

February 22, 2019

Mr. Frank J. Wills, Deputy Director Arkansas Public Employees Retirement System One Union National Plaza 124 West Capitol, Suite 400 Little Rock, Arkansas 72201

Re: Actuarial Analysis of HB 1339

Dear Mr. Wills:

As requested, enclosed is our Actuarial Analysis of HB 1339 for the Arkansas Public Employees Retirement System.

Please call if you have any questions or comments.

Respectfully submitted,

David L. Hoffman

Heidi G. Barry, ASA, FCA, MAAA

Heidi & Barry

DLH/MDD/HGB:bd

Enclosure

Requested By: Mr. Frank J. Wills, Deputy Director

Arkansas Public Employees Retirement System

Date: February 22, 2019

Submitted By: Heidi G. Barry, ASA, FCA, MAAA and David L. Hoffman

Gabriel, Roeder, Smith & Company

This report presents results of an actuarial valuation of proposed benefit changes for members of the Arkansas Public Employees Retirement System (APERS). Heidi G. Barry is a Member of the American Academy of Actuaries (MAAA) as indicated, and meets the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinions contained herein.

The date of the valuation was June 30, 2018. This means that the results of the supplemental valuation indicate what the June 30, 2018 valuation would have shown if the proposed benefit changes had been in effect on that date. Supplemental valuations do **not** predict the result of future actuarial valuations. Rather, supplemental valuations give an indication of the probable long-term cost of the **benefit changes only** without comment on the complete end result of the future valuations.

Actuarial assumptions and methods were consistent with those used in the regular actuarial valuation of the Retirement System on the valuation date, unless otherwise noted. Actuarial assumptions are adopted by the Retirement Board of Trustees. In particular, the assumed rate of investment return was 7.15% and payroll was assumed to increase 3.25% per year.

For supplemental actuarial valuation purposes, changes in the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) were amortized over a closed 20-year period. The Board adopted funding policy requires changes in the UAAL due to changes in benefit provisions to be amortized over a closed 15-year period for active members and a closed five-year period for non-active members (i.e., retired members and deferred members). However, the funding policy was created anticipating benefit increases rather than benefit reductions. If the proposed legislation is enacted, we recommend that the Board's funding policy be modified to incorporate this suggested change to the Amortization Method section of the funding policy.

Given the funded status of APERS and the level of investment risk that the System is currently exposed to, we would suggest that the Board consider keeping the employer contribution rate at the current level in the event this benefit change is enacted.



A brief summary of the data used for purposes of the study as of June 30, 2018 is presented below:

			Average	
				Benefit
Active Member Group	Number	Annual Pay	Age	Service
Non-contributory	10,086	\$ 464,901,559	53.0 yrs.	20.8 yrs.
Contributory				
Member with greater than 5 years of service	15,889	638,208,346	47.2 yrs.	10.2 yrs.
Member with less than 5 years of service	20,230	<u>620,445,125</u>	37.7 yrs.	2.0 yrs.
Total	36,119	1,258,653,471	41.9 yrs.	5.6 yrs.
DROP Participants	1,439	88,098,790	59.6 yrs.	30.0 yrs.

			Average	
Group	Number	Annual Benefits	Age	Service
Inactive vested members	13,856	\$ 75,104,604	51.0 yrs.	9.3 yrs.

			Average	
Group	Number	Annual Benefits	Age	Service
Benefit recipients	35,959	\$ 528,147,192	69.8 yrs.	17.8 yrs.



Present Provision: Final average compensation based on three (3) years of credited service.

Proposed Provision: The number of years considered in determining the final average compensation may be increased, by the APERS Board of Trustees, to a maximum of five (5) years.

Actuarial Information: There is no measurable immediate effect on the Retirement System if this bill is enacted without knowing if or when the APERS Board may use the authority granted in the bill. The effect on the Retirement System can only be measured when the Board takes an action regarding this benefit provision. Such a measurement also depends on what members are affected (i.e., future members only, current active and future members, etc.).

For reference, we recently valued the effect of increasing the final average compensation period to five years for new hires only. The ultimate effect on employer contributions resulting from the benefit change is a decrease in the employer normal cost of 0.39% of active member payroll. This will occur once all of the active members are covered under the proposed provision.



Additional Comments

Comment 1 — The figures shown on the prior page are based on the June 30, 2018 actuarial valuation. Please remember that this change, if adopted, would likely impact the June 30, 2019 valuation. That valuation will likely be completed in the fall of 2019, and is based on member data and financial results as of June 30, 2019, neither of which is available to us at this time.

Comment 2 — The calculations are based upon assumptions regarding future events, which may or may not materialize. They are also based upon present and proposed plan provisions that are outlined in this report. If you have reason to believe that the assumptions that were used are unreasonable, that the plan provisions are incorrectly described, that important plan provisions relevant to this proposal are not described, or that conditions have changed since the calculations were made, you should contact the authors of this report prior to relying on information in this report.

Comment 3 — If you have reason to believe that the information provided in this report is inaccurate, or is in any way incomplete, or if you need further information in order to make an informed decision on the subject matter of this report, please contact the authors of this report prior to making such decision.

Comment 4 — This report is intended to describe the financial effect of the proposed plan change. No statement in this report is intended to be interpreted as a recommendation in favor of the change, or in opposition to it.

Comment 5 — In the event that more than one plan change is being considered, it is very important to remember that the results of separate actuarial valuations cannot generally be added together to produce a correct estimate of the combined effect of all of the changes. The total can be considerably greater than the sum of the parts due to the interaction of various plan provisions with each other, and with the assumptions that must be used.

Comment 6 — This report is intended to describe the financial effect of the proposed plan change on the Retirement System. Except as otherwise noted, potential effects on other benefit plans were not considered.

