

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES, MEDICAL SERVICES

SUBJECT: State Plan Amendment #2013-010 & Ambulatory Surgical Center Update #1-14

DESCRIPTION: Effective July 1, 2014, Arkansas Medicaid Ambulatory Surgical Center (ASC) reimbursement rates are revised in accordance with Act 1352 of 2013. These new reimbursement rates are based on 80% of the current Medicare reimbursement amounts for the like services. In addition to the above reimbursement, implantable devices which are not bundled as part of the appropriate procedure code rate under Medicare will also be reimbursed at a pass-through cost if the combined cost of the appropriate implantable devices is greater than 50% of the appropriate reimbursement Medicaid Ambulatory Surgery Center procedure code.

<u>PUBLIC COMMENT</u>: A public hearing was not held on this rule. The public comment period expired April 22, 2014. The Department received no public comments.

The proposed effective date for the final rule is July 1, 2014.

<u>CONTROVERSY</u>: This is expected to be controversial. Act 1352 established new reimbursement methodology for procedures performed in Ambulatory Surgical Centers. Surgical procedures performed in other settings such as the outpatient hospital setting are not changed.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: The additional cost to implement the rule is \$2,315,000 for the current fiscal year (\$693,000 in general revenue and \$1,622,000 in federal funds) and \$2,315,000 for the next fiscal year (\$701,000 in general revenue and \$1,614,000 in federal funds). The new reimbursement rates are based on 80% of the current Medicare Reimbursement amounts for like services. The current reimbursement rates are based on 105% of the 1985 Medicare reimbursement rates and have not been updated since July 1, 1992.

LEGAL AUTHORIZATION: Ark. Code Ann. § 20-76-201 authorizes the Department of Human Services to administer programs for the indigent and to "make rules and regulations" pertaining to the administration of those programs. Ark. Code Ann. § 20-77-107 specifically authorizes the Department to "establish and maintain an indigent medical care program."

Ark. Code Ann. § 25-10-129 directs the Department to promulgate rules to conform to federal law that affects "programs administered or funded by or through the department" as necessary to receive available federal funds.

This rule implements Acts 2013, No. 1352, codified as Ark. Code Ann. § 20-77-129, which set the reimbursement rates for certain procedures performed at ambulatory surgery centers.

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES AND REGULATIONS WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL AND JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE

DEPARTMENT/AGENCY	Department of Hu	man Services			
DIVISION	Division of Medical Services				
DIVISION DIRECTOR	Andrew Allison, PhD				
CONTACT PERSON	CONTACT PERSON Glenda Higgs				
ADDRESS	P.O. Box 1437, Sl		Rock, AR 7	2203-1437	
PHONE NO. 501-320-64 NAME OF PRESENTER A MEETING		(501)682- 2480	E- MAIL arilyn Strickl		ggs@dhs.arkansas.gov
PRESENTER E-MAIL m	arilym strickland@d		-	and	
TRESENTER E-MAIL III		NSTRUCTION			
 A. Please make copies of th B. Please answer each quest necessary. C. If you have a method of Rule" below. D. Submit two (2) copies of (2) copies of the propose 	tion <u>completely</u> us indexing your rule this questionnaire	ing layman te es, please give e and financial	the proposed	l citation afte ement attach	er "Short Title of this
Arkansas Le Bureau of Le	ve Rules Review Sogislative Council gislative Research Mall, 5 th Floor				
*******	******	******	******	*****	*****
1. What is the short title of the rule?	his State Plan #1-14	Amendment #2	2013-010 & A	Ambulatory S	urgical Center Update
2. What is the subject of the rule?		bulatory Surgic ccordances with		vised reimbu	rsement methodology
3. Is this rule required to con If yes, please provide the f	• •		_		No General
4. Was this rule filed under t Procedure Act? If yes, what is the effectiv rule?			dministrative	Yes 🗌	No 🔀
When does the emergency expire?	rule				

	provisions of the Ad			permanent	Yes 🗌	No 🗌
5.	Is this a new rule? If yes, please provid			e regulation		
	Does this repeal an elegant of the with a new rule, pleas	repealed rule	is to be included v	ith your completed	questionnaire.	If it is being replaced e rule does.
rul	If yes, please attach	a mark-up she	Yes 🔀 owing the changes	No in the existing rule at the amendment	and a summary does, and the	of the substantive mark-up copy should
6.	Cite the state law the citation. Arkansas St			oposed rule? If codi	ified, please giv	e the Arkansas Code
	What is the purpose crease the cost of Ark					
8.	Please provide the acrequired by Arkansa https://www.medicar	s Code § 25-1	19-108(b).			the Internet as
9.	Will a public hearing	g be held on tl	his proposed rule?	Yes No 🗵		
	If yes, please comple	ete the follow	ing:			
	Date:			_		
	Time:			_		
	Place:			_		
	When does the publi	-	•	manent promulgati	•	ŕ
	***	1 00 1	. 0.11	1001	1	
	What is the proposed			` •	•	
A	dopted by Federal Re	guiation July	1, 2013APA EI	rective Date July 1,	2014	
12.	Do you expect this re	Act 1352 es	stablished new rein	No Date No Surgical procedures.		_
	If yes, please explain.	such as the	outpatient hospital	setting are not cha	nged.	

