Please Read Instructions on Reverse Side of Yellow copy

Please print in ink or type

MAR 1 3 2014

BEFORE THE STATE CLAIMS COMMISSION Of the State of Arkansas

RECEIVED

7Mr.			Do Not Write	in These Spaces
□ Ms.	B+6 FARMS	r	Claim No. 14-0	
Miss BROOKS MINTON, U	IR. d/b/a	Claimant	Date Filed March	1 13, 2014
vs.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		(Month)	(Day) (Year)
State of Arkansas, Respondent		1	Amount of Claims 2.	*430-18
Dept. of Correction		1		Loss of Profi
		PLAINT	Refund of Ex	penses, Prope
BROOKS MINTON, UR.	the above named Claimum	a, of 104 Co	AMBRIDGE	PL. Englan
AR 72046 541-591 (State) (Zip Code) (Daytime Phon	9-9020 County of 0 6 FFE	RSON represente	Street or R.F.D. & No.) d by CUBH LA	u Film, P.A.
of 8114 CANTRELL RUAD (Street and No.)	STE. 100 LITTLE Pack	AR 7272	(Legal Counsel, if a	ny, for Claim)
(Street and No.)	(City) (State	(Zip Code)	(Phone No.)	(Fax No.)
State agency involved: ARHANSA	S PEPT. OF C	applications	sought: 421,49	0.18
Month, day, year and place of incident or serv Explanation: SEE ATTACH	ice: ULNES, 2017	1. VEFFER	Son Count	4 AREANSA
Explanation: SEE ATTACH	IED FACTUAL NA	RRATIVE	WIE SOL	
Asperts of this complaint, the claiment makes the start of the second of	atements, and answers the following cases	tions as indicated: (1) He	rdain ham assessed as	
VES : when? Uhne M	7.2017 :to whom? A	ARK C. A	CALLA / - A	enrodebatement or officer thereof
(Yes or No) (Month) (De	ay) (Year)	37 /4	(Department)	
geneture Afragastigitoge	and that the following action was taken:	thereon: OEA'	ED LIABILI	74
nd that \$ was paid to	thereon: (2) Has any third person or corp	oration an interest in this	claim?	; if so, state name and address
NIA				", or each pressure and stirtlick
	(Street or R.F.D. & No.)	(City)	(State)	(Zip Code)
d that the nature thereof is as follows:	4	-		(
. 41	: and was acquired on	NA		in the following manner
MA:				
THE UNDERSIGNED states on cath tha	rt he or she is familiar with the matter	ra and things set forth i	n the above completed and	Albert has an all an aller to the second
at they are true.		The state of the s	a day move confidence and	must me or see vermy nemeve
YAROLD F. Coop		71 00		
(Print Claimant/Representative	Numa	WAN E		
(7 11ms Communicately caption (3.6.)	(Name)	(Sigi	sature of Claimant/Rep	resentative)
SV	WORN TO and subscribed before a	man little	Rede	^ -
S.	AC MIN SUPSYINGU DOIGIE!	un at VITIL	C MINE	AAB
SARAE CRITTENDEN			(City)	4/12
TATE OF ARKANSAS	مامل والله المال	1000 1000	(041)	(State)
ABA-PUBLIC-STATE OF WALL	on this 145	day of Mu	rth "	(State)
PULASKI COUNTY	- unis	_day of	rth	2014
Carping OR-25-ZU ID	on this (Date)	day of Mu	(Month)	(State) (Year)
PULASKI COUNTY PULASKI COUNTY (v Commission Expires 08-26-2016)	- unis	day of Mu	rth	2014
(v Commission Expires 06-28-2016	- unis	day of Mu	Hendersch)	(Year)
(v Commission Expires 06-28-2016	(Date)	day of Mu	rth	(Year)
IV Commission Expires 06-26-2016	- unis	day of Mu	Hendersch)	(Year)

