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## Introduction

This report reviews Arkansas public school student achievement data. This includes results from the National Assessment for Educational Progress (NAEP), Advanced Placement (AP), the ACT college entrance exam, results from the statewide assessment (ACT Aspire), and high school graduation rates. English language learner students and students with disabilities have additional assessments specific to their population. Results from those assessments can be found in their respective reports (English Language Learners and Special Education).

The adequacy study statute, Ark. Code Ann. § 10-3-2102, calls for the biennial study to "[a]ssess, evaluate and monitor the entire spectrum of public education" as well as to "[e]valuate the effectiveness of any program implemented by a school, a school district, an education service cooperative, the ADE, or the State Board of Education." Additionally, the same statute calls for a review of the Arkansas Educational Support and Accountability Act (AESAA) and the state's standing under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). AESAA mandates Arkansas students participate in the NAEP and statewide student assessment. The state's ESSA plan includes the long-term (12 year) goal of having 80\% of Arkansas students demonstrate grade-level proficiency. ${ }^{1}$ The current definition of adequacy also includes, "The goal is to have all, or all but the most severely disabled, students perform at or above proficiency on these tests," referring to the state tests. ${ }^{2}$

## National Assessment for Educational Progress

The NAEP is a national assessment administered to a sample of students in every state approximately every two years in $4^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grades across a variety of subjects. The most recent assessment available was taken in 2019. Students in the $12^{\text {th }}$ grade are also tested but on a different schedule. It is the "largest nationally representative and continuing assessment of what students in public and private schools in the United States know and are able to do in various subjects." ${ }^{3}$ It is congressionally mandated through the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Department of Education's Institute of Education Sciences. It allows for a "common measure of student achievement that allows for direct comparisons among states and participating urban districts...Results are reported as scores and as percentages of students reaching NAEP achievement levels - NAEP Basic, NAEP Proficient, and NAEP Advanced." ${ }^{4}$ These achievement levels are defined below. Results for comparison states are found in Appendices A-D. NAEP results included in this report do not include any private schools.

- NAEP Basic - "denotes partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for Proficient work at each grade."
- NAEP Proficient - "represents solid academic performance. Students reaching this level have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter."
- NAEP Advanced-"represents superior performance."5

Pct. of Students Scoring At or Above Proficient (2019)

[^0]| 4th Grade Reading (2019) Pct. At or Above Proficient |  |  |  | 4th Grade Math (2019) Pct. At or Above Proficient |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50\% |  |  |  | 50\% |  | 40\% | 40\% |
| 40\% | 35\% | 35\% | 34\% | 40\% |  |  |  |
| 30\% | 32\% |  | 31\% | 30\% | 32\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| 20\% |  |  |  | 20\% |  |  |  |
| 10\% |  |  |  | 10\% |  |  |  |
| 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% |  |  |  |
|  | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 |  | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 |

Arkansas National

| 8th Grade Reading (2019) Pct. At or Above Proficient |  |  |  | 8th Grade Math (2019) Pct. At or Above Proficient |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50\% |  |  |  | 50\% |  |  |  |
| 40\% | 33\% | 35\% | 32\% | 40\% | 32\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| 30\% |  |  |  | 30\% |  |  |  |
| 20\% | 27\% | 29\% | 30\% | 20\% | 25\% | 25\% | 27\% |
| 10\% |  |  |  | 10\% |  |  |  |
| 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% |  |  |  |
|  | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 |  | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 |



|  | $\square$ Arkansas |
| :--- | :--- |



Note: According to NAEP, reporting standards were not met (e.g. sample size was insufficient to permit a reliable estimate) for the remaining racial/ethnic groups for Arkansas (Asian, American Indian/Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander, or Two or More Races), which is why they are not included here.

$\square$


$\square$


$\square$


Note: NAEP uses National School Lunch Program (NSL) eligibility as an indicator of poverty. This terminology is interchangeable with students considered eligible for free or reduced-price lunches (FRL). ${ }^{6}$

[^1]

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |



Note: Students with disabilities do not include students with a 504 plan.


## Advanced Placement

The Arkansas Advanced Placement (AP) program is "designed to improve course offerings available to middle school, junior high school and high school students throughout the state" by providing "advanced educational courses that are easily accessible and will prepare students for admission to and success in a postsecondary educational environment. ${ }^{\prime 7}$ Arkansas school districts must provide high school students with the opportunity to enroll in at least one Advanced Placement course in each of the four core areas of English, math, science, and social studies. ${ }^{8}$ Advanced placement courses are defined as "a high school level preparatory course for a college advanced placement test that incorporates all topics specified by the College Board and Educational Testing Service on its standard syllabus for a given subject area and is approved by the College Board and Educational Testing Service." ${ }^{9}$

Students may take advanced placement exams which provide them the opportunity to qualify for college/university level credit. ${ }^{10}$ These exams are scored on a scale of 1 to 5 . Many U.S. colleges grant credit and/or advanced placement for scores of 3 or above. ${ }^{11}$

| 2020 | Arkansas | National |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Students Taking AP Exams | 28,315 | N/A |
| Number of AP Exams Taken | 36,824 | $3,057,148$ |
| Percentage of Exams That Scored 3 or Above | $45 \%$ | $64 \%$ |

Source: Office for Education Policy, DESE ${ }^{12}$, and the College Board ${ }^{13}$.
Note: Data includes Arkansas School for the Blind, School for the Deaf, and the Division of Youth Services.
Percentage of Students Scoring 3 or Above

${ }^{7}$ Arkansas Code § 6-16-802. See also DESE "Rules Governing Grading and Course Credit." (6-1.01). Retrieved from: https://dese.ade.arkansas.gov/Files/20201102110218 FINAL Rules Governing Grading and Course Credit 1.pdf
${ }^{8}$ Ark. Code Ann. § 6-16-1204 ("Advanced Placement and Endorsed Concurrent Enrollment," Arkansas Code § 6-16-1201 et seq., was enacted by the General Assembly in 2003 to "ensure that each student has an adequate education" because "each student should have access to a rigorous and substantially equal curriculum.").
${ }^{9}$ Ark. Code Ann. § 6-18-803(1)
${ }^{10}$ DESE. "Rules Governing Grading and Course Credit." (1-2.02)
${ }^{11}$ College Board. "About AP Scores." Retrieved from: https://apstudents.collegeboard.org/about-ap-
scores\#:~:text=AP\%20Exams\%20are\%20scored\%20on,scores\%20of\%203\%20and\%20above
12 http://www.officeforeducationpolicy.org/act-ap/
${ }^{13}$ College Board. "AP Score Distributions All Subjects 2000-2020." Retrieved from. https://reports.collegeboard.org/ap-program-results/data-archive
8|Page

