

ARKANSAS STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

B.2

ROBERT S. MOORE, JR.
CHAIRMAN
ARKANSAS CITY

DALTON A. FARMER, JR.
VICE CHAIRMAN
JONESBORO

PHILIP TALDO
SPRINGDALE



P.O. Box 2261 • Little Rock, Arkansas 72203-2261
Phone (501) 569-2000 • Voice/TTY 711 • Fax (501) 569-2400
www.ARDOT.gov • www.IDriveArkansas.com

KEITH GIBSON
FORT SMITH

MARIE HOLDER
LITTLE ROCK

LORIE H. TUDOR, P.E.
DIRECTOR

October 30, 2020

The Honorable Cecile Bledsoe
The Honorable Jeff Wardlaw
Arkansas Legislative Council
Joint Budget Committee
Arkansas State Legislature
1 Capitol Mall, Fifth Floor
Little Rock, Arkansas 72201

Dear Senator Bledsoe and Representative Wardlaw:

Act 789 of 2019 directed the Arkansas Department of Transportation (ARDOT) to report on specified information regarding congested routes, vehicle accidents, expenditures, and highway system mileage. This information is to be provided to all members of the legislature prior to the convening of each regular and fiscal session of the General Assembly.

This report is being provided to the members of the ALC Joint Budget Committee at the present time pursuant to a request from Representative Robin Lundstrum. The report will be sent to all members of the General Assembly once the full membership is known following the election cycle.

For additional information, please contact Gill Rogers at (501) 569-2108.

Sincerely,


Lorie H. Tudor, P.E.
Director

Enclosure

- c: Highway Commission
- Deputy Director and Chief Operating Officer
- Deputy Director and Chief Engineer
- Assistant Chiefs
- Fiscal Services
- Governmental Relations
- Program Management
- Transportation Planning and Policy
- Public Information Office
- Billy Parrish, Bureau of Legislative Research

2021 ACT 789 REPORT

TO THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ARKANSAS



Arkansas Department of Transportation
10324 Interstate 30, Little Rock, Arkansas 72209
October 2020

INTRODUCTION

In accordance with Act 789 of 2019, and specifically Arkansas Code § 27-65-147, the Arkansas Department of Transportation submits this report on congested routes, crash history, expenditures, and highway system mileage to the General Assembly of the State of Arkansas.

For additional information contact:

Gill A. Rogers | Governmental Relations Officer

Arkansas Department of Transportation

P.O. Box 2261 | Little Rock, AR 72203

10324 Interstate 30 | Little Rock, AR 72209

Office: (501) 569-2108 | Cell: (501) 920-3172

Gill.Rogers@ardot.gov

GLOSSARY

ARDOT – The Arkansas Department of Transportation

Arkansas State Highway System – The system of public roads administered by the Arkansas Department of Transportation consisting of all Interstate Highways, U.S. Highways, and State Highways in the State of Arkansas.

Congested Route – As defined by Act 789 of 2019 – a street or highway that is part of the State Highway System and is:

- (A) A rural two-lane street or highway with an average daily traffic count of nine thousand (9,000) or more vehicles each day;
- (B) An urban two-lane street or highway with an average daily traffic count of thirteen thousand (13,000) or more vehicles each day;
- (C) A four-lane street or highway with an average daily traffic count of fifty thousand (50,000) or more vehicles each day; or
- (D) A six-lane street or highway with an average daily traffic count of eighty thousand (80,000) or more vehicles each day.

Highway Improvements – As used in this report – various work types including base and surfacing, cable median barriers, grading and structures, emergency repairs, interchange improvements/modifications, intersection improvements, major widening, minor widening, new locations, passing lanes, railroad crossing improvements, traffic signals, and other miscellaneous improvements.

Maintenance – As used in this report – various work types including routine surface and shoulder maintenance, routine roadside and drainage maintenance, authorized roadside and drainage maintenance, chemical weed and grass control, routine structure maintenance, routine traffic services, unusual or disaster maintenance, salvage operations, and other miscellaneous maintenance activities.

Preservation – As used in this report – various work types including base stabilization, bridge rehabilitation, bridge replacement, reconstruction, rehabilitation, resurfacing and shoulders, pavement friction improvements, raised pavement markers, safety improvements, striping and signing, and other miscellaneous preservation activities.

Rural Area – As defined by the U.S. Census Bureau – all areas not classified as “urban areas.”

Urban Area – As defined by the U.S. Census Bureau – densely settled (typically incorporated) territories with at least 2,500 inhabitants.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I – Ten Most Congested Routes in Urban Areas.....	1
Part II – Ten Most Congested Routes in Rural Areas	3
Part III – Crash History by County	
Five Locations by County with the Highest Number of Crashes	5
Five Locations by County with the Highest Number of Fatal Crashes	28
Part IV – Expenditure of Highway Funds per Person	46
Part V – State Highway System Mileage per Congressional District.....	48
Part VI – Expenditure of Highway Funds for Preservation	49
Appendix A – Act 789 of 2019.....	A-1
Appendix B – Arkansas Congressional Districts	B-1

PART I – TEN MOST CONGESTED ROUTES IN URBAN AREAS

Each year, ARDOT collects traffic data at approximately 8,400 locations on the State Highway System. Each location is used to estimate average daily traffic along a highway segment. Highway segments are typically defined by junctions with other State highways or major local streets.

For this report, ARDOT used traffic count data collected in 2019 – the latest available year of traffic data that ARDOT has accepted for use and publication. For consistency, congested routes were identified using the number of lanes that existed in 2019.

As defined by Act 789, based on average daily traffic volume, the locations of the ten most congested routes in urban areas (including ties) are reported in **Table 1**.

