Stricken language would be deleted from and underlined language would be added to present law. Act 810 of the Regular Session

| 1 | State of Arkansas | As Engrossed: S3/9/11 S3/15/11 A Bill | |
|--------|---|---|------------------|
| 2 | 88th General Assembly | A DIII | CENATE DILL 1000 |
| 3 | Regular Session, 2011 | | SENATE BILL 1000 |
| 4 5 | By: Senators J. Key, S. Flowe | aws | |
| 6 | by. Schalors J. Rey, S. Prowe | 718 | |
| 7 | | For An Act To Be Entitled | |
| 8 | AN ACT TO | AN ACT TO AMEND THE LAWS REGARDING THE VIOLATION OF | |
| 9 | ORDERS OF PROTECTION; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES. | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | Subtitle | |
| 13 | TO AMEND THE LAWS REGARDING THE VIOLATION | | ION |
| 14 | OF O | RDERS OF PROTECTION. | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | BE IT ENACTED BY THE (| GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF AR | RKANSAS: |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | SECTION 1. Arkansas Code § 5-53-134(d), regarding affirmative defenses | | |
| 20 | for violating an order of protection, is amended to read as follows: | | |
| 21 | (d) It is an affirmative defense to a prosecution under this section | | |
| 22 | <u>if:</u> | | |
| 23 | (1) The that the parties have reconciled prior to the violation | | |
| 24 | of the order of protection <u>; or</u> | | |
| 25 | (2) The petitioner for the order of protection: | | |
| 26 | (A) Invited the defendant to come to the petitioner's | | |
| 27 | residence or place of employment listed in the order of protection; and | | |
| 28 | (B) Knew that the defendant's presence at the petitioner's | | |
| 29 | residence or place of employment would be in violation of the order of | | |
| 30 | protection. | | |
| 31 | | | |
| 32 | | /s/J. Key | |
| 33 | | | |
| 34 | | | |
| 35 | | APPROVED: 03/30/2011 | |
| 36 | | | |