</b>	<b>27.4%</b>

**TABLE A11**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN IN CARE PLACED WITH RELATIVES AS OF END OF THE YEAR**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 10</b>			
Arkansas	26.1%	59.3%	63.3%
Ashley	20.0%	9.1%	41.2%
Chicot	41.2%	22.7%	25.0%
Desha	33.3%	0.0%	25.0%
Drew	13.3%	16.7%	54.2%
Lee	20.0%	60.0%	80.0%
Monroe	50.0%	50.0%	61.5%
Phillips	44.1%	52.2%	41.7%
St. Francis	42.1%	53.7%	56.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	<b>52.6%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>28.3%</b>	<b>32.5%</b>	<b>37.1%</b>

TABLE A12

**PLACEMENT STABILITY RATE (MOVES PER 1,000 DAYS IN CARE)**  
**NATIONAL STANDARD: 4.12**

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 1</b>			
Benton	6.19	6.58	5.72
Carroll	7.28	4.36	5.14
Madison	2.68	4.95	4.59
Washington	5.13	5.65	4.90
<b>Total</b>	<b>5.52</b>	<b>5.77</b>	<b>5.17</b>
<b>Area 2</b>			
Crawford	8.10	7.02	7.52
Franklin	6.77	6.84	1.83
Johnson	5.39	2.83	6.34
Logan	5.46	6.28	1.22
Scott	4.99	9.21	5.72
Sebastian	5.18	5.47	4.64
Yell	4.69	3.61	4.35
<b>Total</b>	<b>5.67</b>	<b>5.56</b>	<b>4.62</b>
<b>Area 3</b>			
Clark	4.33	3.43	13.47
Garland	6.03	6.29	4.40
Hot Spring	3.02	7.21	7.76
Howard	3.00	6.71	3.79
Montgomery	4.74	12.05	1.23
Perry	14.63	5.95	0.00
Pike	9.54	3.43	3.76
Polk	5.00	7.04	4.04
Saline	4.77	4.08	3.90
<b>Total</b>	<b>5.24</b>	<b>5.79</b>	<b>4.92</b>

**TABLE A12**

**PLACEMENT STABILITY RATE (MOVES PER 1,000 DAYS IN CARE)  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 4.12**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 4</b>			
Columbia	12.66	4.46	5.77
Hempstead	12.68	6.66	5.55
Lafayette	3.40	3.35	6.83
Little River	7.74	7.10	1.41
Miller	6.56	10.58	7.21
Nevada	6.44	0.00	0.00
Ouachita	5.31	7.96	5.89
Sevier	6.73	1.99	4.80
Union	7.66	7.14	8.50
<b>Total</b>	<b>7.02</b>	<b>7.24</b>	<b>5.64</b>
<b>Area 5</b>			
Baxter	7.44	9.10	1.70
Boone	4.61	6.59	6.57
Conway	5.44	3.07	2.31
Faulkner	6.09	3.99	5.45
Marion	11.97	7.60	4.26
Newton	0.00	N/A	0.00
Pope	5.34	3.84	4.83
Searcy	9.63	2.96	0.73
Van Buren	1.90	2.39	5.51
<b>Total</b>	<b>6.19</b>	<b>5.16</b>	<b>4.56</b>
<b>Area 6</b>			
Pulaski	4.96	7.56	7.37
<b>Total</b>	<b>4.96</b>	<b>7.56</b>	<b>7.37</b>

TABLE A12

**PLACEMENT STABILITY RATE (MOVES PER 1,000 DAYS IN CARE)  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 4.12**

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 7</b>			
Bradley	3.52	7.35	9.29
Calhoun	0.00	3.46	N/A
Cleveland	12.32	11.14	5.88
Dallas	5.76	0.00	2.15
Grant	6.57	13.02	8.42
Jefferson	6.85	5.51	4.32
Lincoln	8.30	4.57	2.10
Lonoke	6.69	5.37	8.00
Prairie	0.00	18.74	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>7.04</b>	<b>6.36</b>	<b>6.16</b>
<b>Area 8</b>			
Clay	3.40	6.53	3.93
Craighead	5.02	4.19	6.63
Fulton	6.71	7.81	6.42
Greene	6.81	8.87	9.49
Izard	4.02	5.94	5.91
Lawrence	10.08	6.70	4.33
Mississippi	7.15	10.56	2.80
Randolph	6.71	7.94	8.42
Sharp	6.34	6.65	5.64
<b>Total</b>	<b>6.23</b>	<b>7.14</b>	<b>7.00</b>
<b>Area 9</b>			
Cleburne	4.83	4.82	2.73
Crittenden	7.48	6.25	6.91
Cross	8.62	10.33	6.73
Independence	5.80	5.80	5.53
Jackson	4.71	4.27	6.12
Poinsett	8.12	9.26	4.94
Stone	3.28	5.16	4.99
White	6.41	7.66	3.56
Woodruff	4.03	6.51	1.37
<b>Total</b>	<b>6.32</b>	<b>7.04</b>	<b>5.07</b>



