1 **INTERIM RESOLUTION 2019-006** 2 3 REQUESTING THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL EXPRESS THAT IT HAS NO FAITH IN THE VALIDITY OF THE ELECTION RESULTS OF THE 2020 4 5 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION REPORTED BY THE STATES OF PENNSYLVANIA, 6 WISCONSIN, MICHIGAN, AND GEORGIA; REQUESTING THAT THE UNITED 7 STATES CONGRESS REFUSE TO ACCEPT ELECTORAL VOTES FOR THE OFFICE 8 OF PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES FROM THE STATES OF PENNSYLVANIA, WISCONSIN, MICHIGAN, AND GEORGIA IF FULL AND FAIR 9 INVESTIGATIONS ARE NOT COMPLETED; AND REQUESTING THAT THE 10 ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL EXPRESS ITS SUPPORT FOR THE STATE OF 11 12 TEXAS'S POSITION IN LITIGATION PENDING BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT CONCERNING THE 2020 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION. 13 14 15 WHEREAS, the President of the United States has immense enumerated 16 powers and serves as both the head of the executive branch of government of 17 the United States and as Commander in Chief of the United States Armed 18 Forces; and 19 20 WHEREAS, the election of the President of the United States should be 21 free and fair in order to preserve the legitimacy of the government, avoid 22 constitutional crises, and promote the general welfare of the people of the 23 United States; and 24 25 WHEREAS, the legislatures of the states are delegated the primary 26 responsibility to ensure that free and fair elections for the office of the 27 Presidency of the United States are held under United States Constitution, 28 Article II, Section 1, which allows each state to appoint presidential 29 Electors in such manner as the legislature may direct; and 30 31 WHEREAS, the United States Congress is authorized under United States 32 Constitution, Amendment XII, and the Electoral Count Act of 1887, 24 Stat. 33 373, to independently evaluate whether or not free and fair elections have 34 occurred and to uphold the fundamental principle that the laws governing 35 elections that are enacted by the legislatures of the states prior to the 36 election are fully complied with during the election process; and

12-11-2020 14:09 IR-2019-006

I.R. 2019-006

1 2 WHEREAS, historically low absentee ballot rejection rates occurred in 3 the 2020 election despite the overwhelming new and unprecedented use of 4 absentee ballots and mail-in votes by many different persons and 5 organizations; and 6 7 WHEREAS, a razor-thin margin favoring Joe Biden to win the election for 8 President of the United States was reported in the days after election day in 9 many swing states including Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, Michigan, and Georgia, 10 and political analyst Robert Barnes observed that President Trump would win the election if any historical absentee ballot analysis process and rejection 11 12 rate was assumed; and 13 14 WHEREAS, statistically abnormal vote counts were reported in numerous 15 states late on election night and the Biden-to-Trump ratio in reporting was 16 ninety percent (90%) or more for some thirty-one (31) batches of votes; and 17 18 WHEREAS, the manifest lack of any absentee ballot and mail-in ballot 19 oversight by unelected election administrators shows that the laws passed 20 prior to election day were likely ignored and violated in numerous ways and 21 that this violation was of significant magnitude to change the results for 22 the election of the President of the United States; and 23 24 WHEREAS, in Pennsylvania twenty-three thousand (23,000) absentee 25 ballots have impossible return dates, eighty-six thousand (86,000) absentee 26 ballots are questionable, fifty thousand (50,000) votes held on forty-seven 27 (47) Universal Serial Bus (USB) cards are missing in Delaware County, and 28 signature matching for mail-in ballots has been rendered impossible by the 29 destruction of ballot envelopes; and 30 31 WHEREAS, in Georgia, Matt Braynard's Voter Integrity Project estimates 32 that twenty thousand three hundred and twelve (20,312) nonresidents cast 33 ballots, there is video evidence of the statistical anomalies that occurred 34 when Joe Biden overtook President Trump with eighty-nine percent (89%) of the 35 votes counted, and for fifty-three (53) different individual batches of votes 36 counted, Joe Biden led by the same fifty and five-hundredths percent (50.05%)

2

1 to forty-nine and ninety-five hundredths percent (49.95%) margin in every
2 single batch; and