## ACT

The ACT is "a national college admissions examination recognized by universities and colleges in the U.S. ${ }^{14}$ Arkansas provides one opportunity for all Arkansas $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students to test at their respective high schools. ${ }^{15}$ The ACT contains four multiple-choice tests: English, math, reading, and science. ${ }^{16}$ "These tests are designed to measure skills that are most important for success in postsecondary education and that are acquired in secondary education. The score range for each of the four multiple-choice tests is 1-36. The composite score is the average of the four test scores rounded to the nearest whole number." ${ }^{17}$ The college readiness benchmarks are "sets of statements intended to help students, parents and educators understand the meaning of test scores. The standards relate test scores to the types of skills needed for success in high school and beyond. They serve as a direct link between what students have learned and what they are ready to do next." ${ }^{18}$ The standards "are empirically derived descriptions of the essential skills and knowledge students need to become ready for college and career." ${ }^{19}$

## ARKANSAS

| Arkansas Graduating Class | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Pct. Met College Readiness Benchmarks | $51 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
|  | Average ACT Score | 18.6 | 18.8 | 18.7 | 18.4 | 18.3 |
| Math | Pct. Met College Readiness Benchmarks | $26 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
|  | Average ACT Score | 18.9 | 18.7 | 18.5 | 18.2 | 18.1 |
| Reading | Pct. Met College Readiness Benchmarks | $35 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
|  | Average ACT Score | 19.5 | 19.5 | 19.4 | 19.2 | 19.0 |
| Science | Pct. Met College Readiness Benchmarks | $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
|  | Average ACT Score | 19.4 | 19.2 | 19.0 | 18.9 | 19.1 |
| All | Pct. Met All Four College Readiness Benchmarks | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
|  | Average Composite ACT Score | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.0 | 18.8 | 18.8 |

Data Source: ACT. ${ }^{20}$
Note: Students in private schools are not included here.

[^2]
## Pct. of Students Meeting All ACT Readiness Benchmarks



Data Source: DESE ${ }^{21}$
Note: Data does not include Arkansas School for the Blind, Arkansas School for the Deaf, or Division of Youth Services.

|  | Pct. of <br> Graduates <br> Tested | Average <br> Composite <br> Score | Pct. Meeting <br> English <br> Benchmark | Pct. Meeting <br> Reading <br> Benchmark | Pct. Meeting <br> Math <br> Benchmark | Pct. Meeting <br> Science <br> Benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arkansas | $99 \%$ | 19.0 | $51 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| National | $35 \%$ | 20.3 | $56 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $35 \%$ |

Data Source: College Board ${ }^{22}$

|  | Pct. of Graduates Tested | Average Composite Score | Pct. Meeting English Benchmark | Pct. Meeting Reading Benchmark | Pct. Meeting Math Benchmark | Pct. Meeting Science Benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top NAEP States |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Massachusetts | 7\% | 27.6 | 92\% | 83\% | 81\% | 79\% |
| New Jersey | 12\% | 25.1 | 83\% | 70\% | 67\% | 62\% |
| New Hampshire | 4\% | 26.6 | 89\% | 79\% | 75\% | 71\% |
| Minnesota | 60\% | 21.6 | 61\% | 52\% | 48\% | 45\% |
| Wyoming | 91\% | 19.8 | 53\% | 42\% | 32\% | 32\% |
| Virginia | 9\% | 25.5 | 86\% | 75\% | 68\% | 67\% |
| Vermont | 4\% | 24.7 | 83\% | 77\% | 62\% | 66\% |
| Indiana | 14\% | 23.1 | 74\% | 62\% | 57\% | 52\% |
| Connecticut | 9\% | 27.2 | 92\% | 82\% | 78\% | 76\% |
| Utah | 86\% | 20.6 | 59\% | 46\% | 38\% | 37\% |
| Top Southern Regional Education Board (SREB) States |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Virginia | 9\% | 25.5 | 86\% | 75\% | 68\% | 67\% |
| Florida | 34\% | 20.4 | 59\% | 46\% | 34\% | 35\% |
| Maryland | 8\% | 25.5 | 86\% | 74\% | 67\% | 65\% |
| No. Carolina | 92\% | 18.9 | 43\% | 36\% | 29\% | 27\% |
| Kentucky | 100\% | 19.2 | 51\% | 36\% | 27\% | 26\% |
| Georgia | 24\% | 22.6 | 72\% | 58\% | 50\% | 48\% |
| Tennessee | 100\% | 19.1 | 52\% | 36\% | 25\% | 28\% |
| Texas | 23\% | 20.1 | 52\% | 42\% | 35\% | 34\% |
| Contiguous States |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missouri | 63\% | 20.6 | 59\% | 46\% | 35\% | 36\% |
| Tennessee | 100\% | 19.1 | 52\% | 36\% | 25\% | 28\% |
| Texas | 23\% | 20.1 | 52\% | 42\% | 35\% | 34\% |
| Oklahoma | 58\% | 19.7 | 55\% | 42\% | 26\% | 29\% |
| Arkansas | 99\% | 19.0 | 51\% | 34\% | 23\% | 26\% |
| Mississippi | 100\% | 18.1 | 43\% | 28\% | 18\% | 19\% |
| Louisiana | 98\% | 18.4 | 48\% | 31\% | 20\% | 23\% |

Data Source: College Board
Note: Please see the Methodologies and Definitions Report for a description of how the Top NAEP states were determined.

## ACT Aspire

"Arkansas law requires that all public school students shall participate in a statewide program of educational assessments per Ark. Code Ann. § 6-15-419, 6-15-433, 6-15-2009. In the 2015-16 school year, the Arkansas State Board of Education adopted the ACT Aspire summative assessment." ${ }^{23}$ The ACT Aspire end-of-year summative assessment is used to "assess all Arkansas public school students in grades 3-10 unless they qualify for an alternate assessment" in English, reading, writing, math, and science. ${ }^{24}$ Average scores for English, reading, and writing are combined to form an English language arts (ELA) score that is shown below. ${ }^{25}$ Scale scores at each grade are combined into four achievement levels: "Exceeding", "Ready", "Close", and "In Need of Improvement". Students whose scores fall within the "Exceeding" or "Ready" categories are considered on target for college and workplace readiness by the end of high school. ${ }^{26}$ The state's long-term goal is for $80 \%$ students to score proficient for their grade level by 2030. ${ }^{27}$ The following ACT Aspire scores do not include the Arkansas School for the Blind, Arkansas School for the Deaf, or Division of Youth Services.