**TABLE A12**

**PLACEMENT STABILITY RATE (MOVES PER 1,000 DAYS IN CARE)  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 4.12**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 10</b>			
Arkansas	5.50	5.20	6.53
Ashley	3.93	7.48	7.93
Chicot	3.13	7.98	2.30
Desha	9.59	2.61	13.44
Drew	6.28	6.07	4.40
Lee	7.19	0.00	0.00
Monroe	10.74	2.19	12.73
Phillips	3.33	3.06	1.47
St. Francis	4.78	4.20	15.93
<b>Total</b>	<b>4.97</b>	<b>7.01</b>	<b>6.28</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>5.96</b>	<b>6.32</b>	<b>5.64</b>

**TABLE A13**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN WHO REACHED PERMANENCY WITHIN 12 MONTHS OF ENTERING CARE  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 40.5%**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 1</b>			
Benton	60.0%	63.0%	48.2%
Carroll	58.3%	42.9%	51.6%
Madison	42.4%	48.6%	38.3%
Washington	54.2%	51.8%	47.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>55.3%</b>	<b>53.8%</b>	<b>46.8%</b>
<b>Area 2</b>			
Crawford	44.7%	50.0%	36.8%
Franklin	65.8%	65.4%	60.0%
Johnson	54.6%	63.0%	30.8%
Logan	33.3%	42.9%	27.8%
Scott	17.4%	21.7%	42.1%
Sebastian	34.1%	33.3%	26.0%
Yell	31.3%	26.7%	18.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>38.4%</b>	<b>39.8%</b>	<b>28.0%</b>
<b>Area 3</b>			
Clark	55.6%	83.3%	36.4%
Garland	61.9%	58.9%	53.4%
Hot Spring	32.5%	61.9%	68.2%
Howard	85.7%	100.0%	60.0%
Montgomery	75.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Perry	85.7%	0.0%	33.3%
Pike	25.0%	66.7%	58.3%
Polk	33.3%	9.5%	56.5%
Saline	60.8%	26.1%	45.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>52.8%</b>	<b>49.1%</b>	<b>52.4%</b>

**TABLE A13**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN WHO REACHED PERMANENCY WITHIN 12 MONTHS OF ENTERING CARE  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 40.5%**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 4</b>			
Columbia	48.6%	20.0%	75.0%
Hempstead	52.9%	85.7%	70.8%
Lafayette	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Little River	61.5%	50.0%	38.9%
Miller	51.0%	42.2%	35.6%
Nevada	50.0%	72.7%	33.3%
Ouachita	66.7%	52.5%	31.8%
Sevier	58.8%	51.9%	57.1%
Union	67.4%	68.2%	52.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>58.7%</b>	<b>52.0%</b>	<b>47.4%</b>
<b>Area 5</b>			
Baxter	73.1%	64.3%	42.6%
Boone	71.4%	62.5%	37.2%
Conway	51.6%	31.8%	35.4%
Faulkner	63.1%	40.2%	38.7%
Marion	33.3%	41.7%	57.1%
Newton	33.3%	33.3%	N/A
Pope	55.2%	36.1%	46.0%
Searcy	N/A	54.6%	37.5%
Van Buren	63.2%	33.3%	68.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>61.4%</b>	<b>45.0%</b>	<b>41.8%</b>
<b>Area 6</b>			
Pulaski	38.5%	37.4%	27.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>38.5%</b>	<b>37.4%</b>	<b>27.8%</b>

**TABLE A13**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN WHO REACHED PERMANENCY WITHIN 12 MONTHS OF ENTERING CARE  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 40.5%**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 7</b>			
Bradley	33.3%	16.7%	100.0%
Calhoun	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cleveland	87.5%	46.7%	87.5%
Dallas	11.1%	40.0%	100.0%
Grant	78.6%	66.7%	71.4%
Jefferson	68.9%	49.3%	60.0%
Lincoln	90.9%	50.0%	53.9%
Lonoke	21.7%	28.6%	28.6%
Prairie	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>53.5%</b>	<b>42.2%</b>	<b>53.9%</b>
<b>Area 8</b>			
Clay	65.0%	63.6%	0.0%
Craighead	64.8%	38.9%	55.7%
Fulton	50.0%	59.1%	73.7%
Greene	71.1%	67.7%	68.4%
Izard	60.0%	51.9%	66.7%
Lawrence	45.5%	66.7%	50.0%
Mississippi	59.4%	58.8%	67.1%
Randolph	57.1%	55.6%	56.7%
Sharp	48.8%	51.4%	71.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>61.6%</b>	<b>56.4%</b>	<b>61.5%</b>
<b>Area 9</b>			
Cleburne	61.5%	40.6%	45.2%
Crittenden	77.6%	56.3%	64.8%
Cross	42.1%	44.7%	70.0%
Independence	60.4%	59.7%	50.0%
Jackson	36.0%	65.9%	43.6%
Poinsett	61.6%	56.3%	44.8%
Stone	84.2%	57.9%	25.0%
White	56.7%	68.9%	47.8%
Woodruff	50.0%	21.1%	15.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>59.6%</b>	<b>57.0%</b>	<b>50.4%</b>

