Final Report & Recommendation



Observations

- $\frac{ma}{lee}$
- Large property schedules used to be favorable in the market, now firstdollar capacity has out-priced this model
- The three school insurance programs are no different and being affected the same way as all other larger property programs
- Existing programs compete against one another over capacity from the same pool of insurance companies
 - Inadequate limits of insurance for all programs
 - Recent claims activity and study of statements of values raise concerns for certain properties
 - Rates charged to schools vary

Currently purchasing insurance like a consumer Not like an insurance company

Risk Concentrations vs. Limits





- Inadequate limits for all programs
 - ASBA: \$700 Million
 - APSIT: \$500 Million
 - Higher-Ed: \$500 Million

Limits are ~20% of maximum probable loss in both regions

Loss History & Premium Growth



\$180,000,000				
\$160,000,000				
			Loss Ra	tio
\$140,000,000			2015-2016	50%
\$120,000,000			2016-2017	66%
\$100,000,000			2017-2018	160%
\$80,000,000			2018-2019	58%
980,000,000			2019-2020	90%
\$60,000,000			2020-2021	138%
\$40,000,000			2021-2022	233%
\$20,000,000			2022-2023	419%
ŚO				
ŲÇ	2015-2016 2016-2017 2017-2018 2018-2019 2019-2020 2020-2021 2021-2022 2022-20	23 2023-2024		

■ Premium ■ Losses

ma [®]-lee

Program Rate Variability

Conference	% Premium	% Value	Avg Rate	Αν	g Premium	Avg Value
2 A	6.3%	4.8%	0.3101	\$	129,167	\$ 40,545,714
3 A	13.0%	10.6%	0.2998	\$	172,067	\$ 57,725,094
4 A	20.0%	18.5%	0.2630	\$	226,333	\$ 86,687,990
5A	18.6%	18.6%	0.2460	\$	381,803	\$157,753,799
6A	16.7%	17.9%	0.2268	\$	616,490	\$274,064,650
7 A	25.4%	29.6%	0.1997	\$	1,407,211	\$678,272,162

Class	То	tal Premium	Total Value	Avg	Premium	Avg Value	Avg Rate
2A & 3A	\$	10,714,313	\$ 3,519,188,218	\$	155,280	\$ 51,002,728	0.3045
6A & 7A	\$	23,319,466	\$ 10,893,691,364	\$	932,779	\$ 435,747,655	0.2141



ma _____ lee

TI<

Program Rate

Cost of Maintaining the Status Quo



Increased cost of construction, frequency, and severity of storms have led to a paradigm shift in the insurance market

- \$10+ million per year minimum to subsidize, but not fix, current programs
 - That amount invested conservatively over a decade would equate to the minimum capitalization needed to launch a state-owned insurance company
 - Cost of remaining underinsured could be in the billions in the event of a catastrophic storm outbreak

Knowing that there is a paradigm shift, we can't do a different version of the same thing and expect positive results. Tear it down and build it back from the ground up.

Insurance Program & Insurance Company Estimated Premiums



Premium

Insurance Program

Swiss Re estimates 5% to 7% annual premium growth for CAT exposed property **Insurance Company**

Premium growth held at 2% by levers

■ Insurance Program ■ Insurance Company

8

Directing State Resources: Insurance Program vs. Insurance Company





Insurance Program

An expense that conservatively costs the state \$130 million over 10 years **Insurance Company**

> An asset that should generate \$30 million in surplus over 10 years, while collecting lower premiums

Regaining Control

ma _____ lee

The only way to control the cost is to step into where the insurance companies are paying claims. Self-insuring the expected losses will lead to control over rates and cost

	Insurance Program	Insurance Company
Control	Market sets price, limited control.	Loss history sets price, greater control.
Costs	Premium costs expected to increase over time with natural disaster frequency and loss- cost inflation. Commissions and profit- requirements increase program costs.	Estimated cost savings of \$10 to \$12 million annually. Scale benefits entering reinsurance market; investment revenue should offset loss- cost inflation.
Values	Limits are inadequate: \$500 to \$700 million insured value, three separate programs.	Limits are adequate: \$2.5 billion insured value.
Resources	Current staff tasked with risk management and purchasing insurance, resulting in sub- optimal outcomes.	Separate functions of risk management and purchasing insurance. Focus on the core competencies of loss prevention and managing claims.
Implementation	Zero implementation risk, "do nothing".	High implementation risk mitigated by use of expert advisors and proper governance.



ma

ee

Foundation for Captive

 $\frac{ma}{lee}$

- Arkansas is a Captive Domicile
 - Captive legislation passed in 2001 and revised in 2017
 - 15 captive insurance companies in Arkansas by the end of 2022, \$365 million in premium volume
 - Industry views Arkansas as "having highly available and experienced state captive regulators"
 - Very favorable tax environment

Demonstrates to Arkansas businesses, that they do not need to leave Arkansas to form a Captive Insurance company. This will bring back revenue to our state.

