
December 20, 2023

Executive Summary
Proposed Rule Repeal
ATRS Rule 5-3 – Asset Allocation

I. Purpose

The Arkansas Teacher Retirement System (“ATRS” or “System”) requests the review and approval of the proposed repeal of ATRS Rule 5-3 – Asset Allocation (“Rule 5-3”).

On September 25, 2023, the Board of Trustees of the Arkansas Teacher Retirement System (“Board”) voted to proceed with the repeal of Rule 5-3.

II. Authority

A.C.A. § 24-7-301 provides that the Board is responsible for the general administration and proper operation of the System and for implementing the Arkansas Teacher Retirement System Act, A.C.A. § 24-7-201 et seq.

A.C.A. § 24-7-305(b) gives the Board the authority to promulgate rules as it deems necessary from time to time in the transaction of its business and in administering the System.

III. Repeal

Rule 5-3 will be repealed in its entirety.

IV. Recommendation

The System recommends that the proposed repeal of Rule 5-3 in its entirety be approved.

ASSET ALLOCATION

A.C.A. § 24-2-410

The Board of Trustees is responsible for the prudent investment of funds and to maintain a proper allocation of the System's investment assets. The Board of Trustees, in conjunction with its advisors, shall set and adjust the System's asset allocation ranges as necessary to provide an optimal allocation to obtain its target returns.

REBALANCING

The asset allocation ranges established by the Board represent the Board's judgment of a portfolio mix that provides the greatest risk/return value. Allowing the portfolio to exceed the Board limits strays from the financial discipline, which the Board believes will—over time—provide the appropriate risk-adjusted return to the Retirement System.

The Executive Director is responsible to rebalance among the allowable asset classes and individual portfolios at such time that any of the asset classes falls outside of the designated range. The Executive Director will monitor the asset values by classification and for each investment manager on a monthly basis, based on month-end data provided by the custodian bank. The Executive Director shall submit a report to the Board detailing the movement of funds necessary to carry out that rebalancing at the next scheduled meeting following the completion of such transactions.

Whenever the minimum or maximum range on any major asset class has been exceeded, a transfer of funds will occur so as to bring the actual allocation within the prescribed range. Since many alternative, timberland, and real estate investments are not liquid and valuations may be estimates or appraisals and may be time lagged, the Executive Director shall use best efforts to use commitments, capital calls, capital contributions, and distributions to rebalance such investments.

Normal plan cash flows should be used to the extent possible to rebalance. Interest, dividends, and plan contributions should be used to the extent possible to fund asset classes that are below their target. Withdrawals should be made from asset classes that are above their target.

Adopted: October 7, 2003

Amended: February 11, 2008

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY.

DEPARTMENT Legal

BOARD/COMMISSION Arkansas Teacher Retirement System

PERSON COMPLETING THIS STATEMENT Jennifer Liwo

TELEPHONE NO. (501) 682-1517 **EMAIL** jenniferl@artrs.gov

To comply with Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e), please complete the Financial Impact Statement and email it with the questionnaire, summary, markup and clean copy of the rule, and other documents. Please attach additional pages, if necessary.

TITLE OF THIS RULE Rule 5-3: Asset Allocation

1. Does this proposed, amended, or repealed rule have a financial impact?

Yes No

2. Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule?

Yes No

3. In consideration of the alternatives to this rule, was this rule determined by the agency to be the least costly rule considered? Yes No

If no, please explain:

(a) how the additional benefits of the more costly rule justify its additional cost;

(b) the reason for adoption of the more costly rule;

(c) whether the reason for adoption of the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or welfare, and if so, how; and

(d) whether the reason for adoption of the more costly rule is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority, and if so, how.

4. If the purpose of this rule is to implement a *federal* rule or regulation, please state the following:

(a) What is the cost to implement the federal rule or regulation? n/a

Current Fiscal Year

General Revenue _____
 Federal Funds _____
 Cash Funds _____
 Special Revenue _____
 Other (Identify) _____
 Total \$0.00 _____

Next Fiscal Year

General Revenue _____
 Federal Funds _____
 Cash Funds _____
 Special Revenue _____
 Other (Identify) _____
 Total \$0.00 _____

(b) What is the additional cost of the state rule?

Current Fiscal Year

General Revenue _____
 Federal Funds _____
 Cash Funds _____
 Special Revenue _____
 Other (Identify) _____
 Total \$0.00 _____

Next Fiscal Year

General Revenue _____
 Federal Funds _____
 Cash Funds _____
 Special Revenue _____
 Other (Identify) _____
 Total \$0.00 _____

5. What is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to any private individual, private entity, or private business subject to the proposed, amended, or repealed rule? Please identify those subject to the rule, and explain how they are affected.

Current Fiscal Year

\$0.00 _____
 n/a

Next Fiscal Year

\$0.00 _____

6. What is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to a state, county, or municipal government to implement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant? Please explain how the government is affected.

Current Fiscal Year

\$0.00 _____
 n/a

Next Fiscal Year

\$0.00 _____

7. With respect to the agency's answers to Questions #5 and #6 above, is there a new or increased cost or obligation of at least one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) per year to a private individual, private entity, private business, state government, county government, municipal government, or to two (2) or more of those entities combined?

Yes No

If yes, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:

- (1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;
- (2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;
- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
 - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
 - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;
- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.