**TABLE A13**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN WHO REACHED PERMANENCY WITHIN 12 MONTHS OF ENTERING CARE  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 40.5%**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 10</b>			
Arkansas	30.8%	60.9%	52.9%
Ashley	46.7%	47.4%	42.9%
Chicot	64.7%	16.7%	25.0%
Desha	87.5%	71.4%	100.0%
Drew	82.8%	50.0%	66.7%
Lee	100.0%	40.0%	100.0%
Monroe	18.2%	23.1%	25.0%
Phillips	33.3%	80.0%	50.0%
St. Francis	38.5%	32.0%	40.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>52.9%</b>	<b>46.8%</b>	<b>46.6%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>52.7%</b>	<b>49.6%</b>	<b>45.1%</b>

**TABLE A14**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN IN CARE FOR 12 TO 23 MONTHS WHO REACHED PERMANENCY WITHIN THE SUBSEQUENT 12 MONTHS  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 43.6%**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 1</b>			
Benton	52.0%	75.0%	57.1%
Carroll	66.7%	66.7%	72.0%
Madison	57.7%	58.3%	60.0%
Washington	55.6%	59.1%	61.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>56.1%</b>	<b>61.5%</b>	<b>62.4%</b>
<b>Area 2</b>			
Crawford	60.6%	70.8%	75.0%
Franklin	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Johnson	35.7%	46.7%	75.0%
Logan	40.9%	78.6%	20.0%
Scott	42.9%	81.8%	75.0%
Sebastian	56.0%	65.0%	55.0%
Yell	60.0%	33.3%	55.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>55.1%</b>	<b>64.8%</b>	<b>60.0%</b>
<b>Area 3</b>			
Clark	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Garland	51.6%	56.5%	70.0%
Hot Spring	33.3%	60.0%	50.0%
Howard	N/A	100.0%	N/A
Montgomery	100.0%	0.0%	N/A
Perry	N/A	N/A	0.0%
Pike	0.0%	35.7%	50.0%
Polk	85.7%	46.2%	78.6%
Saline	83.9%	15.4%	57.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>61.0%</b>	<b>48.2%</b>	<b>65.4%</b>

**TABLE A14**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN IN CARE FOR 12 TO 23 MONTHS WHO REACHED PERMANENCY WITHIN THE SUBSEQUENT 12 MONTHS  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 43.6%**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 4</b>			
Columbia	80.0%	30.0%	100.0%
Hempstead	0.0%	83.3%	N/A
Lafayette	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Little River	100.0%	55.6%	100.0%
Miller	12.5%	40.0%	33.3%
Nevada	N/A	0.0%	0.0%
Ouachita	71.4%	90.0%	75.0%
Sevier	N/A	44.4%	40.0%
Union	75.0%	63.6%	60.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>56.9%</b>	<b>55.6%</b>	<b>58.5%</b>
<b>Area 5</b>			
Baxter	25.0%	N/A	66.7%
Boone	68.8%	70.0%	50.0%
Conway	92.0%	85.7%	54.6%
Faulkner	66.7%	66.7%	60.0%
Marion	100.0%	50.0%	57.1%
Newton	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Pope	62.5%	45.5%	55.6%
Searcy	100.0%	N/A	100.0%
Van Buren	41.7%	66.7%	N/A
<b>Total</b>	<b>71.9%</b>	<b>67.6%</b>	<b>60.2%</b>
<b>Area 6</b>			
Pulaski	56.0%	57.7%	45.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>56.0%</b>	<b>57.7%</b>	<b>45.8%</b>

**TABLE A14**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN IN CARE FOR 12 TO 23 MONTHS WHO REACHED PERMANENCY WITHIN THE SUBSEQUENT 12 MONTHS  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 43.6%**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 7</b>			
Bradley	0.0%	N/A	0.0%
Calhoun	100.0%	N/A	N/A
Cleveland	50.0%	N/A	100.0%
Dallas	85.7%	0.0%	100.0%
Grant	44.4%	100.0%	100.0%
Jefferson	62.5%	62.5%	29.2%
Lincoln	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Lonoke	71.4%	60.9%	64.5%
Prairie	100.0%	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>	<b>58.5%</b>	<b>57.9%</b>	<b>52.4%</b>
<b>Area 8</b>			
Clay	55.6%	40.0%	N/A
Craighead	45.2%	77.8%	46.4%
Fulton	57.1%	100.0%	60.0%
Greene	86.7%	56.3%	64.3%
Izard	45.5%	60.0%	66.7%
Lawrence	100.0%	37.5%	50.0%
Mississippi	33.3%	47.8%	60.0%
Randolph	80.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Sharp	85.7%	69.2%	80.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>64.0%</b>	<b>58.1%</b>	<b>61.1%</b>



**TABLE A14**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN IN CARE FOR 12 TO 23 MONTHS WHO REACHED PERMANENCY WITHIN THE SUBSEQUENT 12 MONTHS  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 43.6%**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 9</b>			
Cleburne	57.1%	75.0%	9.1%
Crittenden	33.3%	28.6%	66.7%
Cross	77.8%	0.0%	45.5%
Independence	84.6%	73.3%	89.5%
Jackson	90.9%	66.7%	55.6%
Poinsett	41.9%	64.3%	78.6%
Stone	100.0%	0.0%	85.7%
White	56.5%	36.0%	65.0%
Woodruff	83.3%	50.0%	33.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>56.5%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>62.5%</b>
<b>Area 10</b>			
Arkansas	100.0%	66.7%	52.9%
Ashley	50.0%	100.0%	42.9%
Chicot	55.6%	75.0%	25.0%
Desha	100.0%	N/A	100.0%
Drew	37.5%	0.0%	66.7%
Lee	25.0%	N/A	100.0%
Monroe	0.0%	85.7%	25.0%
Phillips	5.9%	63.6%	50.0%
St. Francis	55.6%	36.4%	40.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>37.7%</b>	<b>64.4%</b>	<b>52.9%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>57.8%</b>	<b>59.4%</b>	<b>59.3%</b>

