

Office of Medicaid Inspector General

Annual Report October 1, 2013

Jay Shue, Medicaid Inspector General

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	3
A. OMIG Mission Statement	3
B. Creation and Statutory Authority	3
Annual Report Statistics and Information	5
Recoveries / Recoupments	5
Audits and Investigations	5
Administrative Actions	6
Administrative Appeals	7
Civil Actions	7
Referrals of Fraud and Prosecutions	8
A. Arkansas Attorney General's Medicaid Fraud Control Unit	8
B. Other Suspected Fraud Referrals	8
C. Referrals to Licensing Boards	9
D. Referrals of Beneficiary Fraud	9
Administrative and Education Activities	9
A. OMIG Website Prototype and Features	10
B. OMIG Provider Education	11
C. Other OMIG Functions and Duties	12
D. OMIG Personnel Education and Training	12
OMIG Performance Narrative	13
Contact Information	15

Introduction

A. Mission Statement of the Arkansas Medicaid Inspector General

Pursuant to Ark. Code Ann. §20-77-2105, the Office of the Medicaid Inspector General, (OMIG) shall:

- (1) Prevent, detect, and investigate fraud and abuse within the medical assistance program;
- (2) Refer appropriate cases for criminal prosecution;
- (3) Recover improperly expended medical assistance funds;
- (4) Audit medical assistance program functions; and
- (5) Establish a medical assistance program fraud and abuse prevention.

B. Creation and Statutory Authority

On April 23, 2013, Act 1499 was signed into law creating the Arkansas Medicaid Inspector General's Office. The legislative purpose of the law was to create a new state agency in order to consolidate staff and other Medicaid fraud detection prevention and recovery functions into a single office, create a more efficient and accountable structure, reorganize and streamline the state's process for detecting and combating Medicaid fraud and abuse, and maximize the recovery of improper Medicaid payments. See Ark. Code Ann. §20-77-2101. Act 1499 contained an emergency clause authorizing July 1, 2013, as the agency starting date.

On June 19, 2013, Governor Mike Beebe appointed Jay Shue to be Arkansas' first Medicaid Inspector General. Before accepting the appointment, Mr. Shue spent six years as the director of the Arkansas Attorney General's Medicaid Fraud Control Unit. Mr. Shue also has approximately ten years of experience as a prosecutor for the state of Arkansas.

With the creation of the Medicaid Inspector General's Office, the Program Integrity Unit of the Arkansas Department of Human Services, Division of Medicaid Services, and its staff were transferred to the supervision and direction of the Arkansas Medicaid Inspector General.

The program integrity functions as required by the Center for Medicaid Services (CMS) under 42

CFR §455 et al. All states that participate in the federal Medicaid program are required to maintain a program integrity function to ensure compliance, efficiency, and accountability within the Medicaid Program by detecting and preventing fraud, waste, and abuse. The Medicaid Inspector General's office fulfills that federal requirement.

Pursuant to Ark. Code Ann. §20-77-2106, the Office of the Medicaid Inspector General shall conduct and supervise activities to prevent, detect and investigate medical assistance program fraud and abuse. Additionally, Ark. Code Ann. §20-77-2109, requires the Office of the Medicaid Inspector General to submit to the Governor, the President Pro Tempore of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Division of Legislative Audit, Legislative Council, and the Attorney General a report summarizing the activities during the preceding calendar year.

Annual Report Statistics and Information

This annual report contains information from the following time periods:

- (1) Fiscal year 2013 (July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013), for the time period when the Program Integrity division was supervised and directed by the Department of Human Services (DHS).
- (2) Fiscal year 2014 (July 1, 2013 to current), for statistics, data and information from the Office of the Medicaid Inspector General.