## Pct. of Students Scoring Ready or Exceeding



Note: Students were not tested in 2019-20 due to COVID-19. The cut scores for ELA were changed in 2018.
The following table shows the percentage of students scoring ready or exceeding in ELA and math by grade. Performance increases with grade level for ELA but decreases in math.

| 2021 | ELA | Mercentage of Students Scoring Ready or Exceeding |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $30 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}$ Grade | $36 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $34 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $38 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $39 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $43 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $38 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $37 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |

[^3]The following table shows performance of students by race/ethnicity for the past five years. In both ELA and math, Asian students had the largest percentage of students scoring ready or exceeding, followed by white students. The achievement gap between White and African-American students decreased by one percentage point in ELA but increased by one percentage point in math. In 2021, 45\% of White students scored ready or exceeding in ELA compared to $17 \%$ of African-American students. For White and Hispanic students, the achievement gap remained the same in 2017 and 2021 for both ELA and math ( 14 percentage points and 13 percentage points respectively).

| Percentage Scoring Ready or Exceeding |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race/Ethnicity | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic/Latino | 46\% | 37\% | 37\% | Not tested due to COVID19 | 31\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 54\% | 44\% | 44\% |  | 38\% |
| Asian | 74\% | 67\% | 67\% |  | 62\% |
| African-American/Black | 31\% | 22\% | 24\% |  | 17\% |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 30\% | 21\% | 20\% |  | 14\% |
| Caucasian/White | 60\% | 52\% | 53\% |  | 45\% |
| Two or More Races | 55\% | 46\% | 47\% |  | 38\% |
| Math |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic/Latino | 42\% | 42\% | 42\% | Not tested due to COVID19 | 31\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 46\% | 48\% | 47\% |  | 36\% |
| Asian | 70\% | 72\% | 73\% |  | 64\% |
| African-American/Black | 26\% | 24\% | 25\% |  | 14\% |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 25\% | 27\% | 26\% |  | 19\% |
| Caucasian/White | 55\% | 55\% | 56\% |  | 44\% |
| Two or More Races | 51\% | 49\% | 50\% |  | 36\% |

Note: Students were not tested in 2019-20 due to COVID-19. The cut scores for ELA were changed in 2018.

The following table shows performance of students by gender for the past five years. There is an achievement gap between female and male students for ELA. In 2021, $43 \%$ of female students scored ready or exceeding in ELA compared to $31 \%$ of male students. This gap of 12 percentage points is a decrease from a gap of 15 percentage points in 2017. In 2021, there was no achievement gap between female and male students in math.

| Percentage Scoring Ready or Exceeding |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 60\% | 51\% | 52\% | Not tested due | 43\% |
| Male | 45\% | 37\% | 38\% | to COVID-19 | 31\% |
| Math |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 49\% | 49\% | 49\% | Not tested due | 36\% |
| Male | 45\% | 45\% | 46\% | to COVID-19 | 36\% |

Note: Students were not tested in 2019-20 due to COVID-19. The cut scores for ELA were changed in 2018.


## AlL STUDENTS

The following charts represent the average percentage of students scoring "Ready" and "Exceeding" based on the category of school they attend. Several patterns remain consistent throughout the analyses. Students attending schools within the smallest school districts or charter systems (0-350 average daily membership) are less likely to score "Ready" or "Exceeding" than their counterparts attending schools within larger districts or charter systems. Likewise, students attending schools with the highest levels of free or reduced-price lunch (FRL) students tend to score lower than students in schools with decreasing levels of FRL students. These patterns hold true for analyses of all students across ELA and math tests as well as for most special populations of students (alternative learning environment (ALE) students, English language learners (ELL), FRL students, and students with disabilities).

Pct. of All Students Scoring Ready or Exceeding - ELA


Pct. of All Students Scoring Ready or Exceeding - Math


Student growth scores are calculated by comparing the student's actual score against the student's expected score (based on prior assessment performance) to determine whether the student met, exceeded, or failed to meet his or her expected performance. A score of 80 is right on track with a student's expected score based on their previous test scores. A score higher than 80 indicates a higher level of growth than would be expected for that student, and a score less than 80 indicates a score lower than would be expected for that student.


Pct. of 3rd Grade Students
Scoring Ready or Exceeding -
ELA

District
Charter
Urban
Rural



BLR Cohort $-27 \%$
Other $\longrightarrow 2 \%$

Pct. of 3rd Grade Students Scoring Ready or Exceeding Math




BLR Cohort

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Average ACT Aspire Growth } \\ \text { Scores - 3rd Grade - ELA } \\ \text { District } \\ \text { Charter } & 80.0 \\ \text { Urban } & 78.1 \\ \text { Rural } & 80.4\end{array}$




Average ACT Aspire Growth Scores - 3rd Grade - Math


Pct. of 6th Grade Students
Scoring Ready or Exceeding -
ELA



| 5,001-25,000 | 40\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2,501-5,000 | 38\% |
| 1,501-2,500 | 33\% |
| 1,001-1,500 | 36\% |
| 751-1,000 | 37\% |
| 501-750 | 36\% |
| 351-500 | 36\% |
| 1-350 |  |

Pct. of 6th Grade Students Scoring Ready or Exceceding Math

$\begin{aligned} \text { Minority Q1 (Lowest) } & \\ \text { Minority Q2 } & \text { 48\% } \\ \text { Minority Q3 } & \\ \text { Minority Q4 } & \text { 47\% } \\ \text { Minority } \text { Q5 (Highest) } & \text { 23\% }\end{aligned}$



## Pct. of 9th Grade Students <br> Scoring Ready or Exceeding ELA <br> 

$\begin{aligned} \text { FRL Q1 (Lowest) } & \text { 46\% } \\ \text { FRL Q2 } & \text { 41\% } \\ \text { FRL Q3 } & \text { ens } \\ \text { FRL Q4 } & 28 \% \\ \text { FRL Q5 (Highest) } & 21 \%\end{aligned}$
$\begin{array}{rc}\text { Minority Q1 (Lowest) } & \text { 41\% } \\ \text { Minority Q2 } & 41 \% \\ \text { Minority Q3 } & 45 \% \\ \text { Minority Q4 } & \text { 48\% } \\ \text { Minority Q5 (Highest) } & \mathbf{2 4 \%}\end{array}$

| $5,001-25,000$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| $2,501-5,000$ | $32 \%$ |
| $1,501-2,500$ | $39 \%$ |
| $1,001-1,500$ | $35 \%$ |
| $751-1,000$ | $39 \%$ |
| $501-750$ | $34 \%$ |
| $351-500$ | $34 \%$ |
| $1-350$ | $22 \%$ |

