# State and Public School Life And Health Insurance Board Minutes <br> July 13, 2010 

The 109th meeting of the State and Public School Life and Health Insurance Board (hereinafter called the Board), met on Tuesday, July 13, 2010 at 1:00 p.m. in the EBD Board Room, 501 Woodlane, Suite 500, Little Rock, AR 72201.

MEMBERS PRESENT<br>Janis Harrison<br>MEMBERS ABSENT<br>Dr. Joseph Thompson<br>Dr. Andrew Kumpuris<br>John Kirtley<br>Lloyd Black<br>Shelby McCook<br>Bob Alexander<br>Vance Strange<br>William Goff<br>Renee Mallory<br>Robert Watson<br>Jason Lee, Executive Director, Employee Benefits Division.

## OTHERS PRESENT:

John Colberg, CHEIRON; Leigh Ann Chrouch, Michelle Hazelett, Amy Tustison, Stella Greene, Doug Shackelford, Laurie Fowler, Paige Harrington, Doris Brown, Lori Eden, Latryce Taylor, Tracy Collins, Ellen Justus, Gina Grable, Donna Cook, Sherry Bryant, Kristi Cox, Sherri Saxby, Cathy Harris, EBD; David Bridges, Ron Deberry, Barbara Melugin, ABCBS/Health Advantage; Sarah Sanders, AR Highway \& Transportation Dept, Susan Walker, Data Path; Shonda Rocke, Informed Rx; Joseph Chang, Securian; Bryan Meldrum; NovaSys; Kim Henderson, AR Development Finance Authority; John Greer, Humana; Sharon Marcum, LifeSynch; Diann Shoptaw, EBI; Marc Watts, AR State Employee Association; Kristi Clark, Linsey Stager, AR Building Authority; Vicki Fleming, AR Dept of Health; Stan King, DFA Office of Information Services

## CALL TO ORDER

Meeting was called to order by Janis Harrison, Chairman

## APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The request was made by Harrison to approve the June 8, 2010 minutes. Strange made the motion to approve minutes. Kirtley seconded. All were in favor. Minutes approved.

## BENEFITS SUBCOMMITTEE REPORT by Lloyd Black

Black reported the Benefits Subcommittee met on July 9, 2010 and received an update from the Benefits Strategic Planning Workgroup (BSPW) on Healthcare Reform and TMJ. Also, Cheiron presented the 2011 ASE Actives and Retiree rates with an additional month of experience and the committee received a report from EBD's Executive Director on the PSE Supplemental Life Eligibility.

The Benefits subcommittee had the following recommendations for Board consideration:

1. 2011 ASE Active and Retiree Report.

Black made the recommendation to adopt the 2011 ASE Active and Retiree Report.

Cheiron presented the Board with the 2011 ASE Actives and Retiree rates with the non Medicare Eligible (NME), blend, $10 \%$ to High Deductible (HD) and $\$ 0$ Health Advantage rate increase. The Board reviewed the 2009 and 2010 final rate details with an additional month of experience.

McCook made the motion to accept the report. Strange seconded. All were in favor. Motion carried.

## 2. Employee Supplemental Life Insurance

Black made the motion that the eligibility for public school employee supplemental life insurance be changed from "enrolled in" to "eligible for" the group health insurance.

Lee reported he received the Public School Employee Basic, Supplemental and Dependent Life Insurance renewal rates from USAble. There has not been an increase for this plan in more than five years, but now an increase is warranted. However, a proposal has been offered by USAble that would eliminate the need for the rate increase. Currently, only public school employees who are enrolled in the health insurance are eligible for supplemental life insurance. Lee explained the supplemental insurance is employee -paid and the current eligibility criteria dates back to when the life insurance was self-insured.

Strange seconded the motion. All were in favor. Motion carried.

FINANCIALS by Leigh Ann Chrouch, CFO
Chrouch presented detailed financial statements for the Arkansas State Employees (ASE) and the Public School Employees (PSE) for May 2010 and the penalties assessed by state agencies and school district.

Chrouch informed the Board they probably will not receive the June financials until after closing of the books.

## COVERAGE RECONSIDERATION - STATIN DRUG CLASS by Michele Hazelett,

EBD Health Services
Hazelett informed the Board EBD has received a request from a member for coverage reconsideration for a statin drug. Hazelett said the member is unable to take Simvastatin because of a known side effect of statin medications; therefore the member is required to pay the reference price of $\$ 0.30$ per tablet rather than the generic co pay. The Plan pays $\$ 0.30$ per tablet and the member pays the remainder of the cost.

Hazelett provided the Board with an overview of the statin drug class coverage policy and also limited comparative data of statin medications.

Hazelett explained the plan currently does not have any mechanism in place to adjust from reference pricing to accommodate a medical or financial need. The coverage policy is reference priced with a low cost alternative. Research has shown there is little difference in the efficacy or side effect in statin medications. As with any medication or drug class, there may always be exceptions based on differing genetic makeup.

A discussion ensued.
McCook made the motion to refer the issue to the Benefits Subcommittee for review at their next meeting. Specific detailed information regarding the case is to be provided to the committee and then the committee can present a recommendation to the Board for consideration. Strange seconded. All were in favor. Motion carried.

## HEALTHCARE REFORM UPDATE by Jason Lee

Lee reported they have submitted the Early Retiree Reinsurance Program (ERRP) application and are now waiting for a reply.

Lee talked about grandfathered plan rules. Lee said they have posed questions to the U.S. Department of Health \& Human Services (HSS) regarding specific items that impact the plan. Group health plans in existence before health care reform was enacted, are not required to comply with certain plan requirements under health care reform. Grandfathered plans have exemptions from, or special treatment under, certain health care reform provisions.

Lee said they are awaiting guidance on the W-2 reporting requirements, annual limits rules and preventative care services.

Lee reported the federal government has released a new website where you can explore coverage options and learn about the Affordable Care Act - HealthCare.gov.

