19-11-247. Remedies for unlawful solicitation or award.

- (a) The provisions of this section apply where it is determined upon any review provided by law that a solicitation or award of a contract is in violation of law.
- **(b)** If prior to award it is determined that a solicitation or proposed award of a contract is in violation of law, then the solicitation or proposed award shall be:
 - (1) Cancelled; or
 - (2) Revised to comply with the law.
- (c) If after an award it is determined that a solicitation or award of a contract is in violation of law, then in addition to or in lieu of other remedies provided by law:
 - (1) If the person awarded the contract has not acted fraudulently or in bad faith:
- (A) The contract may be ratified and affirmed if it is determined that doing so is in the best interests of the state; or
 - (B) The contract may be terminated;
 - (2) If the person awarded the contract has acted fraudulently or in bad faith:
 - (A) The contract may be declared null and void; or
- **(B)** The person awarded the contract may be directed to proceed with performance of the contract and pay such damages, if any, as may be appropriate if such action shall be in the best interests of the state.
- (d) Before a contract is ratified and affirmed under subdivision (c)(1)(A) of this section, a contract shall be presented to the Legislative Council or, if the General Assembly is in session, to the Joint Budget Committee, for review if the contract is required to be submitted for review under § 19-11-1006.

History. Acts 1979, No. 482, §§ 60-62; A.S.A. 1947, §§ 14-279 — 14-279.2; Acts 2017, No. 696, § 4.

Amendments. The 2017 amendment added (d).

Case Notes

Improper Remedy.

^{© 2017} Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

Improper Remedy.

In their action under the Arkansas Purchasing Law, plaintiffs sought the wrong remedy as the Purchasing Law provides for termination of the contract or "other remedies provided by law," such as an injunction or mandamus, if an award is in violation of the law; plaintiffs sought compensatory and punitive damages, but failed to seek injunctive relief or mandamus. Milligan v. Burrow, 52 Ark. App. 20, 914 S.W.2d 763 (1996).

Stricken language would be deleted from and underlined language would be added to present law. Act 696 of the Regular Session

1	State of Arkansas As Engrossed: S3/13/17 H3/17/17 91st General Assembly As Engrossed: B111
3	Regular Session, 2017 SENATE BILL 448
4	Regular Session, 2017 SEINATE BILL 446
5	By: Senator Hester
6	By: Representative Wardlaw
7	2). representative wardian
8	For An Act To Be Entitled
9	AN ACT TO AMEND THE ARKANSAS PROCUREMENT LAW; TO
10	PROMOTE EFFICIENCY IN STATE PROCUREMENT BY EXPANDING
11	THE ABILITY OF THE STATE TO NEGOTIATE CONTRACTS; TO
12	DEFINE "COLLUSION" UNDER ARKANSAS PROCUREMENT LAW; TO
13	REQUIRE REVIEW OF A CONTRACT BEFORE IT IS RATIFIED OR
L4	AFFIRMED; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.
15	and the property of the property of the second state of the second
16	
L7	Subtitle
18	TO EXPAND THE ABILITY OF THE STATE TO
19	NEGOTIATE CONTRACTS; TO DEFINE
20	"COLLUSION" UNDER ARKANSAS PROCUREMENT
21	LAW; AND TO REQUIRE REVIEW OF A CONTRACT
22	BEFORE IT IS RATIFIED OR AFFIRMED.
23	
24	
25	BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ARKANSAS:
26	
27	SECTION 1. Arkansas Code § 19-11-229(h)(2), concerning competitive
28	sealed bidding, is amended to read as follows:
29	(2) (A) In the event all bids exceed available funds as certified
30	by the appropriate fiscal officer in situations in which time or economic
31	considerations preclude resolicitation of work of a reduced scope, the $\underline{\textit{Except}}$
32	with respect to a contract being procured for a construction project, the
33	director or the head of a procurement agency may negotiate an adjustment of
34	the a lower bid price, including changes in the bid requirements, with the
35	lowest responsive and responsible bidder, in order to bring the bid within
36	the amount of available funds if:

1	(i) All bids received from responsive and
2	responsible bidders exceed available funding as certified by the appropriate
3	fiscal officer of the procurement agency; or
4	(ii) It appears that additional savings to the state
5	may result from negotiation.
6	(B)(i)(a) If negotiations with the lowest responsive and
7	responsible bidder conducted under subdivision (h)(2)(A) of this section fail
8	to result in a lower bid price, the state may negotiate for a lower bid price
9	with the next lowest responsive and responsible bidder.
10	(b) If negotiations with the next lowest
11	responsive and responsible bidder under subdivision (h)(2)(B)(i)(a) of this
12	section fail to result in a lower bid price, the state may negotiate for a
13	lower bid price with the next lowest responsive and responsible bidder until
14	an acceptable lower bid price is negotiated or the state determines that
15	negotiations are no longer in the best interest of the state.
16	(ii) A bid price resulting from negotiations
17	conducted under this section shall not be higher than:
18	(a) The bid price originally submitted by the
19	lowest responsive and responsible bidder; or
20	(b) A price previously offered in negotiations
21	by a responsive and responsible bidder.
22	(iii) Negotiations conducted under this section do
23	not preclude the use of other methods of source selection or procurement
24	authority provided under this subchapter.
25	
26	SECTION 2. Arkansas Code § 19-11-230(e), concerning competitive sealed
27	proposals, is amended to read as follows:
28	(e)(l) As provided in the request for proposals and under regulations
29	rule, discussions may be conducted with responsible offerors who submit
30	proposals determined to be reasonably susceptible of being selected for award
31	for the purpose of clarification :
32	(A) Clarifying solicitation requirements to assure full
33	understanding of, and responsiveness to, the solicitation requirements; or
34	(B) Negotiating a contract that is more advantageous to
35	the state.
36	(2)(A) Offerors If discussions conducted after the deadline for

1	the receipt of proposals necessitate material revisions of proposals, each
2	offeror determined to be responsible and reasonably susceptible of being
3	awarded a contract shall be accorded fair and equal treatment with respect to
4	any provided an opportunity for discussion and revision of proposals, and
5	such revisions may be permitted after submissions and prior to award to
6	revise its proposal for the purpose of obtaining submitting a best and final
7	offers offer.
8	(B) An offeror may be permitted to revise its original
9	proposal as a result of discussions only after the original submission
10	deadline and before award for the purpose of providing a best and final
11	offer.
12	(3) In conducting discussions, there shall be no disclosure of
13	$rac{any}{any}$ information derived from $rac{proposals}{a}$ $rac{a}{a}$ $rac{proposal}{a}$ submitted by $rac{a}{a}$ competing
14	offerors offeror shall not be disclosed until after a notice of anticipation
15	to award is announced.
16	
17	SECTION 3. Arkansas Code § 19-11-240 is amended to read as follows:
18	19-11-240. Reporting of suspected collusion — Definition.
19	(a) As used in this section, "collusion" means cooperation in the
20	restraint of free and open competition in a public procurement, including
21	without limitation:
22	(1) Price fixing;
23	(2) Bid rigging;
24	(3) Customer or market allocation;
25	(4) Misrepresenting the independence of the relationship between
26	colluding parties; and
27	(5) Exerting improper influence on public officials to obtain
28	advantage in a public procurement, including without limitation:
29	(A) Offering bribes or kickbacks;
30	(B) Extortion; and
31	(C) Fraudulent misrepresentation.
32	(b) Notification to the Attorney General. When for any reason
33	collusion is suspected among any bidders or offerors, a written notice of the
34	relevant facts shall be transmitted to the Attorney General.
35	(b) $\underline{(c)(1)}$ Retention of All Documents. All documents involved in any
36	\underline{a} procurement in which collusion is suspected shall be retained until the

1	Attorney General gives notice that they may be destroyed.
2	(2) All retained documents shall be made available to the
3	Attorney General or a designee upon request and proper receipt therefor of
4	the request.
5	(d) Collusion is cause for:
6	(1) Debarment from consideration for award of a contract under §
7	19-11-245; and
8	(2) Suspension from consideration for award of a contract if
9	there is probable cause for suspecting collusion as determined by the
10	Attorney General or the State Procurement Director.
11	
12	SECTION 4. Arkansas Code § 19-11-247, concerning remedies for unlawful
13	solicitation or award, is amended to add an additional subsection to read as
14	follows:
15	(d) Before a contract is ratified and affirmed under subdivision
16	(c)(l)(A) of this section, a contract shall be presented to the Legislative
17	Council or, if the General Assembly is in session, to the Joint Budget
18	Committee, for review if the contract is required to be submitted for review
19	under § 19-11-1006.
20	
21	/s/Hester
22	
23	
24	APPROVED: 03/27/2017
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	