Table 1. As Defined by Act 789, Ten Most Congested Routes in Urban Areas

Rank	County	Route	Section	Segment			Number of Lanes	Average Daily Traffic
				Begin Log Mile	End Log Mile	Termini		
1	Pulaski	40	33	153.55	154.23	Interstate 30 to North Hills Boulevard	6 or more	132,000
2	Pulaski	30	23	140.54	140.94	Highway 10 to Highway 70 (Arkansas River Bridge)	6 or more	130,000
3	Pulaski	30	23	141.51	141.78	Bishop Lindsey Avenue to Curtis Sykes Drive	6 or more	127,000
4	Pulaski	30	23	140.28	140.54	6th Street to Highway 10	6 or more	124,000
5	Pulaski	30	23	135.46	137.37	65th Street to Interstate 440/Interstate 530	6 or more	123,000
5	Pulaski	40	33	154.23	154.57	North Hills Boulevard to Highway 67	6 or more	123,000
7	Pulaski	30	23	141.78	142.32	Curtis Sykes Drive to Interstate 40	6 or more	118,000
8	Pulaski	30	23	126.29	128.75	Highway 111 to Interstate 430	6 or more	117,000
9	Saline	30	22	124.36	126.29	Bryant Parkway to Highway 111	6 or more	115,000
10	Pulaski	630	21	4.74	4.96	Fair Park Boulevard to University Avenue	6 or more	114,000

PART II – TEN MOST CONGESTED ROUTES IN RURAL AREAS

Congested routes in rural areas were identified using the methodology described in **Part I**.

As defined by Act 789, based on average daily traffic volume, the locations of the ten most congested routes in rural areas (including ties) are reported in **Table 2**.

Table 2. As Defined by Act 789, Ten Most Congested Routes in Rural Areas

Rank	County	Route	Section	Segment			Number of Lanes	Average Daily Traffic
				Begin Log Mile	End Log Mile	Termini		
1	Washington	62	1	16.64	17.06	Highway 62B to West of Illinois River	2	18,000
2	Benton	112	2	3.49	3.84	Highway 264 East to Highway 264 West	2	16,000
2	Pulaski	165	9	3.33	5.30	Highway 391 to Interstate 440	2	16,000
4	Baxter	62	10	0.51	0.77	Highway 62B to Ryan Road	2	15,000
4	Faulkner	286	2	0.22	0.78	Thomas Wilson Drive to East German Lane	2	15,000
6	Garland	7	9	13.36	14.07	Highway 7S to Fox Pass Cutoff	2	14,000
6	Benton	264	2	1.01	2.01	Goad Springs Road to Bellview Road	2	14,000
8	Craighead	1	17	2.00	3.32	JW Loggins Road to Lawson Road	2	12,000
8	Stone	9	11	18.10	18.67	Highway 66 to Highway 14	2	12,000
8	Benton	62	2	14.30	14.95	Wimpy Jones Road to Highway 127	2	12,000
8	Benton	72	3	1.15	4.32	Benton Ridge Circle to Spanker Creek Road	2	12,000
8	Benton	112	2	3.84	4.52	Highway 264 West to Shores Avenue	2	12,000
8	Garland	270	5	13.93	16.53	Treasure Isle Road to Crystal Hill Road	2	12,000

PART III – CRASH HISTORY BY COUNTY

For this report, ARDOT analyzed preliminary crash data collected on the State Highway System in 2019. Geographic information system (GIS) tools were used to identify the one-tenth mile segments of the State Highway System where the highest number of crashes occurred. The crash analysis was performed twice – once for all crashes and once for fatal crashes only.

Five Locations by County with the Highest Number of Crashes

For each county, the five locations (including ties) that had the highest number of crashes are reported in **Table 3**.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Arkansas	1	19	79	11C	1.95
	2	14	79	11	11.12
	3	10	130	6	0.26
	4	6	1	4	0.28
	5	4	1	4	0.67
	5	4	165	5	48.30
	5	4	165	142	0.12
Ashley	1	20	82	8	9.96
	2	12	133	0T	1.40
	3	11	133	0	9.05
	4	10	82	8	24.09
	5	9	82	401	0.03

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Baxter	1	44	62	11B	1.51
	2	33	62	11B	2.64
	3	23	62	11B	2.30
	4	20	62	11B	4.48
	5	19	62	11B	1.13
Benton	1	104	49	388	0.21
	2	95	62	2	0.05
	3	82	71	19B	1.37
	4	59	71	19B	0.72
	4	59	102	3	1.62
Boone	1	31	43	3	0.12
	2	22	65	112	0.01
	3	19	65	1	18.74
	3	19	65	1	19.76
	5	18	65	1	18.20
Bradley	1	12	63	16	7.49
	1	12	63	16	8.00
	3	7	63	16B	1.49
	4	5	278	13	3.75
	5	3	278	12B	1.80

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Calhoun	1	5	167	3	14.08
	2	3	167	3	4.63
	2	3	79	504	0.14
	4	2	167	3	7.70
	4	2	167	4	7.23
	4	2	167	4	10.48
	4	2	167	4	12.38
	4	2	167	4	16.35
	4	2	203	1	2.65
	4	2	274	2	1.08
	4	2	274	2	4.13
	4	2	274	3	5.74
	4	2	274	3	21.29
	4	2	278	10	9.99
	4	2	278	11	0.34
	4	2	278	11	2.34
Carroll	1	9	62	4	10.56
	1	9	62	4	11.95
	3	8	62	3	8.12
	3	8	62	4	11.34
	3	8	62	5	0.32

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Chicot	1	11	65	21	0.01
	2	7	65	21	0.42
	3	6	8	16	12.40
	4	3	144	3	0.59
	5	2	52	3	9.87
	5	2	65	21	2.43
	5	2	65	21	15.16
	5	2	82	10	9.44
	5	2	144	3	0.28
Clark	1	31	30	252	0.11
	2	19	51	1	29.20
	3	14	51	1	31.19
	4	11	7	7	0.33
	5	10	30	254	0.12
Clay	1	4	49	1	9.92
	1	4	90	2	15.88
	3	3	49	1	13.08
	3	3	67	20	13.53
	3	3	135	6	5.35

