EXHIBIT E

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, CENTER FOR HEALTH PROTECTION

SUBJECT: Controlled Substances for the State of Arkansas

DESCRIPTION: This is the permanent promulgation of scheduling of Butzlone and MAB-CHMINACA following an emergency filing on November 18, 2014. The emergency scheduling was done at the request of Deputy Prosecuting Attorney for the 5th Judicial District in Franklin County, Arkansas. Butylone was found by a Forensic Chemist to have a "chemically similar structure" to methylone, a schedule I controlled substance. The emergency filing of MAB-CHMINACA was done at the request of the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences Poison Control Center. Based upon information from the UAMS Poison Control Center, MAB-CHMINACA is a dangerous synthetic cannabinoid that should be a Schedule VI controlled substance. The State of Louisiana has banned this substance.

PUBLIC COMMENT: This rule was promulgated under the emergency provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act. The effective date for the emergency rule was November 18, 2014. The emergency rule expired May 17, 2015.

This rule was also promulgated under the permanent provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act. A public hearing was held on the permanent rule April 20, 2015. The public comment period expired April 20, 2015. The Department received no public comments.

The effective date for the final, permanent rule was May 22, 2015.

CONTROVERSY: This is not expected to be controversial.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: There is no financial impact.

LEGAL AUTHORIZATION: Ark. Code Ann. § 20-7-109 authorizes the State Board of Health to promulgate rules for the "protection of the public health and safety".

The Director of the Department of Health shall administer the Uniform Controlled Substances Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 5-64-101 et seq., and may add a substance to or delete or reschedule any substance enumerated in a schedule under the procedures of the Arkansas Administrative Procedure Act. Ark. Code Ann. § 5-64-201(a)(1)(A)(i).

Ark. Code Ann. § 5-64-702 authorizes the Department of Health to promulgate rules to enforce the controlled substance laws.

Ark. Code Ann. § 5-64-201 provides that if the Director of the Department of Health amends the list of controlled substances by emergency rule then the rule may be effective for only 180 days.

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES AND REGULATIONS WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL AND JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE

DEPARTMENT/AGENCY Department of Health

DIVISION Center for Health Protection

DIVISION DIRECTOR Donnie Smith

CONTACT PERSON James Myatt

ADDRESS 4815 West Markham St., Slot 25, Little Rock, Arkansas 72205

PHONE NO. (501) 661-2325 **FAX NO.** (501) 661-2769 **E-MAIL** james.myatt@arkansas.gov

NAME OF PRESENTER AT COMMITTEE MEETING Robert Brech

PRESENTER E-MAIL robert.brech@arkansas.gov

INSTRUCTIONS

A. Please make copies of this form for future use.
B. Please answer each question completely using layman terms. You may use additional sheets, if necessary.
C. If you have a method of indexing your rules, please give the proposed citation after "Short Title of this Rule" below.
D. Submit two (2) copies of this questionnaire and financial impact statement attached to the front of two (2) copies of the proposed rule and required documents. Mail or deliver to:

Donna K. Davis
Administrative Rules Review Section
Arkansas Legislative Council
Bureau of Legislative Research
One Capitol Mall, 5th Floor
Little Rock, AR 72201

****

1. What is the short title of this rule? Rules and Regulations Pertaining to the List of Controlled Substances for the State of Arkansas

2. What is the subject of the proposed rule? Regular scheduling of Butylone and MAB-CHMINACA following an emergency scheduling on November 18, 2014. The emergency scheduling for Butylyone was done pursuant to the request of the Deputy Prosecuting Attorney for the 5th Judicial District in Franklin County, Arkansas. Butylone was found by a Forensic Chemist to have a "chemically simiar structure" to methylone, a schedule I controlled substances. The emergency scheduling of MAB-CHMINACA was done pursuant to the request from the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences Poison Control Center. State Police Crime Laboratories in Louisiana have identified this synthetic cannabinoid more than 75 times during the month of October 2014. The State of Louisiana has banned this substance.

