(3385)

<u>SUBJECT</u>: Section 1-1-18; Section III-1-18; Outpatient Behavioral Health Services-2-18; Federally Qualified Health Clinic-1-18; Hospital-1-18; Physician-1-18; Rural Health-1-18; and State Plan Amendment-2018-002 - Telemedicine

**<u>DESCRIPTION</u>**: In accordance with Act 203 of 2017, effective for dates of service on or after January 1, 2018, the originating site for telemedicine services will be covered for Arkansas Medicaid beneficiaries. This will not affect current benefit limits.

<u>PUBLIC COMMENT</u>: The Department held a public hearing on April 30, 2018. The public comment period ended on May 9, 2018. The Department received public comments from two organizations, including the following:

On behalf of the **Developmental Disabilities Provider Association (DDPA)** and the **Arkansas Medical Society**, Robert Wright, an attorney from Mitchell, Blackstock, Ivers, Sneddon, PLLC, sent letters on May 8, 2018, regarding the proposed rules to implement the state's telemedicine statute into Medicaid. He noted that the statute required that all payers reimburse telemedicine as they would the same service face-to-face, effective January 1, 2018, and that DDPA is fully supportive of the responsible use of Telemedicine in the Medicaid program.

- A. All of the changes in the manuals show an effective date of July 1, 2018. The State Plan Amendment is dated January 1, 2018. The transmittal letters say the manuals have been updated effective July 1, 2018, for dates of service on or after January 1, 2018. Given these different dates, we are seeking clarification on how all of the manual provisions and state plan amendment fit together. Are we correct that if a telemedicine service was provided on March 1, 2018, (or any date between January 1, 2018, and June 30, 2018) in accordance with the provisions in Section I of the manual, that service will be paid if submitted to Medicaid on or after July 1, 2018?
- B. Another area requiring clarification is the requirement for the originating site (the site where the patient is physically located during a telemedicine encounter). State statute does not limit the location of the origination site. It simply states that a health benefit plan must pay a fee to an originating site that is operated by a healthcare professional or a licensed healthcare entity if the professional or entity are authorized to bill the health plan directly. However, the statute does not require that the originating site be such a facility. It could be a school, for example. In that case, because the school cannot bill the health plan, the health plan is not required to pay a facility fee to the originating site.

Section 105.190 of the proposed manual release is not clear but seems to require the originating site to be the office of a healthcare professional or a healthcare entity enrolled in Medicaid. Proposed Section 305.000 says in the third paragraph: "The originating site must be operated by a healthcare professional or licensed healthcare entity authorized to bill Medicaid directly for healthcare services to facilitate a high-quality interaction, including both telecommunication and clinical aspects of the telemedicine visits."

## **EXHIBIT** H

It appears that the proposed manual release has gone further than the law authorizes, perhaps unintentionally, when it requires health plans to pay for telemedicine services. The statute certainly allows the originating site to be the office of a healthcare professional or a healthcare entity, but it does not require it. We would request that the proposed manual release be changed to be consistent with state law by not restricting the originating site to the office of a healthcare professional or a healthcare entity that is able to bill the Medicaid program.

#### **RESPONSE:**

- A. With regard to a clarification on the effective date of the service, the dates of service will be retroactive to January 1, 2018, as this was necessary to meet the requirements of the Act.
- B. With regard to the concern about the requirements of the originating site, DHS considered it before filing the final rule. No changes were made because for billing purposes, all originating sites must be Medicaid-enrolled providers.

Laura Kehler Shue, an attorney with the Bureau of Legislative, asked a follow-up question to DHS's response. There is still a concern that the response is not clarifying or addressing the specific "originating site" issue that Robert Wright raised in his letters with regard to the Provider Manual, particularly, Section 105.190 and the third paragraph in Section 305.000 Telemedicine Billing Guidelines. He asserts that the rule language appears to go further than Act 203 allows by requiring that the originating site "be operated by a healthcare professional or licensed healthcare entity" and "to facilitate a high-quality interaction, including both telecommunication and clinical aspects of the telemedicine visits." As the definition of "originating site" in Ark. Code Ann. §23-79-1601 no longer requires "offices of a healthcare profession or a licensed healthcare entity," is there any specific response to the concern about this language that some may argue is extraneous and perhaps adding a higher standard than the law in Act 203 requires?