Elements for a Successful Captive



Funding and strategy are key:

Premiums collected from the schools and investment income must always exceed the loss forecast

- Capitalization must be sufficient to generate investment income that will help cover claims and protect the Captive
- Corporate governance must provide checks and balances to protect the Captive
- Proper valuation is critical to collect proper premiums for the Captive's insured properties
- Focus on eliminating controllable losses and outperforming the loss forecast

Corporate Governance



Arkansas Insurance Commissioner										
Board of Directors										
Risk Finance Risk Control										
Reinsurance Broker	Captive Manager	Investment Advisor	Risk Manager	Claims						
Placement	Accounting	Strategy	Valuation	Adjudication						
Risk Analysis Auditing			Risk Engineering	Settlement						
	Actuarials		Loss Prevention							
	Tax		Claims Oversight							
A	nnual Assessment	of Insurance Compa	any Retention							
Review of Deductibles, Policy Terms, and Conditions										
Evaluation of Sublimits, including Earthquake and Flood										
	Determ	ine Level of Coverag	e							

Levers

- <u>One-Time Levers</u>
 - Capitalization
 \$200-\$300 million
 - Captive Effective Date 10/1/2024
- <u>Annual Levers</u>
 - Captive Retention (*Potential Rate Break*)
 \$50 million
 - Deductibles Charge to Schools K-12: \$25,000 Higher-Ed: \$250,000
 - Amount of Reinsurance Targeted \$2.5 billion
 - Target Program Rate Charged to Schools
 .1850
 - Policy Level Terms and Conditions Margin Clause, Percentage Deductibles
 - Percentage of Schedule to Self-Insure Ex: \$1 billion in value → \$1.85 million in premium

111a



Levers \rightarrow Impact \rightarrow Sustainability

Policy Term	Total I	nsured Value	Reinsurance Rate	Rein	surance Premium
2023-2024	\$ 40	0,593,458,670	0.1050	\$	42,623,132
			Policyholder Surplus Rate	Policyho	older Surplus Premium
			0.0800	\$	32,474,767
			Controlled Program Rate	Control	led Program Premium
			0.1850	\$	75,097,899



Impact - Looking Back

Policy Year	Incurred	Deductible \$25k-\$250k	Retention \$50M	Reinsurance	Loss Fund		Assumptions:
2013-2014	\$ 9,373,701	\$ 3,101,843	\$ 6,271,858		\$234,202,909		\$200 million invested
2014-2015	\$ 9,941,911	\$ 2,720,138	\$ 7,221,773		\$260,504,019		
2015-2016	\$ 7,854,518	\$ 2,544,422	\$ 5,310,096		\$288,678,810		Historical losses
2016-2017	\$ 11,636,598	\$ 3,108,385	\$ 8,528,213		\$313,711,951		
2017-2018	\$ 28,856,615	\$ 4,006,931	\$ 24,849,684		\$322,294,896		.1850 program rate
2018-2019	\$ 10,670,073	\$ 2,508,283	\$ 8,161,789		\$346,912,877	\$232,312,978	1050 noingunanas nota
2019-2020	\$ 19,844,340	\$ 3,151,628	\$ 16,692,712		\$363,667,452	\$249,067,552	.1050 reinsurance rate
2020-2021	\$ 35,658,201	\$ 4,766,395	\$ 30,891,805		\$365,881,696	\$251,281,796	\$22 5 million policyholder
2021-2022	\$ 68,593,768	\$ 3,193,744	\$ 50,000,000	\$15,400,024	\$348,419,781	\$233,819,882	surplus
2022-2023	\$116,267,114	\$ 8,033,510	\$ 50,000,000	\$58,233,604	\$330,193,539	\$215,593,639	Sulpius
2023 6 Months	\$ 6,547,742	\$ 862,067	\$ 5,685,675		\$356,281,622	\$241,681,722	4% return on investments
TOTAL	\$325,244,581	\$37,997,346	\$213,613,605	\$73,633,628			
10.5 YR AVG	\$ 30,975,674	\$ 3,618,795	\$ 20,344,153	\$ 7,012,726			
5.5 YR AVG	\$ 46,832,952	\$ 4,093,750	\$ 29,351,269	\$13,387,932			