BLR Cohort $\longrightarrow 38 \%$
Other $\longrightarrow 38 \%$

Pct. of 9th Grade Students Scoring Ready or Exceeding Math

$\begin{array}{rc}\text { Minority Q1 (Lowest) } & \text { 29\% } \\ \text { Minority Q2 } & 29 \% \\ \text { Minority Q3 } & \text { 29\% } \\ \text { Minority Q4 } & \text { 25\% } \\ \text { Minority Q5 (Highest) } & \text { 15\% }\end{array}$

| $5,001-25,000$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| $2,501-5,000$ | $26 \%$ |
| $1,501-2,500$ |  |
| $1,001-1,500$ | $23 \%$ |
| $751-1,000$ | $28 \%$ |
| $501-750$ | $26 \%$ |
| $351-500$ | $19 \%$ |
| $1-350$ |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

BLR Cohort $\longrightarrow 26 \% ~$
Other $\longrightarrow 25 \% ~$

Average ACT Aspire Growth Scores - 9th Grade - ELA


Average ACT Aspire Growth
Scores - 9th Grade - Math


Pct. of ALE Students Scoring
Ready or Exceeding, ELA

## District - 7\% <br> Charter 0\%

| Urban |
| :---: |
| Rural |
| $7 \%$ |


| FRL Q1 (Lowest) | O\% |
| ---: | :--- |
| FRL Q2 | $6 \%$ |
| FRL Q3 | $7 \%$ |
| FRL Q4 | $8 \%$ |
| FRL Q5 (Highest) | $2 \%$ |


| Minority Q1 (Lowest) | $8 \%$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Minority Q2 | $8 \%$ |
| Minority Q3 | $8 \%$ |
| Minority Q4 | $5 \%$ |
| Minority Q5 (Highest) | $3 \%$ |


| $5,001-25,000$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| $2,501-5,000$ | $4 \%$ |
| $1,501-2,500$ | $6 \%$ |
| $1,001-1,500$ | $8 \%$ |
| $751-1,000$ | $5 \%$ |
| $501-750$ |  |
| $351-500$ |  |
| $1-350$ | $2 \%$ |

BLR Cohort 3\%
Other - 7\%

Pct. of ALE Students Scoring Ready or Exceeding, Math
District $\quad 0 \%$
Charter 0\%
Urban $-6 \%$
Rural $-8 \%$

| FRL Q5 (Highest) | - |
| ---: | :--- |
| FRL Q4 | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| FRL Q3 | 10\% |
| FRL Q2 | $4 \%$ |
| FRL Q1 (Lowest) | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |


| Minority Q5 (Highest) | 4\% |
| ---: | :--- |
| Minority Q4 | $5 \%$ |
| Minority Q3 | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |
| Minority Q2 | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| Minority Q1 (Lowest) | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ |



BLR Cohort $\quad 7 \%$
Other
Pct. of ELL Students Scoring
Ready or Exceeding - ELA
District
Charter
Urban
Rural


Pct. of ELL Students Scoring
Ready or Exceeding - Math

| District |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Charter | $14 \%$ |
| Urban |  |
| Rural | $13 \%$ |


$\begin{aligned} \text { Minority Q1 (Lowest) } & \text { 10\% } \\ \text { Minority Q2 } & 7 \% \\ \text { Minority Q3 } & 7 \% \\ \text { Minority Q4 } & 10 \% \\ \text { Minority Q5 (Highest) } & 6 \%\end{aligned}$
$\begin{array}{rc}\text { Minority Q1 (Lowest) } & 17 \% \\ \text { Minority Q2 } & 16 \% \\ \text { Minority Q3 } & 14 \% \\ \text { Minority Q4 } & 14 \% \\ \text { Minority Q5 (Highest) } & 12 \%\end{array}$

| $5,001-25,000$ | $8 \%$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $2,501-5,000$ | $6 \%$ |
| $1,501-2,500$ | $7 \%$ |
| $1,001-1,500$ | $8 \%$ |
| $751-1,000$ | $9 \%$ |
| $501-750$ | $5 \%$ |
| $351-500$ | $5 \%$ |
| $1-350$ | $3 \%$ |

$\begin{array}{rc}5,001-25,000 & 13 \% \\ 2,501-5,000 & 13 \% \\ 1,501-2,500 & 13 \% \\ 1,001-1,500 & 14 \% \\ 751-1,000 & 16 \% \\ 501-750 & 11 \% \\ 351-500 & 12 \% \\ 1-350 & 9 \%\end{array}$

BLR Cohort $-12 \%$
Other $\longrightarrow 10 \%$

Note: The above scores for ELL students only include current ELL students. It does not include former ELL students that are still being monitored.
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Average ACT Aspire Growth } \\ \text { Scores - ELL Students - ELA } \\ \text { District } & 80.8 \\ \text { Charter } & 80.5 \\ \text { Urban } & 80.9\end{array}$




BLR Cohort


Average ACT Aspire Growth Scores - ELL Students - Math


Note: The above scores for ELL students only include current ELL students. It does not include former ELL students that are still being monitored.

Pct. of FRL Students Scoring Ready or Above, ELA


Pct. of FRL Students Scoring Ready or Above, Math

| District | 28\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| Charter | $19 \%$ |
| Urban | $225 \%$ |
| Rural | $29 \%$ |


| FRL Q1 (Lowest) | 33\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| FRL Q2 | 29\% |
| FRL Q3 | 28\% |
| FRL Q4 | 29\% |
| FRL Q5 (Highest) | 19\% |
| MinorityQ1 (Lowest) | 34\% |
| MinorityQ2 | 34\% |
| MinorityQ3 | 30\% |
| MinorityQ4 | 28\% |
| Minority Q5 (Highest) | \% |
| 5,001-25,000 | 27\% |
| 2,501-5,000 | 28\% |
| 1,501-2,500 | 26\% |
| 1,001-1,500 | 28\% |
| 751-1,000 | 32\% |
| 501-750 | 27\% |
| 351-500 | 25\% |
| 1-350 |  |
| BLR Cohort | 41 |
| Other | 26\% |




Pct. of Students with
Disabilities Scoring Ready or
Exceeding - Math
District
Charter
Urban
Rural