**TABLE A15**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN IN CARE 24 MONTHS OR LONGER WHO REACHED PERMANENCY  
WITHIN THE SUBSEQUENT 12 MONTHS  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 30.3%**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 1</b>			
Benton	36.8%	41.7%	41.2%
Carroll	0.0%	66.7%	100.0%
Madison	100.0%	72.7%	50.0%
Washington	23.8%	42.9%	41.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>26.1%</b>	<b>48.0%</b>	<b>45.2%</b>
<b>Area 2</b>			
Crawford	43.5%	38.1%	38.5%
Franklin	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%
Johnson	85.7%	80.0%	40.0%
Logan	36.4%	38.9%	50.0%
Scott	50.0%	50.0%	14.3%
Sebastian	42.5%	32.9%	36.0%
Yell	10.0%	38.5%	21.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>42.3%</b>	<b>36.5%</b>	<b>36.3%</b>
<b>Area 3</b>			
Clark	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Garland	34.8%	43.3%	30.4%
Hot Spring	23.1%	79.2%	27.3%
Howard	N/A	N/A	N/A
Montgomery	N/A	N/A	N/A
Perry	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Pike	N/A	50.0%	72.7%
Polk	70.0%	33.3%	22.2%
Saline	50.0%	20.0%	22.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>44.8%</b>	<b>52.2%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>

**TABLE A15**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN IN CARE 24 MONTHS OR LONGER WHO REACHED PERMANENCY  
WITHIN THE SUBSEQUENT 12 MONTHS  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 30.3%**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 4</b>			
Columbia	14.3%	66.7%	55.6%
Hempstead	20.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Lafayette	60.0%	80.0%	0.0%
Little River	N/A	N/A	0.0%
Miller	54.8%	41.4%	36.4%
Nevada	N/A	N/A	0.0%
Ouachita	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%
Sevier	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%
Union	30.8%	14.3%	8.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>38.8%</b>	<b>42.7%</b>	<b>28.8%</b>
<b>Area 5</b>			
Baxter	40.0%	25.0%	50.0%
Boone	44.4%	62.5%	25.0%
Conway	28.6%	36.4%	20.0%
Faulkner	66.7%	25.0%	28.6%
Marion	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%
Newton	100.0%	N/A	N/A
Pope	33.3%	26.7%	21.4%
Searcy	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Van Buren	N/A	85.7%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>41.7%</b>	<b>41.7%</b>	<b>28.6%</b>
<b>Area 6</b>			
Pulaski	38.6%	33.9%	36.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>38.6%</b>	<b>33.9%</b>	<b>36.8%</b>

**TABLE A15**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN IN CARE 24 MONTHS OR LONGER WHO REACHED PERMANENCY  
WITHIN THE SUBSEQUENT 12 MONTHS  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 30.3%**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 7</b>			
Bradley	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Calhoun	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Cleveland	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Dallas	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Grant	33.3%	28.6%	0.0%
Jefferson	10.0%	25.0%	20.0%
Lincoln	N/A	0.0%	50.0%
Lonoke	33.3%	50.0%	22.2%
Prairie	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>27.3%</b>	<b>15.9%</b>
<b>Area 8</b>			
Clay	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Craighead	18.2%	46.2%	45.0%
Fulton	50.0%	50.0%	75.0%
Greene	27.3%	45.5%	52.6%
Izard	N/A	33.3%	40.0%
Lawrence	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%
Mississippi	66.7%	33.3%	53.3%
Randolph	16.7%	40.0%	40.0%
Sharp	25.0%	0.0%	40.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>41.2%</b>	<b>43.5%</b>

**TABLE A15**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN IN CARE 24 MONTHS OR LONGER WHO REACHED PERMANENCY  
WITHIN THE SUBSEQUENT 12 MONTHS  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 30.3%**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 9</b>			
Cleburne	40.0%	20.0%	60.0%
Crittenden	30.0%	73.9%	50.0%
Cross	46.7%	85.7%	80.0%
Independence	40.0%	0.0%	22.2%
Jackson	33.3%	0.0%	45.5%
Poinsett	37.0%	37.5%	30.4%
Stone	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
White	12.5%	40.0%	16.7%
Woodruff	40.0%	50.0%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>34.8%</b>	<b>46.0%</b>	<b>31.5%</b>
<b>Area 10</b>			
Arkansas	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Ashley	0.0%	16.7%	66.7%
Chicot	0.0%	71.4%	33.3%
Desha	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Drew	28.6%	12.5%	0.0%
Lee	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
Monroe	N/A	0.0%	33.3%
Phillips	33.3%	52.6%	44.4%
St. Francis	25.0%	41.7%	21.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>19.1%</b>	<b>37.1%</b>	<b>28.3%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>36.0%</b>	<b>40.5%</b>	<b>34.8%</b>