Lee announced the Benefits Strategic Planning Workgroup (BSPW) will conduct a health care reform discussion on August $3^{\text {rd }}$ that will include plan vendors. Lee explained it is a public meeting and encouraged Board to attend as well.

DIRECTOR REPORTS by Jason Lee
Lee reported he is still working on a policy regarding the various functions of DUEC and also a formulary management rule. Lee said he will present the proposals in a future meeting.

Meeting Adjourned.

# The following pages were made available to attendees of the meeting 

## AGENDA

State and Public School<br>Life and Health Insurance Board EBD Board Room<br>501 Building, $5^{\text {th }}$ Floor<br>1 p.m.<br>July 13, 2010

1. Call to Order Janis Harrison, Chairman
2. Approval of Minutes ..... Janis Harrison, Chairman
3. Benefits Subcommittee Report Lloyd Black, Vice-Chairman
4. 2011 Preliminary ASE Active \& Retiree Rates
$\qquad$ Cheiron
5. PSE Supplemental Life Insurance ..... Jason Lee, EBD
6. Financials - May, 2010 ..... Leigh Ann Chrouch, CFO
7. Coverage Reconsideration - Statin Drug Class

$\qquad$
Michele Hazelett, EBD
8. Healthcare Reform Update ..... Jason Lee, EBD
9. Director's Report ..... Jason Lee, EBD
Next Meeting
August $10^{\text {th }}$

# Arkansas State Employees Health Benefits Program 

## 2011 ASE Active and Retiree Rates

John Colberg, FSA, MAAA

July 13, 2010

## Topics

## Page Number

Selected Option: Blend NME, 10\% to HD, \$0 HA rate increase 2
Appendices
A. 2009-2010 Final Rate Details 6
B. Assumptions \& Methods 8
C. Gain / (Loss) Analysis 11

## Selected Option - Blend NME Retirees with 10\% Credit to HDPPO and \$0 HA rate Increase

| Plan: Benefit: | Health Advantage |  |  | Novasys (ARHealth) |  |  | Novasys (HD PPO) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Medical | Pharmacy | Total | Medical | Pharmacy | Total | Medical | Pharmacy | Total |
| Experience Period - Service (Incurred) Dates | 1/09-12/09 | 3/09-2/10 |  | 1/09-12/09 | 3/09-2/10 |  | 1/09-12/09 | 3/09-2/10 |  |
| Experience Period - Processed (Paid) Dates | 1/09-5/10 | 3/09-5/10 |  | 1/09-5/10 | 3/09-5/10 |  | 1/09-5/10 | 3/09-5/10 |  |
| 1 Total Incurred Medical \& Rx Claims (Experience Period) | $\underset{\$ 121,026,385}{\mathbf{A}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { B }}{\$ 37,758,857}$ | $\underset{\$ 158,785,242}{\underline{\mathbf{C}}}$ | $\stackrel{\underline{\mathrm{D}}}{\$ 7,732,122}$ | $\stackrel{\underline{E}}{\$ 1,364,135}$ | $\underset{\$ 9,096,257}{\mathbf{F}}$ | $\underset{\$ 1,454,692}{\mathbf{G}}$ | $\underset{\$ 111,053}{\mathbf{H}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{!}{\mathbf{1}}}{\$ 1,565,745}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ Less High Cost Claims Above (Med/Rx) $\quad$ \$100,000 $\quad$ \$20,000 | \$5,265,300 | \$2,868,913 | \$8,134,213 | \$754,438 | \$93,501 | \$847,938 | \$15,320 | \$0 | \$15,320 |
| 3 Net Incurred Claims below Pooling Point [1-2] | \$115,761,084 | \$34,889,944 | \$150,651,029 | \$6,977,685 | \$1,270,634 | \$8,248,319 | \$1,439,371 | \$111,053 | \$1,550,424 |
| 5 Net Incurred Claims Per Person Per Month (PPPM) [3 / 4] | 556,399 | 559,300 | 557,071 | 25,117 | 24,771 | 25,064 | 17,061 | 17,293 | 17,078 |
|  | \$208.05 | \$62.38 | \$270.43 | \$277.81 | \$51.30 | \$329.11 | \$84.37 | \$6.42 | \$90.79 |
| 6 Change in Benefits During Experience Period | 1.0102 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0105 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0105 | 1.0000 |  |
| 7 Change in Demographic or Risk During Experience Period | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |
| 8 Change in Geographic During Experience Period | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |
| 9 a) Annual Trend Rate b) Months to Trend | 5.0\% | 8.5\% |  | 5.0\% | 8.5\% |  | 5.0\% | 8.5\% |  |
|  | 24 | 22 |  | 24 | 22 |  | 24 | 22 |  |
| c) Trend Adjustment | 1.1025 | 1.1613 |  | 1.1025 | 1.1613 |  | 1.1025 | 1.1613 |  |
| 10 Adjusted Claims Charged PPPM [ $5 \times 6 \times 7 \times 8 \times 9 \mathrm{c}$ ] | \$231.72 | \$72.44 | \$304.16 | \$309.50 | \$59.58 | \$369.08 | \$93.99 | \$7.46 | \$101.45 |
| 11 Charge for Claims above Pooling Point PPPM | \$9.46 | \$5.13 | \$14.59 | \$30.04 | \$3.77 | \$33.81 | \$0.90 | \$0.00 | \$0.90 |
| 12 Total Claims Charged PPPM [10 + 11] | \$241.18 | \$77.57 | \$318.75 | \$339.54 | \$63.35 | \$402.89 | \$94.89 | \$7.46 | \$102.35 |
| 13 Change in Future Benefits (Level / Management / Discounts) | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |
| 14 Change in Future Demog (Age/Gender/Family Status) or Risk | 1.0037 | 1.0035 |  | 1.0272 | 1.0471 |  | 0.9964 | 1.0342 |  |
| 15 Change in Future Geographic | 0.9984 | 0.9983 |  | 1.0043 | 1.0043 |  | 0.9982 | 0.9982 |  |
| 16 Proj. Experience Incurred Claim PPPM [12 $\times 13 \times 14 \times 15$ ] | \$241.68 | \$77.72 | \$319.39 | \$350.29 | \$66.62 | \$416.91 | \$94.38 | \$7.70 | \$102.08 |
| 17 Projected Blended Incurred Claim PPPM | not calculated | \$75.73 | not calculated | \$259.96 | \$75.73 | \$335.69 | \$212.47 | \$56.68 | \$269.15 |
| 18 Percent to Use Blended Experience | 0\% | 90\% | 0\% | 90\% | 90\% |  | 90\% | 90\% |  |
| 19 Rating Incurred Claim PPPM [ $16 \times 17 \times 18$ ] | \$241.68 | \$75.93 | \$317.61 | \$268.99 | \$74.82 | \$343.81 | \$200.66 | \$51.78 | \$252.44 |
| 20 Projected Persons Months | 575,631 | 575,631 | 575,631 | 24,504 | 24,504 | 24,504 | 18,744 | 18,744 | 18,744 |
| 21 Projected Total Incurred Claims [19 x 20] | \$139,116,626 | \$43,709,386 | \$182,826,012 | \$6,591,311 | \$1,833,475 | \$8,424,785 | \$3,761,258 | \$970,537 | \$4,731,795 |
|  | $\underline{x}$ tier | Projected |  | x tier | Projected |  | $\underline{\mathrm{x} \text { tier }}$ | Projected |  |
| 22 Conversion to Rating Tiers [19 x rating tier x counts] | factor | Ee Months | PEPM | factor | Ee Months | PEPM | factor | Ee Months | PEPM |
| a) Employee Only | 1.13 | 198,796 | \$359.09 | 1.16 | 9,072 | \$399.13 | 1.13 | 6,936 | \$284.10 |
| b) Employee \& Spouse | 2.87 | 48,607 | \$912.33 | 2.88 | 1,692 | \$991.81 | 2.82 | 1,524 | \$712.24 |
| c) Employee \& Child(ren) | 1.75 | 51,620 | \$556.69 | 1.78 | 2,508 | \$610.82 | 1.73 | 1,236 | \$437.01 |
| d) Family | 3.18 | 38,002 | \$1,011.13 | 3.19 | 1,452 | \$1,097.65 | 3.12 | 1,440 | \$788.69 |
| 23 Rates Balance Confirmation |  | 337,025 | \$182,892,408 |  | 14,724 | \$8,424,785 |  | 11,136 | \$4,731,795 |

