1	INTERIM STUDY PROPOSAL 2011-101
2	State of Arkansas
3	88th General Assembly A Bill
4	Regular Session, 2011HOUSE BILL 2152
5	
6	By: Representative Nickels
7	Filed with: Interim House Committee on Public Health, Welfare and Labor
8	pursuant to A.C.A. §10-3-217.
9	For An Act To Be Entitled
10	AN ACT TO PROVIDE PROTECTION TO WORKERS' COMPENSATION
11	CLAIMANTS AGAINST RETALIATORY DISCRIMINATION; AND FOR
12	OTHER PURPOSES.
13	
14	
15	Subtitle
16	TO PROVIDE PROTECTION TO WORKERS'
17	COMPENSATION CLAIMANTS AGAINST
18	RETALIATORY DISCRIMINATION; AND FOR OTHER
19	PURPOSES.
20	
21	
22	BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ARKANSAS:
23	
24	SECTION 1. Arkansas Code § 11-9-107 is amended to read as follows:
25	11-9-107. Penalties for discrimination for filing claim.
26	(a)(l) Any employer who willfully discriminates in regard to the
27	hiring or tenure of work or any term or condition of work of any individual
28	on account of the individual's claim for benefits under this chapter, or who
29	in any manner obstructs or impedes the filing of claims for benefits under
30	this chapter, shall be subject to a fine of up to ten thousand dollars
31	(\$10,000) as determined by the Workers' Compensation Commission.
32	(2) This fine shall be payable to the Second Injury Trust Fund
33	claimant and paid by the employer and not by the carrier.
34	(b) (l) In addition, the prevailing party <u>claimant</u> shall be entitled to
35	recover costs and a reasonable attorney's fee payable from the fine <u>by the</u>
36	employer.

.

I.S.P. 2011-101

```
1
                 (2) Provided, however, if the employee is the nonprevailing
 2
     party, the attorney's fee and costs shall, at the election of the employer,
 3
     be paid by the employee or deducted from future workers' compensation
 4
     benefits.
 5
           (c) The employer may also be guilty of a Class D felony.
 6
           (d)
               This section shall not be construed as establishing an exception
 7
     to the employment at will doctrine.
8
           (e) A purpose of this section is to preserve the exclusive remedy
9
     doctrine and specifically annul any case law inconsistent herewith with this
10
     section, including, but not necessarily limited to without limitation: Wal-
11
     Mart Stores, Inc. v. Baysinger, 306 Ark. 239, 812 S.W.2d 463 (1991); Mapco,
12
     Inc. v. Payne, 306 Ark. 198, 812 S.W.2d 483 (1991); and Thomas v. Valmac
13
     Industries, Inc., 306 Ark. 228, 812 S.W.2d 673 (1991).
14
15
16
     Referred by the Arkansas House of Representatives
17
     Prepared by: DLP/VJF
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
```