NON VEHICLE PROPERTY DAMAGE/PERSONAL INJURY INCIDENT REPORT FORM

	ADDRESS 104	CAMBRIDGE PI
CITY & STATE Englan	VD AA	710 CODE 724//
DATE OF INCIDENT: JUNE 5,2017	TIME Gat	ender
to property and/or injury to person:	lent happened, exa	ct loss and extent of damage
OVER SPRAY TO ORIFT DATE	CLAIRANTS	Rice Clarence
IN PANAGE	111-411-5	Telete e 1-01, 12834
(if personal injury claim only	. move on to Section (V)
SECTION II NA Has this property been repaired? Yes () No () If rep	*****	
information: Amount: \$	Have you paid for	the sension Very New (
NOTE: Attach a copy of repair bill.	nave you paid for	the repairs? Yes()No()
If repairs have not been made, list three estimates belonAME ADDRESS		es of each of them. AMOUNT
1		\$
2		\$
3		\$
	2	

SECTION IV N/A. s injured covered by medical insurance? Yes () No ()	Arkansas State Claims Commissio
SECTION IV N/A. s injured covered by medical insurance? Yes () No ()	Arkansas State Claims Commissio MAR 1 3 2014
SECTION IV N/A. s injured covered by medical insurance? Yes () No (f yes, what is the deductible? \$) 	siate Claims Commissio
SECTION IV N/A s injured covered by medical insurance? Yes () No (f yes, what is the deductible? \$) -	MAR 1 3 2014
s injured covered by medical insurance? Yes () No (f yes, what is the deductible? \$	htt	MAR 1 3 2014 RECEIVED
s injured covered by medical insurance? Yes () No (f yes, what is the deductible? \$	*** er agency, give nar Collections	MAR 1 3 2014 RECEIVED
s injured covered by medical insurance? Yes () No (f yes, what is the deductible? \$	er agency, give nar	MAR 1 3 2014 RECEIVED me and title of officer/person Renay Gilles Pile
s injured covered by medical insurance? Yes () No (f yes, what is the deductible? \$ IAME OF INSURANCE CARRIER ADDRESS ECTION V Incident was investigated by the police or by some oth naking the investigation: ARKANAL DEST. of ECTION VI the undersigned states on oath that he/she is familiar was attement, and that he/she verily believes that they are to	er agency, give nar Confections ith the matters and rue.	MAR 1 3 2014 RECEIVED me and title of officer/person REANY LIVES P. 1. things set forth in the above
s injured covered by medical insurance? Yes () No (f yes, what is the deductible? \$	er agency, give nar Condections ith the matters and rue.	MAR 1 3 2014 RECEIVED me and title of officer/person REANY LIVESPIL things set forth in the above
s injured covered by medical insurance? Yes () No (f yes, what is the deductible? \$ NAME OF INSURANCE CARRIER ADDRESS SECTION V Incident was investigated by the police or by some other along the investigation: AREA MANGER ECTION VI the undersigned states on oath that he/she is familiar was tatement, and that he/she verily believes that they are to sarrange.	er agency, give nar Condections ith the matters and rue.	MAR 1 3 2014 RECEIVED me and title of officer/person REANY LIVES P. 1. things set forth in the above

MAR 1 3 2014

BEFORE THE ARKANSAS CLAIMS COMMISSION

RECEIVED

BROOKS MINTON, JR. d/b/a B & G FARMS

CLAIMANT

¥ .	

CLAIM NO.	AIM NO.
-----------	---------

STATE OF ARKANSAS

RESPONDENT

FACTUAL NARRATIVE

That, on or about June 5, 2013, the Arkansas Department of Corrections (hereafter ADC) sprayed a herbicide which drifted upon a forty-four and twenty-six hundreds (44.26) acre plot of land (hereafter "Canada Field") under rice seed by Claimant.

