(3506)

SUBJECT: Onsite Wastewater Rules and Regulations

<u>DESCRIPTION</u>: The Onsite Wastewater rule's stated purpose is to establish minimum standards for the design and construction of onsite wastewater "septic" systems in suitable soils for the renovation of wastewater and the return of the renovated wastewater into the hydrologic cycle. The rule details the various system designs, soil criteria, construction, subdivision requirements, product requirements, installation, and maintenance of the system types.

PUBLIC COMMENT: A public hearing was held on November 16, 2018. The public comment period expired on November 16, 2018. The proposed effective date is pending legislative review and approval. The Department provided the following summary of the comments that it received and its responses thereto:

Tim Tyler- Comments

1. Section 2.4 Bedrock: Backhoe, Mini-X. Can be dug with a spade or hand digging. Not as good as a backhoe. Spades are not practical small shelf of bedrock. Needs to be standard equipment and not anything special.

ADH Reply: No change.

2. <u>Appendix B- Recreational Vehicle Park:</u> Reduced the water. Left it the same. Needs to be corrected. Don't see the reduction in the draft. 65 Gallons.

ADH Reply: Change will be made.

3. Footnotes: Taking out the designers. This needs to be left in. Many times establishments such as a Mexican restaurant should be able to pull data other similar and use that data to help establish or any commercial est. We as designers ought to be able to pull similar data.

ADH Reply: Experience in this area has shown major inconsistencies in data. Therefore the determination was made to remove the wording.

Larry T. West, Ph.D., AR Registered Professional Soil Classifier, DOH Designated Representative- Email comments received

1. Section 7.3.2.1: I fully support recognition of soil with high clay content (>40%) and with low shrink-swell in the moderate hydraulic conductivity class. Because of their parent material and stage of soil development, red soils (Munsell hue of 5YR or redder) developed from residual limestone and chert parent materials in the Ozark Highlands uniformly have low shrink-swell and will move water at an acceptable rate for installation of an onsite system drainfield. Their blanket inclusion in the moderate hydraulic conductivity class is proper.

I suggest, however, that "residual" limestone and chert be specified in the statement. Red soils developed from colluvial limestone or chert may or may not have low shrink-swell and an acceptable moderate hydraulic conductivity, but rather may limit vertical water/effluent movement.

Additionally, red soils with high clay content in other regions of the State and that have developed from other parent materials also may have low shrink-swell and should be included in the moderate hydraulic conductivity class upon submission of appropriate documentation. All red clayey soils outside the Ozark Highlands do not have low shrink-swell, however, and thus, should not be included in the blanket red soil inclusion outlined in this section.

To recognize that red, clayey soils with low shrink-swell occur outside the Ozark Highlands, I suggest a provision be added to Section 7.3.2.1 that would allow a Designated Representative who is also a registered Soil Classifier to present data from soil samples analyzed by a reputable laboratory or otherwise collected by acceptable methods to indicate the soil at the site has low shrink-swell and should be assigned a moderate hydraulic conductivity. There are laboratory and field measurements that can be used to indicate a soil's shrink-swell potential and hydraulic conductivity that are relatively low cost and that can be generated relatively quickly. Specific data and limits could be identified with input from Soil Scientists at universities in the State, Federal and State Agency Soil Scientists, Soil Classifiers, and Designated Representatives and included in Appendix I.

ADH Reply: After direct discussion with Dr. West on this letter, the additional modifier of "residual" will be added to the section.

Excerpt from above letter: "I suggest, however, that "residual" limestone and chert be specified in the statement. Red soils developed from colluvial limestone or chert may or may not have low shrink-swell and an acceptable moderate hydraulic conductivity, but rather may limit vertical water/effluent movement."

Comments received from Lex Dobbins, Peggy and Don Daley unrelated to the initial proposed changes were also considered.

- 1. Mr. Lex Dobbins's comment was to remove and simplify the lost storage formula. **ADH Reply:** Appendix G was removed and direction was added in Section 8.6.1 and 8.6.2.
 - 2. Peggy and Don Daley requested reduced sizing for pretreated effluent. **ADH Reply:** The comment was considered, but no change was made.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: There is no financial impact.

LEGAL AUTHORIZATION: Arkansas Code Annotated § 20-7-109 provides that the State Board of Health may promulgate rules to protect the public health and safety. Additionally, Arkansas Code Annotated § 14-236-107 grants the Department rulemaking authority regarding onsite wastewater systems "in order that the wastes from the systems will not pollute any potable water supply, or source of water used for public or domestic supply purposes, or for recreational purposes, or other waters of this state, and will not give rise to a public health hazard by being accessible to insects, rodents, or other possible carriers which may come into contact with food or potable water, or by being

accessible to human beings, and will not constitute a nuisance due to odor or unsightly appearance[.] "

the could'd copies of the proposed rate and compact for payment, been been been defined as the

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES AND REGUL IONS WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