Note: The figures presented are preliminary and subject to change.

## Selected Option - Blend NME Retirees with 10\% Credit to HDPPO and \$0 HA rate Increase - Actives

## ASEDetailed financials

| CLAIMS \& EXPENSES |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Blend ASE \& PSE Retirees | No |
| Combine NM Ret with Actives | Yes |
| Blend Novasys PPO \& HDPPO | Yes |
| If yes, \% of PPO in Blend (ASE) | 90\% |
| \% to Blend Pharmacy (AII) | 90\% |
| Keep HA Rates Same as 2010 | Yes |

ALLOCATED RESERVES (in \$millions)

| ASE -- PYE | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actives - 12/31 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| STATE/EMPLOYER FUNDING |  |  |  |  |
| Calc Contributions | \$390 | \$390 | \$410 | \$443 |
| Base Contributions | \$390 | \$390 | \$390 | \$390 |
| \% for Employee | 76\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% |
| \% for Dependent | 51\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| ASE Budgeted Jobs | 34,800 | 34,800 | 34,800 | 34,800 |

2011 vs. 2010

| Actives | Medical and Pharmacy | Expenses | Corp Health | Total Monthly Premium | State Contributions | Reserve Allocation | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \text { EE } \\ & \text { Total Cost } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \mathrm{EE} \\ & \text { Total Cost } \end{aligned}$ | Change in Premiums(\$ \% \% |  | Assumed Enrollment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Advantage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee Only | \$359.09 | \$36.47 | \$6.00 | \$401.56 | \$305.78 | \$0.00 | \$95.78 | \$95.78 | \$0.00 | 0\% | 14,614 |
| Employee \& Spouse | 912.33 | 36.47 | 12.00 | 960.80 | 593.06 | 0.00 | 367.74 | 367.74 | 0.00 | 0\% | 3,563 |
| Employee \& Child(ren) | 556.69 | 36.47 | 9.60 | 602.76 | 409.12 | 0.00 | 193.64 | 193.64 | 0.00 | 0\% | 4,217 |
| Family | 1,011.13 | 36.47 | 19.80 | 1,067.40 | 647.78 | 0.00 | 419.62 | 419.62 | 0.00 | 0\% | 3,134 |
| Est. Monthly Total (\$mil) | \$14.0 | \$0.9 | \$0.2 | \$15.2 | \$10.3 | \$0.0 | \$4.8 | \$4.8 | \$0.0 |  | 25,528 |
| Novasys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee Only | \$399.13 | \$22.84 | \$6.00 | \$427.97 | \$305.78 | \$0.00 | \$122.19 | \$96.36 | \$25.83 | 27\% | 756 |
| Employee \& Spouse | 991.81 | 22.84 | 12.00 | 1,026.65 | 593.06 | 0.00 | 433.59 | 369.18 | 64.41 | 17\% | 141 |
| Employee \& Child(ren) | 610.82 | 22.84 | 9.60 | 643.26 | 409.12 | 0.00 | 234.14 | 194.54 | 39.60 | 20\% | 209 |
| Family | 1,097.65 | 22.84 | 19.80 | 1,140.29 | 647.78 | 0.00 | 492.50 | 421.22 | 71.28 | 17\% | 121 |
| Est. Monthly Total (\$mil) | \$0.7 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.7 | \$0.5 | \$0.0 | \$0.3 | \$0.2 | \$0.0 |  | 1,227 |
| Novasys HD PPO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee Only | \$284.10 | \$22.84 | \$6.00 | \$312.94 | \$305.78 | \$0.00 | \$7.16 | \$20.60 | (\$13.44) | -65\% | 578 |
| Employee \& Spouse | 712.24 | 22.84 | 12.00 | 747.08 | 593.06 | 0.00 | 154.02 | 187.34 | (33.32) | -18\% | 127 |
| Employee \& Child(ren) | 437.01 | 22.84 | 9.60 | 469.45 | 409.12 | 0.00 | 60.33 | 80.86 | (20.53) | -25\% | 103 |
| Family | 788.69 | 22.84 | 19.80 | 831.33 | 647.78 | 0.00 | 183.54 | 220.42 | (36.88) | -17\% | 120 |
| Est. Monthly Total (\$mil) | \$0.4 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.4 | \$0.4 | \$0.0 | \$0.1 | \$0.1 | (\$0.0) |  | 928 |
| Total (Monthly) (\$ mil) | \$15.1 | \$1.0 | \$0.3 | \$16.3 | \$11.2 | \$0.0 | \$5.2 | \$5.1 | \$0.0 |  | 27,683 |
| Est Annual Total (\$ mil) | \$181.3 | \$11.8 | \$3.0 | \$196.1 | \$134.3 | \$0.0 | \$61.9 | \$61.5 | \$0.3 |  |  |