Recoveries/Recoupment

•	Fiscal	year 2013 recoveries of overpayments by Program Integrity Unit	\$1,137,406
•	Fiscal	year 2013 questioned overpayments by Program Integrity Unit	
	0	Original questioned costs for audit reviews	\$3,896,248
	0	Adjusted questioned costs for audit reconsiderations	\$1,057,716

OMIG - Recoveries and Recoupments from the 2014 fiscal year

•	Fiscal year 2014 recoveries of overpayments by OMIG	<u>\$277,475</u>
•	Fiscal year 2014 recoupments submitted to DHS Accounts Receivable	<u>\$309,146</u>

Audits and Investigations

Fiscal year 2013 statistics for the Program Integrity Unit

- Audits/Reviews
 - o Onsite Audits/Reviews performed by Program Integrity

134

	0	Desk Reviews utilizing Health Information Technology	36
	0	Desk Reviews - DAAS/DDS Duplicate Payments	371
	0	HCBS Waiver Referral Investigations	15
			<u>556</u>
Fisc	al yea	ar 2014 statistics for the Office of Medicaid Inspector General	
•	Audits	s/Reviews	
	0	Onsite Audits/Reviews performed by Program Integrity	21
	0	Desk Reviews utilizing Health Information Technology	51
	0	Desk Reviews - DAAS/DDS Duplicate Payments	59
	0	HCBS Waiver Referral Investigations	5
			136

Administrative Actions

Pursuant to Ark. Code Ann. §20-77-2106 the OMIG may pursue civil and administrative actions against an individual or entity that engages in fraud, abuse or illegal or improper acts within the medical assistance program. Administrative Actions include a number of provider sanctions that result from audits, investigations and reviews by the Program Integrity Unit.

Fiscal year 2013 - Program Integrity Unit statistics

•	Provider suspensions from the Medicaid Program	31
•	Provider terminations from the Medicaid Program	1
•	Provider exclusions from the Medicaid Program	10
•	Provider placements on pre-pay status in the Medicaid Program	1

Fiscal year 2014 OMIG statistics

Provider suspensions from the Medicaid Program

Provider terminations from the Medicaid Program
 Provider exclusions from the Medicaid Program
 Provider placements on pre-pay status in the Medicaid Program

Administrative Appeals

Administrative Appeals occur when Medicaid providers request appeals of the findings of the Program Integrity Unit or OMIG after an audit, review or investigation occurs. Medicaid Providers are entitled to appeal all findings pursuant to the Arkansas Medicaid Fairness Act, Ark. Code Ann, §20-77-1702, and pursuant to the Arkansas Medicaid Manual §160.00 et seq.

 Fiscal year 2013 Provider Appeal Requests 	27
• Fiscal year 2013 Provider appeals Open/Pending per DHS	32
Fiscal year 2014 Provider Appeal Requests	8
 Total amount of Provider appeals Open/Pending per OMIG 	40

The OMIG is providing assistance and support for all pending appeals and cases involving program integrity audits, reviews, and investigations that remain assigned to DHS' Office of Policy and Legal Services (OPLS).

Civil Actions

The establishment of the Office of Medicaid Inspector General creates powers and duties for the OMIG. These include pursuing civil and administrative enforcement actions against an individual or entity that engages in fraud, abuse, or illegal improper acts within the medical assistance program. The OMIG will have the authority to initiate and maintain actions for civil recovery including the seizure of property and assets connected with improper payments and entering into civil settlements. See Ark. Code Ann. 20-77-2106(6). The OMIG anticipates

pursuing past due recoupments and collections and taking a more aggressive position with future recoupments and collections.

Referrals of Fraud amd Prosecutions

A. Arkansas Attorney General's Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

Pursuant to Ark. Code Ann. §20-77-2106 the Medicaid Inspector General shall work with the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU), of the Office of the Arkansas Attorney General, prosecuting attorneys and law enforcement agencies. The Medicaid Inspector General refers audit investigations to MFCU when there is a credible allegation of fraud. See 42 CFR §455.23.

- In Fiscal year 2013, seven Medicaid provider investigations were referred for consideration to the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit of the Attorney General's Office.
- In Fiscal year 2014, four Medicaid provider investigations were referred for considerations to the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit of the Attorney General's Office.

B. Other Suspected Fraud Referrals

In addition to referrals of suspected fraud to the Arkansas Attorney General's Office, the OMIG shall also make referrals and coordinate efforts with other federal, state and local law enforcement agencies. See Ark. Code Ann. §20-77-2106(2). In the last two years, the Program Integrity Unit has referred two Medicaid providers to the U.S. Attorney's Office for investigation and possible criminal prosecution. The OMIG will continue to coordinate efforts and make referrals to the appropriate law enforcement agencies when criminal fraud is suspected or uncovered during an audit, investigation or reporting episode.