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Cleburne	1	14	25	3B	2.94
	2	13	25	3B	2.06
	3	9	25	3B	1.51
	4	8	25	3	0.10
	4	8	25	3B	2.55
	4	8	124	8	1.72
Cleveland	1	2	8	10	9.35
	1	2	8	10	12.48
	1	2	63	15	1.97
	1	2	63	15	7.94
	1	2	63	15	21.95
	1	2	63	15	25.02
	1	2	79	7	0.76
	1	2	79	8	6.63
Columbia	1	9	371	7	2.00
	2	8	82	4	1.32
	3	7	82	3	10.81
	3	7	82	4	0.02
	5	5	371	7	1.11

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Conway	1	17	9	7B	2.13
	2	14	9	8	2.02
	3	12	64	7	8.50
	3	12	40	31	108.70
	5	10	40	387	0.17
Craighead	1	95	49	3	13.40
	2	89	49	4	0.14
	3	73	18	4	1.12
	4	72	49	3	12.89
	5	56	49	3	13.88
Crawford	1	57	59	5	25.33
	2	42	59	5	25.00
	3	31	40	148	0.08
	4	29	64	2	0.49
	5	24	64	2	0.92
	5	24	64	2	2.10
Crittenden	1	37	55	11	10.04
	2	32	70	20	12.14
	3	29	77	5	16.59
	3	29	77	5	16.98
	5	25	38	10	0.62

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Cross	1	15	1	13	6.74
	2	13	1	13	5.84
	2	13	64	16	1.05
	4	12	1	13	5.38
	4	12	1	13	0.01
Dallas	1	4	79	6	7.05
	1	4	167	9	3.24
	3	3	8	8	2.12
	3	3	9	3	2.63
	5	2	7	5	16.87
	5	2	8	7	17.02
	5	2	128	1	0.14
	5	2	167	7	6.98
	5	2	205	1	0.05
	5	2	229	0	5.89
	5	2	274	4	0.01

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Desha	1	10	65	17	6.75
	2	8	54	2	0.01
	3	5	65	17	7.40
	4	3	54	2	0.60
	5	2	1	1	0.06
	5	2	1	1	1.18
	5	2	54	2	1.47
	5	2	65	17	0.82
	5	2	65	17	6.01
	5	2	65	17	7.86
	5	2	65	17	11.16
	5	2	65	17	12.75
	5	2	65	19	8.48
Drew	1	83	278	14	10.52
	2	47	425	4	0.36
	3	19	278	15	0.32
	4	11	425	3	16.38
	5	10	83	1	0.39
	5	10	871	1	0.74

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Faulkner	1	170	40	32	125.12
	2	113	40	438	0.01
	3	90	65	9B	0.42
	4	68	25	0	0.13
	5	65	65	9B	3.86
Franklin	1	16	64	3	12.63
	2	12	40	12	29.17
	3	7	40	12	259.82
	3	7	40	199	0.24
	3	7	217	3	0.10
Fulton	1	7	62	12	19.62
	2	5	9	14	9.90
	3	4	62	12	4.36
	3	4	62	12	10.64
	3	4	62	13	0.84
Garland	1	84	270	147	0.17
	2	62	270	135	0.20
	3	59	270	5	19.20
	4	55	7	9	8.36
	4	55	7	9	11.05

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Grant	1	36	167	10B	4.17
	2	12	270	9	14.34
	3	9	270	10	0.24
	4	7	167	10B	3.16
	5	6	167	11	1.35
	5	6	167	11	2.93
Greene	1	58	49	2	17.05
	1	58	412	8	17.35
	3	36	49	2	15.71
	4	34	49	2Y	0.07
	5	23	49	2	16.69
Hempstead	1	27	278	5	24.15
	2	26	278	5B	1.03
	3	16	29	4	0.07
	4	14	278	5B	0.52
	5	13	29	3B	1.36
Hot Spring	1	24	270	7B	2.49
	2	17	270	7B	0.26
	3	13	9	4	17.38
	3	13	270	7B	0.63
	3	13	270	7B	1.49

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Howard	1	3	27	2	8.55
	1	3	355	1	9.34
	3	2	70	3	1.28
	3	2	278	2	5.86
	3	2	355	1	1.95
	3	2	355	1	4.03
	3	2	369	1	1.03
Independence	1	68	167	17	17.79
	2	36	69	3	1.61
	3	29	69	3	0.36
	4	24	167	18	0.42
	5	22	69	3	1.26
Izard	1	7	9	13	6.29
	2	5	9	13	1.68
	3	4	5	17	0.82
	3	4	9	13	9.74
	3	4	69	1	0.12
Jackson	1	19	367	21	8.09
	2	13	367	21	8.61
	3	9	14	0	0.03
	3	9	18	1	0.77
	3	9	367	21	9.04

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Jefferson	1	50	530	235	0.15
	2	44	79	9B	2.95
	3	23	54	0	0.00
	3	23	530	211	0.10
	5	21	190	5	5.37
Johnson	1	23	40	21	226.39
	2	14	40	241	0.14
	3	12	64	5	0.31
	4	11	103	0	2.03
	5	9	40	257	0.02
	5	9	40	291	0.02
Lafayette	1	8	82	2	12.89
	2	5	82	2	12.16
	3	3	29	1	19.96
	3	3	82	2	3.47
	3	3	82	2	10.81
Lawrence	1	10	63	4	2.34
	1	10	67	17B	0.11
	1	10	412	6	4.95
	4	9	63	3	12.00
	5	7	63	3	2.22

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Lee	1	8	1	9B	1.48
	2	7	1	9B	1.00
	3	3	1	10	7.40
	3	3	121	3	12.89
	5	2	1	10	2.11
	5	2	1	9	3.11
	5	2	1	9	5.07
	5	2	78	3	0.01
	5	2	79	15	19.18
	5	2	79	16	0.40
	5	2	121	2	1.48
Lincoln	1	5	425	5	8.08
	2	4	65	0	0.01
	2	4	212	4	3.04
	4	3	11	0	0.02
	5	2	11	2	5.73
	5	2	65	16	5.02
	5	2	65	16	7.93
	5	2	65	16	12.89
	5	2	114	1	1.39
	5	2	388	1	0.62
	5	2	425	6	3.73
	5	2	530	8	5.86
	5	2	530	8	8.73

