EXHIBIT H

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES, DIVISION OF MEDICAL SERVICES

SUBJECT: SPA #2019-002, Section 1004 of the SUPPORT Act

DESCRIPTION:

Statement of Necessity

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) has issued state guidance for a mandatory State Plan Amendment related to Drug Utilization Review (DUR) to reduce opioid-related fraud, misuse, and abuse. This change is in compliance with Section 1004 of the Substance-Use-Disorder Prevention that Promotes Opioid Recovery and Treatment for Patients and Communities Act, also referred to as the SUPPORT for Patients and Communities Act or the SUPPORT Act.

Rule Summary

CMS requires states to submit the State Plan Amendment (SPA) by December 31, 2019. The effective date for this promulgation will be July 1, 2020.

The purpose of this SPA is to meet the requirements of the SUPPORT Act and to provide documentation of compliance with opioid standards applicable to Fee For Service (FFS) recipients and PASSE recipients. These requirements have already been implemented in Arkansas. This Medicaid SPA reflects what is already in practice.

The purpose of this change is to address required implementation concerning:

- Opioid prescription claim reviews at the point of sale and retrospective reviews
- The monitoring and management of antipsychotic medication in children
- Identification of processes to detect fraud and abuse
- Mandatory DUR report updates
- Requirements for Medicaid Managed Care Organizations

PUBLIC COMMENT: No public hearing was held on this rule. The public comment period expired on April 20, 2020. The agency indicated it did not receive any public comments.

Lacey Johnson, an attorney with the Bureau of Legislative Research, asked the following questions and received the following responses:

QUESTION #1: Are the routine metabolic labs mentioned in section H.2(a) required by statute? **RESPONSE:** Continued monitoring on an outpatient basis for metabolic changes is required for antipsychotic agents for children < 18 years of age. This was announced in a June 5, 2012 memo, after the April 18th, 2012 DUR Board meeting. See *June 12, 2012 REMINDER* REGARDING REQUIREMENTS OF INFORMED

CONSENT AND METABOLIC MONITORING FOR *ORAL ANTIPSYCHOTIC AGENTS* FOR CHILDREN < 18 YRS. OF AGE:

QUESTION #2: Is CMS approval required for these rule changes? If so, what is the status on that approval? **RESPONSE:** Yes. CMS approved on 2/20/20.

The proposed effective date is July 1, 2020.

<u>FINANCIAL IMPACT</u>: The agency indicated that this rule does not have a financial impact.

LEGAL AUTHORIZATION: The Department of Human Services has the authority to administer assigned forms of public assistance and to make rules as necessary to carry out its duties. Ark. Code Ann. § 20-76-201(1), (12). The Department is specifically tasked with establishing and maintaining an indigent medical care program. Ark. Code Ann. § 20-77-107(a)(1). This includes promulgating rules to ensure compliance with federal law and receive federal funding. Ark. Code Ann. § 25-10-129(b).

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

DEPA	RTMENT/AGENCY Department of Human Services
	ION Division of Medical Services
DIVIS	ION DIRECTOR Janet Mann
	ACT PERSON Alexandra Rouse
	ESS PO Box 1437, Slot S295, Little Rock, AR 72203-1437
PHON	[E NO. 501-580-8875
	E OF PRESENTER AT COMMITTEE MEETING Janet Mann
PRESI	ENTER E-MAIL Janet.Mann@dhs.arkansas.gov
	INSTRUCTIONS
A. B.	Please make copies of this form for future use. Please answer each question <u>completely</u> using layman terms. You may use additional sheets, if necessary.
C.	If you have a method of indexing your rules, please give the proposed citation after "Short Title of this Rule" below.
D.	Submit two (2) copies of this questionnaire and financial impact statement attached to the front of two (2) copies of the proposed rule and required documents. Mail or deliver to:
*****	Jessica C. Sutton Administrative Rules Review Section Arkansas Legislative Council Bureau of Legislative Research One Capitol Mall, 5 th Floor Little Rock, AR 72201 ***********************************
1.	What is the short title of this rule? SPA # 2019-002, Section 1004 of the SUPPORT Act
2.	What is the subject of the proposed rule? Drug Utilization Review (DUR) to reduce opiod related fraud, misuse, and abuse.
3.	Is this rule required to comply with a federal statute, rule, or regulation? Yesx_No
	If yes, please provide the federal rule, regulation, and/or statute citation. § 1004 of the SUPPORT Act (P.L. 115-271)
4.	Was this rule filed under the emergency provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act? Yes No ×
	If yes, what is the effective date of the emergency rule?