**TABLE A16**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN WHO REENTERED CARE WITHIN 12 MONTHS  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 8.3%**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 1</b>			
Benton	8.8%	3.0%	16.7%
Carroll	2.6%	0.0%	5.0%
Madison	27.8%	14.3%	0.0%
Washington	14.1%	8.3%	4.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>11.2%</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>
<b>Area 2</b>			
Crawford	2.2%	5.7%	12.5%
Franklin	14.3%	0.0%	5.9%
Johnson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Logan	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Scott	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sebastian	3.7%	6.3%	1.7%
Yell	0.0%	22.2%	50.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>
<b>Area 3</b>			
Clark	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Garland	16.9%	12.0%	14.3%
Hot Spring	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Howard	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Montgomery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Perry	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Pike	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Polk	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Saline	0.0%	3.2%	9.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>

**TABLE A16**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN WHO REENTERED CARE WITHIN 12 MONTHS  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 8.3%**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 4</b>			
Columbia	4.3%	6.3%	0.0%
Hempstead	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lafayette	0.0%	66.7%	N/A
Little River	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%
Miller	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Nevada	N/A	0.0%	0.0%
Ouachita	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Sevier	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%
Union	21.6%	0.0%	40.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>
<b>Area 5</b>			
Baxter	16.7%	0.0%	31.3%
Boone	7.3%	7.9%	0.0%
Conway	9.1%	9.4%	0.0%
Faulkner	8.8%	20.5%	12.1%
Marion	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%
Newton	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Pope	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%
Searcy	0.0%	N/A	16.7%
Van Buren	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>10.7%</b>
<b>Area 6</b>			
Pulaski	5.6%	6.5%	3.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>

**TABLE A16**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN WHO REENTERED CARE WITHIN 12 MONTHS  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 8.3%**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 7</b>			
Bradley	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Calhoun	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cleveland	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dallas	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Grant	9.1%	9.1%	12.5%
Jefferson	9.4%	11.5%	28.6%
Lincoln	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lonoke	2.5%	33.3%	21.1%
Prairie	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
<b>Total</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>12.4%</b>	<b>20.3%</b>
<b>Area 8</b>			
Clay	15.0%	0.0%	14.3%
Craighead	9.5%	4.5%	0.0%
Fulton	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Greene	4.3%	21.8%	1.6%
Izard	17.6%	0.0%	7.1%
Lawrence	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%
Mississippi	9.3%	2.4%	10.0%
Randolph	0.0%	66.7%	4.3%
Sharp	12.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>11.3%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>
<b>Area 9</b>			
Cleburne	6.5%	6.3%	15.4%
Crittenden	8.2%	1.9%	5.6%
Cross	16.7%	0.0%	5.9%
Independence	24.0%	16.1%	2.8%
Jackson	23.5%	11.8%	27.3%
Poinsett	7.1%	3.8%	0.0%
Stone	5.6%	0.0%	11.1%
White	3.9%	10.0%	5.8%
Woodruff	75.0%	0.0%	25.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>



**TABLE A16**

**PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN WHO REENTERED CARE WITHIN 12 MONTHS  
NATIONAL STANDARD: 8.3%**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 10</b>			
Arkansas	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ashley	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
Chicot	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
Desha	0.0%	28.6%	0.0%
Drew	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%
Lee	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Monroe	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Phillips	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
St. Francis	25.0%	40.0%	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>

TABLE A17

## AVERAGE TIME FROM TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS TO ADOPTION FINALIZATION

COUNTY	CY 2018		CY 2019		CY 2020	
	NUMBER OF ADOPTIONS	TIME (MONTHS)	NUMBER OF ADOPTIONS	TIME (MONTHS)	NUMBER OF ADOPTIONS	TIME (MONTHS)
<b>Area 1</b>						
Benton	26	10.3	33	9.4	18	16.4
Carroll	3	6.7	11	23.1	8	24.2
Madison	18	9.1	19	11.6	12	13.3
Washington	55	10.2	73	15.6	58	14.9
<b>Total</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>9.9</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>14.2</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>15.7</b>
<b>Area 2</b>						
Crawford	21	12.3	29	15.3	21	19.0
Franklin	7	5.2	0	N/A	10	18.7
Johnson	10	22.0	16	8.1	10	9.8
Logan	14	11.7	21	10.2	10	15.0
Scott	8	19.9	12	14.9	13	15.5
Sebastian	177	12.3	133	11.5	98	20.8
Yell	12	9.4	10	20.9	8	22.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>12.6</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>12.2</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>19.1</b>
<b>Area 3</b>						
Clark	18	17.3	5	7.2	5	2.4
Garland	28	10.9	21	19.2	28	8.1
Hot Spring	10	12.2	29	13.4	7	16.2
Howard	1	13.1	3	2.5	0	N/A
Montgomery	2	14.0	4	4.9	0	N/A
Perry	0	N/A	3	8.2	1	3.8
Pike	0	N/A	6	17.8	10	7.8
Polk	10	9.0	6	7.8	2	7.3
Saline	27	8.4	7	6.3	9	8.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>11.4</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>12.8</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>8.5</b>