Selected Option - Blend NME Retirees with 10\% Credit to HDPPO and \$0 HA rate Increase

| Plan: <br> Benefit: <br> Experience Period - Service (Incurred) Dates <br> Experience Period - Processed (Paid) Dates | Non-Medicare |  |  | Medicare |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Medical | Pharmacy | Total | Medical | Pharmacy | Total |
|  | 1/09-12/09 | 3/09-2/10 |  | 1/09-12/09 | 3/09-2/10 |  |
|  | 1/09-5/10 | 3/09-5/10 |  | 1/09-5/10 | 3/09-5/10 |  |
| 1 Total Incurred Medical \& Rx Claims (Experience Period)* | \$121,026,385 | \$37,758,857 | \$158,785,242 | \$13,181,472 | \$15,702,330 | \$28,883,802 |
| 2 Less High Cost Claims Above (Med/Rx)* $\quad \$ 100,000{ }^{\text {a }}$ \$20,000 | \$5,265,300 | \$2,868,913 | \$8,134,213 | \$160,601 | \$987,433 | \$1,148,033 |
| 3 Net Incurred Claims below Pooling Point [1-2] | \$115,761,084 | \$34,889,944 | \$150,651,029 | \$13,020,871 | \$14,714,898 | \$27,735,769 |
| 4 Person Months for Experience Period | 556,399 | 559,300 | 557,071 | 97,871 | 98,659 | 98,289 |
| 5 Net Incurred Claims Per Person Per Month (PPPM) [3/4] | \$208.05 | \$62.38 | \$270.43 | \$133.04 | \$149.15 | \$282.19 |
| 6 Change in Benefits During Experience Period | 1.0102 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0100 | 1.0000 |  |
| 7 Change in Demographic or Risk During Experience Period | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |
| 8 Change in Geographic During Experience Period | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |
| 9 a) Annual Trend Rate | 5.0\% | 8.5\% |  | 5.0\% | 8.5\% |  |
| b) Months to Trend | 24 | 22 |  | 24 | 22 |  |
| c) Trend Adjustment | 1.1025 | 1.1613 |  | 1.1025 | 1.1613 |  |
| 10 Adjusted Claims Charged PPPM [ $5 \times 6 \times 7 \times 8 \times 9 \mathrm{c}$ ] | \$231.72 | \$72.44 | \$304.16 | \$148.14 | \$173.21 | \$321.36 |
| 11 Charge for Claims above Pooling Point PPPM | \$9.46 | \$5.13 | \$14.59 | \$1.64 | \$10.01 | \$11.65 |
| 12 Total Claims Charged PPPM [10 + 11] | \$241.18 | \$77.57 | \$318.75 | \$149.78 | \$183.22 | \$333.00 |
| 13 Change in Future Benefits (Level/Mgt/Discounts) | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |
| 14 Change in Future Demog (Age/Gender/Family) or Risk | 1.0037 | 1.0035 |  | 0.9976 | 1.0000 |  |
| 15 Change in Future Geographic | 0.9984 | $\underline{0.9983}$ |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |
| 16 Projected Incurred Claim PPPM [12 $\times 13 \times 14 \times 15$ ] | \$241.68 | \$77.72 | \$319.39 | \$149.43 | \$183.22 | \$332.65 |
| 17 Projected Persons Months | 39,087 | 39,087 | 39,087 | 106,148 | 106,148 | 106,148 |
| 18 Projected Total Incurred Claims [16 x 17] | \$9,446,435 | \$3,037,750 | \$12,484,184 | \$15,861,213 | \$19,448,513 | \$35,309,726 |
| PM [16] | $\times$ Non-Med. | Non-Med. | x Medicare | Medicare | Projected | TOTAL |
| 19 Conversion to Rating Tiers | $\underline{\text { tier factor }}$ | PEPM | tier factor | PEPM | Ee Months | PEPM |
| a) NME Retiree | 1.03 | \$359.09 | - | \$0.00 | 16,321 | \$359.09 |
| b) NME Retiree \& NME Spouse | 2.10 | \$912.33 | - | \$0.00 | 5,851 | \$912.33 |
| c) NME Retiree \& Child(ren) | 1.67 | \$556.69 | - | \$0.00 | 690 | \$556.69 |
| d) NME Retiree \& NME Spouse \& Child(ren) | 3.16 | \$1,011.13 | - | \$0.00 | 394 | \$1,011.13 |
| e) NME Retiree \& ME Spouse | 1.03 | \$359.09 | 1.01 | \$337.26 | - | \$696.35 |
| f) NME Retiree \& ME Spouse \& Child(ren) | 1.67 | \$556.69 | 1.01 | \$337.26 | - | \$893.94 |
| g) ME Retiree | - | \$0.00 | 1.00 | \$332.33 | 55,687 | \$332.33 |
| h) ME Retiree \& NME Spouse | 1.03 | \$359.09 | 1.01 | \$337.26 | 6,392 | \$696.35 |
| i) ME Retiree \& Child(ren) | 0.62 | \$197.60 | 1.00 | \$332.33 | 526 | \$529.93 |
| j) ME Retiree \& NME Spouse \& Child(ren) | 1.67 | \$556.69 | 1.01 | \$337.26 | 326 | \$893.94 |
| k) ME Retiree \& ME Spouse | - | \$0.00 | 2.00 | \$664.67 | 21,420 | \$664.67 |
| I) ME Retiree \& ME Spouse \& Child(ren) | 0.62 | \$197.60 | 2.00 | \$664.67 | 188 | \$862.27 |
| 20 Rates Balance Confirmation |  | \$14,599,244 |  | \$35,309,726 |  | \$49,908,970 |