When does the emergency rule expire?

Procedure Act? Yes____No___

Will this emergency rule be promulgated under the permanent provisions of the Administrative

5.	Is this a new rule? YesNoX If yes, please provide a brief summary explaining the rule.
	Does this repeal an existing rule? Yes No X If yes, a copy of the repealed rule is to be included with your completed questionnaire. If it is being replaced with a new rule, please provide a summary of the rule giving an explanation of what the rule does.
	Is this an amendment to an existing rule? Yes X No If yes, please attach a mark-up showing the changes in the existing rule and a summary of the substantive changes. Note: The summary should explain what the amendment does, and the mark-up copy should be clearly labeled "mark-up."
6.	Cite the state law that grants the authority for this proposed rule? If codified, please give the Arkansas Code citation.
	Arkansas Code §§20-76-201, 20 77-107, and 25-10-129
7.	What is the purpose of this proposed rule? Why is it necessary? See attached.
8.	Please provide the address where this rule is publicly accessible in electronic form via the Internet as required by Arkansas Code § 25-19-108(b).
	https://medicaid.mmis.arkansas.gov/general/comment/comment.aspx
9.	Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule? Yes NoX If yes, please complete the following:
	Date:
	Time:
	Place:
0.	When does the public comment period expire for permanent promulgation? (Must provide a date.) April 20, 2020
11.	What is the proposed effective date of this proposed rule? (Must provide a date.) July 1, 2020
2.	Please provide a copy of the notice required under Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(a), and proof of the publication of said notice. See attached.
13.	Please provide proof of filing the rule with the Secretary of State as required pursuant to Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e). See attached.

14.	Please give the names of persons, groups, or organizations that you expect to comment on these rules? Please provide their position (for or against) if known.
14.	rules? Please provide their position (for or against) if known.

Unknown

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

	ARTIVIENT Department of Human Ser	vices	
		S STATEMENT Brian Jones	
TEL	EPHONE NO. 501-5372064	FAX NO. 501-682-3889	EMAIL: brian.jones@dhs.arkansas.gov
To co State	omply with Ark. Code Ann. § ment and file two copies with	25-15-204(e), please complete the questionnaire and propose	e the following Financial Impact ed rules.
SHO	RT TITLE OF THIS RULI	SPA # 2019-002, Section 1004 of the SUPPORT	T Act
1.	Does this proposed, amend Yes No _	ded, or repealed rule have a fin	ancial impact?
2.	information available conc	st reasonably obtainable scientierning the need for, consequer	fic, technical, economic, or other evidence and ices of, and alternatives to the rule?
3.		ernatives to this rule, was this r	ule determined by the agency to be the least
		more costly rule, please state	
	(a) How the additional ben	nefits of the more costly rule ju	stify its additional cost;
	(b) The reason for adoption	n of the more costly rule;	
	(c) Whether the more costl please explain; and	y rule is based on the interests	of public health, safety, or welfare, and if so,
	(d) Whether the reason is v	vithin the scope of the agency'	s statutory authority, and if so, please explain.
4.	If the purpose of this rule is	to implement a federal rule or re	egulation, please state the following:
	(a) What is the cost to imple	ement the federal rule or regulat	ion?
	Current Fiscal Year	Nex	t Fiscal Year
	General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue	Fede	eral Revenue ral Funds ial Revenue
	Special Revenue	Spec	ial Revenue

