

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES, DIVISION OF MEDICAL SERVICES

SUBJECT: Private Hospital Access Payment State Plan Amendment

DESCRIPTION:

Statement of Necessity

The Department of Human Services (DHS) proposes changes to the Medicaid state plan regarding the calculation of supplemental inpatient access payments for private hospitals. The changes allow DHS to draw additional funds for the payments through federal reimbursements.

Summary of Changes

The calculation for inpatient access payments will change from the number of discharges to the number of days. The state plan amendment implements the change by deleting the requirement to apply Respective Case Mix Indexes (CMI) to both the base Medicare per discharge rates and base Medicaid per discharge rates for comparison to the Medicare-related UPL.

<u>PUBLIC COMMENT</u>: A public hearing was held on this rule on July 24, 2024. The public comment period expired on August 12, 2024. The agency indicated that it received no comments.

The proposed effective date is pending legislative review and approval.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: The agency indicated that this rule has a financial impact.

Per the agency, the total estimated cost to implement this rule is \$93,750,000 for the current fiscal year (\$67,500,000 in federal funds and \$26,250,000 in other funding) and \$375,000,000 for the next fiscal year (\$267,581,250 in federal funds and \$107,418,750 in other funding). The total estimated cost by fiscal year to a state, county, or municipal government is \$26,250,000 for the current fiscal year and \$107,418,750 for the next fiscal year. The agency indicated that it will use hospital assessment fee funding to pay for 100% of the state share of the cost.

The agency indicated that there is a new or increased cost or obligation of at least \$100,000 per year to a private individual, private entity, private business, state government, county government, municipal government, or to two or more of those entities combined. Accordingly, the agency provided the following written findings:

(1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;

Delete requirement to apply Respective Case Mix Indexes (CMI) to both the base Medicare per discharge rates and base Medicaid per discharge rates for comparison to the Medicare-related UPL.

1

(2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;

The current audit methodology does not align with Medicare cost settlement principles and reduces funding opportunities for hospitals participating in the Upper Payment Limit program.

- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
- (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
- (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs:

The rule uses the Hospital Assessment Fee Funding to pay 100% of the State share, making it feasible to draw on federal funding to distribute a UPL in alignment with Medicare.

(4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;

None.

(5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;

N/A

(6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and

The existing rule requires an additional step to apply Respective Case Mix Indexes (CMI) to both the base Medicare per discharge rates and base Medicaid per discharge rates for comparison to the Medicare-related UPL. By deleting this step, the agency is able to use the Medicare-related UPL.

- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
- (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
- (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
- (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.

The Agency monitors State and Federal rules and policies for opportunities to reduce and control costs.

LEGAL AUTHORIZATION: The Department of Human Services has the responsibility to administer assigned forms of public assistance and is specifically authorized to maintain an indigent medical care program (Arkansas Medicaid). *See* Ark. Code Ann. §§ 20-76-201(1), 20-77-107(a)(1). The Department has the authority to make rules that are necessary or desirable to carry out its public assistance duties. Ark. Code Ann. § 20-76-201(12). The Department and its divisions also have the authority to promulgate rules as necessary to conform their programs to federal law and receive federal funding. Ark. Code Ann. § 25-10-129(b).



Office of Policy and Rules

P.O. Box 1437, Slot S295, Little Rock, AR 72203-1437 P: 501.320.6383 F: 501.404.4619

July 12, 2024

Mrs. Rebecca Miller-Rice Administrative Rules Review Section Arkansas Legislative Council Bureau of Legislative Research #1 Capitol, 5th Floor Little Rock, AR 72201

Dear Mrs. Rebecca Miller-Rice:

Re: Private Hospital Access Payment SPA

Please arrange for this rule to be reviewed by the ALC-Administrative Rules Subcommittee. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me at 501-320-6383 or by emailing Mac.E.Golden@dhs.arkansas.gov.

