EXHIBIT H

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES, MEDICAL SERVICES

SUBJECT: Section 1-1-18; Section III-1-18; Outpatient Behavioral Health Services-2-18; Federally Qualified Health Clinic-1-18; Hospital-1-18; Physician-1-18; Rural Health-1-18; and State Plan Amendment-2018-002 - Telemedicine

DESCRIPTION: In accordance with Act 203 of 2017, effective for dates of service on or after January 1, 2018, the originating site for telemedicine services will be covered for Arkansas Medicaid beneficiaries. This will not affect current benefit limits.

PUBLIC COMMENT: The Department held a public hearing on April 30, 2018. The public comment period ended on May 9, 2018. The Department received public comments from two organizations, including the following:

On behalf of the Developmental Disabilities Provider Association (DDPA) and the Arkansas Medical Society, Robert Wright, an attorney from Mitchell, Blackstock, Ivers, Sneddon, PLLC, sent letters on May 8, 2018, regarding the proposed rules to implement the state’s telemedicine statute into Medicaid. He noted that the statute required that all payers reimburse telemedicine as they would the same service face-to-face, effective January 1, 2018, and that DDPA is fully supportive of the responsible use of Telemedicine in the Medicaid program.

A. All of the changes in the manuals show an effective date of July 1, 2018. The State Plan Amendment is dated January 1, 2018. The transmittal letters say the manuals have been updated effective July 1, 2018, for dates of service on or after January 1, 2018. Given these different dates, we are seeking clarification on how all of the manual provisions and state plan amendment fit together. Are we correct that if a telemedicine service was provided on March 1, 2018, (or any date between January 1, 2018, and June 30, 2018) in accordance with the provisions in Section I of the manual, that service will be paid if submitted to Medicaid on or after July 1, 2018?

B. Another area requiring clarification is the requirement for the originating site (the site where the patient is physically located during a telemedicine encounter). State statute does not limit the location of the origination site. It simply states that a health benefit plan must pay a fee to an originating site that is operated by a healthcare professional or a licensed healthcare entity if the professional or entity are authorized to bill the health plan directly. However, the statute does not require that the originating site be such a facility. It could be a school, for example. In that case, because the school cannot bill the health plan, the health plan is not required to pay a facility fee to the originating site.

Section 105.190 of the proposed manual release is not clear but seems to require the originating site to be the office of a healthcare professional or a healthcare entity enrolled in Medicaid. Proposed Section 305.000 says in the third paragraph: “The originating site must be operated by a healthcare professional or licensed healthcare entity authorized to bill Medicaid directly for healthcare services to facilitate a high-quality interaction, including both telecommunication and clinical aspects of the telemedicine visits.”
It appears that the proposed manual release has gone further than the law authorizes, perhaps unintentionally, when it requires health plans to pay for telemedicine services. The statute certainly allows the originating site to be the office of a healthcare professional or a healthcare entity, but it does not require it. We would request that the proposed manual release be changed to be consistent with state law by not restricting the originating site to the office of a healthcare professional or a healthcare entity that is able to bill the Medicaid program.

RESPONSE:
A. With regard to a clarification on the effective date of the service, the dates of service will be retroactive to January 1, 2018, as this was necessary to meet the requirements of the Act.
B. With regard to the concern about the requirements of the originating site, DHS considered it before filing the final rule. No changes were made because for billing purposes, all originating sites must be Medicaid-enrolled providers.

Laura Kehler Shue, an attorney with the Bureau of Legislative, asked a follow-up question to DHS’s response. There is still a concern that the response is not clarifying or addressing the specific “originating site” issue that Robert Wright raised in his letter with regard to the Provider Manual, particularly, Section 105.190 and the third paragraph in Section 305.000 Telemedicine Billing Guidelines. He asserts that the rule language appears to go further than Act 203 allows by requiring that the originating site “be operated by a healthcare professional or licensed healthcare entity” and “to facilitate a high-quality interaction, including both telecommunication and clinical aspects of the telemedicine visits.” As the definition of “originating site” in Ark. Code Ann. §23-79-1601 no longer requires “offices of a healthcare profession or a licensed healthcare entity,” is there any specific response to the concern about this language that some may argue is extraneous and perhaps adding a higher standard than the law in Act 203 requires?