On or about June 17, 2013 Claimant met with ADC representative, Ronny Gillespie, Farm Manager, who admitted the ADC was guilty of negligence, which was the proximate cause of the above-described incident, and Claimant's resulting damages in the following particulars:

- (a) ADC did apply a herbicide to its farm area located Southwest of Claimant's two (2) plots of seeded land (77.6 acres and 44.26 acres) on June 5, 2013 (Exhibit A);
- (b) ADC did not hire a commercial herbicide applicator to apply the herbicide;
- (c) ADC leased or obtained the herbicide application equipment it used by loan;
- (d) ADC permitted an inmate to operate the herbicide application equipment;
- (e) ADC permitted an inmate to operate the herbicide application equipment without supervision;
- (f) ADC Agricultural Administrator, Mark S. McCown committed to a "yield guarantee" on Claimant's crop, equal to that of rice yield production of the adjacent "Shop" rice field.

(g) ADC does not have liability insurance to cover damages of Claimant;

(h) ADC Agricultural Administrator, Mark S. McCown denied liability for crop damage,

suggested the Claimant file a claim with the Arkansas Claims Commission (Exhibit

<u>B</u>).

Claimant hired Tracy Welch of South Arkansas Crop Consulting, LLC. to investigate,

advise, recommend and provide counsel on the origins of, and impact of herbicide contamination

on the Canada Field. (Exhibit C). Mr. Welch conducted a visual examination of the crop in the

Canada Field, and will provide oral testimony as to the factual basis for his opinions and

conclusions.

Claimant hired Ford L. Baldwin, PhD. of Practical Weed Consultants, LLC, investigate,

advise, recommend and provide counsel on the origins of, and impact of herbicide contamination

on his crop. Dr. Baldwin preformed a visual examination of the crop known in the "Canada

Field" on June 17, 2013, determining that a herbicide (glyphosate) drift had occurred from the

Southwest corner of the property creating a diagonal drift line across the rice crop killing

seedling and reproductive stage plants. (Exhibit D).

Due to the significant damage created by the herbicide drift from ADC property, a

soybean crop was planted to mitigate rice crop yield loss.

Dr. Baldwin will provide oral testimony as to the factual basis for his opinions and

conclusions.

Factual Narrative

4



Arkansas Department of Correction

P.O. Box 8707
Pine Bluff, Arkansas 71611-8707
Phone: (870) 850-8454
Fax: (870) 850-8440
www.state.ar.us/doc

January 15, 2014

Minton Farm, Inc. 1799 Tar Bottom Road England, Arkansas 72746

Re: Herbicide Drift

Dear Mr. Minton:

We discussed the fact that when the Arkansas Department of Correction (ADC) sprayed herbicide on June 5, 2013, there was some drift onto your rice field of approximately 2.46 acres. When we met on June 17, 2013, we discussed and you agreed to compensation in the amount of the average yield to be determined from surrounding rice fields at harvest.

You presented an assessment of damages far in excess of what we had agreed upon adding another field totaling 44.26 acres. Any problem incurred by you with regard to that field was not caused by the June 5 drift.

ADC remains willing to negotiate a fair and equitable settlement with regard to the 2.46 acres damaged by the drift. However, any claim you believe that you have with regard to the 44.26 acres is denied by ADC. Should you wish to pursue that claim, I suggest you file a claim with the Arkansas State Claims Commission.

Please contact me if you wish to negotiate a settlement on the 2.46 acres.

Sincerely,

Mark S. McCown

ADC Agricultural Administrator

xc: Ray Hobbs, Director

Marvin Evans, Deputy Director

Jan Dewoody Scussel, General Counsel

EXHIBIT A



P.O. Box 8707 Pine Bluff, Arkansas 71611-8707 Phone: (870) 850-8454 Fax: (870) 850-8440 www.state.ar.us/doc

Arkansas Department of Correction

January 15, 2014

Minton Farm, Inc. 1799 Tar Bottom Road England, Arkansas 72746

Re: Herbicide Drift

Dear Mr. Minton:

We discussed the fact that when the Arkansas Department of Correction (ADC) sprayed herbicide on June 5, 2013, there was some drift onto your rice field of approximately 2.46 acres. When we met on June 17, 2013, we discussed and you agreed to compensation in the amount of the average yield to be determined from surrounding rice fields at harvest.

You presented an assessment of damages far in excess of what we had agreed upon adding another field totaling 44.26 acres. Any problem incurred by you with regard to that field was not caused by the June 5 drift.

