## **EXHIBIT H**

# <u>DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES, DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES SERVICES</u>

**SUBJECT:** DDS Policy 1087: Criminal Records Check

**<u>DESCRIPTION</u>**: DDS is proposing changes to DDS Policy #1087, which sets forth the process and policy used to conduct criminal records checks on employees and owners of DDS providers.

This updates Policy 1087 to conform to federal and state law regarding conducting criminal records checks. The duplicative language found in the Standards for Conducting Criminal Record Checks for Employees of Developmental Disabilities Service Providers will also be repealed.

<u>PUBLIC COMMENT</u>: A public hearing was held on December 4, 2017. The public comment period expired on December 8, 2017. The Department received no comments.

The proposed effective date is February 1, 2018.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT:** There is no financial impact.

**LEGAL AUTHORIZATION:** The Department of Human Services' Division of Developmental Disabilities Services ("DDS") is responsible for the overall coordination of services for Arkansans with developmental disabilities. The authority for the revision to update DDS's criminal records check policy is found in Chapter 38 of Title 20 of the Arkansas Code, which provides the procedures for the Department's Criminal Background Checks applicable to service providers, operators, employees or potential employees of a service provider. *See* Ark. Code Ann. §20-38-101, et seq. (Supp. 2017).

Additional authority is found in Chapter 48 of Title 20, concerning Treatment of the Developmentally Disabled, which requires DDS to establish by rule requirements for criminal history records checks for applicants and employees of certified providers of an alternative community services waiver program, an early intervention program, or a nonprofit community program. *See* Ark. Code Ann. §20-48-812(a), (e) (Repl 2014).

# QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES AND REGULATIONS WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

DEPARTMENT/AGENCY	Department of Hur	nan Services					
DIVISION	Division of Developmental Disabilities Services						
DIVISION DIRECTOR	Melissa Stone						
CONTACT PERSON	Elizabeth Pitman						
ADDRESS	P.O. Box 1437, Slo	ot N501					
PHONE NO. (501) 682-4 NAME OF PRESENTER AT MEETING	936 FAX NO. FCOMMITTEE	(501) 682- 8380	E- MAIL sa Stone	elizabeth dhs.arkar	n.pitman@ nsas.gov		
PRESENTER E-MAIL _me	elissa.stone@dhs.arl						
		RUCTIONS					
<ul> <li>A. Please make copies of this</li> <li>B. Please answer each quest necessary.</li> <li>C. If you have a method of in this Rule" below.</li> <li>D. Submit two (2) copies of the proposition.</li> </ul>	s form for future u ion <u>completely</u> usin ndexing your rules	se.  Ing layman terms  Ing layman terms  Ing lease give the	proposed o	citation af	ter "Short Title of		
Donna K. Davis Administrative Rules Review Section Arkansas Legislative Council Bureau of Legislative Research One Capitol Mall, 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor Little Rock, AR 72201  **********************************							
Updating the language of Policy 1087 to conform to Federand State law; and repealing duplicative policy: Standards Conducting Criminal Record Checks for Employees of DI Providers.					icy: Standards for		
3. Is this rule required to compregulation?  If yes, please provide the fecitation.			Yes [		No 🛛		
4. Was this rule filed under the	e emergency provisi	ons of the Admir	nistrative Pr	rocedure A	ct?		
If yes, what is the effective rule?			Yes [		No 🛛		
When does the emergency r expire?	ule 			Ray	ised January 2017		
				100	a variatily 201/		

	Will this emergency rule be promulgated under the permanent provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act?
	Yes No No
5.	Is this a new rule? Yes No No If yes, please provide a brief summary explaining the regulation.
	Does this repeal an existing rule? Yes \( \subseteq \) No \( \subseteq \) If yes, a copy of the repealed rule is to be included with your completed questionnaire. If it is being replaced with a new rule, please provide a summary of the rule giving an explanation of what the rule does. \( \subseteq \)
rul	Is this an amendment to an existing  le? Yes No   If yes, please attach a mark-up showing the changes in the existing rule and a summary of the substantive changes. Note: The summary should explain what the amendment does, and the mark-up copy should be clearly labeled "mark-up."
6.	Cite the state law that grants the authority for this proposed rule? If codified, please give the Arkansas Code citation. <u>Arkansas Statute 20-38-101 et seq.</u> , and Ark Code Ann. 20-48-812.
01	What is the purpose of this proposed rule? Why is it necessary? This rule change updates the definition developmental disability used by DDS so that it matches the language of A.C.A. 20-48-101, which sets teategorical eligibility
8.	Please provide the address where this rule is publicly accessible in electronic form via the Internet as required by Arkansas Code § 25-19-108(b). <a href="https://www.medicaid.state.ar.us/general/comment/comment.aspx">https://www.medicaid.state.ar.us/general/comment/comment.aspx</a>
9.	Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule? Yes ⊠ No ☐ If yes, please complete the following:
	Date: December 4, 2017
	Time: 2:00 PM
	Department of Human Services Conference Room A
	700 Main Street
	Place: Little Rock, AR
10.	When does the public comment period expire for permanent promulgation? (Must provide a date.)
	December 8, 2017
11.	What is the proposed effective date of this proposed rule? (Must provide a date.)
	February 1, 2018
12. pub	Please provide a copy of the notice required under Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(a), and proof of the blication of said notice. Attached.