Sincerely,

Mac Golden

Deputy Chief

Mac Golden

Attachments

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

	PARTMENT				
	ARD/COMMISSION				
	ARD/COMMISSION DIRECTOR				
	NTACT PERSON				
	DRESS				
PHO	PHONE NO EMAIL				
NAM	ME OF PRESENTER(S) AT SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING				
PRE	SENTER EMAIL(S)				
	INSTRUCTIONS				
Ques what	rder to file a proposed rule for legislative review and approval, please submit this Legislative stionnaire and Financial Impact Statement, and attach (1) a summary of the rule, describing t the rule does, the rule changes being proposed, and the reason for those changes; (2) both a kup and clean copy of the rule; and (3) all documents required by the Questionnaire.				
of R	If the rule is being filed for permanent promulgation, please email these items to the attention of Rebecca Miller-Rice, <u>miller-ricer@blr.arkansas.gov</u> , for submission to the Administrative Rules Subcommittee.				
Dire	e rule is being filed for emergency promulgation, please email these items to the attention of ctor Marty Garrity, garritym@blr.arkansas.gov , for submission to the Executive committee.				
Pleas	se answer each question completely using layman terms.				
****	*****************************				
1.	What is the official title of this rule?				
2.	What is the subject of the proposed rule?				
3.	Is this rule being filed under the emergency provisions of the Arkansas Administrative Procedure Act? Yes No				
	If yes, please attach the statement required by Ark. Code Ann. § $25-15-204(c)(1)$.				
	If yes, will this emergency rule be promulgated under the permanent provisions of the Arkansas Administrative Procedure Act? Yes No				

4.	Is this rule being filed for permanent promulgation? Yes No
	If yes, was this rule previously reviewed and approved under the emergency provisions of the Arkansas Administrative Procedure Act? Yes No
	If yes, what was the effective date of the emergency rule?
	On what date does the emergency rule expire?
5.	Is this rule required to comply with a <i>federal</i> statute, rule, or regulation? Yes No
	If yes, please provide the federal statute, rule, and/or regulation citation.
6	Is this rule required to comply with a <i>state</i> statute or rule? Yes No
6.	Is this rule required to comply with a <i>state</i> statute or rule? Yes No
	If yes, please provide the state statute and/or rule citation.
7.	Are two (2) rules being repealed in accord with Executive Order 23-02? Yes No
	If yes, please list the rules being repealed.
	If no, please explain.
8.	Is this a new rule? Yes No
	Does this repeal an existing rule? Yes No If yes, the proposed repeal should be designated by strikethrough. If it is being replaced with a new rule, please attach both the proposed rule to be repealed and the replacement rule.
	Is this an amendment to an existing rule? Yes No If yes, all changes should be indicated by strikethrough and underline. In addition, please be

sure to label the markup copy clearly as the markup.

9.	What is the state law that grants the agency its rulemaking authority for the proposed rule, outside of the Arkansas Administrative Procedure Act? Please provide the specific Arkansas Code citation(s), including subsection(s).
10.	Is the proposed rule the result of any recent legislation by the Arkansas General Assembly? Yes No
	If yes, please provide the year of the act(s) and act number(s).
11.	What is the reason for this proposed rule? Why is it necessary?

12.	provided in Ark. Code Ann. § 25-19-108(b)(1).
13.	Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule? Yes No
	If yes, please complete the following:
	Date:
	Time:
	Place:
Pleas	se be sure to advise Bureau Staff if this information changes for any reason.
14.	On what date does the public comment period expire for the permanent promulgation of the rule? Please provide the specific date.
15.	What is the proposed effective date for this rule?
16.	Please attach (1) a copy of the notice required under Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(a)(1) and (2) proof of the publication of that notice.
17.	Please attach proof of filing the rule with the Secretary of State, as required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(1)(A).
18.	Please give the names of persons, groups, or organizations that you anticipate will comment on these rules. Please also provide their position (for or against), if known.
19.	Is the rule expected to be controversial? Yes No If yes, please explain.