ADC remains willing to negotiate a fair and equitable settlement with regard to the 2.46 acres damaged by the drift. However, any claim you believe that you have with regard to the 44.26 acres is denied by ADC Should you wish to pursue that claim, I suggest you file a claim with the Arkansas State Claims

Please contact me if you wish to negotiate a settlement on the 2.46 acres.

Sincerely,

Mark S. McCown

ADC Agricultural Administrator

xc: Ray Hobbs, Director

Marvin Evans, Deputy Director

Jan Dewoody Scussel, General Counsel

South Arkansas Crop Consulting, LLC 153 West Little Lane White Hall, AR 71602

B & G Farms 104 Cambridge England, AR 72046

DATE	INVOICE #				
2/10/2014	111				

TERMS: Due upon receipt

Date	ltem	Description	Qty.	Rate	Amount
2/10/2014	101	Canada			
		1 extra trip to monitor damage	2.00	\$ 150.00	\$300.00
		1 extra trip to monitor damage/pictures	2.00	\$ 150.00	\$300.00
		1 extra trip w/Dr. Jarod Hardke	3.00	\$ 150.00	\$450.00
		1 extra trip w/Dr. Ford Baldwin	4.00	\$ 150.00	\$600.00
		1 Trip to Cook Law Firm	5.00	\$ 150.00	\$750.00
		Mileage to Cook Law Firm	110	\$ 0.56	\$61.60

Please remit to the above address. Thank you for your business.



PRACTICAL WEED CONSULTANTS, LLC.

Ford L. Baldwin Ph.D. 342 Webber Lane Austin, AR 72007

Cell: 501-681-3413 Email:ford@weedconsultants.com

B and G Farms 104 Cambridge Place England, AR 72046

6/23/13

Dear Mr. Minton,

I wish to document that I looked at a rice field called the Canada Field on 6-21-13. The field was water seeded to Rice Tec 745 Clearfield Hybrid. Your consultant, Tracy Welch, reported to me that he observed the field two weeks ago and the rice had sprouted and was pegging nicely and he recommended dropping the water to allow it to root and for herbicide application. He reported that he observed the field on 6/17 and the 2-leaf rice was dying on the west side of a southwest to northeast diagonal across the field. He reported that the field was still soupy and required boots to walk across. He felt at the time the rice was dying due to a drift of glyphosate. While the dead rice plants had deteriorated considerably by the time I was asked to look at it, the diagonal line across the field remained very evident. On the west side of that line that began in the southwest corner of the field, the seedling rice plants that remained were very scattered. There were a lot of volunteer hybrid plants in the field that were 6 to 12 inches tall and well tillered. Where these plants occurred in clumps, it was common to find a healthy seedling rice plant either in the clump or on the northeast side of the clump.

On the east side of the diagonal, a thin but acceptable stand of seedling rice plants was present. I could consistently find 3 to 6 rice plants per square foot in this portion of the field. The two things I considered in my diagnosis of the problem were water management and glyphosate drift. I ruled out water management based partly upon Tracy's observation of the field, but primarily upon my own observation that it is a zero grade field. In a zero grade field the water management, i.e. timing, depth, frequency and duration, would be expected to be reasonably uniform across the field. This would leave no explanation for the diagonal across the field. It is also very unlikely that any potential insect or disease issue would occur in a diagonal pattern in a zero grade field either. I believe the cause of injury was glyphosate drift from the southwest due to the rapid death of the plants, the diagonal drift pattern and the live plants within the clumps of volunteer rice and on the northeast sides of those clumps. Some have questioned how seedling plants could be killed with little to no symptoms present on the volunteer plants. Well tillered volunteer hybrid plants are quite tolerant to glyphosate and can in fact be quite difficult to kill with direct applications of glyphosate. I believe it is entirely possible that the dose required to kill 2-leaf, shallow rooted seedling plants would be low enough that no symptoms would occur on the large well tillered plants. Rice is much more tolerant to glyphosate in the tillering stage than in either the seedling or reproductive stages.

EXHIBIT

PRACTICAL WEED CONSULTANTS, LLC.