C. Referrals to Licensure Boards

Pursuant to Ark. Code Ann. §20-77-2106, the Medicaid Inspector General shall refer information and evidence to regulatory agencies and licensure boards. Historically, this has not been an independent function of the Program Integrity Unit. The OMIG will make referrals to appropriate regulatory agencies and licensure boards this year.

D. Referral of Beneficiary Fraud for Prosecution

Pursuant to Ark. Code Ann. §20-77-2106, the Medicaid Inspector General shall make available to appropriate law enforcement information and evidence relating to suspected criminal acts. Historically, this has not been an independent function of the Program Integrity Unit. The OMIG will make referrals and provide information and evidence of suspected beneficiary fraud to appropriate law enforcement agencies and state prosecuting attorney's offices.

Administrative and Education Activities

Pursuant to Ark. Code Ann. §20-77-2106, the Office of the Medicaid Inspector General shall establish the following:

- (1) Develop protocols to facilitate the efficient self-disclosure for providers
- (2) Implement and maintain a hotline for reporting complaints regarding fraud waste and abuse
- (3) Implement and maintain a website
- (4) Develop a provider compliance program and make the program and guidelines available on its website.

A. OMIG Website Prototype and Features

In October 2013, the Office of Medicaid Inspector General will launch the agency website. The OMIG website will include all information required under the statutory duties and powers of Ark. Code Ann. §20-77-2101 et al.

- The website URL will be: OMIG.Arkansas.gov
- The OMIG hotline # will be 1-855-5AR-OMIG (1-855-527-6644)

Below is a prototype of the OMIG website that is currently being designed and prepared by Information Network of Arkansas (INA). The website construction and design is being provided by grant funds made available to Arkansas state agencies.



Features of OMIG website

- Online Referral and Fraud complaint form
- Medicaid Fraud Hotline information
- Exclusion list of Medicaid Providers
- Provider Enrollment information
- Provider Self-Disclosure Protocol
- Provider Compliance Program
- News about the Office of the Medicaid Inspector General
- Links to other federal and state agencies or resources
- · Education on Medicaid fraud, waste and abuse

B. OMIG Provider Education

- The OMIG has made a concentrated effort to contact Arkansas Provider associations and
 organizations. The OMIG has attended meetings and scheduled presentations with a number
 of Medicaid provider organizations, including: Arkansas Residential Assisted Living
 Association; Arkansas Hospital Association; Arkansas Mental Health Association; Arkansas
 State Medical Board; and the Arkansas Developmental Disabilities Association. The OMIG
 is available to any Medicaid providers or associations that have questions or concerns going
 forward.
- The Office of the Medicaid Inspector General will implement a Medicaid provider education and training plan through the development of presentations and website and webinar training initiatives. Provider education materials and information will also be available upon request and providers will receive education and information through audit reviews, corrective action plans, and observations.
- On July 31, 2013, the Office of Medicaid Inspector General, in conjunction with Arkansas' Recovery Audit Contract (RAC) contractor, Health Management Systems, Inc. (HMS), conducted a webinar for the Arkansas Hospital Association regarding implementation and processes of RAC audits. The webinar had 46 registered participant hospitals.

C. Other OMIG Functions and Duties

- OMIG participates in quarterly meetings with the Arkansas Attorney General's Medicaid Fraud Control Unit.
- OMIG continues participating in the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Medi/Medi program which allows the OMIG to analyze dually eligible beneficiary claims, as well as perform other improper payment analysis from the prospective of both Medicare and Medicaid. OMIG also worked with Medi/Medi contractors on various projects in fiscal year 2013 and participates in quarterly meetings with the Medi/Medi Task Force which includes local and federal law enforcement
- OMIG participates in Regional Task Force calls with CMS Center for Program Integrity
 (CPI) and other state Program Integrity Units and Medicaid Inspector Generals
- OMIG serves as the lead agency in the Medicaid and Chip Business Information Solutions
 (MACBIS) Pilot Project. The pilot project is aimed at streamlining a uniform Medicaid data
 set. Arkansas was one of ten states invited to participate in this pilot program. OMIG, in
 collaboration with the Division of Medical Services, will implement the streamlined data set
 by January 2014.