			
ē.			
		•	

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

DEPARTMENT		TMENT	Department of	Human Services					
DΓ	VISIO	ON	Division of M	edical Services					
PE	RSO	N COMPLE	TING THIS S	TATEMENT Lynn	Burton				
TE	LEPI	HONE NO.	(501)682-1857	7 FAX NO. (501)682	- EMAIL: lym	n.burton@dh	s.arkansas.gov		
To Sta	compateme	ply with Ark nt and file tv	. Code Ann. § 2 vo copies with t	5-15-204(e), please c he questionnaire and	complete the following proposed rules.	ing Financial	İmpact		
SI	IORT	TITLE OF	THIS RULE	State Plan Amenda Center Update #1-1		Ambulatory	Surgical		
1.	Does	s this propos	ed, amended, or	repealed rule have a	financial impact?	Yes 🔀	No 🗌		
2.	econ	Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule? Yes No							
3.	In consideration of the alternatives to this rule, was this rule determined by the agency to be the least costly rule considered?			Yes 🔀	No 🗌				
	If an	If an agency is proposing a more costly rule, please state the following:							
	(a)	How the ad	ditional benefit	s of the more costly r	ule justify its addition	onal cost;			
	(b)	The reason	for adoption of	the more costly rule;					
	(c)	Whether the if so, please	e more costly rue explain; and;	ile is based on the inte	erests of public heal	th, safety, or	welfare, and		
	(d)	Whether the explain.	e reason is with	in the scope of the ag	ency's statutory aut	hority; and if	so, please		
4.	If the	e purpose of this rule is to implement a federal rule or regulation, please state the following:							
	(a)	(a) What is the cost to implement the federal rule or regulation?							
	<u>Cur</u>	Current Fiscal Year			Next Fiscal Year				
	Fede Casl Spee	eral Revenueral Funds h Funds cial Revenue er (Identify)			General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)				

Total		Total				
(b) What is the ad	ditional cost of the state 1	rule?				
Current Fiscal Y	ear	Next Fiscal Year				
General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue	\$ 693,000 \$1,622,000	Special Revenue	\$ 701,000 \$1,614,000			
Other (Identify) Total	\$2,315,000	Other (Identify) Total	\$2,315,000			
the proposed, amer explain how they a Current Fiscal Year	ided, or repealed rule? Id	or to any private individual, entity lentify the entity(ies) subject to the entity (ies) subject to the entity (ie	ne proposed rule and			
\$. \$				
like services. Our curr		Next Fiscal Ye \$\frac{1}{2} 701,000 9% of the current Medicare Reimare based on 105% of the 1985 Notes 1992.	bursement amounts for			
or obligation of at private entity, priv	least one hundred thousa	estions #5 and #6 above, is there nd dollars (\$100,000) per year to ment, county government, muni	a private individual,			
		Yes 🛛 No 🗌				
time of filing the f	inancial impact statement	t. The written findings shall be f	§ 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the written findings shall be filed simultaneously ide, without limitation, the following:			
(1) a statement of	the rule's basis and purpo	se;				
(2) the problem the a rule is require		with the proposed rule, includin	g a statement of whether			

- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
 - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
 - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;
- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.

Attachment to APA Questionnaire State Plan Amendment #2013-010

7. (1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;

This rule's basis and purpose is to lower the cost of care and increase access to care for Medicaid patients as required by Act 1352 of the 89th General Assembly.

(2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;

Implementation of the rule is required to be in compliance with Arkansas Act 1352 of the 89th General Assembly.

- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
 - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
 - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's cost;

The rule is necessary to comply with Act 1352 of the 89th General Assembly.

- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;

 There are no alternatives to the proposed rule.
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;

Not Applicable

(6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and

Medicaid patients did not have access to services because reimbursement rates were extremely low and have not been updated in over twenty years. This rule is required by Act 1352 of the 89th General Assembly.

- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its cost; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce cost while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.

The agency monitors State and Federal rules and policies for opportunities to reduce and control cost.

Summary for Ambulatory Surgical Center change in Reimbursement Methodology

Effective July 1, 2013 Arkansas Medicaid Ambulatory Surgical Center (ASC) reimbursement rates are revised in accordance with Act 1352 of the 2013 General Assembly. These new reimbursement rates are based on 80% of the current Medicare reimbursement amounts for the like services. In addition to the above reimbursement, implantable devices which are not bundled as part of the appropriate procedure code rate under Medicare will also be reimbursed at a pass-through cost; if the combine cost of the appropriate implantable devices is greater than 50% of the appropriate reimbursement Medicaid Ambulatory Surgery Center procedure code.