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Little River	1	8	71	4	9.23
	2	7	71	4	10.39
	3	4	71	4	11.11
	4	3	41	3	0.05
	4	3	71	4	15.43
	4	3	71	4	12.26
	4	3	71	4	9.93
	4	3	71	4	18.77
	4	3	71	4	0.28
Logan	1	16	22	3	10.94
	2	13	22	3	11.38
	3	8	10	2	7.01
	4	6	10	2	8.02
	5	5	23	3	8.88
Lonoke	1	62	89	1	18.84
	2	55	67	204	0.26
	3	38	367	14	3.31
	4	37	89	1	18.20
	5	33	89	1	14.57

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Madison	1	14	412	4B	0.21
	2	5	74	3	5.36
	2	5	412	3	9.09
	2	5	412	112	0.01
	2	5	412	3B	4.50
Marion	1	8	178	1	1.35
	2	7	62	9	7.54
	3	6	62	9	0.07
	3	6	178	1	2.98
	5	5	178	1	5.45
Miller	1	42	71	3	2.83
	2	26	71	3	2.39
	3	25	67	1	0.15
	3	25	71	2	14.85
	5	20	82	1	1.47
Mississippi	1	23	18	7	2.06
	2	17	18	7	0.11
	3	15	18	7	1.48
	4	14	55	12	8.91
	5	12	18	7	2.97
	5	12	61	3	17.36

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Monroe	1	6	40	43	205.47
	2	4	40	43	205.96
	2	4	49	8	6.85
	4	3	40	43	72.08
	4	3	40	43	206.43
	4	3	40	43	212.12
	4	3	79	13	8.70
Montgomery	1	3	27	6	4.91
	2	2	88	3	12.57
	2	2	8	2	16.14
	2	2	27	6	7.89
	2	2	70	6	0.02
	2	2	70	6	2.15
	2	2	270	4	12.81
Nevada	1	6	30	13	48.06
	2	5	30	13	102.60
	2	5	30	13	102.07
	2	5	30	13	99.89
	2	5	30	13	99.35
	2	5	30	13	51.63

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Newton	1	6	7	18	13.63
	2	5	7	17	12.84
	2	5	7	18	21.95
	4	4	7	18	19.21
	4	4	123	1	14.48
Ouachita	1	13	79	126	0.01
	2	8	7	4	0.76
	3	7	7	3	14.87
	3	7	79	3	21.39
	5	6	278	8B	0.91
Perry	1	3	9	6	8.23
	1	3	9	6	10.35
	1	3	60	1	7.52
	4	2	7	11	12.05
	4	2	7	11	14.21
	4	2	9	6	7.19
	4	2	9	6	16.85
	4	2	60	1	1.95
	4	2	60	1	6.52
	4	2	60	1	14.36
	4	2	113	4	3.53

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Phillips	1	12	49	10B	0.13
	2	10	49	10B	1.29
	3	9	49	10B	0.51
	4	8	49	10	22.28
	4	8	49	10B	2.89
Pike	1	9	70	5	8.98
	2	7	8	3	1.32
	3	5	27	4	6.32
	3	5	70	5	8.67
	3	5	70	5	9.93
Poinsett	1	16	555	2	29.13
	1	16	555	149	0.12
	3	15	14	13	17.64
	4	14	555	2	15.54
	5	13	69	6	7.53
Polk	1	16	71	9	0.60
	2	5	71	8	33.71
	2	5	71	8	34.70
	4	4	71	9	1.13
	4	4	88	2	6.28

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Pope	1	77	64	6	10.84
	2	56	64	6	11.77
	3	47	64	6	12.37
	4	37	7	15	2.42
	5	29	326	0	0.08
Prairie	1	5	40	42	190.26
	2	5	40	42	194.78
	3	3	40	42	98.93
	4	3	40	42	88.30
	5	3	40	42	204.27
Pulaski	1	110	30	537	0.07
	2	94	70	12	6.06
	3	89	30	23	141.84
	4	88	30	23	142.27
	5	83	338	1	2.21
Randolph	1	56	67	18	7.64
	2	20	67	18	7.17
	3	13	67	19	0.31
	4	10	90	6	1.01
	5	8	67	18	6.84

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Saline	1	66	183	1	8.34
	2	56	183	1	0.11
	3	44	30	22	26.14
	4	36	35	1	0.72
	5	31	30	22	29.04
Scott	1	4	71	10	32.60
	1	4	71	10	26.86
	3	3	71	10	34.56
	3	3	71	10	22.74
	3	3	71	10	22.23
	3	3	80	0	6.14
Searcy	3	3	80	1	0.12
	1	4	65	5	0.43
	1	4	65	5	5.99
	1	4	65	5	8.87
	4	3	27	16	17.99
	4	3	65	4	5.95
	4	3	65	4	9.82
	4	3	65	5	9.58
	4	3	65	6	0.52
	4	3	65	6	6.83

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Sebastian	1	82	22	1	3.56
	2	63	22	1	4.16
	3	57	22	1	4.56
	4	54	540	156	0.19
	5	45	540	1	10.80
Sevier	1	32	70	1B	3.79
	2	10	70	1B	2.76
	3	7	71	7	0.38
	3	7	71	6	12.78
	3	7	71	5	12.82
Sharp	1	10	62	15	0.07
	2	8	63	2B	0.99
	2	8	63	2	2.71
	4	7	62	17	6.32
	5	6	63	2B	0.30
	5	6	63	2	7.14
St. Francis	1	22	1	12B	1.37
	1	22	40	51	232.01
	3	16	1	12B	0.08
	4	14	40	51	262.58
	4	14	40	677	0.24