D. OMIG Personnel Education and Training

- On July 8-9, 2013, staff members of the Office of Medicaid Inspector General participated in educational training sessions with staff from MFCU.
- Throughout the year, staff and personnel are encouraged to register and attend federallyfunded education courses and classes at the Medicaid Integrity Institute which is located in
 Columbia, South Carolina. These courses are provided to qualified state employees and are
 100% funded by the federal government.
- The OMIG is currently reviewing policies and manuals in order to draft an employee manual which adequately addresses work protocols and job expectations.

OMIG Performance Narrative

Act 1499 of the 2013 Arkansas Legislative session marked a significant change in the regulation and enforcement of the Arkansas Medicaid program. The creation of the Office of the Medicaid Inspector General should provide new opportunities for policy implementation and regulation in order to detect fraud, waste, and abuse in medical assistance programs.

OMIG Personnel - 31 former DHS/DMS positions were transferred under the authority of
the OMIG. During the first few months, existing personnel have been evaluated to
determine strengths and weaknesses. A number of personnel have been reassigned to address
new agency functions that were previously covered by DHS such as: Human Resources;
general accounting; purchasing; AASIS certification; and administrative support.

During the evaluations, immediate concerns arose regarding the level or training and the lack of established protocols and procedures for audits, reviews, reconsiderations, and quality assurance. In the past, personnel turnover has been problematic for the Program Integrity Unit. The new administration is working to establish employee manuals, work protocols, audit and investigation procedures to provide guidance and to create a consistent working environment where both administration and employees understand job descriptions and expectations.

• Budget and Appropriation Concerns - After consulting with DHS and the Department of Finance and Administration, it was determined that the 2014 budget appropriation will not adequately fund the projected maintenance and operating expenses, and payroll for the operating costs of the OMIG. Additional operating expenses and start-up costs are anticipated in order to adequately operate the OMIG as an independent state agency.

Due to the existing budget concerns, OMIG has delayed hiring a number of personnel and has delayed in implementing a number of initiatives and decisions.

• OMIG Audits and Recoupments - The OMIG is concerned that previous audits, reviews and investigative reports contain errors and overall improvement in reporting and calculations is necessary moving forward. Due to recent changes in the Arkansas Medicaid Fairness Act, Ark. Code Ann §20-77-1702, the OMIG will be held to higher standards and

prompt reporting requirements. OMIG is working to create protocols and procedures to reduce mistakes and errors in audit, review, and investigation processes and reporting.

The OMIG will coordinate with DHS to develop a plan and strategy to ensure that Medicaid providers are complying with payment orders and negotiated settlements. The OMIG will also coordinate with DHS to seek recoupment funds from Medicaid providers that have not made arrangements to address existing recoupment balances.

- OMIG Office Location Due to budget constraints, the majority of the OMIG staff and personnel continue to operate at the DHS Donaghy Plaza. OMIG is preparing a preliminary Request for Procurement and is working with DFA and DHS to relocate the OMIG personnel in a new location once budget concerns are addressed. OMIG administration is currently operating in a temporary location near the DHS Donaghy Plaza.
- Providers Resources OMIG is working to establish working relationships with providers
 and provider associations. It is anticipated that the OMIG website will provide Medicaid
 providers information regarding audit protocols, self-disclosure programs, model compliance
 programs and contact information. Medicaid providers will have access to information and
 resources that were either not previously available to them or difficult to obtain.
- Provider Self-Disclosure The OMIG hopes to form partnerships with Medicaid providers
 through the self-disclosure program. It is anticipated that the Provider Self-Disclosure
 Program will enhance OMIG's overall efforts to eliminate fraud, waste and abuse while
 simultaneously offering Medicaid Providers a mechanism or method to reduce their legal and
 financial exposure.