## Selected Option -Blend NME Retirees with 10\% Credit to HDPPO and \$0 HA rate Increase - Retirees

| CHEIRON | AIMS \& EXPENSES |  |  |  | Hi ¢ Pnots |  |  | -1-505:11 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 vS. 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | LOCAT | D RES | RVES | millio |  |
|  | Blend ASE \& PSE Retirees Combine NM Ret with Actives Blend Novasys PPO \& HDPPO If yes, \% of PPO in Blend (ASE) \% to Blend Pharmacy (All) |  |  | No |  | ASE -- P |  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|  |  |  |  | Yes |  | Retiree NM | E-12/31 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Yes |  | Retiree ME | 12/31 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | 90\% |  | STATE/EMPLOYER FUNDING |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 90\% |  | \% for Ret NME <br> \% for Ret Dep NME <br> \% for Ret ME <br> \% for Ret Dep ME |  | 66\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% |
|  | Keep NME Rates Same as 2010 Keep ME Rates Same as 2010 |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | 66\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% |
|  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | 51\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% |
| Retirees | Medical and Pharmacy | Expenses | Corp Health | Total Monthly Premium | State Contributions | Reserve Allocation | 2011 Ret. <br> Total Cost | 2010 Ret. <br> Total Cost | Change in P |  | Assumed Enrollment |
| Non-Medicare Eligible |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retiree Only | \$359.09 | \$36.47 | \$6.00 | \$401.56 | \$165.82 | \$0.00 | \$235.74 | \$235.74 | \$0.00 | 0\% | 1,360 |
| Retiree \& NME SP | 912.33 | 36.47 | 12.00 | 960.80 | 384.92 | 0.00 | 575.88 | 575.88 | 0.00 | 0\% | 488 |
| Retiree \& Child(ren) | 556.69 | 36.47 | 9.60 | 602.76 | 162.92 | 0.00 | 439.84 | 439.84 | 0.00 | 0\% | 57 |
| Retiree \& NME SP\&CH | 1,011.13 | 36.47 | 19.80 | 1,067.40 | 150.68 | 0.00 | 916.72 | 916.72 | 0.00 | 0\% | 33 |
| Retiree \& ME SP | 696.35 | 36.47 | 6.00 | 738.82 | 337.28 | 0.00 | 401.54 | 401.54 | 0.00 | 0\% | - |
| Retiree \& ME SP \& CH | 893.94 | 36.47 | 9.60 | 940.01 | 333.24 | 0.00 | 606.77 | 606.77 | 0.00 | 0\% | - |
| Est. Monthly Total (\$ mil) | \$1.0 | \$0.1 | \$0.0 | \$1.1 | \$0.4 | \$0.0 | \$0.7 | \$0.7 | \$0.0 |  | 1,938 |
| Medicare Eligible |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retiree Only | \$332.33 | \$15.41 | Not Offered | \$347.74 | \$230.62 | \$0.00 | \$117.12 | \$117.12 | \$0.00 | 0\% | 4,641 |
| Retiree \& NME SP | 696.35 | 15.41 | Not Offered | 711.76 | 265.04 | 0.00 | 446.72 | 446.72 | 0.00 | 0\% | 533 |
| Retiree \& Child(ren) | 529.93 | 15.41 | Not Offered | 545.34 | 230.48 | 0.00 | 314.86 | 314.86 | 0.00 | 0\% | 44 |
| Retiree \& NME SP\&CH | 893.94 | 15.41 | Not Offered | 909.35 | 264.87 | 0.00 | 644.48 | 644.48 | 0.00 | 0\% | 27 |
| Retiree \& ME SP | 664.67 | 15.41 | Not Offered | 680.08 | 401.59 | 0.00 | 278.49 | 278.49 | 0.00 | 0\% | 1,785 |
| Retiree \& ME SP \& CH | 862.27 | 15.41 | Not Offered | 877.68 | 401.44 | 0.00 | 476.24 | 476.24 | 0.00 | 0\% | 16 |
| Est. Monthly Total (\$ mil) | \$3.2 | \$0.1 | \$0.0 | \$3.3 | \$2.0 | \$0.0 | \$1.3 | \$1.3 | \$0.0 |  | 7,045 |
| Total (Est. Monthly) | \$4.2 | \$0.2 | \$0.0 | \$4.4 | \$2.4 | \$0.0 | \$2.0 | \$2.0 | \$0.0 |  | 8,983 |
| Total (Est. Annual) | \$49.9 | \$2.2 | \$0.2 | \$52.2 | \$28.6 | \$0.0 | \$23.7 | \$23.7 | \$0.0 |  |  |