**RESPONSE:** The language for Section 105.190 Telemedicine was taken directly from Act 203 as illustrated below. As DHS reimburses Medicaid providers and a provider must be authorized to bill Medicaid in order to be reimbursed by Medicaid, when composing policy 105.190 we substituted "Arkansas Medicaid" for "health benefit plan".

#### Section 105.190 Telemedicine

Payment will include a reasonable facility fee to the originating site operated by a licensed or certified healthcare professional or licensed or certified healthcare entity if the professional or entity is authorized to bill Arkansas Medicaid directly for healthcare services.

#### 23-79-1602. Coverage for Telemedicine

(d)(1) A health benefit plan shall provide a reasonable facility fee to an originating site operated by a healthcare professional or a licensed healthcare entity if the healthcare professional or licensed healthcare entity is authorized to bill the health benefit plan directly for healthcare services.

# **EXHIBIT H**

We will not be changing this portion of policy based on Mr. Wright's comment.

The proposed effective date is July 1, 2018.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT:** The estimated additional cost to implement the rule is \$249,712 for the current fiscal year (\$75,465 in general revenue and \$176,247 in federal funds) and \$499,424 for the next fiscal year (\$146,930 in general revenue and \$352,493 in federal funds).

**LEGAL AUTHORIZATION:** The Department of Human Services (DHS) is authorized to "make rules and regulations and take actions as are necessary or desirable to carry out the provisions of this chapter [Public Assistance] and that are not inconsistent therewith." Arkansas Code Annotated § 20-76-201 (12).

The Telemedicine Act, Act 203 of 2017, sponsored by Senator Cecile Bledsoe, amended the definition of "telemedicine" and "originating site," addressed requirements of a professional relationship when using telemedicine, added standards, and addressed insurance coverage. "Originating Site" is defined as a site at which a patient is located at the time healthcare services are provided to him or her by means of telemedicine. *See* Ark. Code Ann. § 17-80-402(3) and § 23-79-1601(4). The effective date of the insurance coverage portion of the Act is January 1, 2018.

# QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES AND REGULATIONS WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

DEPARTMENT/AGENCY	Department of Hun	nan Services				
DIVISION	ON Division of Medical Services					
DIVISION DIRECTOR						
<b>CONTACT PERSON</b>	Cathy Coffman					
ADDRESS	PO Box 1437, Slot S295 Little Rock AR.72203					
PHONE NO. 501-537-16 NAME OF PRESENTER A MEETING PRESENTER E-MAIL tar	T COMMITTEE	501-404-4619 Tami I	E- MAIL.	cathy.coffma dhs.arkansas		
		STRUCTIONS				
Arkansas Leg Bureau of Leg One Capitol N Little Rock, A	tion <u>completely</u> using indexing your rules, this questionnaire and required vis The Rules Review Security of Rules Review Security of Research Mall, 5th Floor Research Resea	ng layman terms, please give the and financial impedocuments. Ma	proposed ci pact statem il or delive	itation after ent attached r to:	"Short Title of this to the front of two	
1. What is the short title of th rule?	is 2-18, Federa	18, Section III-1-1	18, Outpatie llth Clinic-1	nt Behaviora -18, Hospital	l Health Services- -1-18, Physician-1-	
2. What is the subject of the pule?		ive January 1, 2013 cility fee for Telem	8 Arkansas M edicine Servi	Medicaid will (	cover the originating	
3. Is this rule required to com regulation?  If yes, please provide the festitation.			Yes [	]	No 🖂	
Was this rule filed under th	e emergency provisi	ons of the Admin	nistrative Pro	ocedure Act?	Yes No 🖂	

If yes, what is the effective date of the emergency rule?		
When does the emergency rule expire?	***************************************	
Will this emergency rule be promulgated under the permanent p	provisions of the A	dministrative Procedure
Act?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌
5. Is this a new rule? Yes ☐ No ☒		
Does this repeal an existing rule? Yes ☐ No ☒		
Effective January 1, 2018 Arkansas Medicaid will cover the originating si	ite facility fee for Te	elemedicine Services.
Is this an amendment to an existing		
rule? Yes ⊠ No ☐  If yes, please attach a mark-up showing the changes in the exist	ing rule and a sum	mary of the substantive
changes. Note: The summary should explain what the amen be clearly labeled "mark-up."	idment does, and	the mark-up copy should
be clearly labeled mark-up.		
6. Cite the state law that grants the authority for this proposed rule citation.	? If codified, pleas	se give the Arkansas Code
Act 203 of the 91st General Assembly		
7. What is the purpose of this proposed rule? The purpose of the to allow Arkansas Medicaid to cover the originating site facility fee for Te	is rule which is effections elemedicine Services	fective January 1, 2018, is s.
8. Please provide the address where this rule is publicly accessible required by Arkansas Code § 25-19-108(b). <a href="https://www.medicaid.state.ar.">www.medicaid.state.ar.</a>	in electronic form us general comment c	via the Internet as omment.aspx
9. Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule? Yes ⊠ If yes, please complete the following:	No 🗌	
Date: April 30, 2018		
Time: 5:00 PM		
Darragh Center Central Library 100 Place: Rock Street, Little Rock, AR		
10. When does the public comment period expire for permanent pro-	mulgation? (Must	provide a date.)
May 9, 2018		
11. What is the proposed effective date of this proposed rule? (Must	provide a date.)	