$\begin{array}{rc}\text { Minority Q1 (Lowest) } & \text { (L) } \\ \text { Minority Q2 } & 11 \% \\ \text { Minority Q3 } & 10 \% \\ \text { Minority Q4 } & \mathbf{1 0} \\ \text { Minority Q5 (Highest) } & \text { 4\% }\end{array}$

| $5,001-25,000$ | $9 \%$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| $2,501-5,000$ | $8 \%$ |
| $1,501-2,500$ | $7 \%$ |
| $1,001-1,500$ | $8 \%$ |
| $751-1,000$ | $7 \%$ |
| $501-750$ | $7 \%$ |
| $351-500$ | $7 \%$ |
| $1-350$ | $4 \%$ |






BLR Cohort


## Average ACT Aspire Growth

## Scores - Students with

Disabilities - Math




BLR Cohort 80.4 Other
79.9

## Regional Trends

The following tables show the percentage of students scoring ready or exceeding in each geographic region in the state for all students and for each student population. Details for each of the six regions shown below can be found in the Methodologies and Definitions Report.

| Percentage of Students Scoring Ready or Exceeding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region | All | ALE | ELL | FRL | SPED |  |  |  |  |
|  | ELA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $43 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $7 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | $37 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Central | $40 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| North Central | $31 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $4 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Upper Delta | $34 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $3 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Southwest | $22 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Lower Delta |  | Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $43 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $23 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | $36 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $18 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Central | $40 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $18 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| North Central | $31 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $14 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Upper Delta | $33 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $15 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Southwest | $21 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $7 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Lower Delta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: The above scores for ELL students only include current ELL students. It does not include former ELL students that are still being monitored.

## Graduation Rates

The following graduation rates for Arkansas are considered a four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate (ACGR). "Under the ESEA [Elementary and Secondary Education Act], each state and LEA must calculate and report on its annual report card a four-year adjusted graduation rate (ACGR), disaggregated by subgroups." ${ }^{28}$ This guidance defines this rate as "the number of students who graduate from high school in four years with a regular high school diploma, plus all students with the most significant cognitive disabilities who are assessed using an alternate assessment aligned with alternate academic achievement standards and who graduate with a state-defined alternative diploma, divided by the number of students who form the adjusted cohort for the graduating class...the cohort is based on the number of students who enter grade 9 for the first time adjusted by adding into the cohort any student who transfers in later during grade 9 or during the next three years and subtracting any student from the cohort who transfers out, emigrates to another country, transfers to a prison or juvenile facility, or dies during that same period." ${ }^{29}$

According to the U.S. Department of Education, this measure allows for a "uniform and accurate measure of the four-year high school graduation rate that is comparable across States and consistently reported over time." ${ }^{30}$

| School <br> Year | All <br> Students | Economically <br> Disadvantaged <br> Students | Students with <br> Disabilities | Limited English <br> Proficient <br> Students | Male <br> Students | Female <br> Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $88.0 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ | $83.8 \%$ | $83.2 \%$ | N/A | N/A |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $89.2 \%$ | $86.8 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ | $86.6 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $87.6 \%$ | $84.8 \%$ | $82.6 \%$ | $82.8 \%$ | $85.3 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $88.8 \%$ | $86.3 \%$ | $84.1 \%$ | $84.5 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ | $91.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $88.5 \%$ | $85.8 \%$ | $83.1 \%$ | $84.1 \%$ | $85.8 \%$ | $91.3 \%$ |

Source: DESE ${ }^{31}$
Note: Economically disadvantaged students are defined as students "participating in the Federal Free and Reduced Price Lunch Program." ${ }^{32}$ A change in data procedure dropped the graduation rates in 2019 from 2018. 33

| School <br> Year | African <br> American | Asian | Caucasian | Hawaiian/ <br> Pacific <br> Islander | Hispanic | Native <br> American | Two or <br> More <br> Races |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $83.4 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ | $69.0 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ | $88.7 \%$ | $86.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $85.6 \%$ | $94.7 \%$ | $91.2 \%$ | $72.6 \%$ | $85.8 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ | $89.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $83.4 \%$ | $93.9 \%$ | $89.6 \%$ | $76.1 \%$ | $84.7 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ | $87.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $84.5 \%$ | $93.6 \%$ | $90.9 \%$ | $70.5 \%$ | $86.8 \%$ | $88.7 \%$ | $85.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $84.5 \%$ | $93.7 \%$ | $90.1 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ | $87.6 \%$ | $85.4 \%$ | $86.2 \%$ |

Source: DESE

[^4]Arkansas Four-Year Graduation Rate

Region
Northwest
Central

| North Central | $88 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Upper Delta | $88 \%$ |
| Southwest | $88 \%$ |
| Lower Delta | $85 \%$ |

## NATIONAL COMPARISON

The following graduation rates are also adjusted cohort graduation rates from the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). ${ }^{34}$ Graduation rates for the comparison states can be found in Appendix E.


| Limited English Proficient Students |  |  |  |  |  | Economically Disadvantaged Students |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% | 86\% | 86\% | 82\% | 83\% | 83\% | 100\% | 82\% | 84\% | 85\% | 87\% | 83\% |
| 80\% |  |  |  |  |  | 80\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |  | 68\% | 69\% | 60\% | 76 |  | 78\% |  | 69\% |
| 40\% |  |  |  |  |  | 40\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20\% |  |  |  |  |  | 20\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0\% |  |  |  |  |  | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |

[^5]
## 2021 Legislation

ACT 251 (SB124) requires the Division of Elementary and Secondary Education to provide for statewide student assessments that are scored and returned for public school and school district use by August 1 of each year.

|  | Appendix A: NAEP Scores - All Students - Comparison States |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4th Grade Math | 4th Grade Reading | 8th Grade Math | 8th Grade Reading |
|  | Top NAEP States |  |  |  |  |
|  | Massachusetts | 50\% | 45\% | 47\% | 45\% |
|  | New Jersey | 48\% | 42\% | 44\% | 43\% |
|  | New Hampshire | 46\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% |
| (1) | Minnesota | 53\% | 38\% | 44\% | 34\% |
| $\stackrel{09}{\sim}$ | Wyoming | 48\% | 41\% | 37\% | 34\% |
| ¢ | Virginia | 48\% | 38\% | 38\% | 33\% |
| 䓘 | Vermont | 39\% | 37\% | 38\% | 40\% |
| D | Indiana | 47\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% |
| \% | Connecticut | 45\% | 40\% | 39\% | 41\% |
| ¢ | Utah | 46\% | 40\% | 37\% | 38\% |
| $\stackrel{0}{2}$ | Top SREB States |  |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ | Virginia | 48\% | 38\% | 38\% | 33\% |
| \% | Florida | 48\% | 38\% | 31\% | 34\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | Maryland | 39\% | 35\% | 33\% | 36\% |
| $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ® }}$ | No. Carolina | 41\% | 36\% | 37\% | 33\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | Kentucky | 40\% | 35\% | 29\% | 33\% |
| ¢ | Georgia | 36\% | 32\% | 31\% | 32\% |
| $\cdots$ | Tennessee | 40\% | 35\% | 31\% | 32\% |
| + | Texas | 44\% | 30\% | 30\% | 25\% |
| $\frac{\overline{2}}{<}$ | Contiguous States |  |  |  |  |
|  | Missouri | 39\% | 34\% | 32\% | 33\% |
|  | Tennessee | 40\% | 35\% | 31\% | 32\% |
|  | Texas | 44\% | 30\% | 30\% | 25\% |
|  | Oklahoma | 35\% | 29\% | 26\% | 26\% |
|  | Arkansas | 33\% | 31\% | 27\% | 30\% |
|  | Mississippi | 39\% | 32\% | 24\% | 25\% |
|  | Louisiana | 29\% | 26\% | 23\% | 27\% |