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Stone	1	11	9	11	18.83
	2	4	5	16	25.72
	2	4	14	7	0.61
	4	3	5	16	7.44
	4	3	5	16	29.41
	4	3	9	11	17.95
	4	3	9	11	19.44
	4	3	9	11	22.79
	4	3	14	7	1.00
	4	3	14	7	3.96
	4	3	66	2	17.83
Union	1	31	167	1B	0.90
	2	30	167	1B	1.89
	3	21	167	1B	0.38
	4	19	167	1B	1.43
	5	18	82	5B	3.77
Van Buren	1	9	16	10	9.26
	2	8	65	8	9.76
	3	6	65	7	16.11
	3	6	65	8	0.82
	5	5	65	8	5.48

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 3. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Washington	1	143	412	2	8.49
	2	80	180	0	0.47
	3	79	412	2	10.97
	4	69	49	28	27.74
	5	66	265	2	2.37
White	1	60	67	12C	5.84
	2	49	67	12C	2.89
	3	36	36	4	0.06
	4	32	67	12C	3.40
	5	25	64	10	13.48
Woodruff	1	9	64	14	1.97
	2	3	33	7	20.11
	3	2	64	13	0.72
	3	2	64	14	0.05
	3	2	145	4	0.84
Yell	1	41	7	13	14.73
	2	20	7	13	0.23
	3	6	27	10	16.83
	4	5	7	12	0.60
	4	5	7	13	13.65
	4	5	27	10	11.06
	4	5	27	10	15.55

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Five Locations by County with the Highest Number of Fatal Crashes

For each county, the five locations (including ties) on the State Highway System that had the highest number of fatal crashes are reported in **Table 4**. For some counties, fewer than five fatal crashes were reported on the State Highway System in 2019. For those counties, fewer than five locations are listed.

Table 4. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Fatal Crashes by County

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Arkansas	1	1	1	4B	1.87
Ashley	1	2	133	0	3.81
	2	1	425	1	3.19
Baxter	1	1	126	1	2.57
	1	1	178	2	7.20
	1	1	62	10	7.33
	1	1	5	18S	0.05
	1	1	62	11B	2.67
	1	1	178	4	3.05

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 4. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Fatal Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Benton	1	1	43	0	1.61
	1	1	43	0	6.56
	1	1	412	1	3.04
	1	1	12	2	5.45
	1	1	71	19	1.41
	1	1	12	2	19.78
	1	1	49	29	12.89
	1	1	264	1	0.20
	1	1	62	2	6.02
	1	1	62	2	6.21
	1	1	62	2	6.67
	1	1	12	3	3.50
	1	1	12	3	4.15
	1	1	62	2	17.02
	1	1	12	3	13.57
	1	1	127	3	0.22

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 4. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Fatal Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Boone	1	1	65	1	19.47
	1	1	7	20	7.52
	1	1	62	7	1.63
	1	1	62	7	6.01
	1	1	14	1	25.25
Bradley	1	1	8	12	15.97
Calhoun	1	1	274	2	3.34
	1	1	167	3	14.00
	1	1	167	3	14.13
Carroll	1	1	221	1	1.12
Chicot	1	1	82	10	9.42
	1	1	159	1	3.63
	1	1	159	1	4.77
	1	1	65	21	14.28
	1	1	65	21	6.67
Clark	1	1	30	14	54.33
	1	1	30	14	77.83
	1	1	51	1	27.95
Clay	No fatal Crashes were reported on the State Highway System in Clay County in 2019.				

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 4. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Fatal Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Clebune	1	1	5	15	1.43
	1	1	110	5	2.85
	1	1	25	2	12.51
	1	1	25	3	9.49
	1	1	25	3	11.44
	1	1	25	3	24.58
	1	1	25	3	28.77
Cleveland	1	1	35	5	1.88
	1	1	114	0	1.96
	1	1	54	7	0.87
Columbia	1	1	98	1	6.01
Conway	1	1	40	31	185.02
	1	1	154	1	3.06
	1	1	64	7	13.12
	1	1	92	1	9.52

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 4. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Fatal Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Craighead	1	1	63	6	7.01
	1	1	63	6	2.98
	1	1	63	277	0.17
	1	1	877	1	0.96
	1	1	49	3	12.73
	1	1	49	3	11.09
	1	1	463	1	0.04
	1	1	49	3	9.50
	1	1	555	3	36.51
	1	1	49	3	1.87
	1	1	555	3	12.50
Crawford	1	1	40	11	278.36
	1	1	40	11	7.14
	1	1	40	11	273.82
	1	1	64	2	15.83
	1	1	40	11	24.22
Crittenden	1	1	64	17	17.49
	1	1	55	11	13.22
	1	1	118	4	3.01
	1	1	64	17	0.57
	1	1	77	5	16.86
	1	1	40	52	5.09

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 4. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Fatal Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Cross	1	1	364	1	1.67
	1	1	193	1	1.93
	1	1	64	15	11.21
	1	1	1	14	11.53
Dallas	No fatal crashes were reported on the State Highway System in Dallas County in 2019.				
Desha	1	1	65	19	0.07
	1	1	278	16	0.30
Drew	1	1	278	14	2.20
	1	1	278	15	6.26
Faulkner	1	1	60	0	5.99
	1	1	40	32	124.66
	1	1	40	32	151.81
	1	1	40	32	152.44
Franklin	1	1	64	3	11.08
Fulton	1	1	62	12	12.29
	1	1	395	1	9.89
	1	1	9	15	14.65

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 4. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Fatal Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Garland	1	1	70	8	9.29
	1	1	70	8	11.26
	1	1	270	5	14.44
	1	1	270	5	16.93
	1	1	70	8	14.98
	1	1	298	2	19.29
	1	1	7	9	5.18
	1	1	270	5	22.24
	1	1	88	4	2.43
	1	1	7	9	12.11
	1	1	128	10	3.57
	1	1	270	6	6.79
	1	1	270	6	7.21
	1	1	270	6	8.35
	1	1	270	9	8.92
Grant	1	1	46	2	12.76
	1	1	35	2	11.55
	1	1	167	11	11.33
	1	1	270	10	9.89
	1	1	270	10	9.89

