Stricken language would be deleted from and underlined language would be added to the law as it existed prior to this session of the General Assembly.

1	State of Arkansas	As Engrossed: \$3/29/01	
2	83rd General Assembly	A Bill	Act 1753 of 2001
3	Regular Session, 2001		HOUSE BILL 2568
4			
5	By: Representatives Hathorn, V	Nood	
6			
7			
8	For An Act To Be Entitled		
9	AN ACT TO PROHIBIT THE DISCHARGE OF AGRICULTURAL		
10	IRRIGATION WATERS ONTO INTERSTATE OR STATE		
11	HIGHWAYS; TO PROVIDE PENALTIES; AND FOR OTHER		
12	PURPOSES.		
13			
14		Subtitle	
15	AN ACT TO PROHIBIT THE DISCHARGE OF		
16	AGRI CULTURAL I RRI GATI ON WATERS ONTO		
17	INTERSTATE OR STATE HIGHWAYS; TO PROVIDE		
18	PENALT	TES.	
19			
20			
21	BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ARKANSAS:		
22			
23	SECTION 1. <u>(a) It is unlawful for a person, sole proprietorship,</u>		
24	partnership, or corporation that engages in irrigation of any farm or		
25	<u>agricultural lands to i</u>	ntentionally permit or cause any	<u>center pivot</u>
26	irrigation water to be	discharged on the traveled portion	on of any interstate
27	<u>or state highway.</u>		
28	<u>(b) A first viol</u>	ation of this section shall cause	<u>e a warning to be</u>
29	<u>i ssued</u> .		
30	(c) A second or subsequent offense shall be punishable by a fine of		
31	not less than one hundred dollars (\$100) nor more that two hundred fifty		
32	<u>dollars (\$250).</u>		
33	(d) It is an affirmative defense to prosecution under this section		
34	that the discharge of center pivot irrigation waters on an interstate or		
35	state highway resulted from winds of such intensity that no mechanical device		
36	that is intended to prevent spray from reaching the roadway could have		



1	prevented the spraying or that the discharge resulted from excessive rainfall
2	which contributed to flooding of the roadway.
3	
4	/s/ Hathorn
5	
6	
7	APPROVED: 4/18/2001
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	