Note: Please see the Methodologies and Definitions Report for a description of how the Top NAEP states were determined.

Appendix B: NAEP Scores - By Race/Ethnicity - Comparison States

|  | 4th Grade Math |  |  | 4th Grade Reading |  |  | 8th Grade Math |  |  | 8th Grade Reading |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | H | W | B | H | W | B | H | W | B | H | W |
| Top NAEP States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Massachusetts | 28\% | 30\% | 59\% | 24\% | 25\% | 54\% | 21\% | 24\% | 55\% | 26\% | 26\% | 51\% |
| New Jersey | 24\% | 30\% | 61\% | 23\% | 26\% | 53\% | 19\% | 23\% | 56\% | 21\% | 21\% | 53\% |
| New Hampshire | 29\% | 26\% | 47\% | N/A | 26\% | 39\% | 20\% | 22\% | 39\% | N/A | N/A | 39\% |
| Minnesota | 26\% | 21\% | 65\% | 19\% | 19\% | 46\% | 14\% | 21\% | 53\% | 11\% | 11\% | 41\% |
| Wyoming | N/A | 36\% | 52\% | N/A | 30\% | 44\% | N/A | 25\% | 41\% | N/A | N/A | 38\% |
| Virginia | 26\% | 36\% | 57\% | 19\% | 26\% | 46\% | 16\% | 30\% | 46\% | 15\% | 15\% | 41\% |
| Vermont | N/A | N/A | 40\% | N/A | N/A | 37\% | N/A | N/A | 39\% | N/A | N/A | 41\% |
| Indiana | 20\% | 34\% | 54\% | 17\% | 24\% | 43\% | 15\% | 24\% | 43\% | 16\% | 16\% | 42\% |
| Connecticut | 21\% | 26\% | 56\% | 17\% | 22\% | 53\% | 14\% | 17\% | 50\% | 22\% | 22\% | 51\% |
| Utah | N/A | 25\% | 52\% | N/A | 20\% | 46\% | N/A | 15\% | 43\% | N/A | N/A | 43\% |
| Top SREB States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Virginia | 26\% | 36\% | 57\% | 19\% | 26\% | 46\% | 16\% | 30\% | 46\% | 15\% | 22\% | 41\% |
| Florida | 28\% | 43\% | 59\% | 23\% | 34\% | 46\% | 13\% | 26\% | 42\% | 17\% | 30\% | 45\% |
| Maryland | 23\% | 27\% | 54\% | 22\% | 22\% | 48\% | 14\% | 18\% | 50\% | 20\% | 21\% | 50\% |
| No. Carolina | 22\% | 32\% | 56\% | 20\% | 23\% | 49\% | 19\% | 26\% | 47\% | 14\% | 28\% | 42\% |
| Kentucky | 20\% | 27\% | 44\% | 14\% | 25\% | 39\% | 11\% | 20\% | 32\% | 14\% | 27\% | 36\% |
| Georgia | 19\% | 25\% | 52\% | 17\% | 23\% | 48\% | 14\% | 25\% | 43\% | 18\% | 25\% | 43\% |
| Tennessee | 23\% | 20\% | 49\% | 18\% | 21\% | 43\% | 12\% | 19\% | 38\% | 15\% | 22\% | 37\% |
| Texas | 32\% | 35\% | 59\% | 16\% | 21\% | 48\% | 16\% | 21\% | 44\% | 11\% | 19\% | 35\% |
| Contiguous States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missouri | 15\% | 35\% | 45\% | 18\% | 28\% | 38\% | 11\% | 22\% | 36\% | 12\% | 28\% | 37\% |
| Tennessee | 23\% | 20\% | 49\% | 18\% | 21\% | 43\% | 12\% | 19\% | 38\% | 15\% | 22\% | 37\% |
| Texas | 32\% | 35\% | 59\% | 16\% | 21\% | 48\% | 16\% | 21\% | 44\% | 11\% | 19\% | 35\% |
| Oklahoma | 18\% | 21\% | 42\% | 13\% | 19\% | 35\% | 7\% | 19\% | 31\% | 13\% | 16\% | 32\% |
| Arkansas | 13\% | 26\% | 41\% | 21\% | 27\% | 37\% | 7\% | 23\% | 35\% | 11\% | 29\% | 35\% |
| Mississippi | 22\% | 42\% | 55\% | 19\% | 34\% | 43\% | 10\% | 23\% | 38\% | 12\% | 30\% | 37\% |
| Louisiana | 13\% | 20\% | 43\% | 13\% | 24\% | 37\% | 9\% | 22\% | 34\% | 13\% | 31\% | 36\% |

Note: "B" = Black; "H" = Hispanic; "W" = White
Note: Please see the Methodologies and Definitions Report for a description of how the Top NAEP states were determined.