Contact Information

Office of the Medicaid Inspector General P.O. Box 1437, Slot S-414 Little Rock, Arkansas 72203-1437 501-683-8349 FAX: 501-682-8350

Jay Shue, Medicaid Inspector General Office of the Medicaid Inspector General 323 Center Street, Ste. 1200 Little Rock, AR 72201 501-683-2976 Jay.Shue@arkansas.gov

Bart Dickinson, Chief Counsel
Office of the Medicaid Inspector General
323 Center Street, Ste. 1200
Little Rock, AR 72201
501-683-2978
Bart.Dickinson@arkansas.gov

Robin Raveendren, Program Integrity Director Office of the Medicaid Inspector General Donaghy Plaza West, 700 Main Street Little Rock, AR 72201 501-682-8173 Robin.Raveendran@arkansas.gov



Office of Medicaid Inspector General

Annual Report: Appendix A, B, and C October 2013

Jay Shue, Medicaid Inspector General

Appendix A

Distribution of FY 2014 Audits/Investigations

	Provider name		Region		Reason for audit	Outcome	Total state and federal dollar value initially identified for recovery	Actual state and federal recovery from audit	Amount repaid to CMS
		District	City	County					
1	Onsite Audit/Review	3	Fayetteville	Washington	Complaint	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
2	Onsite Audit/Review	2	Little Rock	Pulaski	Referral	Pending	\$87,410.20	n/a	n/a
3	Onsite Audit/Review	1	Jonesboro	Craighead	Complaint	Pending	\$171.79	n/a	n/a
4	Onsite Audit/Review	1	Jonesboro	Craighead	Complaint	Pending	\$167.60	n/a	n/a
5	Onsite Audit/Review	1	Jonesboro	Craighead	Complaint	Pending	\$0.00	n/a	n/a
6	Onsite Audit/Review	1	Jonesboro	Craighead	Complaint	Pending	\$201.12	n/a	n/a
7	Onsite Audit/Review	1	Jonesboro	Craighead	Complaint	Pending	\$50.28	n/a	n/a
8	Onsite Audit/Review	1	Jonesboro	Craighead	Complaint	Pending	\$16,856.37	n/a	n/a
9	Onsite Audit/Review	2	Little Rock	Pulaski	Referral	Pending	\$41,184.00	n/a	n/a

	Provider name		Region		Reason for audit	Outcome	Total state and federal dollar value initially identified for recovery	Actual state and federal recovery from audit	Amount repaid to CMS
10	Onsite Audit/Review	2	Benton	Saline	Complaint	Pending	\$0.00	n/a	n/a
11	Onsite Audit/Review	4	Nashville	Howard	Referral	Pending	\$0.00	n/a	n/a
12	Onsite Audit/Review	4	Fort Smith	Sebastian	Complaint	Pending	\$198,594.87	n/a	n/a
13	Onsite Audit/Review	3	Fayetteville	Washington	Complaint	Pending	\$121,881.33	n/a	n/a
14	Onsite Audit/Review	4	Arkadelphia	Clark	Complaint	Pending	\$102,565.20	n/a	n/a
15	Onsite Audit/Review	2	Little Rock	Pulaski	Complaint	Pending	\$1,745.10	n/a	n/a
16	Onsite Audit/Review	2	Little Rock	Pulaski	Self Reported	Pending	\$0.00	n/a	n/a
17	Onsite Audit/Review	2	Little Rock	Pulaski	Referral	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
18	Onsite Audit/Review	2	Little Rock	Pulaski	Referral	Pending	\$855.14	n/a	n/a
19	Onsite Audit/Review	4	Pine Bluff	Jefferson	Data mining	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
20	Onsite Audit/Review	2	Searcy	White	Data mining	Pending	\$6,746.88	n/a	n/a
21	Onsite Audit/Review	2	Benton	Saline	Data mining	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
22	Desk Review HIT	1	Pocahontas	Randolph	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a

	Provider name		Region		Reason for audit	Outcome	Total state and federal dollar value initially identified for recovery	Actual state and federal recovery from audit	Amount repaid to CMS
23	Desk Review HIT	1	Pocahontas	Randolph	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
24	Desk Review HIT	1	Pocahontas	Randolph	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
25	Desk Review HIT	1	Blytheville	Mississippi	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
26	Desk Review HIT	1	Corning	Clay	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
27	Desk Review HIT	1	Corning	Clay	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
28	Desk Review HIT	1	Corning	Clay	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
29	Desk Review HIT	1	Corning	Clay	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
30	Desk Review HIT	1	Corning	Clay	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
31	Desk Review HIT	1	Corning	Clay	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
32	Desk Review HIT	1	Jonesboro	Craighead	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
33	Desk Review HIT	1	Corning	Clay	Risk Analysis	Pending	\$21,250.00	n/a	n/a
34	Desk Review HIT	1	Augusta	Woodruff	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
35	Desk Review HIT	1	Augusta	Woodruff	Risk	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a