DEPARTMENT/AGENCY	Arkansas Department of Health									
DIVISION	Center for Local Public Health/I	Environmental Hea	lth Protection							
DIVISION DIRECTOR	J. Don Adams									
CONTACT PERSON	J. Terry Paul									
ADDRESS	Slot 46, 4815 West Markham, L	ittle Rock AR, 722	:05-3867							
PHONE NO. 501-661-21	71 FAX NO. 501-661-	2572 E-MAIL	jpaul@arkansas.gov							
NAME OF PRESENTER AT COMMITTEE MEETING Laura Shue, Chief Counsel										
PRESENTER E-MAIL Laura.Shue@arkansas.gov										
INICIPALICATION										
A. Please make copies of this form for future use. B. Please answer each question completely using layman terms. You may use additional sheets, if necessary. C. If you have a method of indexing your rules, please give the proposed citation after "Short Title of this Rule" below. D. Submit two (2) copies of this questionnaire and financial impact statement attached to the front of two (2) copies of the proposed rule and required documents. Mail or deliver to:										
Arkansas Leg Bureau of Leg One Capitol M Little Rock, A	e Rules Review Section islative Council islative Research Iall, 5 th Floor R 72201									
********	*********	******	******							
1. What is the short title of th	is rule? Onsite Wastewater Rule	es and Regulations	es sous par en la martin combanda de colonidad de colonidad de la martin de la colonidad de la colonidad de la							
2. What is the subject of the p	proposed rule? Onsite Wastewat	er "Septic" System	l							
	ply with a federal statute, rule, or ederal rule, regulation, and/or state		No X							
4. Was this rule filed under th	e emergency provisions of the Ad	Iministrative Proce	dure Act?							
If yes, what is the effective	date of the emergency rule?	Yes								
	rule expire?									
Will this emergency rule be Procedure Act?	e promulgated under the permaner									
		Yes	No 🗌							

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

DEPARTMENT		RTMENT	Department of Health							
DI	VISI	ON	Center for Local Public Health							
PE	RSO	N COMPI	ETING THI	S STATEMENT	J. Terry Paul	de an da lende				
TE	ELEP	HONE 50	1-786-9144	FAX 501-661-	2572 EMAIL: jpa	ul@arkansas.	gov			
31	ateme	ent and file	two copies wi	th the questionnair	ease complete the follow e and proposed rules.		Impact			
SI	HOR	T TITLE (OF THIS RUI	LE Onsite Waster	water Rules and Regulati	ions				
1.	Doe	es this prope	osed, amended	i, or repealed rule h	nave a financial impact?	Yes 🗌	No X			
2.	Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule? Yes X No									
3.	In consideration of the alternatives to this rule, was this rule determined by the agency to be the least costly rule considered?				Yes X	No 🗌				
	If ar	If an agency is proposing a more costly rule, please state the following:								
	(a)	(a) How the additional benefits of the more costly rule justify its additional cost;								
	(b) — (c)									
	(d)	d) Whether the reason is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority; and if so, please explain.								
4.	If the	If the purpose of this rule is to implement a federal rule or regulation, please state the following:								
	(a)	What is th	e cost to imple	ement the federal r	ule or regulation?					
Cu	rrent	Fiscal Ye	ar		Next Fiscal Year					
Fee Cas Spe Oth	deral l sh Fun ecial I ner (Ic	Revenue Funds nds Revenue dentify)			General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)					
Γοί	tal		na		Total	na				

- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH PROTECTION Summary of Proposed Changes to the Onsite Wastewater Rules (2019)

Table of Contents

Removed Section 4 (Sewer Connection) and re-numbered Sections 4-19.

Changed "Subdivision Review" into Section 5. It had been a sub-section.

Moved Section 7 (Minimum Lot Size) into Section 5 (Subdivision Review).

Added Section 16 "Code of Ethics"

Removed "Lost Storage Formula" (Appendix G) [Pursuant to PC]

Added "Soil Textural Triangle" (Appendix H)

Added "Red Soil Chart" (Appendix I)

Added "Metric Conversion Table" (Appendix J)

Definitions

Changed: Bedrock (Section 2.4)

Moved: Soil Qualified Designated Representative to (Section 2.9.1)

Changed: Fragipan (Section 2.19) and

Moved: Fragipan to Section 2.44

Changed: Hydraulic Conductivity (Section 2.23)

Added: Licensees (Section 2.27)

Added: Pedon (Section 2.31)

Added: Perched Water Table (Section 2.32)

Changed: Redoximorphic Features (Section 2.42)

Changed: Relic Redoximorphic Features (Section 2.42)

Changed: Restrictive Soil Layer (Section 2.44)

Added: Claypan (Section 2.44.1)

Added: Pressure or Induced Pan (Section 2.44.3)

Removed the Lost Storage Formula from the regulations [Pursuant to PC]

Removed the "preferred trench length of 60 feet" from the regulations.

Section 10

Added a requirement that holding tanks shall be constructed with no outlet (Section 10.5)

Added the requirement that only vacuum testing will be allowed during the inspection of a concrete septic tank manufacturing facility Section 10.6.3.8).

Section 11

Removed the single pass sand filter from the regulations (Section 11.4)

Section 15

Added requirement that septic tank manufacturing facility be inspected at least 60 days prior to the renewal date.

Appendix

Changed Appendix B-Water usage chart-

Camps-Reduced water usage w/central comfort station

Grocery Stores-Reduced the water usage for per 100 square feet of floor space.

Country Clubs-Large reduction in water usage

Dental and Doctor office-Changed design criteria from "chairs" to "practitioner" and reduced the water usage.

Added Appendix C-Loading rates for low hydraulic conductivity soils

Reduced the water usage for Recreational Vehicle Parks [Pursuant to PC]

Removed "Institutions" from the charts

Footnotes

Removed demonstrable flows for water usage determination

Added a list of counties in the Salem Plateau

Added a Soil Textural Triangle

Added a U.S. to metric conversion table