# Appendix A -2009-2010 Final Rate Details 

| Actives | Medical | Pharmacy | Corp Health | Total Monthly Premium <br> Premium | State Contributions | Reserve <br> Allocation | 2010 Total Cost | 2009 Total Cost | Change in Premiums (\$ / \%) |  | Assumed Enrollment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Advantage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee Only | \$308.86 | \$79.31 | \$6.00 | \$394.17 | \$298.39 | \$0.00 | \$95.78 | \$105.04 | (\$9.26) | -9\% | 14,076 |
| Employee \& Spouse | 741.22 | 190.34 | 12.00 | 943.56 | 575.82 | 0.00 | 367.74 | 392.44 | (24.70) | -6\% | 3,682 |
| Employee \& Child(ren) | 463.29 | 118.96 | 9.60 | 591.85 | 398.21 | 0.00 | 193.64 | 204.50 | (10.86) | -5\% | 3,865 |
| Family | 818.44 | 210.17 | 19.80 | 1,048.41 | 628.79 | 0.00 | 419.62 | 443.70 | (24.08) | -5\% | 3,026 |
| Est. Monthly Total (\$mil) | \$11.3 | \$2.9 | \$0.2 | \$14.5 | \$9.8 | \$0.0 | \$4.7 | \$5.1 | (\$0.3) |  | 24,649 |
| Novasys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee Only | \$309.45 | \$79.31 | \$6.00 | \$394.76 | \$298.40 | \$0.00 | \$96.36 | \$135.52 | (\$39.16) | -29\% | 860 |
| Employee \& Spouse | 742.67 | 190.34 | 12.00 | 945.01 | 575.83 | 0.00 | 369.18 | 465.61 | (96.43) | -21\% | 142 |
| Employee \& Child(ren) | 464.19 | 118.96 | 9.60 | 592.75 | 398.21 | 0.00 | 194.54 | 250.21 | (55.67) | -22\% | 213 |
| Family | 820.03 | 210.17 | 19.80 | 1,050.00 | 628.78 | 0.00 | 421.22 | 524.47 | (103.25) | -20\% | 116 |
| Est. Monthly Total (\$mil) | \$0.6 | \$0.1 | \$0.0 | \$0.7 | \$0.5 | \$0.0 | \$0.2 | \$0.3 | (\$0.1) |  | 1,331 |
| Novasys HD PPO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee Only | \$258.40 | \$54.59 | \$6.00 | \$318.99 | \$298.39 | \$0.00 | \$20.60 | \$35.18 | (\$14.58) | -41\% | 515 |
| Employee \& Spouse | 620.15 | 131.01 | 12.00 | 763.16 | 575.82 | 0.00 | 187.34 | 224.81 | (37.47) | -17\% | 96 |
| Employee \& Child(ren) | 387.60 | 81.88 | 9.60 | 479.08 | 398.22 | 0.00 | 80.86 | 99.71 | (18.85) | -19\% | 97 |
| Family | 684.74 | 144.66 | 19.80 | 849.20 | 628.78 | 0.00 | 220.42 | 258.60 | (38.18) | -15\% | 109 |
| Est. Monthly Total (\$mil) | \$0.3 | \$0.1 | \$0.0 | \$0.4 | \$0.3 | \$0.0 | \$0.1 | \$0.1 | (\$0.0) |  | 817 |
| Total (Monthly) (\$ mil) | \$12.2 | \$3.1 | \$0.2 | \$15.6 | \$10.6 | \$0.0 | \$5.0 | \$5.4 | (\$0.4) |  | 26,797 |
| Est Annual Total (\$ mil) | \$146.6 | \$37.5 | \$2.9 | \$187.0 | \$126.9 | \$0.0 | \$60.1 | \$65.2 | (\$5.1) |  |  |

# Appendix A - <br> 2009-2010 Final Rate Details 

| Retirees | Medical | Pharmacy | Corp Health | Total Monthly <br> Premium | State <br> Contributions | Reserve <br> Allocation | 2010 Total Cost | 2009 Total Cost | Change in Premiums (\$ / \%) |  | Assumed Enrollment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Medicare Eligible |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retiree Only | \$534.07 | \$149.00 | \$4.20 | \$687.27 | \$451.53 | \$0.00 | \$235.74 | \$262.40 | (\$26.66) | -10\% | 1,270 |
| Retiree \& NME SP | 1,068.14 | 297.99 | 8.30 | 1,374.43 | 798.55 | 0.00 | 575.88 | 590.33 | (14.45) | -2\% | 458 |
| Retiree \& Child(ren) | 854.52 | 238.39 | 6.70 | 1,099.61 | 659.77 | 0.00 | 439.84 | 459.18 | (19.34) | -4\% | 57 |
| Retiree \& NME SP\&CH | 1,602.23 | 446.98 | 13.80 | 2,063.01 | 1,146.29 | 0.00 | 916.72 | 918.97 | (2.25) | 0\% | 44 |
| Retiree \& ME SP | 678.00 | 335.91 | 8.30 | 1,022.21 | 620.67 | 0.00 | 401.54 | 428.57 | (27.03) | -6\% | - |
| Retiree \& ME SP \& CH | 997.75 | 425.30 | 13.80 | 1,436.85 | 830.08 | 0.00 | 606.77 | 626.47 | (19.70) | -3\% | - |
| Est. Monthly Total (\$ mil) | \$1.3 | \$0.4 | \$0.0 | \$1.7 | \$1.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.6 | \$0.7 | (\$0.0) |  | 1,829 |
| Medicare Eligible |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retiree Only | \$154.51 | \$186.91 | Not Offered | \$341.42 | \$224.30 | \$0.00 | \$117.12 | \$135.18 | (\$18.06) | -13\% | 4,233 |
| Retiree \& NME SP | 671.37 | 335.91 | Not Offered | 1,007.28 | 560.56 | 0.00 | 446.72 | 451.63 | (4.91) | -1\% | - |
| Retiree \& Child(ren) | 464.63 | 276.30 | Not Offered | 740.93 | 426.07 | 0.00 | 314.86 | 325.06 | (10.20) | -3\% | 37 |
| Retiree \& NME SP\&CH | 981.49 | 425.30 | Not Offered | 1,406.79 | 762.31 | 0.00 | 644.48 | 641.51 | 2.97 | 0\% | - |
| Retiree \& ME SP | 293.62 | 373.82 | Not Offered | 667.44 | 388.95 | 0.00 | 278.49 | 296.66 | (18.17) | -6\% | 2,156 |
| Retiree \& ME SP \& CH | 603.73 | 463.21 | Not Offered | 1,066.94 | 590.70 | 0.00 | 476.24 | 486.53 | (10.29) | -2\% | 39 |
| Est. Monthly Total (\$ mil) | \$1.3 | \$1.6 | \$0.0 | \$3.0 | \$1.8 | \$0.0 | \$1.1 | \$1.2 | (\$0.1) |  | 6,465 |
| Total (Est. Monthly) | \$2.6 | \$2.0 | \$0.0 | \$4.6 | \$2.9 | \$0.0 | \$1.8 | \$1.9 | (\$0.2) |  | 8,294 |
| Total (Est. Annual) | \$31.4 | \$23.8 | \$0.1 | \$55.3 | \$34.2 | \$0.0 | \$21.1 | \$23.0 | (\$1.9) |  |  |