	Provider name	Region		Reason for audit	Outcome	Total state and federal dollar value initially identified for recovery	Actual state and federal recovery from audit	Amount repaid to CMS	
					Analysis	LITER BU			
36	Desk Review HIT	1	Walnut Ridge	Lawrence	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
37	Desk Review HIT	2	Conway	Faulkner	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
38	Desk Review HIT	2	Conway	Faulkner	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
39	Desk Review HIT	2	Conway	Faulkner	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
40	Desk Review HIT	2	Conway	Faulkner	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
41	Desk Review HIT	2	Conway	Faulkner	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
42	Desk Review HIT	2	Conway	Faulkner	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
43	Desk Review HIT	4	Dardanelle	Yell	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
44	Desk Review HIT	1	Paragould	Greene	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
45	Desk Review HIT	1	Forrest City	St Francis	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
46	Desk Review HIT	2	Conway	Faulkner	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
47	Desk Review HIT	3	Fayetteville	Washington	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a

	Provider name		Region		Reason for audit	Outcome	Total state and federal dollar value initially identified for recovery	Actual state and federal recovery from audit	Amount repaid to CMS
48	Desk Review HIT	3	Springdale	Washington	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
49	Desk Review HIT	3	Fayetteville	Washington	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
50	Desk Review HIT	3	Fayetteville	Washington	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
51	Desk Review HIT	3	Fayetteville	Washington	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
52	Desk Review HIT	3	Springdale	Washington	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
53	Desk Review HIT	1	Batesville	Independence	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
54	Desk Review HIT	3	Harrison	Boone	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
55	Desk Review HIT	3	Harrison	Boone	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
56	Desk Review HIT	3	Harrison	Boone	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
57	Desk Review HIT	4	Fort Smith	Sebastian	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
58	Desk Review HIT	4	Ratcliff	Logan	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
59	Desk Review HIT	4	Ratcliff	Logan	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
60	Desk Review HIT	4	Ratcliff	Logan	Risk	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a

	Provider name		Region		Reason for audit	Outcome	Total state and federal dollar value initially identified for recovery	Actual state and federal recovery from audit	Amount repaid to CMS
					Analysis				
61	Desk Review HIT	4	Malvern	Hot Spring	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
62	Desk Review HIT	1	Jonesboro	Craighead	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
63	Desk Review HIT	1	Jonesboro	Craighead	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
64	Desk Review HIT	1	Jonesboro	Craighead	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
65	Desk Review HIT	1	Jonesboro	Craighead	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
66	Desk Review HIT	1	Jonesboro	Craighead	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
67	Desk Review HIT	1	Batesville	Independence	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
68	Desk Review HIT	1	Batesville	Independence	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
69	Desk Review HIT	4	El Dorado	Union	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
70	Desk Review HIT	4	El Dorado	Union	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
71	Desk Review HIT	4	El Dorado	Union	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
72	Desk Review HIT	1	Forrest City	St Francis	Risk Analysis	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a

	Provider name	Region			Reason for audit	Outcome	Total state and federal dollar value initially identified for recovery	Actual state and federal recovery from audit	Amount repaid to CMS
73	Desk review Duplicate Payment	2	Little Rock	Pulaski	Data mining	Pending	\$1,948.44	n/a	n/a
74	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Hot Springs	Garland	Data mining	Pending	\$335.20	n/a	n/a
75	Desk review Duplicate Payment	3	Holiday Island	Carroll	Data mining	Pending	\$138.16	n/a	n/a
76	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Pine Bluff	Jefferson	Data mining	Pending	\$498.05	n/a	n/a
77	Desk review Duplicate Payment	3	Bentonville	Benton	Data mining	Pending	\$213.45	n/a	n/a
78	Desk review Duplicate Payment	1	Salem	Fulton	Data mining	Pending	\$65.25	n/a	n/a
79	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Barling	Sebastian	Data mining	Pending	\$20.33	n/a	n/a
80	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Hot Springs	Garland	Data mining	Pending	\$2,041.20	n/a	n/a
81	Desk review Duplicate Payment	1	Forrest City	St Francis	Data mining	Pending	\$136.08	n/a	n/a
82	Desk review Duplicate Payment	2	Jacksonville	Pulaski	Data mining	Pending	\$19.44	n/a	n/a
83	Desk review Duplicate Payment	2	North Little Rock	Pulaski	Data mining	Pending	\$58.66	n/a	n/a
84	Desk review Duplicate Payment	2	North Little Rock	Pulaski	Data mining	Pending	\$125.70	n/a	n/a
85	Desk review Duplicate Payment	3	Harrison	Boone	Data mining	Pending	\$33.52	n/a	n/a