## Appendix C: NAEP Scores - By Gender - Comparison States

|  | 4th Grade Math |  | 4th Grade Reading |  | 8th Grade Math |  | 8th Grade Reading |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Top NAEP States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Massachusetts | 52\% | 48\% | 40\% | 51\% | 46\% | 49\% | 39\% | 51\% |
| New Jersey | 50\% | 46\% | 39\% | 45\% | 45\% | 43\% | 38\% | 48\% |
| New Hampshire | 49\% | 42\% | 34\% | 43\% | 41\% | 36\% | 31\% | 44\% |
| Minnesota | 56\% | 50\% | 37\% | 40\% | 43\% | 45\% | 27\% | 41\% |
| Wyoming | 51\% | 44\% | 39\% | 42\% | 35\% | 39\% | 28\% | 40\% |
| Virginia | 50\% | 46\% | 36\% | 41\% | 38\% | 38\% | 26\% | 41\% |
| Vermont | 41\% | 37\% | 33\% | 41\% | 38\% | 38\% | 34\% | 47\% |
| Indiana | 51\% | 43\% | 34\% | 41\% | 39\% | 36\% | 31\% | 43\% |
| Connecticut | 49\% | 41\% | 36\% | 44\% | 38\% | 40\% | 35\% | 47\% |
| Utah | 49\% | 43\% | 36\% | 45\% | 40\% | 35\% | 32\% | 44\% |
| Top SREB States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Virginia | 50\% | 46\% | 36\% | 41\% | 38\% | 38\% | 26\% | 41\% |
| Florida | 51\% | 44\% | 33\% | 42\% | 31\% | 30\% | 30\% | 38\% |
| Maryland | 41\% | 37\% | 33\% | 37\% | 33\% | 32\% | 32\% | 40\% |
| No. Carolina | 43\% | 40\% | 31\% | 41\% | 35\% | 38\% | 27\% | 39\% |
| Kentucky | 41\% | 39\% | 33\% | 37\% | 29\% | 29\% | 28\% | 39\% |
| Georgia | 37\% | 36\% | 30\% | 35\% | 31\% | 31\% | 26\% | 38\% |
| Tennessee | 43\% | 37\% | 33\% | 36\% | 30\% | 32\% | 26\% | 38\% |
| Texas | 46\% | 41\% | 27\% | 34\% | 29\% | 30\% | 21\% | 29\% |
| Contiguous States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missouri | 41\% | 37\% | 30\% | 38\% | 32\% | 31\% | 26\% | 41\% |
| Tennessee | 43\% | 37\% | 33\% | 36\% | 30\% | 32\% | 26\% | 38\% |
| Texas | 46\% | 41\% | 27\% | 34\% | 29\% | 30\% | 21\% | 29\% |
| Oklahoma | 37\% | 32\% | 27\% | 30\% | 27\% | 24\% | 20\% | 31\% |
| Arkansas | 35\% | 31\% | 31\% | 32\% | 27\% | 28\% | 24\% | 36\% |
| Mississippi | 41\% | 37\% | 29\% | 34\% | 24\% | 25\% | 21\% | 29\% |
| Louisiana | 31\% | 26\% | 22\% | 29\% | 23\% | 23\% | 24\% | 31\% |

Note: Please see the Methodologies and Definitions Report for a description of how the Top NAEP states were determined.

Appendix D: NAEP Scores - Special Populations - Comparison States

|  | 4th Grade Math |  |  | 4th Grade Reading |  |  | 8th Grade Math |  |  | 8th Grade Reading |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELL | NSL | SPED | ELL | NSL | SPED | ELL | NSL | SPED | ELL | NSL | SPED |
| Top NAEP States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Massachusetts | 15\% | 28\% | 20\% | 10\% | 26\% | 15\% | 4\% | 25\% | 11\% | 3\% | 24\% | 11\% |
| New Jersey | 13\% | 26\% | 19\% | 6\% | 22\% | 15\% | 3\% | 22\% | 12\% | 3\% | 23\% | 11\% |
| New Hampshire | 18\% | 28\% | 10\% | 20\% | 21\% | 9\% | - | 19\% | 6\% | - | 20\% | 7\% |
| Minnesota | 15\% | 31\% | 24\% | 6\% | 2\% | 13\% | 4\% | 22\% | 12\% | 1\% | 18\% | 7\% |
| Wyoming | 15\% | 33\% | 17\% | 13\% | 27\% | 10\% | - | 24\% | 6\% | - | 21\% | 6\% |
| Virginia | 19\% | 30\% | 19\% | 9\% | 20\% | 9\% | 2\% | 19\% | 9\% | 1\% | 18\% | 7\% |
| Vermont | 17\% | 27\% | 8\% | 23\% | 21\% | 4\% | - | 23\% | 5\% | - | 28\% | 5\% |
| Indiana | 30\% | 33\% | 23\% | 19\% | 24\% | 9\% | 17\% | 23\% | 9\% | 19\% | 25\% | 8\% |
| Connecticut | 15\% | 23\% | 12\% | 7\% | 20\% | 7\% | 1\% | 18\% | 9\% | 4\% | 23\% | 6\% |
| Utah | 15\% | 32\% | 19\% | 10\% | 22\% | 15\% | 8\% | 1\% | 8\% | 5\% | 25\% | 9\% |
| Top SREB States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Virginia | 19\% | 30\% | 19\% | 9\% | 20\% | 9\% | 2\% | 19\% | 9\% | 1\% | 18\% | 7\% |
| Florida | 17\% | 38\% | 21\% | 6\% | 28\% | 12\% | 3\% | 19\% | 11\% | 6\% | 25\% | 10\% |
| Maryland | 15\% | 21\% | 8\% | 11\% | 19\% | 12\% | 2\% | 14\% | 5\% | 1\% | 18\% | 8\% |
| No. Carolina | 17\% | 26\% | 11\% | 10\% | 21\% | 8\% | 4\% | 20\% | 6\% | 3\% | 20\% | 7\% |
| Kentucky | 11\% | 29\% | 15\% | 7\% | 25\% | 11\% | 4\% | 18\% | 4\% | 3\% | 23\% | 8\% |
| Georgia | 14\% | 22\% | 15\% | 11\% | 20\% | 10\% | 4\% | 17\% | 5\% | 3\% | 21\% | 8\% |
| Tennessee | 8\% | 22\% | 20\% | 4\% | 18\% | 14\% | 3\% | 15\% | 5\% | 2\% | 17\% | 5\% |
| Texas | 29\% | 32\% | 13\% | 12\% | 19\% | 8\% | 8\% | 19\% | 5\% | 4\% | 15\% | 3\% |
| Contiguous States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missouri | 21\% | 28\% | 12\% | 15\% | 23\% | 8\% | - | 18\% | 5\% | - | 21\% | 6\% |
| Tennessee | 8\% | 22\% | 20\% | 4\% | 18\% | 14\% | 3\% | 15\% | 5\% | 2\% | 17\% | 5\% |
| Texas | 29\% | 32\% | 13\% | 12\% | 19\% | 8\% | 8\% | 19\% | 5\% | 4\% | 15\% | 3\% |
| Oklahoma | 11\% | 24\% | 13\% | 9\% | 20\% | 10\% | 6\% | 16\% | 5\% | 5\% | 17\% | 4\% |
| Arkansas | 9\% | 24\% | 9\% | 7\% | 24\% | 8\% | 5\% | 17\% | 3\% | 4\% | 21\% | 4\% |
| Mississippi | 37\% | 31\% | 17\% | 19\% | 26\% | 15\% | - | 17\% | 6\% | - | 19\% | 4\% |
| Louisiana | 9\% | 20\% | 11\% | 7\% | 18\% | 8\% | - | 14\% | 5\% | - | 19\% | 5\% |

Note: "ELL" = English Language Learners; "NSL" = National School Lunch Eligible Students; "SPED" = Students with Disabilities
Note: Please see the Methodologies and Definitions Report for a description of how the Top NAEP states were determined.