RESPONSE: The language for Section 105.190 Telemedicine was taken directly from Act 203 as illustrated below. As DHS reimburses Medicaid providers and a provider must be authorized to bill Medicaid in order to be reimbursed by Medicaid, when composing policy 105.190 we substituted “Arkansas Medicaid” for “health benefit plan”.

Section 105.190 Telemedicine
Payment will include a reasonable facility fee to the originating site operated by a licensed or certified healthcare professional or licensed or certified healthcare entity if the professional or entity is authorized to bill Arkansas Medicaid directly for healthcare services.

23-79-1602. Coverage for Telemedicine
(d)(1) A health benefit plan shall provide a reasonable facility fee to an originating site operated by a healthcare professional or a licensed healthcare entity if the healthcare professional or licensed healthcare entity is authorized to bill the health benefit plan directly for healthcare services.
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We will not be changing this portion of policy based on Mr. Wright’s comment.

The proposed effective date is July 1, 2018.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: The estimated additional cost to implement the rule is $249,712 for the current fiscal year ($75,465 in general revenue and $176,247 in federal funds) and $499,424 for the next fiscal year ($146,930 in general revenue and $352,493 in federal funds).

LEGAL AUTHORIZATION: The Department of Human Services (DHS) is authorized to “make rules and regulations and take actions as are necessary or desirable to carry out the provisions of this chapter [Public Assistance] and that are not inconsistent therewith.” Arkansas Code Annotated § 20-76-201 (12).

The Telemmedicine Act, Act 203 of 2017, sponsored by Senator Cecile Bledsoe, amended the definition of “telemedicine” and “originating site,” addressed requirements of a professional relationship when using telemedicine, added standards, and addressed insurance coverage. “Originating Site” is defined as a site at which a patient is located at the time healthcare services are provided to him or her by means of telemedicine. See Ark. Code Ann. § 17-80-402(3) and § 23-79-1601(4). The effective date of the insurance coverage portion of the Act is January 1, 2018.
QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES AND REGULATIONS
WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

DEPARTMENT/AGENCY       Department of Human Services
DIVISION                   Division of Medical Services
DIVISION DIRECTOR         Rose M Naff
CONTACT PERSON            Cathy Coffman
ADDRESS                    PO Box 1437, Slot S295 Little Rock AR.72203

PHONE NO. 501-537-1670   FAX NO. 501-404-4619   EMAIL. cathy.coffman@dhs.arkansas.gov
NAME OF PRESENTER AT COMMITTEE MEETING Tami Harlan
PRESENTER E-MAIL tami.harlan@dhs.arkansas.gov

INSTRUCTIONS
A. Please make copies of this form for future use.
B. Please answer each question completely using layman terms. You may use additional sheets, if necessary.
C. If you have a method of indexing your rules, please give the proposed citation after “Short Title of this Rule” below.
D. Submit two (2) copies of this questionnaire and financial impact statement attached to the front of two (2) copies of the proposed rule and required documents. Mail or deliver to:

Donna K. Davis
Administrative Rules Review Section
Arkansas Legislative Council
Bureau of Legislative Research
One Capitol Mall, 5th Floor
Little Rock, AR 72201

********************************************

1. What is the short title of this rule? Section I-1-18, Section III-1-18, Outpatient Behavioral Health Services-
2-18, Federally Qualified Health Clinic-1-18, Hospital-1-18, Physician-1-18, Rural Health-1-18, and State Plan Amendment-2018-002

2. What is the subject of the proposed rule? Effective January 1, 2018 Arkansas Medicaid will cover the originating site facility fee for Telemedicine Services.

3. Is this rule required to comply with a federal statute, rule, or regulation?
   Yes □ No X
   If yes, please provide the federal rule, regulation, and/or statute citation.

4. Was this rule filed under the emergency provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act? Yes □ No X

Revised January 2017
If yes, what is the effective date of the emergency rule?

When does the emergency rule expire?

Will this emergency rule be promulgated under the permanent provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act? Yes □ No □

5. Is this a new rule? Yes □ No ☒

Does this repeal an existing rule? Yes □ No ☒

Effective January 1, 2018 Arkansas Medicaid will cover the originating site facility fee for Telemedicine Services.

Is this an amendment to an existing rule? Yes ☒ No □

If yes, please attach a mark-up showing the changes in the existing rule and a summary of the substantive changes. Note: The summary should explain what the amendment does, and the mark-up copy should be clearly labeled “mark-up.”