	Provider name	Region			Reason for audit	Outcome	Total state and federal dollar value initially identified for recovery	Actual state and federal recovery from audit	Amount repaid to CMS
86	Desk review Duplicate Payment	3	Harrison	Boone	Data mining	Pending	\$83.80	n/a	n/a
87	Desk review Duplicate Payment	2	Little Rock	Pulaski	Data mining	Pending	\$104.75	n/a	n/a
88	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Crossett	Ashley	Data mining	Pending	\$367.11	n/a	n/a
89	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Hot Springs	Garland	Data mining	Pending	\$71.15	n/a	n/a
90	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Mount Ida	Montgomery	Data mining	Pending	\$1,707.60	n/a	n/a
91	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Van Buren	Crawford	Data mining	Pending	\$77.19	n/a	n/a
92	Desk review Duplicate Payment	3	Pea Ridge	Benton	Data mining	Pending	\$77.19	n/a	n/a
93	Desk review Duplicate Payment	2	Benton	Saline	Data mining	Pending	\$71.15	n/a	n/a
94	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Malvern	Hot Spring	Data mining	Pending	\$77.19	n/a	n/a
95	Desk review Duplicate Payment	1	Cabot	Lonoke	Data mining	Pending	\$308.76	n/a	n/a
96	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Pine Bluff	Jefferson	Data mining	Pending	\$467.74	n/a	n/a
97	Desk review Duplicate Payment	1	Mountain Home	Baxter	Data mining	Pending	\$66.82	n/a	n/a
98	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Arkadelphia	Clark	Data mining	Pending	\$77.19	n/a	n/a

	Provider name	Provider name Region		Reason for audit	Outcome	Total state and federal dollar value initially identified for recovery	Actual state and federal recovery from audit	Amount repaid to CMS	
99	Desk review Duplicate Payment	2	Little Rock	Pulaski	Data mining	Pending	\$280.00	n/a	n/a
100	Desk review Duplicate Payment	3	Harrison	Boone	Data mining	Pending	\$43.51	n/a	n/a
101	Desk review Duplicate Payment	2	Little Rock	Pulaski	Data mining	Pending	\$83.80	n/a	n/a
102	Desk review Duplicate Payment	2	Little Rock	Pulaski	Data mining	Pending	\$142.46	n/a	n/a
103	Desk review Duplicate Payment	2	Little Rock	Pulaski	Data mining	Pending	\$58.66	n/a	n/a
104	Desk review Duplicate Payment	1	McCrory	Woodruff	Data mining	Pending	\$77.76	n/a	n/a
105	Desk review Duplicate Payment	2	Little Rock	Pulaski	Data mining	Pending	\$70.00	n/a	n/a
106	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Magnolia	Columbia	Data mining	Pending	\$634.20	n/a	n/a
107	Desk review Duplicate Payment	3	Bentonville	Benton	Data mining	Pending	\$84.20	n/a	n/a
108	Desk review Duplicate Payment	2	North Little Rock	Pulaski	Data mining	Pending	\$431.57	n/a	n/a
109	Desk review Duplicate Payment	3	Rogers	Benton	Data mining	Pending	\$678.78	n/a	n/a
110	Desk review Duplicate Payment	3	Rogers	Benton	Data mining	Pending	\$150.84	n/a	n/a
111	Desk review Duplicate Payment	1	Mountain Home	Baxter	Data mining	Pending	\$67.04	n/a	n/a