## Appendix E: NCES Graduation Rates - Comparison States

|  | All Students | Students with Disabilities | Limited English Proficient Students | Economically Disadvantaged Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top NAEP States |  |  |  |  |
| Massachusetts | 88\% | 74\% | 65\% | 79\% |
| New Jersey | 91\% | 79\% | 75\% | 84\% |
| New Hampshire | 88\% | 72\% | 65\% | 77\% |
| Minnesota | 84\% | 63\% | 67\% | 71\% |
| Wyoming | 82\% | 59\% | 67\% | 72\% |
| Virginia | 88\% | 63\% | 56\% | 80\% |
| Vermont | 85\% | 71\% | 63\% | 76\% |
| Indiana | 87\% | 71\% | 76\% | 83\% |
| Connecticut | 89\% | 68\% | 71\% | 80\% |
| Utah | 87\% | 72\% | 73\% | 77\% |
| Top SREB States |  |  |  |  |
| Virginia | 88\% | 63\% | 56\% | 80\% |
| Florida | 87\% | 81\% | 75\% | 83\% |
| Maryland | 87\% | 64\% | 54\% | 78\% |
| No. Carolina | 87\% | 70\% | 71\% | 82\% |
| Kentucky | 91\% | 76\% | 74\% | 88\% |
| Georgia | 82\% | 63\% | 59\% | 77\% |
| Tennessee | 91\% | 74\% | 72\% | 84\% |
| Texas | 90\% | 78\% | 78\% | 87\% |
| Contiguous States |  |  |  |  |
| Missouri | 90\% | 77\% | 73\% | 83\% |
| Tennessee | 91\% | 74\% | 72\% | 84\% |
| Texas | 90\% | 78\% | 78\% | 87\% |
| Oklahoma | 85\% | 79\% | 69\% | 79\% |
| Arkansas | 88\% | 83\% | 83\% | 85\% |
| Mississippi | 85\% | 42\% | 66\% | 82\% |
| Louisiana | 80\% | 65\% | 41\% | 74\% |

Note: Please see the Methodologies and Definitions Report for a description of how the Top NAEP states were determined.


[^0]:    1 "Every Student Succeeds Act Arkansas State Plan," page 30.
    2 "Final Report on the Legislative Hearings of the 2020 Educational Adequacy Study," Volume 1, page 107.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{https}: / / \mathrm{nces} . e d . g o v /$ nationsreportcard/subject/about/pdf/naep overview brochure 2021.pdf
    ${ }^{4} / d$.
    ${ }^{5}$ NCES. Retrieved from: https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/ndecore/help\#sec38

[^1]:    ${ }^{6}$ NCES. "Eligibility for Free/Reduced-Price School Lunch." Retrieved from: https://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/guides/groups.aspx 6 | P a g e

[^2]:    ${ }^{14}$ DESE. Retrieved from: https://dese.ade.arkansas.gov/Offices/learning-services/assessment/the-act--resources-forparentsstudents
    ${ }^{15}$ Ark. Code Ann. § 6-18-1606(b).
    ${ }^{16}$ The national administration of the test includes an optional writing test. Email from Sheree K. Baird, DESE Assessment Program Manager. (June 2, 2022).
    ${ }^{17}$ ACT. Retrieved from: https://www.act.org/content/act/en/products-and-services/the-act-educator/the-act-test.html\#order-reg-materials
    ${ }^{18}$ ACT. "The ACT Profile Report - State; Graduating Class 2021, Public High School Students; Arkansas." Retrieved from: https://dese.ade.arkansas.gov/admin/Files/P 04049999 S C P01 ACT-GCPR Arkansas public only 20211013080020.pdf ${ }^{19}$ ACT. "Act College and Career Readiness Standards." Retrieved from: https://www.act.org/content/act/en/college-and-career-readiness/standards.html
    ${ }^{20}$ ACT. "The ACT Profile Report - State; Graduating Class 2021, Public High School Students; Arkansas."

[^3]:    ${ }^{23}$ DESE. "ACT Aspire." Retrieved from: https://dese.ade.arkansas.gov/Offices/learning-services/assessment/act-aspire
    ${ }^{24} \mathrm{ld}$.
    ${ }^{25}$ ACT. "ACT Aspire Summative Score Labels." Retrieved from: https://success.act.org/s/article/ACT-Aspire-Summative-Score-Labels
    26 "ACT Aspire: Understanding Your ACT Aspire Summative Results" retrieved at https://actinc.my.salesforce.com/sfc/p/\#300000000Wu5/a/4v000000gUBM/KI315ECIwPIY64oFQsIPAm2bY70umWJV9784Dv8xhAU
    27 "Every Student Succeeds Act Arkansas State Plan."
    12 | Page

[^4]:    ${ }^{28}$ U.S. Department of Education (DOE). (January 2017). "Every Student Succeed Act High School Graduation Rate Non-Regulatory Guidance." (p. 6). Retrieved from: https://dese.ade.arkansas.gov/Files/20201215103932 Grad Rate Guidance 411 18\%20(1).pdf
    ${ }^{29}$ Id.
    ${ }^{30} \mathrm{ld}$.
    ${ }^{31}$ DESE. "Graduation Rate/Graduation Rate Files." Retrieved from: https://dese.ade.arkansas.gov/Offices/public-school-accountability/school-performance/graduation-rate--graduation-rate-files
    ${ }^{32}$ DESE. "Business Rules for Calculating the 2022 ESSA School Index Scores." Retrieved from
    https://adecm.ade.arkansas.gov/Attachments/2022 ESSA Business Rules 143646.pdf
    ${ }^{33}$ Email from Dr. Denise Airola, Director of Office of Innovation for Education, University of Arkansas. (June 2, 2022)
    30 | P a g e

[^5]:    ${ }^{34}$ NCES. "Table 219.46 Public high school 4-year adjusted cohort graduation rate (ACGR), by selected student characteristics and state: 2010-11 through 2018-19." Retrieved from: https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d20/tables/dt20 219.46.asp $32 \mid P a g e$