TABLE A17

## AVERAGE TIME FROM TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS TO ADOPTION FINALIZATION

COUNTY	CY 2018		CY 2019		CY 2020	
	NUMBER OF ADOPTIONS	TIME (MONTHS)	NUMBER OF ADOPTIONS	TIME (MONTHS)	NUMBER OF ADOPTIONS	TIME (MONTHS)
<b>Area 4</b>						
Columbia	10	8.1	11	12.8	7	16.6
Hempstead	1	14.3	7	14.9	0	N/A
Lafayette	3	19.8	5	18.4	0	N/A
Little River	1	2.5	6	3.9	4	3.4
Miller	16	11.8	18	9.3	16	16.1
Nevada	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Ouachita	1	3.5	5	4.0	10	10.3
Sevier	0	N/A	7	18.4	3	4.1
Union	12	24.5	10	15.5	5	13.6
<b>Total</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>14.6</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>12.1</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>12.7</b>
<b>Area 5</b>						
Baxter	10	13.7	5	14.2	8	11.8
Boone	10	9.5	11	19.4	4	4.8
Conway	24	10.3	17	13.3	10	12.0
Faulkner	28	8.3	28	9.6	19	9.5
Marion	7	11.4	2	31.7	1	5.8
Newton	1	2.5	1	3.5	0	N/A
Pope	12	7.7	11	18.3	11	18.7
Searcy	1	9.5	0	N/A	4	15.7
Van Buren	6	4.4	9	11.3	1	4.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>13.7</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>11.9</b>
<b>Area 6</b>						
Pulaski	126	13.7	109	15.7	66	16.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>13.7</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>15.7</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>16.3</b>

TABLE A17

## AVERAGE TIME FROM TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS TO ADOPTION FINALIZATION

COUNTY	CY 2018		CY 2019		CY 2020	
	NUMBER OF ADOPTIONS	TIME (MONTHS)	NUMBER OF ADOPTIONS	TIME (MONTHS)	NUMBER OF ADOPTIONS	TIME (MONTHS)
<b>Area 7</b>						
Bradley	1	34.9	0	N/A	0	N/A
Calhoun	1	2.7	0	N/A	0	N/A
Cleveland	0	N/A	4	14.0	0	N/A
Dallas	6	13.3	1	17.9	2	3.6
Grant	4	3.2	4	25.5	3	10.7
Jefferson	4	7.0	10	13.3	6	11.2
Lincoln	0	N/A	0	N/A	2	6.0
Lonoke	14	11.4	33	11.1	22	7.9
Prairie	0	N/A	3	9.3	0	N/A
<b>Total</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>10.6</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>12.8</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>8.4</b>
<b>Area 8</b>						
Clay	2	6.7	5	13.1	5	3.7
Craighead	18	13.4	20	13.3	13	17.9
Fulton	8	5.4	7	7.5	6	19.9
Greene	44	7.0	33	19.9	22	19.2
Izard	6	7.4	2	4.6	5	12.5
Lawrence	9	7.1	3	5.2	1	26.7
Mississippi	6	13.6	7	8.9	18	7.2
Randolph	7	10.2	5	16.3	9	14.1
Sharp	17	5.0	9	4.6	4	3.9
<b>Total</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>13.7</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>13.9</b>

**TABLE A17**

**AVERAGE TIME FROM TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS TO ADOPTION FINALIZATION**

COUNTY	CY 2018		CY 2019		CY 2020	
	NUMBER OF ADOPTIONS	TIME (MONTHS)	NUMBER OF ADOPTIONS	TIME (MONTHS)	NUMBER OF ADOPTIONS	TIME (MONTHS)
<b>Area 9</b>						
Cleburne	5	8.7	1	17.9	3	11.0
Crittenden	7	7.6	20	15.4	9	9.0
Cross	6	10.8	8	13.4	5	6.1
Independence	5	9.5	5	5.3	10	3.9
Jackson	19	9.8	7	7.9	12	6.7
Poinsett	20	15.6	27	14.4	14	9.0
Stone	3	19.7	3	5.7	0	N/A
White	15	8.4	21	13.6	11	15.4
Woodruff	5	12.5	10	7.5	6	4.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>11.2</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>12.5</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>8.3</b>
<b>Area 10</b>						
Arkansas	2	7.1	4	7.6	4	17.5
Ashley	0	N/A	4	8.5	3	13.1
Chicot	2	9.9	5	13.4	6	15.5
Desha	4	4.9	1	4.3	0	N/A
Drew	2	16.8	3	6.4	2	3.0
Lee	0	N/A	0	N/A	1	1.6
Monroe	0	N/A	0	N/A	3	2.2
Phillips	5	7.9	7	17.1	5	10.6
St. Francis	6	7.6	10	7.7	4	4.9
<b>Total</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>10.3</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>10.3</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>969</b>	<b>11.3</b>	<b>985</b>	<b>13.2</b>	<b>713</b>	<b>13.9</b>