NOTICE OF RULEMAKING

The Department of Human Services announces for a public comment period of thirty (30) calendar days a notice of rulemaking for the following proposed rule under one or more of the following chapters, subchapters, or sections of the Arkansas Code: §§ 20-76-201, 20-77-107, and 25-10-129.

The Division of Medical Services (DMS) requests from the Centers of Medicare & Medicaid an amendment of the Medicaid state plan regarding the calculation of supplemental inpatient access payments for private hospitals to be effective retroactive to April 6, 2024. The gap calculation for inpatient access payments is being changed from number of discharges to number of days. The change will be implemented by deleting the requirement to apply Respective Case Mix Indexes (CMI) to both the base Medicare per discharge rates and base Medicaid per discharge rates for comparison to the Medicare-related Upper Payment Limit (UPL). DHS projects an annual fiscal impact of \$375,000,000.00 (federal share \$266,775,000; state share \$108,225,000). The promulgation of the rule shall be effective October 1, 2024.

The proposed rule is available for review at the Department of Human Services (DHS) Office of Policy and Rules, 2nd floor Donaghey Plaza South Building, 7th and Main Streets, P. O. Box 1437, Slot S295, Little Rock, Arkansas 72203-1437. This notice also shall be posted at the local office of the Division of County Operations (DCO) of DHS in every county in the state.

You may also access and download the proposed rule at ar.gov/dhs-proposed-rules. Public comments must be submitted in writing at the above address or at the following email address: ORP@dhs.arkansas.gov. All public comments must be received by DHS no later than August 12, 2024. Please note that public comments submitted in response to this notice are considered public documents. A public comment, including the commenter's name and any personal information contained within the public comment, will be made publicly available and may be seen by various people. A public hearing will be held by remote access through Zoom. Public comments may be submitted at the hearing. The details for attending the Zoom hearing appear at ar.gov/dhszoom.

If you need this material in a different format, such as large print, contact the Office of Policy and Rules at (501) 320-6428. The Arkansas Department of Human Services is in compliance with Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act and is operated, managed, and delivers services without regard to religion, disability, political affiliation, veteran status, age, race, color, or national origin. 4502201653

Elizabeth Pitman, Director Division of Medical Services From: Legal Ads To: Renita Jones

Subject: Re: Full Run AD - Private Hospital Access Payment SPA - (Rule# 281)

Thursday, July 11, 2024 1:42:03 PM Date:

Attachments: image001.png

image002.pnq

[EXTERNAL SENDER]

Will run Sun 7/14, Mon 7/15, and Tues 7/16.

Thank you.

Gregg Sterne, Legal Advertising Arkansas Democrat-Gazette legalads@arkansasonline.com

From: "Renita Jones" < Renita. Jones@dhs.arkansas.gov>

To: "legalads" <legalads@arkansasonline.com>

Cc: "Renita Jones" <Renita.Jones@dhs.arkansas.gov>, "Mac Golden"

<Mac.E.Golden@dhs.arkansas.gov>, "Jack Tiner" <jack.tiner@dhs.arkansas.gov>,

"Lakeya Gipson" <Lakeya.Gipson@dhs.arkansas.gov>, "Elaine Stafford"

<elaine.stafford@dhs.arkansas.gov>

Sent: Thursday, July 11, 2024 11:44:50 AM

Subject: Full Run AD - Private Hospital Access Payment SPA - (Rule# 281)

Good afternoon,

Please run the attached public notice on Sunday, July 14th, Monday, July 15th and Tuesday, July 16th. I am aware that the print version will only be provided to all counties on Sundays. Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns. Please reply to this email using REPLY ALL.