## Appendix B Assumptions \& Methods

## Total Rate Projection Methodology:



 tiering factors. The resulting rates will reflect the decisions displayed in the Rating Options. For expenses, we relied on actual negotiated vendor fees plus estimated EBD expenses.
Total Rate Projection Assumptions:
Population Projection: Same as Enrollment during May 2010

## Investment return*:

 Annual Trend*:

Historical Benefit Adjustment Factors applied to the PPPM cost for 2011 benefits. These factors were developed by the prior actuary.

| ASE Non-Medicare 2008: | 0.9780 | 0.9780 | 0.9193 | Use either Medicare | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PSE Non-Medicare 2008: | 0.9776 | 0.9776 | 0.9168 | or Non-Medicare Factors | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| ASE/PSE Non-Medicare 2009: | 1.0105 | 1.0105 | 1.0105 |  | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| ASE / PSE Medicare 2008: | 1.065 | N/A | N/A | Use either Medicare | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| ASE / PSE Medicare 2009: | 1.065 | N/A | N/A | or Non-Medicare Factors | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| it Ratio: | Health Advantage | HA or NovaSys |  | HD PPO |  | Medicare |  |
|  | or NovaSys | Pharmacy | HD PPO | Pharmacy | Medicare * | Pharmacy |  |
|  | 0.8271 | 0.6860 | 0.6779 | 0.5154 |  | 0.1457 |  |
| s Medicare Parts And B. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Actives |
| ses: | Actives | Actives | Retirees | Retirees |  | Actives only | Blended with |
| Per Subscriber Per Month | Health Advantage | NovaSys | Non-Medicare | Medicare |  | EBD* | NME - EBD* |
| ASE Actual 2009 | \$26.09 | \$13.92 | \$20.14 | \$14.96 |  | \$14.88 | \$14.88 |
| ASE Actual 2010 | \$27.13 | \$13.90 | \$20.74 | \$15.41 |  | \$8.34 | \$8.34 |
| ASE Trended 2011 | \$27.53 | \$13.90 | \$20.74 | \$15.41 |  | \$8.34 | \$7.80 |

* Assumed to include UAM expenses (both Rx and Medical), EAP, weight management, smoking cessation, and Integral cost.

| Rx Rebates: | The assumed Rx Rebates for ASE are $1.2 \%$. The actual Rx Rebates based on the 2009 plan year are also $1.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Part D Subsidy: | The Part D Subsidy is assumed to be approximately $14.9 \%$ of Incurred Rx Claims |
| Seasonality: | We have not used seasonality factors, instead we are requiring that the base and projected period be for an annual period. |

Used for Incurred Claims Development:
Method: We calculated the Paid-to-Date claims by network provider and major rate structure (Actives, Non-Medicare retirees v. Medicare retirees) by using the claims triangles for Health Advantage and the individual claims and eligibility databases for NovaSys and InformedRx. To determine the relationship and family tiers, we link each claim to the eligibility database. Also, we use the Plan ID in the eligibility data for each month to determine whether a member should be considered as part of the Active, Non-Medicare Retiree or Medicare Retiree Plan. Finally, we applied the below completion factors to the Paid-to-Date claims to calculate the Incurred claims.

## Appendix B

## Assumptions \& Methods (continued) <br> Paid-to-Date Claims:

Health Advantage:
NovaSys:
Pharmacy:
Completion Factors:
Health Advantage:
NovaSys:
Pharmacy:
Service dates from January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009 and process dates from January 1, 2009 to May 31, 2010. Service dates from January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009 and process dates from January 1, 2009 to May 31, 2010. Service dates from March 1, 2009 to February 28, 2010 and process dates from March 1, 2009 to May 31, 2010.

We used the data from lag reports with service dates from January 1, 2008 to May 31, 2010 and process dates from January 1, 2008 to May 31, 2010 to develop the completion factors.
We used the individual claims database with service dates from January 1, 2008 to May 31, 2010 and process dates from January 1, 2008 to May 31, 2010 to develop the completion factors. We aggregate the data by network provider and major rate structure (Actives, Non-Medicare retirees v. Medicare retirees) We used the individual claims database with service dates from January 1, 2008 to May 31, 2010 and process dates from January 1, 2008 to March 31, 2010 to develop the We used the individual claims database with service dates from January 1, 2008 to May 31, 2010 and process dates from January 1, 2008 to Mare
completion factors. We aggregated the data by network provider and major rate structure (Actives, Non-Medicare retirees v. Medicare retirees)

ASE

|  | Health Advantage | NovaSys | $\underline{\text { HD PPO }}$ | Pharmacy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January-09 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| February-09 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| March-09 | 0.998 | 0.997 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| April-09 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.999 | 1.000 |
| May-09 | 0.997 | 0.996 | 0.998 | 1.000 |
| June-09 | 0.996 | 0.995 | 0.996 | 1.000 |
| July-09 | 0.995 | 0.994 | 0.995 | 1.000 |
| August-09 | 0.995 | 0.991 | 0.993 | 1.000 |
| September-09 | 0.993 | 0.989 | 0.993 | 1.000 |
| October-09 | 0.992 | 0.986 | 0.983 | 1.000 |
| November-09 | 0.990 | 0.981 | 0.976 | 1.000 |
| December-09 | 0.983 | 0.976 | 0.964 | 1.000 |
| January-10 | 0.972 | 0.965 | 0.954 | 1.000 |
| February-10 | 0.946 | 0.944 | 0.933 | 0.999 |


| ARHealth Non- <br> Medicare Retirees |
| :---: |
| 0.999 |
| 0.999 |
| 0.999 |
| 0.999 |
| 0.998 |
| 0.998 |
| 0.997 |
| 0.996 |
| 0.996 |
| 0.993 |
| 0.991 |
| 0.987 |
| 0.983 |
| 0.959 |


| Pharmacy Non- |
| :---: |
| Medicare Retirees |
| 1.000 |
| 1.000 |
| 1.000 |
| 1.000 |
| 1.000 |
| 1.000 |
| 1.000 |
| 1.000 |
| 1.000 |
| 0.999 |
| 0.999 |
| 0.999 |
| 0.999 |
| 0.999 |