Impact - Looking Forward



Policy Year	Incurred	Deductible \$25k-\$250k	Retention \$50M	Reinsurance	Loss Fund	Assumptions:
2024-2025	\$ 43,000,000	\$ 4,618,566	\$ 38,381,434		\$198,621,583	\$200 million invested
2025-2026	\$ 32,000,000	\$ 3,463,925	\$ 28,536,075		\$206,949,816	\$40 million loss forecast
2026-2027	\$ 41,500,000	\$ 4,041,245	\$ 37,458,755		\$206,602,470	
2027-2028	\$ 28,500,000	\$ 3,175,264	\$ 25,324,736		\$218,286,693	.1850 program rate
2028-2029	\$ 30,000,000	\$ 2,886,604	\$ 27,113,396		\$228,558,465	.1050 reinsurance rate
2029-2030	\$ 31,000,000	\$ 3,463,925	\$ 27,536,075		\$238,724,591	
2030-2031	\$ 70,000,000	\$ 5,773,208	\$ 50,000,000	\$14,226,792	\$226,736,837	\$32.5 million policyholder
2031-2032	\$ 31,000,000	\$ 3,463,925	\$ 27,536,075		\$236,634,059	surplus
2032-2033	\$ 59,000,000	\$ 5,773,208	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 3,226,792	\$224,360,880	4% return on investments
2033-2034	\$ 34,000,000	\$ 3,752,585	\$ 30,247,415		\$231,243,982	
TOTAL	\$400,000,000	\$40,412,455	\$342,133,961	\$17,453,584		Protected by sideways
10 YR AVG	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 4,041,246	\$ 34,213,396	\$ 1,745,358		insurance

Role of Risk Management

Opportunities to keep money in the program:

Preventing and controlling losses

- Fire, lightning, frozen pipes & flood, theft, vehicle impacts, sprinkler leakage as examples
- \$50 million in these types of claims present an opportunity to keep money in the system

Precise valuations

- \$12.5 million building → insured as \$10 million → \$18,500 in premium → can still generate a \$12.5 million loss
- \$12.5 million building \rightarrow insured as \$12.5 million \rightarrow \$23,125 in premium
- A healthy and sustainable insurance company collects proper premiums for exposure



Captive Recommendations

- Combine all programs into a special-purpose captive to provide catastrophic limits and lever economies of scale
- Capitalize with a minimum investment of \$200 million
- Structure the insurance company to retain the first \$50 million of loss
- Protect the capitalization with sideways insurance on retention
- Place reinsurance above retention up to \$2.5 billion
- Charge sufficient premium to the schools to cover annual expected losses and reinsurance cost, creating policyholder surplus to be invested and to pay claims
- Establish corporate governance to eliminate conflicts of interest, provides checks and balances
- Act Now, begin operations by 10/1/2024

Captive Benefits

- Protects schools by providing controllable, affordable, adequate, and sustainable coverage today and for the future
- Access to reinsurance markets creates competition and improves access to capacity
- Controls cost, applying the same rate to all schools
- Eliminates frictional cost of multiple vendors while maximizing taxpayer value in financing
- Provides transparent, responsible corporate governance
- Immediately saves \$10-\$12 million day one
- Capitalized like an asset, not funded like an expense
- Sets precedent that other states will follow
- Proper valuations increase premium collected, adding more cash to the system for claims and investments
- Loss prevention decreases loss forecast, adding more cash to the system for claims and investments



Launching the Captive

ma _____ lee

The following actions need to happen in sequential order to deliver a positive outcome:

- Select implementation team
- Formation of the special-purpose captive
- Risk Finance begins operations
- Inclusion of remaining state property is evaluated
- Risk Management implements strategy for expanding valuation, loss prevention, and claims mitigation for properties that have not been under management
- Risk Finance recommends funding options
- Captive launched and programs rolled in