Please invoice to: AR Dept. of Human Services

OPR, ATTN: Lakeya Gipson P.O. Box 1437, Slot S295 Little Rock, AR 72203-8068

Lakeya.Gipson@dhs.arkansas.gov



Office of Policy & Rules

Program Administrator

P: 501.320.3949
F: 501.404.4619
700 Main St.
Little Rock, AR 72203
Renita.Jones@dhs.arkansas.gov

humanservices.arkansas.gov



This email may contain sensitive or confidential information.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this email message and any attachment(s) is the property of the State of Arkansas and may be protected by state and federal laws governing disclosure of private information. It is intended solely for the use of the entity to which this email is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that reading, copying or distribution this transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. The sender has not waived any applicable privilege by sending the accompanying transmission. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by return and delete the message and attachment(s) from your system.

From: Renita Jones

To: register@sos.arkansas.gov

Cc: Renita Jones; Mac Golden; Jack Tiner; JAMIE EWING; Lakeya Gipson

Subject: DHS/DMS - Proposed Filing - Private Hospital Access Payment SPA - (Rule# 281)

Date: Friday, July 12, 2024 8:25:00 AM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

image002.png

Initial Filing - Sec of State - Rule #281.pdf

Good morning,

Please see attached for initial filing. This rule will run in the Arkansas Democrat Gazette on Sunday, July 14th, Monday, July 15th and Tuesday, July 16th. The public comment period ends on August 12, 2024. Let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Thank you,

Renita Jones

Office of Policy & Rules

Program Administrator

P: 501.320.3949 F: 501.404.4619 700 Main St.

Little Rock, AR 72203

Renita.Jones@dhs.arkansas.gov

humanservices.arkansas.gov



This email may contain sensitive or confidential information.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this email message and any attachment(s) is the property of the State of Arkansas and may be protected by state and federal laws governing disclosure of private information. It is intended solely for the use of the entity to which this email is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that reading, copying or distribution this transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. The sender has not waived any applicable privilege by sending the accompanying transmission. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by return and delete the message and attachment(s) from your system.

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY.

DEI	PARTMENT_
	ARD/COMMISSION
PER	RSON COMPLETING THIS STATEMENT
TEL	LEPHONE NOEMAIL
emai	comply with Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e), please complete the Financial Impact Statement and il it with the questionnaire, summary, markup and clean copy of the rule, and other documents. se attach additional pages, if necessary.
TIT	LE OF THIS RULE
1.	Does this proposed, amended, or repealed rule have a financial impact? Yes No
2.	Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule? Yes No
3.	In consideration of the alternatives to this rule, was this rule determined by the agency to be the least costly rule considered? Yes No
	If no, please explain:
	(a) how the additional benefits of the more costly rule justify its additional cost;
	(b) the reason for adoption of the more costly rule;
	(c) whether the reason for adoption of the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or welfare, and if so, how; and
	(d) whether the reason for adoption of the more costly rule is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority, and if so, how.
4.	If the purpose of this rule is to implement a <i>federal</i> rule or regulation, please state the following:

(a) What is the cost to implement the federal rule or regulation?

General Revenue	General Revenue
Federal Funds	Federal Funds
Cash Funds	Cash Funds
Special Revenue	Special Revenue
Other (Identify)	Other (Identify)
Total	Total
(b) What is the additional cost of the stat	e rule?
Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year
General Revenue	General Revenue
Federal Funds	Federal Funds
Cash Funds	Cash Funds
Special Revenue	Special Revenue
Other (Identify)	Other (Identify)
Total	T-4-1
	Total
What is the total estimated cost by fiscal	year to any private individual, private entity, or private ed, or repealed rule? Please identify those subject to the Next Fiscal Year \$
What is the total estimated cost by fiscal business subject to the proposed, amenderule, and explain how they are affected. Current Fiscal Year \$ What is the total estimated cost by fiscal	year to any private individual, private entity, or private ed, or repealed rule? Please identify those subject to the
What is the total estimated cost by fiscal business subject to the proposed, amenderule, and explain how they are affected. Current Fiscal Year \$ What is the total estimated cost by fiscal implement this rule? Is this the cost of the proposed, amenderule	year to any private individual, private entity, or private ed, or repealed rule? Please identify those subject to the Next Fiscal Year \$

7. With respect to the agency's answers to Questions #5 and #6 above, is there a new or increased cost or obligation of at least one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) per year to a private individual, private entity, private business, state government, county government, municipal government, or to two (2) or more of those entities combined?