ARHealth
Pharmacy
health are ins
0.944

## Rating Tier Methodology:

To develop the rates by family status, we take the prior approved rating tier factors and multiply them by a factor to adjust for the current enrollment selection for each of the major groupings: Active -


## Allocation of Rate Methodology:

Once the total rates are developed, we split the contributions to cover the rates first by removing the costs that have already been legislated to be covered from reserves. We then allow the user to select


## Rate Allocation Assumptions:

Previously adopted reserves allocations:
ASE 2010:

| Active | Retirees |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |

ASE 2012:
$\$ 0.00$

ASE
State Contribution per Budget Position per Month: Interest Income:


## Appendix B

## Assumptions \& Methods (continued)

| Annual Trend*: |  | Health Advantage | NovaSys | HD PPO | ARHealth Retirees | Behavioral <br> Health | Pharmacy | Life | Expenses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Medicare: | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | Use either Medicare <br> or Non-Medicare Factors | 0.00\% | 8.50\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  | Medicare: | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% |  | 0.00\% | 8.50\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  | Large Claims: | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% |  |  |  |

* Unless otherwise shown in the screen capture. Below we show the development of the trend assumptions.


## Underlying Incurred Claim Trends Adjusted for Demographic and Geographic Changes

Medical Incurred Active Plan Cost CY09 v. CY08: 1.27\%
(with retirees the trend rate is even lower)
Rx Incurred All Plan Cost CY09 v. CY08:

## Reasons Trends so Low:

Medical Network Providers (Health Advantage and NovaSys) have been able to keep contractual rates flat.
Savings on Medical by using Specialty Drugs via the Ascend Specialty Drug Program
Saving on Pharmacy by implementing programs promoting cost effective drug use
Effective Large Claim Management

## Reasons to Keep Trends Low:

2010 Contract for Health Advantage have no rate increase - per phone call
2010 Contract for NovaSys should be more favorable -- they are doing additional analysis
Believe the generic utilization will improve another $1.3 \%$
Believe that more options still exist to promote cost effective use of specialty and brand drugs
Believe that more options still exist to have no trend on large claims

## Appendix C - Gain / Loss

## Reconciliation of CY 2009 ASE Net Incomel(Loss)

- From the December 2009 Financial Report (Year-to-date)

Net Income/(Loss)
Reserves Allocated
Net Income/(Loss) After Reserves

|  | $\$$ in Millions |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | 24.0 |
|  | 7.9 |
| $\$$ | 31.9 |

- Where did the $\$ 31.9$ million come from?

Items that are not assumed to repeat

| Active Enrollment Lower than Projected | $\$$ | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net Income/(Loss) from Retirees | $\$$ | 2.3 |
| Investment Income | $\$$ | 0.8 |
| Other Income incl Penalties \& FICA savings | $\$$ | 3.5 |
| Other Unanticipated Items | $\$$ | 1.9 |
| $\quad$ TOTAL | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 5}$ |

Items that have already been reflected in 2009-10 rates

| Net Income from Lifestyle Assessment | $\$$ | 1.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$$ | 1.4 |

Items that will affect 2011 rates

| Medical experience better than projected | $\$$ | 14.1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Pharmacy experience better than projected | $\$$ | 8.5 |
| Behavioral Health premiums above projected | $\$$ | $(0.7)$ |
| TOTAL | $\$$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 9}$ |
| Percent of 2009 expenses |  | $\mathbf{9 . 8 \%}$ |
| GRAND TOTAL | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 9}$ |





STATE AGENCY PENALTIES ASSESSED FOR MAY 2010

Agency Name
Arkansas Minority Health Commission

Arkansas Professional Bail
Bondsman Licensing Board
Arkansas Department of Veteran Affairs

Description of Violation
State contribution not
received by May 31, 2010
State contribution not received by May 31, 2010

State contribution not received by May 31, 2010

Penalty Assessed \$100
$\$ 100$ PAID
\$256 PAID

Payments due by July 31, 2010

## STATE AGENCY PENALTIES ASSESSED FOR APRIL 2010

Agency Name
Arkansas Psychology Board
Arkansas Department of
Veteran Affairs

Description of Violation
State contribution not received by April 30, 2010

State contribution not received by April 30, 2010

## Penalty Assessed

$\$ 100$
\$256 PAID

Payments due by June 30, 2010
Veteran Affairs

## SCHOOL DISTRICT PENALTIES ASSESSED FOR JANUARY 2010

Act 1009 of 2007 ( $\$ 21-5-415$ ) states the division shall impose a penalty
District Name
Hope Academy
Osceola Community School
(OCABS)

Description of Violation January 2010 Historical Billing Report and payment not received by January 31, 2010

January 2010 Historical Billing Report and payment not received by January 31, 2010

Penalty Assessed
$\$ 100$
PAID
$\$ 100$ PAID

## STATE AGENCY PENALTIES ASSESSED FOR JANUARY 2010

Agency Name
Arkansas Psychology Board
Description of Violation
State contribution not received by January 31, 2010

Arkansas Department of Veteran Affairs

Arkansas Abstractor's Board State contribution not of Examiners

State contribution not received by January 31, 2010 received by January 31, 2010

Penalty Assessed $\$ 100$
\$256 PAID
$\$ 100$ PAID