While I did not make a thorough investigation of where the drift may have originated, it obviously came from a very close source. The soybeans west of the rice field had obviously been sprayed with glyphosate in a similar time frame to when the drift would have occurred. I drove the road between the soybeans and rice. By casual observation the portion of the field just west of the rice field was only sprayed to the very edge of the field (and base of the dump) leaving a nice spray line in the grass. Only slight glyphosate symptoms could be observed on the vegetation on the ditch dump and in the ditch. However the portion of the soybean field beginning at the south side of the rice field (corner of the woods south of your field) and extending on to the south had been sprayed all the way up on the ditch dump and over to the woods. This would have required a higher boom height. While I was not present when the spraying occurred, just from casual observation it appeared much more care was taken on the application just west of the rice than the portion southwest of the rice. Your drift pattern has a definite southwest pattern to it beginning close to the southwest corner of your field. I believe some portion of the soybean field west of the ditch is the potential source. If I can further assist please feel free to contact me.

Yours truly,

Ford Baldwin, PH.D.

BEFORE THE ARKANSAS STATE CLAIMS COMMISSION

BROOKS MINTON, JR. (d/b/a B&G FARMS)

CLAIMANT

V.

NO. 14-0695-CC

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION

RESPONDENT

ANSWER

COMES NOW the Respondent, Arkansas Department of Correction, and for its Answer, states and alleges as follows:

- 1. Respondent denies liability in this claim and asserts it will hold the Claimant to strict proof on each allegation unless admitted by Respondent. Respondent reserves the right to plead further upon completion of the investigation by internal affairs and requests the matter be held in abeyance until the investigation is complete.
- 2. The applicable account information required by the Commission is:

a. Agency number: 0480

b.

Cost Center: HCA0100

c. Internal Order:

340301

d. Fund Center: 509

WHEREFORE, for the reasons cited above the Respondent prays that the claim be dismissed with prejudice and that Claimant take nothing, or in the alternative that the matter be held in abeyance until completion of the investigation by internal affairs.

Respectfully submitted,

Department of Correction Office of Counsel

Arkansas

State Claims Commission

LISA MILLS WILKINS Ark. Bar #87190

MAR 1 9 2014

Attorney Supervisor Post Office Box 8707

Pine Bluff, AR 71611

RECEIVED

(870)267-6844 Office

(870)267-6373 Facsimile

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2014, on the Claimant by placing a copy of the same in the U. S. Mail, regular postage to:

Cook Law Firm 8114 Cantrell Road, Suite 100 Little Rock, AR 72227

STATE CLAIMS COMMISSION DOCKET

B & G Farms Claimant vs. Arkansas Department of Correction State of Arkansas Respondent	Attorneys Harold Cook,	Claim No14-0695-CC Attorney Claiman
vs. Claimant Arkansas Department of Correction		Attorney Claiman
Arkansas Department of Correction		Claiman
Denny de la		
Respondent	Lisa Wilkins,	Attorney
State of Arkansas Respondent		Respondent
Date Filed March 13, 2014	Type of Claim	Negligence, Loss of Profit, Prop Damage Refund of Expenses
FINDING OF	FACTS	Testand of DA Chises
This claim was filed for negligence, loss of profit profamount of \$21,490.18 against the Arkansas Department Present at a hearing November 13, 2014, was the Claim the Respondent, represented by Lisa Wilkins, Attack The Arkansas State Claims Commission unanimously and unanimously awards the Claimant the amount of Upon consideration of all the facts, as stated above, the awards this claim in the amount of \$29,831.41 and submitted to the 90 th General Assembly, Arkansas and payment. IT IS SO ORDERED	imant, represente torney. y finds negligence \$29,831.41.	d by Harold Cook, Attorney, on the part of the Respondent uission hereby unanimously
CONCLUSION Upon consideration of all the facts, as stated above, the awards this claim in the amount of \$29,831.41 and we submitted to the 90 th General Assembly, Arkansas Sand payment.	ON Claims Commi	ssion hereby unanimously aim in a claims bill to be 2015 for subsequent approval

Commissioner