- 13. Please provide proof of filing the rule with the Secretary of State and the Arkansas State Library as required pursuant to Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e). <u>Attached.</u>
  - 14. Please give the names of persons, groups, or organizations that you expect to comment on these rules? Please provide their position (for or against) if known. <u>Unknown.</u>

#### FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

### PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

DE	PAR	<b>TMENT</b>	Department	of Human S	ervices		:-	
DI	VISIO	ON	Division of I	Developmen	tal Disabil	ities Services		
PE	RSO	N COMPL	ETING THIS	STATEMI	ENT Eliz	zabeth Pitman		
TE	LEPI	HONE 501	-682-4936	_FAX 501	1-682-8380	EMAIL: Eli	zabeth.pitman@	dhs.arkansas.gov
To an	comp d file	oly with Ar two copies	k. Code Ann. { with the quest	§ 25-15-204 ionnaire and	(e), please l proposed	complete the follow rules.	ving Financial In	npact Statement
SE	IORT	TITLE O	F THIS RUL	E Crimina	l Records	Check (DDS Policy	1087)	
1.	Does	s this propo	sed, amended,	or repealed	rule have	a financial impact?	Yes 🗌	No 🖂
2.	Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule?  Yes  No							No 🗌
3. In consideration of the alternatives to this rule, wa by the agency to be the least costly rule considered			rule, was t nsidered?	his rule determined	Yes 🔀	No 🗌		
	If an	agency is p	proposing a mo	ore costly ru	le, please s	state the following:		
(a) How the additional benefits of the more costly rule justify its additional cost;								
(b) The reason for adoption of the more costly rule;								
	(c) Whether the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or welfare, and if so, please explain; and;							elfare, and if
(d) Whether the reason is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority; and i explain.						thority; and if so	o, please	
4. If the purpose of this rule is to implement a federal rule or regulation, please state the following:							na.	
	(a)		e cost to imple				saic the follows	15.
Cu	rrent	Fiscal Yea				Next Fiscal Year		
Fed Cas Spe	leral F sh Fur ecial R					General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)		
Total 0				Total	0			

(b) What is the	additional cost of th	ne state rule?				
<b>Current Fiscal</b>	Year	<u>N</u>	Next Fiscal Year			
General Revenu Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenu Other (Identify)	e		General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)			
Total _			Γotal	0		
5. What is the total proposed, amend they are affected.	led, or repealed rule?	scal year to any privat? Identify the entity(is	e individual, entites) subject to the p	y and business sub proposed rule and	oject to the explain how	
Current Fiscal Yea  \$ 0	<u>ır</u>		Next Fiscal Year \$ _0	<u>r</u>		
\$ 0  7. With respect to to or obligation of a second secon	the agency's answers	rs to Questions #5 and thousand dollars (\$10	#6 above, is there	e a new or increase	ed cost	
private entity, pr	rivate business, state of those entities com	government, county g	government, mun	icipal government,	or to	
		Yes	□ No ⊠			
time of filing the	e financial impact sta	rk. Code Ann. § 25-15 atement. The written and shall include, with	findings shall be t	filed simultaneousl	the ly	
(1) a statement o	of the rule's basis and	d purpose;				
(2) the problem to a rule is requ	the agency seeks to a ired by statute;	address with the propo	osed rule, includir	ng a statement of w	hether	
(a) justifi (b) descri	of the factual evider es the agency's need ibes how the benefits ile's costs;	nce that: d for the proposed rule s of the rule meet the	e; and relevant statutory	objectives and jus	tify	
(4) a list of less of adequately	costly alternatives to ddress the problem t	the proposed rule and to be solved by the pro	I the reasons why	the alternatives do	not	

- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
  - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
  - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
  - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.

#### SUMMARY OF CHANGES DDS POLICY 1087

The Department of Human Services Division of Developmental Disability Services (DDS) is proposing changes to DDS Policy #1087, which sets forth the process and policy used to conduct Criminal Records Checks on Employees and Owners of DDS Providers.

This policy amendment updates the language of Policy 1087 to conform to federal and state law regarding conducting criminal records checks. The duplicative language found in the Standards for Conducting Criminal Record Checks for Employees of Developmental Disabilities Service Providers will also be repealed.