3. Is this rule required to comply with a federal statute, rule, or regulation? Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, please provide the federal rule, regulation, and/or statute citation. _____

4. Was this rule filed under the emergency provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act? Yes ☒ No ☐
If yes, what is the effective date of the emergency rule? November 13, 2014

When does the emergency rule expire? May 12, 2015

Will this emergency rule be promulgated under the permanent provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act? Yes ☒ No ☐

5. Is this a new rule? Yes ☐ No ☒
If yes, please provide a brief summary explaining the regulation. _____

Does this repeal an existing rule? Yes ☐ No ☒
If yes, a copy of the repealed rule is to be included with your completed questionnaire. If it is being replaced with a new rule, please provide a summary of the rule giving an explanation of what the rule does. _____

Is this an amendment to an existing rule? Yes ☒ No ☐
If yes, please attach a mark-up showing the changes in the existing rule and a summary of the substantive changes. **Note: The summary should explain what the amendment does, and the mark-up copy should be clearly labeled "mark-up."**

6. Cite the state law that grants the authority for this proposed rule? If codified, please give the Arkansas Code citation. A.C.A. 5-64-201

7. What is the purpose of this proposed rule? Why is it necessary? Butylone has a "chemically similar structure" as Methylone, a Schedule I controlled substance. The prosecutor requested emergency scheduling so that she could prosecute a case of possession of a controlled substance with purpose to deliver. Based upon information from the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences Poison Control Center MAB-CHMINACA is a dangerous synthetic cannabinoid that should be a Schedule VI controlled substance. Louisiana has already banned this substance.

8. Please provide the address where this rule is publicly accessible in electronic form via the Internet as required by Arkansas Code § 25-19-108(b). www.arkansas.gov

9. Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule? Yes ☒ No ☐
If yes, please complete the following:

Date: April 20, 2015
Time: 10:00 A.M.
Place: Arkasas Department of Health, 4815 West Markham, Little Rock, AR, Room L137

10. When does the public comment period expire for permanent promulgation? (Must provide a date.)

April 20, 2015

11. What is the proposed effective date of this proposed rule? (Must provide a date.)

June 1, 2015

12. Do you expect this rule to be controversial? Yes ☐ No ☒
If yes, please explain. _____

13. Please give the names of persons, groups, or organizations that you expect to comment on these rules? Please provide their position (for or against) if known.

Prosecuting Attorneys in Arkansas-for

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

DEPARTMENT Arkansas Department of Health

DIVISION Center for Health Protection

PERSON COMPLETING THIS STATEMENT Elizabeth Pitman

TELEPHONE NO. (501) 280-4034 **FAX NO.** (501) 661-2357 **EMAIL:** sarah.pitman@arkansas.gov

To comply with Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e), please complete the following Financial Impact Statement and file two copies with the questionnaire and proposed rules.

SHORT TITLE OF THIS RULE Rules and Regulations Pertaining to the List of Controlled Substances for the State of Arkansas

1. Does this proposed, amended, or repealed rule have a financial impact? Yes ☐ No ☒

2. Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule? Yes ☒ No ☐

3. In consideration of the alternatives to this rule, was this rule determined by the agency to be the least costly rule considered? Yes ☒ No ☐

   If an agency is proposing a more costly rule, please state the following:

   (a) How the additional benefits of the more costly rule justify its additional cost;

   (b) The reason for adoption of the more costly rule;

   (c) Whether the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or welfare, and if so, please explain; and;

   (d) Whether the reason is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority; and if so, please explain.

4. If the purpose of this rule is to implement a federal rule or regulation, please state the following:

   (a) What is the cost to implement the federal rule or regulation?

Current Fiscal Year		**Next Fiscal Year**	
General Revenue	0	General Revenue	0
Federal Funds	0	Federal Funds	0
Cash Funds	0	Cash Funds	0
Special Revenue	0	Special Revenue	0
Other (Identify)	0	Other (Identify)	0

Total	0	Total	0

(b) What is the additional cost of the state rule?

Current Fiscal Year		Next Fiscal Year	
General Revenue	0	General Revenue	0
Federal Funds	0	Federal Funds	0
Cash Funds	0	Cash Funds	0
Special Revenue	0	Special Revenue	0
Other (Identify)	0	Other (Identify)	0
Total	0	Total	0

5. What is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to any private individual, entity and business subject to the proposed, amended, or repealed rule? Identify the entity(ies) subject to the proposed rule and explain how they are affected.

Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year
$ 0	$ 0

6. What is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, county, and municipal government to implement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant? Please explain how the government is affected.

Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year
$ 0	$ 0

7. With respect to the agency's answers to Questions #5 and #6 above, is there a new or increased cost or obligation of at least one hundred thousand dollars ($100,000) per year to a private individual, private entity, private business, state government, county government, municipal government, or to two (2) or more of those entities combined?

Yes ☐ No ☒

If YES, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:

(1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;

(2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;

(3) a description of the factual evidence that:

(a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and

(b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;

(4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;

(5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;

(6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and

(7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:

(a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
(b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
(c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.