Yes No

If yes, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:

- (1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;
- (2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;
- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
 - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
 - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs:
- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT ADDENDUM

7.	With respect to the agency's answers to Questions #5 and #6 above, is there a new or increased cost
	or obligation of at least one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) per year to a private individual,
	private entity, private business, state government, county government, municipal government, or to
	two (2) or more of those entities combined?

Yes X No

If yes, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:

(1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;

Delete requirement to apply Respective Case Mix Indexes (CMI) to both the base Medicare per discharge rates and base Medicaid per discharge rates for comparison to the Medicare-related UPL.

(2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;

The current audit methodology does not align with Medicare cost settlement principles and reduces funding opportunities for hospitals participating in the Upper Payment Limit program.

- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
 - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
 - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;

The rule uses the Hospital Assessment Fee Funding to pay 100% of the State share, making it feasible to draw on federal funding to distribute a UPL in alignment with Medicare.

(4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;

None

(5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;

N/A

(6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and

The existing rule requires an additional step to apply Respective Case Mix Indexes (CMI) to both the base Medicare per discharge rates and base Medicaid per discharge rates for comparison to the Medicare-related UPL. By deleting this step, the agency is able use the Medicare-related UPL;

- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.

The Agency monitors State and Federal rules and policies for opportunities to reduce and control cost.

Statement of Necessity and Rule Summary Private Hospital Access Payment State Plan Amendment

Statement of Necessity

The Department of Human Services (DHS) proposes changes to the Medicaid state plan regarding the calculation of supplemental inpatient access payments for private hospitals. The changes allow DHS to draw additional funds for the payments through federal reimbursements.

Summary of Changes

The calculation for inpatient access payments will change from the number of discharges to the number of days. The state plan amendment implements the change by deleting the requirement to apply Respective Case Mix Indexes (CMI) to both the base Medicare per discharge rates and base Medicaid per discharge rates for comparison to the Medicare-related UPL.

STATE PLAN UNDER TITLE XIX OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM STATE ARKANSAS

ATTACHMENT 4.19-A Page 11d

METHODS AND STANDARDS FOR ESTABLISHING PAYMENT RATES INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES Revised: August 1, 2015-April 6, 2024

1. Inpatient Hospital Services (continued)

Inpatient Hospital Access Payments

Effective for services provided on or after July 1, 2009, all privately operated hospitals within the State of Arkansas except for rehabilitative hospitals and specialty hospitals as defined in Arkansas Code Ann. § 20-77-1901(7)(D) and (E) shall be eligible to receive inpatient hospital access payments. The inpatient hospital access payments are considered supplemental payments and do not replace any currently authorized Medicaid inpatient hospital payments.

- 1. For each rate year, the state shall determine for each hospital and in total the number of Medicaid inpatient discharges for private hospitals eligible for this supplemental payment.
- 2. For each rate year, the state shall identify, on the basis of paid inpatient discharge claims adjudicated through the State's MMIS, reimbursement for inpatient hospital services that were delivered by the private hospitals identified in step one.
- 3. The state shall estimate the amount that would have been paid for the services identified in step two using Medicare principles consistent with the upper payment limit (UPL) requirements set forth in 42 CFR 447.272. Respective Case Mix Indexes (CMI) shall be applied to both the base Medicare per discharge rates and base Medicaid per discharge rates for comparison to the Medicare related UPL.
- 4. The maximum allowable aggregate Medicaid inpatient hospital access payment for private hospitals shall not exceed 97% of the difference between the results of step three (Medicare UPL) and results of step two (Medicaid based payment).
- 5. Using discharge data identified in step one, the state shall determine each eligible hospital's pro rata percentage which shall be a fraction equal to the number of the hospital's Medicaid discharges divided by the total number of Medicaid discharges for all eligible hospitals. This percentage will be calculated annually.
- 6. Each eligible hospital's inpatient hospital access payment shall be determined by multiplying the aggregate inpatient access payment identified in step 4 by the pro rata percentage identified in step 5. The current year's adjustment will be based on discharge data from the most recently audited fiscal year for which there is complete data. In this manner, the State will make supplemental payment to eligible hospitals for current year Medicaid utilization.