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 4. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Fatal Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Greene	1	1	141	2	0.59
	1	1	34	3	9.33
	1	1	135	5	11.07
	1	1	135	5	3.81
	1	1	49	2	14.54
Hempstead	1	1	67	2	11.06
	1	1	30	12	32.03
Hot Spring	1	1	70	7	2.87
	1	1	7	8	6.03
	1	1	7	8	14.19
	1	1	30	21	92.80
	1	1	30	287	0.02
	1	1	270	7	6.56
	1	1	67	800	0.11
	1	1	9	4	3.50
Howard	1	1	84	1	3.00
	1	1	27	2	0.54
	1	1	278	4	2.03

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

2021 Act 789 Report

Arkansas Department of Transportation

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Independence	1	1	233	2	1.17
	1	1	167	18	4.10
	1	1	167	18	11.34
	1	1	167	18	10.05
	1	1	69	4	6.82
	1	1	25	5	20.25
Izard	1	1	9	12	3.01
	1	1	56	2	5.97
Jackson	1	1	224	1	1.92
	1	1	18	1	0.70
Jefferson	1	1	365	14	10.59
	1	1	270	11	4.75
	1	1	530	5	37.62
	1	1	530	5	40.01
	1	1	79	9B	1.27
	1	1	79	9B	1.32
	1	1	530	6	2.71
	1	1	15	8	11.85
	1	1	79	10	6.88
Johnson	1	1	64	4	0.93
	1	1	40	21	230.39
Lafayette	1	1	160	2	8.73

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 4. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Fatal Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Lawrence	1	1	63	3	18.05
	1	1	67	17	12.73
	1	1	67	17	16.18
	1	1	412	7	2.01
	1	1	63	4	4.92
	1	1	412	7	5.58
	1	1	63	4	8.68
	1	1	412	7	6.11
Lee	1	1	121	2	1.46
	1	1	79	16	3.32
Lincoln	1	1	530	8	8.73
Little River	1	1	41	2	16.03
	1	1	71	4	12.91
	1	1	71	4	8.52
Logan	1	1	23	3	5.27
	1	1	109	2	0.44
	1	1	197	0	2.26
Lonoke	1	1	319	2	7.43
	1	1	67	11	8.77
	1	1	40	41	116.98
	1	1	367	14	3.98
	1	1	31	3	12.26

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 4. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Fatal Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Madison	1	1	295	3	8.20
Marion	1	1	202	0	1.07
	1	1	202	1	6.23
Miller	1	1	67	1	7.18
	1	1	71	2	5.17
	1	1	67	1	18.18
	1	1	196	1	16.40
	1	1	82	1	21.40
Mississippi	1	1	55	12	28.94
	1	1	18	5	5.84
	1	1	18	6	4.74
	1	1	61	2	12.66
	1	1	55	12	10.30
Monroe	1	1	40	43	205.59
	1	1	79	13	8.61
	1	1	40	43	211.33
	1	1	70	17	14.14
Montgomery	1	1	88	3	0.03
	1	1	8	2	18.77
Nevada	1	1	30	13	43.86
	1	1	67	3	15.02

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 4. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Fatal Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Newton	1	1	21	3	8.73
	1	1	16	7	4.60
	1	1	7	17	12.81
	1	1	74	6	0.39
	1	1	74	6	10.32
Ouachita	1	1	79	3	12.18
	1	1	7	3	14.52
	1	1	7	4	11.18
	1	1	79	4B	4.03
	1	1	79	4	7.33
	1	1	203	4	1.04
Perry	1	1	9	6	15.96
	1	1	60	1	2.30
Phillips	1	1	49	10	5.39
	1	1	316	2	2.52
	1	1	49	10	22.39
Pike	No fatal crashes were reported on the State Highway System in Pike County in 2019.				
Poinsett	1	1	1	16	9.28
	1	1	69	7	0.04
Polk	1	1	71	8	14.58
	1	1	71	8	24.14
	1	1	71	8	31.89

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 4. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Fatal Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Pope	1	1	7	16	1.00
	1	1	326	3	0.51
	1	1	27	11	7.40
	1	1	16	8	14.31
	1	1	16	8	14.34
	1	1	40	22	191.73
	1	1	40	22	94.18
Prairie	1	1	40	42	191.11
	1	1	11	9	2.41
	1	1	40	42	88.42
Pulaski	1	1	365	11	0.02
	1	1	10	8	4.96
	1	1	10	8	6.23
	1	1	430	21	8.23
	1	1	430	21	7.00
	1	1	40	33	142.71
	1	1	630	196	0.15
	1	1	30	23	11.44
	1	1	30	23	131.65
	1	1	5	9	7.43
	1	1	338	1	3.62
	1	1	70	106	0.01
	1	1	338	1	4.98

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 4. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Fatal Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Pulaski (continued)	1	1	10	8	12.85
	1	1	70	12	5.63
	1	1	30	23	136.59
	1	1	30	23	4.91
	1	1	40	33	152.82
	1	1	530	111	0.53
	1	1	30	23	140.06
	1	1	30	23	3.04
	1	1	40	33	154.32
	1	1	67	10	10.05
	1	1	161	3	7.37
	1	1	440	2	1.42
	1	1	67	10	6.83
	1	1	161	3	5.74
	1	1	440	182	0.00
	1	1	67	10	6.93
	1	1	67	10	5.54
	1	1	67	10	15.26
Randolph	1	1	93	1	5.45
	1	1	67	18	1.84