3 4 WHEREAS, Attorney General Leslie Rutledge has announced that Arkansas 5 joined an amicus brief filed in support of State of Texas v. Commonwealth of 6 Pennsylvania, State of Georgia, State of Michigan, and State of Wisconsin, a 7 legal action filed by the State of Texas with the United States Supreme Court 8 requesting that the court provide relief, including a declaration that any 9 electoral college votes cast by presidential electors appointed in 10 Pennsylvania, Georgia, Michigan, and Wisconsin are in violation of the Electors Clause and United States Constitution, Fourteenth Amendment, and 11 12 cannot be counted; and 13 14 WHEREAS, on December 10, 2020, the State of Arkansas formally sought to intervene and join the Plaintiff's Bill of Complaint in State of Texas v. 15 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, State of Georgia, State of Michigan, and State 16 17 of Wisconsin; and 18 19 WHEREAS, a full and fair investigation of the election results, 20 including analysis of absentee and mail-in ballot samples for compliance with 21 state election law, is necessary to ensure a free and fair election and 22 should be conducted by Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, Michigan, and Georgia, 23 24 NOW THEREFORE, 25 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL OF THE NINETY-SECOND 26 GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ARKANSAS: 27 28 THAT the Arkansas Legislative Council has no faith in the validity of

29 the results of the 2020 presidential election reported by the states of 30 Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, Michigan, and Georgia.

31

32 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT if a full and fair investigation is not 33 completed, the Arkansas Legislative Council requests that the United States 34 Congress sitting in joint session refuse to accept electoral votes for the 35 office of President of the United States from the states of Pennsylvania, 36 Wisconsin, Michigan, and Georgia.

3

```
1
 2
           BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Arkansas Legislative Council expresses
 3
     its support for the State of Texas's position in State of Texas v.
 4
     Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, State of Georgia, State of Michigan, and State
 5
     of Wisconsin and supports Arkansas Attorney General Leslie Rutledge's efforts
 6
     to intervene in that case.
 7
8
           BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT copies of this resolution be delivered to
9
     the Clerk of the United States House of Representatives, the Secretary of the
10
     United States Senate, and the members of the Arkansas congressional
11
     delegation.
12
13
14
    Respectfully submitted,
15
16
17
18
     Representative Mary Bentley
    District 73
19
20
21
22
23
     Senator Trent Garner
24
    District 27
25
26
27
28
     Representative Marcus E. Richmond
29
    District 21
30
31
32
33
     Representative Brandt Smith
34
    District 58
35
36
```

```
1
 2
     Representative Robin Lundstrum
 3
     District 87
 4
 5
 6
 7
     Representative Jana Della Rosa
 8
     District 90
 9
10
11
12
     Representative Nelda Speaks
13
     District 100
14
15
16
     Representative Cindy Crawford
17
18
     District 76
19
20
21
22
     Representative Rebecca Petty
23
     District 94
24
25
26
27
     Representative Karilyn Brown
28
     District 41
29
30
31
32
     Representative Laurie Rushing
33
     District 26
34
35
36
```

```
1
     Representative Jack Ladyman
 2
     District 59
 3
 4
 5
 6
     Representative Mark Lowery
 7
     District 39
 8
 9
10
11
     Representative Josh Miller
12
     District 66
13
14
15
16
     Representative Justin Gonzales
17
     District 19
18
19
20
     Representative John Payton
21
22
     District 64
23
24
25
26
     Representative Dan Sullivan
27
     District 53
28
29
30
31
     Representative Jill Bryant
32
     District 96
33
34
35
36
     Representative Stephen Meeks
```

```
1
     District 67
 2
 3
 4
     Representative Roger D. Lynch
 5
 6
     District 14
 7
 8
 9
10
     Representative Joe Cloud
11
     District 71
12
13
14
15
     Representative Sonia Eubanks Barker
16
     District 7
17
18
19
20
     Representative Cameron Cooper
21
     District 44
22
23
24
25
     Representative Lane Jean
26
     District 2
27
28
29
30
     Representative Danny Watson
31
     District 3
32
33
34
35
     Representative Johnny Rye
36
     District 54
```

```
1
 2
 3
     Senator Missy Irvin
 4
 5
    District 18
 6
 7
 8
 9
     Senator Gary Stubblefield
10
     District 6
11
12
13
14
     Senator Ronald Caldwell
15
     District 23
16
17
18
19
     Senator Mark Johnson
20
    District 15
21
22
23
24
     Senator Bob Ballinger
25
     District 5
26
27
28
29
     Senator Terry Rice
30
     District 9
31
32
33
34
     Senator Alan Clark
35
     District 13
36
```

1	
2	
3	Senator Jason Rapert
4	District 35
5	
6	
7	
8	Senator Scott Flippo
9	District 17
10	
11	Prepared by: MBM/MBM
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	