- 12. Please provide a copy of the notice required under Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(a), and proof of the publication of said notice. ( see attached)
- 13. Please provide proof of filing the rule with the Secretary of State and the Arkansas State Library required pursuant to Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e). (see attached)
- 14. Please give the names of persons, groups, or organizations that you expect to comment on these rules? Please provide their position (for or against) if known. All Medicaid providers will be for this change.

### FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

# PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

DI	EPAF	RTMENT	Department of	Human Ser	vices			
DI	VISI	ON	Medical Servic	es				
PF	ERSO	N COMPLI	ETING THIS S	TATEMEN	NT Brian J	ones		
TE	ELEP	HONE 501-	537-2064	FAX <u>501-</u>	104-4619		rian Jones dhs.arkansas.g	gov
To St	o com	aply with Ark ent and file to	Code Ann. § 2 wo copies with the	5-15-204(e) he question	), please con naire and pro	nplete the follo oposed rules.	wing Financial	Impact
SI	HOR	T TITLE O	F THIS RULE	Services-2 1-18, Phys	2-18, Federa	lly Qualified H Rural Health C	tpatient Behavi Health Clinic-1- Clinic-1-18, and	18, Hospital-
1. Does this proposed, amended, or repealed rule have a financial impact?				Yes ⊠	No 🗌			
2.	Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule?  Yes  No						No 🗌	
3.	In consideration of the alternatives to this rule, was this rule determined by the agency to be the least costly rule considered?			d Yes⊠	No 🗌			
	If ar	If an agency is proposing a more costly rule, please state the following:						
	(a)	How the additional benefits of the more costly rule justify its additional cost;						
	(b) The reason for adoption of the more costly rule;							
(c) Whether the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, of if so, please explain; and;					ealth, safety, or	welfare, and		
	(d)	Whether the reason is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority; and if so, please explain.						
4.	If the purpose of this rule is to implement a federal rule or regulation, please state the following:							
	(a)	What is the	cost to impleme	nt the feder	al rule or reg	gulation?		
Cu	rrent	Fiscal Year			Nex	t Fiscal Year		
		Revenue C				eral Revenue eral Funds	0	

Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)		Special Revenue		
Total	0	Total	0	
(b) What is	the additional cost of the s	state rule?		
Current Fiscal Year		Next Fiscal Year		
General Rever Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Rever Other (Identif	176,247	Special Revenue	146,930 352,493	
Total	249,712	Total	499,424	
6. What is the tot	al estimated cost by fiscal	l year to state, county, and municipa he program or grant? Please explai	al government to	
affected.	rule. Is this the cost of t	ne program or grant: Frease expiai	ii now the government is	
Current Fiscal Y	ear	Next Fiscal Year	:	
\$ _73,465		\$ 146,930		
or obligation of private entity, p	at least one hundred thou:	Questions #5 and #6 above, is there a sand dollars (\$100,000) per year to ernment, county government, munic d?	a private individual,	
		Yes 🗌 No 🖂		
time of filing the	e financial impact stateme	ode Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file went. The written findings shall be file all include, without limitation, the	led simultaneously	
(1) a statement of	of the rule's basis and purp	pose;		
(2) the problem a rule is requ	the agency seeks to addressired by statute;	ss with the proposed rule, including	g a statement of whether	

- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
  - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
  - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;
- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
  - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
  - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
  - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.

#### **Summary Telemedicine:**

In accordance with Act 203 of the 91<sup>st</sup> General Assembly of 2017; effective for dates of service on or after January 1, 2018, the originating site for Telemedicine services will be covered for Arkansas Medicaid beneficiaries. This will not affect current benefit limits.