Inpatient hospital access payments shall be paid on a quarterly basis.

For hospitals that, for the most recently audited cost report period filed a partial year cost report, such partial year cost report data shall be annualized to determine their inpatient access payment; provided that such hospital was licensed and providing services throughout the entire cost report period. Hospitals with partial year cost reports that were not licensed and providing services throughout the entire cost report period shall receive prorated adjustments based on the partial year data.

Effective August 1, 2015, and forward, if an audited cost report is more than 2 years old, the State will elect to use the most recent cost report available as of June 30 for Inpatient Hospital Access Payments. Most recently submitted partial year cost report data will be annualized in the same matter as was used for audited cost report periods as described above.

STATE PLAN UNDER TITLE XIX OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM STATE ARKANSAS

ATTACHMENT 4.19-A Page 11d

METHODS AND STANDARDS FOR ESTABLISHING PAYMENT RATES INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES Revised: April 6, 2024

1. Inpatient Hospital Services (continued)

Inpatient Hospital Access Payments

Effective for services provided on or after July 1, 2009, all privately operated hospitals within the State of Arkansas except for rehabilitative hospitals and specialty hospitals as defined in Arkansas Code Ann. § 20-77-1901(7) shall be eligible to receive inpatient hospital access payments. The inpatient hospital access payments are considered supplemental payments and do not replace any currently authorized Medicaid inpatient hospital payments.

- 1. For each rate year, the state shall determine for each hospital and in total the number of Medicaid inpatient discharges for private hospitals eligible for this supplemental payment.
- 2. For each rate year, the state shall identify, on the basis of paid inpatient discharge claims adjudicated through the State's MMIS, reimbursement for inpatient hospital services that were delivered by the private hospitals identified in step one.
- 3. The state shall estimate the amount that would have been paid for the services identified in step two using Medicare principles consistent with the upper payment limit (UPL) requirements set forth in 42 CFR 447.272.
- 4. The maximum allowable aggregate Medicaid inpatient hospital access payment for private hospitals shall not exceed 97% of the difference between the results of step three (Medicare UPL) and results of step two (Medicaid based payment).
- 5. Using discharge data identified in step one, the state shall determine each eligible hospital's pro rata percentage which shall be a fraction equal to the number of the hospital's Medicaid discharges divided by the total number of Medicaid discharges for all eligible hospitals. This percentage will be calculated annually.
- 6. Each eligible hospital's inpatient hospital access payment shall be determined by multiplying the aggregate inpatient access payment identified in step 4 by the pro rata percentage identified in step 5. The current year's adjustment will be based on discharge data from the most recently audited fiscal year for which there is complete data. In this manner, the State will make supplemental payment to eligible hospitals for current year Medicaid utilization.

Inpatient hospital access payments shall be paid on a quarterly basis.

For hospitals that, for the most recently audited cost report period filed a partial year cost report, such partial year cost report data shall be annualized to determine their inpatient access payment; provided that such hospital was licensed and providing services throughout the entire cost report period. Hospitals with partial year cost reports that were not licensed and providing services throughout the entire cost report period shall receive prorated adjustments based on the partial year data.

Effective August 1, 2015, and forward, if an audited cost report is more than 2 years old, the State will elect to use the most recent cost report available as of June 30 for Inpatient Hospital Access Payments. Most recently submitted partial year cost report data will be annualized in the same matter as was used for audited cost report periods as described above.

TN: 24-0011 Approval Date: Effective Date: 4/6/2024

Supersedes: 15-0006