



AUGENBLICK,
PALAICH AND
ASSOCIATES

HANDOUT C



Study Update

Justin Silverstein, APA

Presentation to the Senate Committee on Education
and the House Committee on Education
Little Rock, Arkansas
September 29, 2020

Today's Presentations

- Study Update
- Best Use of Poverty Funds
 - Research
 - Current ESA Fund Use and Survey Results
- Professional Development and Extra Duty Time
- School Size Policies, Public Input on School Sizes (survey results)
- Student Mental Health (survey results)
- Educational Opportunities (survey results)
- Capital Needs

District Resource Use and Practices Survey

- Many of today's presentations will include results from the District Resource Use and Practices Survey
 - The survey was administered to all district superintendents and charter system directors in July
 - Received responses from 181 districts and charter systems
 - 72 percent of districts and 48 percent of charter systems
 - Responses generally representative of the state as a whole

Disaggregation Quintiles/Categories

- The study team disaggregated responses to the survey or available data by size, need (FRL percentage), wealth (assessed value/ADM) and/or locale (urban/suburban vs. rural)
- The following slide provides some descriptive data of the quintiles, including average size, need, or wealth, percentage of districts and percentage of students

Descriptive Data for Wealth and Need (FRL) Quintiles

	Average Enrollment	Average FRL Percentage	Average Assessed Value/ADM	Percentage of Districts	Percentage of Student Population
Wealth Quintiles					
Q1 (highest)	2,897	66%	\$186,184	20%	29%
Q2	1,915	68%	\$112,309	20%	20%
Q3	1,725	66%	\$89,924	20%	17%
Q4	2,126	63%	\$73,561	20%	22%
Q5 (lowest)	1,144	64%	\$55,367	20%	12%
Need (FRL) Quintiles					
Q1 (lowest)	2,905	39%	\$111,722	20%	33%
Q2	1,697	58%	\$112,374	20%	19%
Q3	1,491	68%	\$102,630	20%	16%
Q4	2,037	73%	\$90,342	20%	23%
Q5 (highest)	823	85%	\$105,644	20%	9%

Descriptive Data for Size Quintiles and Locale Categories

	Average Enrollment	Average FRL Percentage	Average Assessed Value/ADM	Percentage of Districts	Percentage of Student Population
Size Quintiles					
Q1 (smallest)	328	72%	\$110,140	20%	4%
Q2	615	68%	\$101,585	20%	7%
Q3	915	64%	\$101,680	20%	10%
Q4	1,562	63%	\$110,935	20%	17%
Q5 (largest)	5,531	58%	\$97,325	20%	62%
Locale					
Rural	1,141	67%	\$105,470	77%	49%
Urban/Suburban	4,011	57%	\$97,569	23%	51%

Survey Responses Compared to State

	All	Responses
FRL Quintiles		
Q1 (lowest)	20%	20%
Q2	20%	22%
Q3	20%	17%
Q4	20%	22%
Q5 (highest)	20%	19%
Size Quintiles		
Q1 (smallest)	20%	18%
Q2	20%	17%
Q3	20%	21%
Q4	20%	21%
Q5 (largest)	20%	23%
Locale		
Urban/Suburban	23%	20%
Rural	77%	80%
Region		
Northeast (Upper Delta)	25%	30%
Northwest	29%	29%
Southwest	18%	14%
Central	18%	16%
Southeast (Lower Delta)	10%	12%

Next Month's Presentations

- October 5th
 - Case Studies
 - Educator Panels
 - Stakeholder Survey
- October 19th
 - Review of Resources in the Matrix
 - Approaches to Routinely Reviewing Adequacy



Questions?