What is the additional cost of the state rule? Irrent Fiscal Year	iscal Year I Revenue I Funds_ unds_ I Revenue I dentify)_	l, entity ar bject to the	nd busines
eneral Revenue 0 General Revenue 0 Federal Ish Funds 0 Federal Ish Funds 1 Cash Funds 1 Special Revenue 1 Special Revenue 1 Special Revenue 1 Special Ish Funds 1 Cash Funds 2	l Revenue l Funds unds_ l Revenue Identify)_ individua ity(ies) su	l, entity ar bject to the	nd busines e propose
eneral Revenue 0 General deral Funds 0 Federal sh Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue Special her (Identify) Other (Identify) Total 0 Total hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to any private he proposed, amended, or repealed rule? Identify the ent explain how they are affected. I rrent Fiscal Year hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, count plement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant affected. I rent Fiscal Year	l Revenue l Funds unds_ l Revenue Identify)_ individua ity(ies) su	l, entity ar bject to the	nd busines e propose
hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to any private he proposed, amended, or repealed rule? Identify the enterplain how they are affected. rrent Fiscal Year hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, countries the proposed of the program or grant affected. rrent Fiscal Year hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, countries the program or grant affected. rrent Fiscal Year	unds Revenue_ Identify)_ - individua ity(ies) su	l, entity ar bject to the	nd busines e propose
hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to any private he proposed, amended, or repealed rule? Identify the enterplain how they are affected. rrent Fiscal Year hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, countries the proposed of the program or grant affected. rrent Fiscal Year hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, countries the program or grant affected. rrent Fiscal Year	unds Revenue_ Identify)_ - individua ity(ies) su	l, entity ar bject to the	nd busines e propose
cash Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue Special Revenue Other (Identify) Other (Identify) Total Other (Identify) Total Mat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to any private the proposed, amended, or repealed rule? Identify the entity explain how they are affected. I rent Fiscal Year Mat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, country plement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant affected. I rent Fiscal Year Mat is the cost of the program or grant affected. I rent Fiscal Year	unds Revenue_ Identify)_ - individua ity(ies) su	l, entity ar bject to the	nd busines e propose
hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to any private he proposed, amended, or repealed rule? Identify the ent explain how they are affected. Trent Fiscal Year hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, count plement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant affected. Trent Fiscal Year	individua	l, entity ar bject to the	nd busines
hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to any private he proposed, amended, or repealed rule? Identify the ent explain how they are affected. Trent Fiscal Year hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, count plement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant affected. Trent Fiscal Year	individua	l, entity ar bject to the	nd busines
hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to any private he proposed, amended, or repealed rule? Identify the enterplant how they are affected. Trent Fiscal Year hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, count plement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant affected. Trent Fiscal Year	individua ity(ies) su	l, entity ar bject to the	nd busines e propose
he proposed, amended, or repealed rule? Identify the enterplain how they are affected. rrent Fiscal Year hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, count plement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant affected. rrent Fiscal Year	ity(ies) su	bject to the	e propose
he proposed, amended, or repealed rule? Identify the enterplain how they are affected. rrent Fiscal Year hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, count plement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant affected. rrent Fiscal Year	ity(ies) su	bject to the	e propose
hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, count plement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant affected.		cal Year	
hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, count plement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant affected.	Next Fisc		
hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, count plement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant affected.	Next Fisc		
hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, count plement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant affected.			
hat is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, count plement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant affected.			
plement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant affected. In the cost of the program or grant affected.	Φ		
plement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant affected. In the cost of the program or grant affected.			
plement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant affected. In the cost of the program or grant affected.			
plement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant affected. In the cost of the program or grant affected.			
plement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant affected. In the cost of the program or grant affected.			
plement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant affected. In the cost of the program or grant affected.			
plement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant affected. In the cost of the program or grant affected.	tr. and	مم امسلملس	
affected. urrent Fiscal Year	ty, and mu	ınıcıpai go	overnment
irrent Fiscal Year	t? Please	explain ho	w the gov
0	Next Fig	scal Year	
	\$		0
	Φ		
ith respect to the agency's answers to Questions #5 and #		s there a n	ew or inci
st or obligation of at least one hundred thousand dollars (§	6 above, is	ner vear to	o a private

Yes_____No___X

If YES, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously

with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:

- (1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;
- (2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;
- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
 - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
 - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;
- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.

NOTICE OF RULE MAKING

The Director of the Division of Medical Services of the Department of Human Services announces for a public comment period of thirty (30) calendar days a notice of rulemaking for the following proposed rule under one or more of the following chapters, subchapters, or sections of the Arkansas Code: §§ 20-76-201, 20-77-107, and 25-10-129.