TABLE A18

## PERCENTAGE OF REQUIRED VISITS MADE FOR IN-HOME CASES

COUNTY	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020
<b>Area 1</b>			
Benton	65.6%	59.1%	73.0%
Carroll	22.3%	78.6%	84.2%
Madison	42.3%	68.0%	87.1%
Washington	51.1%	71.0%	58.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>53.2%</b>	<b>66.2%</b>	<b>66.5%</b>
<b>Area 2</b>			
Crawford	74.7%	89.4%	94.1%
Franklin	51.4%	56.8%	83.1%
Johnson	69.6%	78.9%	95.0%
Logan	53.9%	74.3%	95.3%
Scott	50.9%	56.7%	81.1%
Sebastian	56.5%	64.8%	87.8%
Yell	69.0%	87.9%	92.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>62.0%</b>	<b>72.5%</b>	<b>90.2%</b>
<b>Area 3</b>			
Clark	73.2%	77.1%	58.4%
Garland	80.5%	78.3%	69.5%
Hot Spring	59.9%	71.4%	79.9%
Howard	88.6%	89.9%	77.6%
Montgomery	83.3%	94.4%	97.5%
Perry	74.5%	36.6%	88.6%
Pike	74.0%	72.4%	78.0%
Polk	89.4%	90.3%	97.6%
Saline	47.8%	50.6%	66.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>69.8%</b>	<b>67.7%</b>	<b>71.3%</b>

**TABLE A18**

**PERCENTAGE OF REQUIRED VISITS MADE FOR IN-HOME CASES**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 4</b>			
Columbia	73.6%	89.1%	92.0%
Hempstead	93.6%	92.7%	90.1%
Lafayette	83.9%	91.1%	98.6%
Little River	89.8%	80.6%	90.6%
Miller	88.6%	95.2%	85.1%
Nevada	87.2%	86.7%	100.0%
Ouachita	76.4%	71.5%	95.8%
Sevier	90.5%	65.6%	82.0%
Union	93.2%	96.2%	97.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>87.2%</b>	<b>86.0%</b>	<b>90.1%</b>
<b>Area 5</b>			
Baxter	84.8%	89.4%	88.2%
Boone	67.7%	82.4%	79.5%
Conway	84.1%	78.0%	84.6%
Faulkner	86.5%	79.2%	86.7%
Marion	77.7%	84.6%	91.1%
Newton	77.9%	82.8%	78.3%
Pope	77.0%	70.5%	77.5%
Searcy	100.0%	90.9%	97.4%
Van Buren	97.8%	93.0%	80.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>81.6%</b>	<b>81.6%</b>	<b>83.3%</b>
<b>Area 6</b>			
Pulaski	82.3%	78.8%	56.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>82.3%</b>	<b>78.8%</b>	<b>56.2%</b>

**TABLE A18**

**PERCENTAGE OF REQUIRED VISITS MADE FOR IN-HOME CASES**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 7</b>			
Bradley	75.0%	100.0%	94.7%
Calhoun	87.2%	97.4%	90.5%
Cleveland	85.3%	80.4%	65.4%
Dallas	64.5%	82.0%	75.0%
Grant	85.5%	80.6%	89.7%
Jefferson	43.5%	51.3%	61.4%
Lincoln	91.5%	90.9%	92.5%
Lonoke	68.0%	82.8%	96.1%
Prairie	N/A	N/A	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>61.7%</b>	<b>69.5%</b>	<b>75.5%</b>
<b>Area 8</b>			
Clay	89.5%	97.1%	98.3%
Craighead	86.0%	80.2%	75.2%
Fulton	88.1%	87.1%	89.0%
Greene	70.2%	86.0%	94.6%
Izard	89.5%	95.8%	93.0%
Lawrence	80.2%	89.4%	100.0%
Mississippi	54.2%	42.0%	51.5%
Randolph	84.6%	96.9%	91.0%
Sharp	85.6%	88.2%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>79.1%</b>	<b>80.2%</b>	<b>82.2%</b>
<b>Area 9</b>			
Cleburne	93.6%	97.5%	96.6%
Crittenden	73.8%	67.7%	75.6%
Cross	88.9%	80.8%	80.7%
Independence	81.9%	72.8%	90.3%
Jackson	91.3%	75.4%	89.4%
Poinsett	68.8%	81.9%	87.0%
Stone	91.3%	94.1%	94.4%
White	83.8%	79.9%	83.0%
Woodruff	63.5%	82.3%	93.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>82.1%</b>	<b>79.1%</b>	<b>85.3%</b>



**TABLE A18**

**PERCENTAGE OF REQUIRED VISITS MADE FOR IN-HOME CASES**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 10</b>			
Arkansas	76.2%	77.7%	86.5%
Ashley	76.1%	95.1%	93.5%
Chicot	81.2%	72.0%	72.7%
Desha	88.4%	93.4%	98.2%
Drew	92.3%	89.1%	100.0%
Lee	83.3%	97.7%	98.9%
Monroe	86.3%	90.3%	90.4%
Phillips	84.7%	87.6%	83.2%
St. Francis	61.4%	57.4%	67.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>78.6%</b>	<b>78.9%</b>	<b>84.2%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>72.6%</b>	<b>75.4%</b>	<b>76.6%</b>