	Provider name	Region			Reason for audit	Outcome	Total state and federal dollar value initially identified for recovery	Actual state and federal recovery from audit	Amount repaid to CMS
112	Desk review Duplicate Payment	1	Osceola	Mississippi	Data mining	Pending	\$1,768.18	n/a	n/a
113	Desk review Duplicate Payment	2	North Little Rock	Pulaski	Data mining	Pending	\$92.18	n/a	n/a
114	Desk review Duplicate Payment	1	Jonesboro	Craighead	Data mining	Pending	\$100.56	n/a	n/a
115	Desk review Duplicate Payment	1	Jonesboro	Craighead	Data mining	Pending	\$100.56	n/a	n/a
116	Desk review Duplicate Payment	1	Jonesboro	Craighead	Data mining	Pending	\$140.00	n/a	n/a
117	Desk review Duplicate Payment	1	Mountain Home	Baxter	Data mining	Pending	\$73.61	n/a	n/a
118	Desk review Duplicate Payment	1	Piggott	Clay	Data mining	Pending	\$139.50	n/a	n/a
119	Desk review Duplicate Payment	1	Pocahontas	Randolph	Data mining	Pending	\$29.33	n/a	n/a
120	Desk review Duplicate Payment	1	Jonesboro	Craighead	Data mining	Pending	\$2,018.75	n/a	n/a
121	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Fort Smith	Sebastian	Data mining	Pending	\$406.43	n/a	n/a
122	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Fort Smith	Sebastian	Data mining	Pending	\$50.28	n/a	n/a
123	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Fort Smith	Sebastian	Data mining	Pending	\$16.76	n/a	n/a
124	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Hot Springs	Garland	Data mining	Pending	\$670.40	n/a	n/a

	Provider name	Region			Reason for audit	Outcome	Total state and federal dollar value initially identified for recovery	Actual state and federal recovery from audit	Amount repaid to CMS
125	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Hot Springs	Garland	Data mining	Pending	\$1,474.88	n/a	n/a
126	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Huntsville	Madison	Data mining	Pending	\$194.85	n/a	n/a
127	Desk review Duplicate Payment	2	Little Rock	Pulaski	Data mining	Pending	\$1,423.85	n/a	n/a
128	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Sheridan	Grant	Data mining	Pending	\$36.24	n/a	n/a
129	Desk review Duplicate Payment	2	Conway	Faulkner	Data mining	Pending	\$25.98	n/a	n/a
130	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Pine Bluff	Jefferson	Data mining	Pending	\$38.61	n/a	n/a
131	Desk review Duplicate Payment	4	Van Buren	Crawford	Data mining	Pending	\$1,832.62	n/a	n/a
132	HCBS Waiver Investigation	4	Pine Bluff	Jefferson	Referral	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
133	HCBS Waiver Investigation	4	Pine Bluff	Jefferson	Referral	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
134	HCBS Waiver Investigation	2	Conway	Faulkner	Referral	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
135	HCBS Waiver Investigation	4	Pine Bluff	Jefferson	Referral	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a
136		4	Pine Bluff	Jefferson	Referral	Pending	TBD	n/a	n/a

Appendix B

Distribution of FY 2014 Fraud Referrals

Referral		Region		Referred to MFCU	Referred to Other Law Enforcement Agency	Status
	District	City	County			
1	4	DeQueen	Sevier	Yes		Referral declined by MFCU
2	4	Nashville	Howard	Yes		Referred back to OMIG for Administrative Action – Provider placed on pre- payment review
3	2	Conway	Faulkner	Yes		Investigation pending
4	4	Paris	Logan	Yes		Investigation pending

Appendix C

Distribution of FY 2014 Administrative Actions

Administrative Action Type		Outcome		
	District	City	County	
Suspension	4	Ashdown	Little River	Emergency order of suspension from ASMB
Suspension	4	Arkadelphia	Clark	Emergency order of suspension from ASMB
Suspension	1	Jonesboro	Craighead	Emergency order of suspension from ASMB
Suspension	4	El Dorado	Union	Emergency order of suspension from ASMB
Pre-payment Status	4	Nashville	Howard	Pre-payment reporting is ongoing
Pre-payment Status	1	Helena	Phillips	Pre-payment reporting is ongoing