6. Cite the state law that grants the authority for this proposed rule? If codified, please give the Arkansas Code citation.

Act 203 of the 91st General Assembly

7. What is the purpose of this proposed rule? The purpose of this rule which is effective January 1, 2018, is to allow Arkansas Medicaid to cover the originating site facility fee for Telemedicine Services.

8. Please provide the address where this rule is publicly accessible in electronic form via the internet as required by Arkansas Code § 25-19-108(b): www.medicaid.state.ar.us/general-comment-comment.aspx

9. Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule? Yes ☒ No □

If yes, please complete the following:

Date: April 30, 2018
Time: 5:00 PM
Place: Darragh Center Central Library 100

10. When does the public comment period expire for permanent promulgation? (Must provide a date.)

May 9, 2018

11. What is the proposed effective date of this proposed rule? (Must provide a date.)

Revised January 2017
12. Please provide a copy of the notice required under Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(a), and proof of the publication of said notice. (see attached)

13. Please provide proof of filing the rule with the Secretary of State and the Arkansas State Library required pursuant to Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e). (see attached)

14. Please give the names of persons, groups, or organizations that you expect to comment on these rules? Please provide their position (for or against) if known. All Medicaid providers will be for this change.
FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

DEPARTMENT  Department of Human Services

DIVISION  Medical Services

PERSON COMPLETING THIS STATEMENT  Brian Jones

TELEPHONE  501-537-2064  FAX  501-404-4619  EMAIL:  @dhs.arkansas.gov

To comply with Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e), please complete the following Financial Impact Statement and file two copies with the questionnaire and proposed rules.

SHORT TITLE OF THIS RULE  Section I-1-18, Section III-1-18, Outpatient Behavioral Health Services-2-18, Federally Qualified Health Clinic-1-18, Hospital-1-18, Physician-1-18, Rural Health Clinic-1-18, and State Plan Amendment-2018-002

1. Does this proposed, amended, or repealed rule have a financial impact?  Yes ☑  No ☐

2. Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule?  Yes ☑  No ☐

3. In consideration of the alternatives to this rule, was this rule determined by the agency to be the least costly rule considered?  Yes ☑  No ☐

If an agency is proposing a more costly rule, please state the following:

(a) How the additional benefits of the more costly rule justify its additional cost;

(b) The reason for adoption of the more costly rule;

(c) Whether the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or welfare, and if so, please explain; and;

(d) Whether the reason is within the scope of the agency’s statutory authority; and if so, please explain.

4. If the purpose of this rule is to implement a federal rule or regulation, please state the following:

(a) What is the cost to implement the federal rule or regulation?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Next Fiscal Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Revenue</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Revenue</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Cash Funds
Special Revenue
Other (Identify)

Total 0

(b) What is the additional cost of the state rule?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Next Fiscal Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Revenue</td>
<td>73,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds</td>
<td>176,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Funds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Revenue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Identify)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>249,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>352,493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>499,424</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. What is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to any private individual, entity and business subject to the proposed, amended, or repealed rule? Identify the entity(ies) subject to the proposed rule and explain how they are affected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Next Fiscal Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. What is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, county, and municipal government to implement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant? Please explain how the government is affected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Next Fiscal Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 73,465</td>
<td>$ 146,930</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. With respect to the agency’s answers to Questions #5 and #6 above, is there a new or increased cost or obligation of at least one hundred thousand dollars ($100,000) per year to a private individual, private entity, private business, state government, county government, municipal government, or to two (2) or more of those entities combined?

Yes □ No ☒

If YES, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:

1. a statement of the rule’s basis and purpose;

2. the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;
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(3) a description of the factual evidence that:
   (a) justifies the agency’s need for the proposed rule; and
   (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify
       the rule’s costs;

(4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not
    adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;

(5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and
    the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the
    proposed rule;

(6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks
    to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the
    problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the
    problem is not a sufficient response; and

(7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether,
    based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation,
    whether:
       (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
       (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
       (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the
           statutory objectives.
Summary Telemedicine:

In accordance with Act 203 of the 91st General Assembly of 2017; effective for dates of service on or after January 1, 2018, the originating site for Telemedicine services will be covered for Arkansas Medicaid beneficiaries. This will not affect current benefit limits.