**TABLE A19**

**PERCENTAGE OF REQUIRED VISITS MADE FOR CHILDREN IN CARE**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 1</b>			
Benton	66.1%	66.9%	76.7%
Carroll	81.4%	93.6%	94.5%
Madison	94.8%	91.1%	88.8%
Washington	76.5%	80.9%	77.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>75.6%</b>	<b>79.8%</b>	<b>79.9%</b>
<b>Area 2</b>			
Crawford	83.9%	85.6%	94.7%
Franklin	75.0%	88.4%	98.3%
Johnson	78.3%	88.9%	94.4%
Logan	63.1%	84.2%	98.0%
Scott	92.3%	90.4%	87.4%
Sebastian	72.6%	83.2%	83.4%
Yell	83.0%	87.5%	96.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>74.9%</b>	<b>84.6%</b>	<b>87.4%</b>
<b>Area 3</b>			
Clark	83.8%	80.1%	89.9%
Garland	84.9%	84.9%	82.8%
Hot Spring	85.3%	93.3%	92.8%
Howard	85.3%	85.7%	88.7%
Montgomery	100.0%	90.2%	82.9%
Perry	83.7%	55.6%	81.8%
Pike	88.2%	91.9%	86.3%
Polk	94.8%	92.0%	97.2%
Saline	86.7%	72.7%	79.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>86.4%</b>	<b>83.8%</b>	<b>84.8%</b>

**TABLE A19**

**PERCENTAGE OF REQUIRED VISITS MADE FOR CHILDREN IN CARE**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 4</b>			
Columbia	92.8%	97.4%	99.1%
Hempstead	96.8%	97.9%	98.8%
Lafayette	88.5%	93.8%	98.4%
Little River	89.8%	92.0%	95.3%
Miller	95.9%	95.4%	96.0%
Nevada	94.1%	96.2%	96.7%
Ouachita	89.2%	87.9%	98.8%
Sevier	94.4%	88.6%	92.4%
Union	98.1%	97.3%	98.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>94.4%</b>	<b>94.2%</b>	<b>96.7%</b>
<b>Area 5</b>			
Baxter	94.3%	95.9%	98.0%
Boone	84.2%	90.4%	76.8%
Conway	87.5%	82.3%	91.3%
Faulkner	96.3%	93.8%	97.0%
Marion	95.3%	98.5%	96.6%
Newton	84.8%	88.9%	88.5%
Pope	83.9%	81.3%	95.4%
Searcy	98.7%	100.0%	95.0%
Van Buren	95.7%	96.3%	98.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>91.2%</b>	<b>90.2%</b>	<b>92.7%</b>
<b>Area 6</b>			
Pulaski	90.7%	85.6%	64.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>90.7%</b>	<b>85.6%</b>	<b>64.9%</b>

**TABLE A19**

**PERCENTAGE OF REQUIRED VISITS MADE FOR CHILDREN IN CARE**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 7</b>			
Bradley	85.3%	89.5%	100.0%
Calhoun	81.8%	100.0%	N/A
Cleveland	78.8%	95.2%	98.0%
Dallas	91.2%	95.4%	95.8%
Grant	98.2%	97.0%	98.6%
Jefferson	71.7%	80.6%	78.3%
Lincoln	97.1%	94.2%	97.1%
Lonoke	84.4%	86.0%	96.6%
Prairie	73.3%	95.9%	92.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>81.9%</b>	<b>86.4%</b>	<b>90.1%</b>
<b>Area 8</b>			
Clay	92.4%	85.5%	96.7%
Craighead	87.4%	77.0%	87.4%
Fulton	86.8%	90.1%	91.1%
Greene	72.1%	83.0%	92.4%
Izard	90.9%	97.6%	96.9%
Lawrence	89.5%	93.8%	99.6%
Mississippi	67.0%	63.2%	56.8%
Randolph	92.7%	95.7%	91.1%
Sharp	89.9%	92.5%	98.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>81.9%</b>	<b>81.4%</b>	<b>85.3%</b>
<b>Area 9</b>			
Cleburne	96.3%	93.0%	96.2%
Crittenden	80.2%	72.3%	83.4%
Cross	91.0%	88.0%	95.2%
Independence	90.8%	86.8%	95.8%
Jackson	76.9%	88.3%	94.1%
Poinsett	80.4%	75.8%	89.5%
Stone	94.2%	92.2%	100.0%
White	86.5%	72.7%	78.4%
Woodruff	78.3%	86.9%	84.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>84.5%</b>	<b>81.2%</b>	<b>88.7%</b>

**TABLE A19**

**PERCENTAGE OF REQUIRED VISITS MADE FOR CHILDREN IN CARE**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CY 2018</b>	<b>CY 2019</b>	<b>CY 2020</b>
<b>Area 10</b>			
Arkansas	94.3%	85.7%	90.4%
Ashley	91.0%	93.1%	95.8%
Chicot	88.9%	82.6%	90.4%
Desha	89.9%	90.2%	97.4%
Drew	93.9%	96.7%	100.0%
Lee	95.5%	94.3%	97.3%
Monroe	91.7%	94.4%	98.9%
Phillips	88.1%	92.4%	94.7%
St. Francis	87.7%	86.0%	90.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>90.5%</b>	<b>89.8%</b>	<b>93.7%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>82.9%</b>	<b>84.6%</b>	<b>85.2%</b>