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 4. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Fatal Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Saline	1	1	9	5	14.74
	1	1	9	5	10.30
	1	1	70	10	3.75
	1	1	30	22	35.43
	1	1	30	22	30.55
	1	1	5	7	16.74
	1	1	30	22	122.58
	1	1	30	22	124.28
	1	1	30	22	18.18
Scott	1	1	71	10	34.56
Searcy	1	1	65	6	0.63
	1	1	65	6	1.67
Sebastian	1	1	255	4	1.42
	1	1	71	14	8.89
	1	1	10	1	0.01
Sevier	1	1	41	1	1.97
	1	1	24	1	8.58
	1	1	71	5	6.74
	1	1	27	1	4.98
Sharp	1	1	167	19	10.48
	1	1	62	17	1.55
	1	1	63	2	13.36
	1	1	63	2	15.87

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 4. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Fatal Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
St. Francis	1	1	40	51	57.21
	1	1	40	51	232.01
	1	1	1	12	6.11
	1	1	1	12	8.85
	1	1	40	51	33.51
	1	1	40	51	27.92
	1	1	40	51	25.48
Stone	1	1	263	2	10.26
Union	1	1	15	1	13.60
	1	1	7	2	9.75
	1	1	7	140	0.02
	1	1	15	1	20.67
	1	1	7	25	1.11
	1	1	167	2	6.70
	1	1	82	6	6.62
Van Buren	No fatal crashes were reported on the State Highway System in Van Buren County in 2019.				

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 4. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Fatal Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Washington	1	1	16	2	0.48
	1	1	62	1	18.27
	1	1	412	2	7.72
	1	1	412	2	8.44
	1	1	49	28	25.41
	1	1	71	17B	1.75
	1	1	412	2	12.64
	1	1	412	2	13.25
	1	1	71	16	6.78
	1	1	303	2	3.20
White	1	1	5	14	0.89
	1	1	5	14	0.30
	1	1	5	14	5.97
	1	1	36	3	4.57
	1	1	67	12	19.72
	1	1	64	10	13.13
	1	1	367	15	8.51
	1	1	67	12	10.76
	1	1	67	12C	5.70
	1	1	167	14	7.83

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

Table 4. Five Locations with the Highest Number of Fatal Crashes by County (continued)

County	Rank	Count ¹	Route	Section	Midpoint Log Mile
Woodruff	1	1	33	7	7.69
Yell	1	1	307	0	5.92
	1	1	27	10	0.43
	1	1	154	2	7.74

¹Some of the locations identified in **Table 3** and **Table 4** are at intersections. At those locations, the reported total count may include crashes that occurred on the intersecting highway/street, instead of the reported highway.

PART IV – EXPENDITURE OF HIGHWAY FUNDS PER PERSON

Each year, ARDOT expends funds on a variety of work types and activities including: highway improvements, maintenance, preservation, operations, administration, building improvements, off-system improvements, and others.

As defined by Act 789, **Table 5** reports the annual expenditure per person of State and Federal highway funds for highway improvements, maintenance, and preservation of the State Highway System. Per person expenditures are reported separately for each Congressional District over the preceding ten State Fiscal Years (SFY).

It is important to note that the costs of construction of highway projects included in the table on the following page do not include right-of-way or utility expenditures, which are typically greater in urban areas as compared to rural areas. It should also be noted that for construction projects for highway improvements and preservation, the award amount is used instead of expenditures. The full award amount is shown in the SFY in which a project is let to contract, though expenditures for a project may occur over multiple years.

Table 5. As Defined by Act 789, Expenditure per Person of State and Federal Highway Funds

State Fiscal Year	Congressional District				Statewide Average
	1	2	3	4	
2011	\$327.99	\$193.02	\$142.25	\$285.05	\$237.08
2012	\$348.96	\$143.90	\$114.41	\$231.39	\$209.67
2013	\$482.88	\$148.52	\$297.35	\$259.22	\$296.99
2014	\$280.93	\$172.68	\$212.14	\$184.45	\$212.55
2015	\$300.71	\$378.41	\$290.76	\$255.05	\$306.23
2016	\$404.26	\$284.07	\$279.75	\$359.49	\$331.89
2017	\$504.46	\$283.23	\$273.96	\$352.35	\$353.50
2018	\$219.25	\$242.54	\$133.99	\$356.00	\$237.95
2019	\$319.85	\$414.18	\$179.86	\$313.27	\$306.79
2020	\$397.47	\$311.71	\$280.25	\$618.33	\$401.94
Average Expenditure per Person per Year	\$358.68	\$257.23	\$220.47	\$321.46	\$289.46
Population*	728,765	729,192	728,959	729,002	728,980
Centerline Mileage (described in Part V)	6,490	1,771	1,909	6,295	4,116
Average Expenditure per Person per Year per Mile	\$0.06	\$0.15	\$0.12	\$0.05	\$0.07
*Per person expenditures calculated using population by Congressional District as of the 2010 Census.					

PART V – STATE HIGHWAY SYSTEM MILEAGE PER CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

The mileage of the State Highway System is constantly changing as a result of highway realignments, addition of new highway segments, and removal of existing highway segments. **Table 6** reports the centerline mileage of the State Highway System by Congressional District as of 2019 – the most recent year for which ARDOT submitted official system mileage to the Federal Highway Administration.

Table 6. Centerline Mileage of the State Highway System by Congressional District

U.S. Congressional District	State Highway System Centerline Mileage
1	6,490
2	1,771
3	1,909
4	6,295
TOTAL	16,465

Table 7 reports the average expenditure of State and Federal funds for highway improvements, maintenance, and preservation per centerline mile of the State Highway System. Results are broken out by Congressional District and represent the total of expenditures between SFY 2011 and SFY 2020.

Table 7. Expenditure of State and Federal Highway Funds per Centerline Mile of the State Highway System

U.S. Congressional District	Expenditure per Centerline Mile
1	\$402,760
2	\$1,059,109
3	\$841,884
4	\$372,271
Statewide Average	\$512,614

PART VI – EXPENDITURE OF HIGHWAY FUNDS FOR PRESERVATION

As required by Act 789, **Table 8** reports the expenditure of State and Federal highway funds for preservation and maintenance of the State Highway System. Expenditures are reported separately for each Congressional District over the preceding ten State Fiscal Years.