Effective July 1, 2020:

Background: The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) issued guidance to the states concerning Drug Utilization Review (DUR) requirements in Section 1004 of the Substance Use-Disorder Prevention that Promotes Opioid Recovery and Treatment for Patients and Communities Act. Also referred to as the SUPPORT for Patients and Communities Act or SUPPORT Act, it seeks to reduce opioid related fraud, misuse, and abuse. The Support Act required each state to implement the new requirements by October 1, 2019, but gave each state until December 31, 2019, to submit a state plan amendment in compliance with the new requirements. By meeting the requirements, states become eligible for enhanced federal funding around technology to assist efforts to reduce opioid fraud, misuse, and abuse.

State Plan Amendment: The Arkansas Department of Human Services, Division of Medical Services (DHS/DMS), intends to adopt a state plan amendment to meet the requirements of the SUPPORT Act. The state plan amendment reflects the practices implemented in Arkansas and documents compliance with the requirements. The requirements broadly fall into four categories: claims review limitations; programs to monitor antipsychotic medications by children; fraud and abuse identification; and Medicaid Managed Care Organization mandates. The plan also sets mandatory DUR reporting requirements.

The plan implements claim review requirements for opioid prescriptions by prospective reviews at the point of sale and retrospective reviews of the same, including duplicate fill and early fill alerts, quantity limits, dosage limits, and Morphine Milligram Equivalent limitations. It establishes concurrent utilization reviews of opioids and benzodiazepines, or opioids and antipsychotics. And, it describes all actions for these reviews that will occur. The plan establishes monitoring and management of antipsychotic medications by children by prospective reviews at the point of sale and retrospective reviews. The plan implements programs and audits to identify fraud and abuse by recipients, providers, and pharmacists, including the monitoring of use in other states. The plan requires Medicaid Managed Care Organizations (MCOs) to comply with applicable requirements through updated contracts. Finally, the plan includes mandatory DUR report updates.

The proposed rule is available for review at the Department of Human Services (DHS) Office of Rules Promulgation, 2nd floor Donaghey Plaza South Building, 7th and Main Streets, P. O. Box 1437, Slot S295, Little Rock, Arkansas 72203-1437. You may also access and download the proposed rule on the Medicaid website at https://medicaid.mmis.arkansas.gov/General/Comment/Comment.aspx. Public comments must be submitted in writing at the above address or at the following email address:

ORP@dhs.arkansas.gov. All public comments must be received by DHS no later than April 20, 2020. Please note that public comments submitted in response to this notice are considered public documents. A public comment, including the commenter's name and any personal information contained within the public comment, will be made publicly available and may be seen by various people.

If you need this material in a different format, such as large print, contact the Office of Rules Promulgation at 501-320-6266.

The Arkansas Department of Human Services is in compliance with Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act and is operated, managed and delivers services without regard to religion, disability, political affiliation, veteran status, age, race, color or national origin. 4501888131

Janet Mann, Director
Division of Medical Services

Statement of Necessity and Rule Summary

SPA # 2019-002, Section 1004 of the SUPPORT Act

Statement of Necessity

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) has issued state guidance for a mandatory State Plan Amendment related to Drug Utilization Review (DUR) to reduce opioid related fraud, misuse, and abuse. This change is in compliance with Section 1004 of the Substance Use-Disorder Prevention that Promotes Opioid Recovery and Treatment for Patients and Communities Act, also referred to as the SUPPORT for Patients and Communities Act or the SUPPORT Act.

Rule Summary

CMS requires states to submit the State Plan Amendment (SPA) by December 31, 2019. The effective date for this promulgation will be July 1, 2020.

The purpose of this SPA is to meet the requirements of the SUPPORT Act and to provide documentation of compliance with opioid standards applicable to Fee For Service (FFS) recipients and PASSE recipients. These requirements have already been implemented in Arkansas. This Medicaid SPA reflects what is already in practice.

The purpose of this change is to address required implementations concerning:

- Opioid prescription claim reviews at the point of sale (POS) and retrospective reviews
- The monitoring and management of antipsychotic medication in children
- Identification of processes to detect fraud and abuse
- Mandatory DUR report updates
- Requirements for Medicaid Managed Care Organizations (MCOs).

. 1