It is important to note that the costs of construction of highway projects included in the table below do not include right-of-way or utility expenditures, which are typically greater in urban areas as compared to rural areas. It should also be noted that for construction projects for preservation, the award amount is used instead of expenditures. The full award amount is shown in the SFY in which a project is let to contract, though expenditures for a project may occur over multiple years.

Table 8. As Required by Act 789, Expenditure of State and Federal Highway Funds for Preservation and Maintenance

State Fiscal Year	Congressional District				Statewide
	1	2	3	4	
2011	\$162,051,718	\$48,407,797	\$31,060,631	\$73,645,163	\$315,165,309
2012	\$162,555,828	\$57,625,909	\$26,425,896	\$133,772,252	\$380,379,885
2013	\$219,781,547	\$70,927,721	\$147,905,299	\$93,898,221	\$532,512,788
2014	\$183,987,741	\$78,242,068	\$40,775,571	\$120,760,040	\$423,765,420
2015	\$147,669,408	\$205,941,836	\$31,470,110	\$174,229,644	\$559,310,998
2016	\$207,322,910	\$103,221,227	\$69,326,916	\$167,142,381	\$547,013,434
2017	\$258,160,280	\$66,496,151	\$58,266,248	\$155,287,805	\$538,210,484
2018	\$148,231,147	\$47,232,855	\$36,982,710	\$192,176,575	\$424,623,287
2019	\$169,919,831	\$48,721,147	\$103,164,520	\$150,578,340	\$472,383,838
2020	\$256,500,275	\$143,121,934	\$82,364,838	\$300,966,053	\$782,953,100
TOTAL	\$1,916,180,685	\$869,938,645	\$627,742,739	\$1,562,456,474	\$4,976,318,543

APPENDIX A – ACT 789 of 2019

Stricken language would be deleted from and underlined language would be added to present law.
Act 789 of the Regular Session

1 State of Arkansas
2 92nd General Assembly
3 Regular Session, 2019
4

As Engrossed: S3/28/19

A Bill

HOUSE BILL 1750

5 By: Representatives Lundstrum, Bentley, Boyd, Coleman, A. Davis, D. Douglas, Eaves, Gates, G.
6 Hodges, Jean, McCollum, Pilkington, B. Smith, Speaks, Sullivan
7 By: Senators G. Stubblefield, Irvin
8

For An Act To Be Entitled

9
10 AN ACT TO ENSURE THAT THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY HAS
11 ADEQUATE INFORMATION TO MAKE INFORMED DECISIONS ON
12 HIGHWAY FUNDING BEFORE EACH REGULAR SESSION AND EACH
13 FISCAL SESSION BY REQUIRING THE DIRECTOR OF STATE
14 HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON
15 VARIOUS ISSUES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION THE
16 LOCATION OF THE MOST CONGESTED ROUTES, THE MOST
17 DANGEROUS TRANSPORTATION AREAS, THE EXPENDITURE PER
18 PERSON OF STATE AND FEDERAL HIGHWAY FUNDS IN EACH
19 CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT, AND THE NUMBER OF MILES OF
20 THE STATE HIGHWAY SYSTEM THAT ARE IN EACH
21 CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.
22
23

Subtitle

24
25 TO ENSURE THAT THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY HAS
26 ADEQUATE INFORMATION TO MAKE INFORMED
27 DECISIONS ON HIGHWAY FUNDING BEFORE EACH
28 REGULAR SESSION AND EACH FISCAL SESSION.
29
30

31 BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ARKANSAS:
32

33 SECTION 1. Arkansas Code Title 27, Chapter 65, Subchapter 1, is
34 amended to add an additional section to read as follows:

35 27-65-146. Additional reporting before each regular session and each
36 fiscal session.



03-28-2019 14:14:42 JLL230

As Engrossed: S3/28/19

HB1750

1 (a) As used in this section:

2 (1) "Congested route" means a street or highway that is part of
3 the state highway system and is:

4 (A) A rural two-lane street or highway with an
5 average daily traffic count of nine thousand (9,000) or more vehicles each
6 day;

7 (B) An urban two-lane street or highway with an
8 average daily traffic count of thirteen thousand (13,000) or more vehicles
9 each day;

10 (C) A four-lane street or highway with an average
11 daily traffic count of fifty thousand (50,000) or more vehicles each day; or

12 (D) A six-lane street or highway with an average
13 daily traffic count of eighty thousand (80,000) or more vehicles each day;

14 (2) "Discretionary funds" means funds available for use by the
15 State Highway Commission or the Arkansas Department of Transportation that
16 are not:

17 (A) Designated for a specific use under law;

18 (B) Required to be used by law or by contract for debt
19 service; or

20 (C) Required to be used by law or by contract as a source
21 for matching funds; and

22 (3) "Peak usage time" means the time of day during the week that
23 the majority of people use streets and highways to travel to and from work or
24 school, or both.

25 (b) Upon the convening of the General Assembly at each regular session
26 and each fiscal session, the Director of State Highways and Transportation
27 shall provide the following information in report form to all members of the
28 General Assembly:

29 (1) The location of the ten (10) most congested routes in urban
30 areas;

31 (2) The location of the ten (10) most congested routes in rural
32 areas;

33 (3) For each county in the state, the five (5) locations that
34 have the highest number of vehicle accidents and the five (5) locations that
35 have the highest number of fatal vehicle accidents;

36 (4) The expenditure per person of state and federal highway

As Engrossed: S3/28/19

HB1750

1 funds, including without limitation discretionary funds, in each
2 congressional district over the preceding ten (10) years;

3 (5) The number of miles of the state highway system that are in
4 each congressional district; and

5 (6) The expenditures made per congressional district of state
6 and federal highway funds, including without limitation discretionary funds,
7 for the preservation of the state highway system.

8
9
10 /s/Lundstrum

11
12
13 APPROVED: 4/8/19
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

APPENDIX B – ARKANSAS CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS

