

Proposed Rule Repeal of Obsolete Rule 1 Regarding Repayment of a Refund Executive Summary

DATE: April 22, 2024

PURPOSE: The Arkansas State Police Retirement System (ASPRS) seeks to repeal obsolete ASPRS BOARD RULE 1 – Repayment of a Refund, which only applied when a member took a refund of their contributions and later decided to repay ASPRS for that refund to reestablish service in the system.

BACKGROUND: ASPRS' members prior to January 1, 1978, were contributory members. The contributory requirement ended more than forty-six years ago. A review of ASPRS members found no refunded contributions that could be repaid to ASPRS to reestablish service; therefore, the rule is obsolete.

KEY POINTS: The proposed repeal:

- (1) removes an obsolete rule;
- (2) prevents member confusion with a rule that no longer can be administered; and
- (3) is necessary for the proper administration of benefits.

DISCUSSION: There are currently fourteen (14) inactive ASPRS members that contributed to the ASPRS plan. None of those members who took a refund of their ASPRS service could reestablish service with ASPRS by paying back the refund and receive a monthly benefit. This rule is obsolete because it no longer applies to any ASPRS member and is not necessary for the proper administration of benefits.

RECOMMENDATION: ASPRS recommends that the proposed ASPRS BOARD RULE 1 – Repayment of a Refund, be repealed.



ARKANSAS STATE POLICE RETIREMENT SYSTEM

RULE 1 - November 1957 and June 1973 - REPAYMENT OF A REFUND When repaying a refund, the member shall pay 6% interest from the date of the refund to the date of the repayment. The interest shall be compounded annually.

<u>QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES WITH</u> <u>THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL</u>

DEPARTMENT		
BOARD/COMMISSION		
BOARD/COMMISSION D	IRECTOR	
CONTACT PERSON		
ADDRESS		
PHONE NO.	EMAIL	
NAME OF PRESENTER(S) AT SUBCOMMITTEE MI	EETING

PRESENTER EMAIL(S)

INSTRUCTIONS

In order to file a proposed rule for legislative review and approval, please submit this Legislative Questionnaire and Financial Impact Statement, and attach (1) a summary of the rule, describing what the rule does, the rule changes being proposed, and the reason for those changes; (2) both a markup and clean copy of the rule; and (3) all documents required by the Questionnaire.

If the rule is being filed for permanent promulgation, please email these items to the attention of Rebecca Miller-Rice, <u>miller-ricer@blr.arkansas.gov</u>, for submission to the Administrative Rules Subcommittee.

If the rule is being filed for emergency promulgation, please email these items to the attention of Director Marty Garrity, <u>garritym@blr.arkansas.gov</u>, for submission to the Executive Subcommittee.

Please answer each question completely using layman terms.

- 1. What is the official title of this rule?
- 2. What is the subject of the proposed rule?
- 3. Is this rule being filed under the emergency provisions of the Arkansas Administrative Procedure Act? Yes No

If yes, please attach the statement required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(c)(1).

If yes, will this emergency rule be promulgated under the permanent provisions of the Arkansas Administrative Procedure Act? Yes No

- 5. Is this rule required to comply with a *federal* statute, rule, or regulation? Yes No If yes, please provide the federal statute, rule, and/or regulation citation.

6. Is this rule required to comply with a *state* statute or rule? Yes No

If yes, please provide the state statute and/or rule citation.

7. Are two (2) rules being repealed in accord with Executive Order 23-02? Yes No

If yes, please list the rules being repealed. If no, please explain.

8. Is this a new rule? Yes No

Does this repeal an existing rule? Yes No If yes, the proposed repeal should be designated by strikethrough. If it is being replaced with a new rule, please attach both the proposed rule to be repealed and the replacement rule.

Is this an amendment to an existing rule? Yes No If yes, all changes should be indicated by strikethrough and underline. In addition, please be sure to label the markup copy clearly as the markup. 9. What is the state law that grants the agency its rulemaking authority for the proposed rule, outside of the Arkansas Administrative Procedure Act? Please provide the specific Arkansas Code citation(s), including subsection(s).

10. Is the proposed rule the result of any recent legislation by the Arkansas General Assembly? Yes No

If yes, please provide the year of the act(s) and act number(s).

11. What is the reason for this proposed rule? Why is it necessary?

- 12. Please provide the web address by which the proposed rule can be accessed by the public as provided in Ark. Code Ann. § 25-19-108(b)(1).
- Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule? Yes No
 If yes, please complete the following:
 Date:
 Time:
 Place:

Please be sure to advise Bureau Staff if this information changes for any reason.

- 14. On what date does the public comment period expire for the permanent promulgation of the rule? Please provide the specific date.
- 15. What is the proposed effective date for this rule?
- 16. Please attach (1) a copy of the notice required under Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(a)(1) and (2) proof of the publication of that notice.
- 17. Please attach proof of filing the rule with the Secretary of State, as required by Ark. Code Ann. \$ 25-15-204(e)(1)(A).
- 18. Please give the names of persons, groups, or organizations that you anticipate will comment on these rules. Please also provide their position (for or against), if known.
- 19. Is the rule expected to be controversial? Yes NoIf yes, please explain.

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY.

DEPARTMENT		
BOARD/COMMISSIO	DN	
PERSON COMPLETI	ING THIS STATEMENT	
TELEPHONE NO.	EMAIL	

To comply with Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e), please complete the Financial Impact Statement and email it with the questionnaire, summary, markup and clean copy of the rule, and other documents. Please attach additional pages, if necessary.

TITLE OF THIS RULE

- 1. Does this proposed, amended, or repealed rule have a financial impact? Yes No
- Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule?
 Yes
 No
- 3. In consideration of the alternatives to this rule, was this rule determined by the agency to be the least costly rule considered? Yes No

If no, please explain:

- (a) how the additional benefits of the more costly rule justify its additional cost;
- (b) the reason for adoption of the more costly rule;
- (c) whether the reason for adoption of the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or welfare, and if so, how; and
- (d) whether the reason for adoption of the more costly rule is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority, and if so, how.
- 4. If the purpose of this rule is to implement a *federal* rule or regulation, please state the following:
 - (a) What is the cost to implement the federal rule or regulation?

General Revenue	General Revenue
Federal Funds	Federal Funds
Cash Funds	Cash Funds
Special Revenue	Special Revenue
Other (Identify)	Other (Identify)
T-+-1	Total
Total	te rule? <u>Next Fiscal Year</u>
(b) What is the additional cost of the sta	te rule?
(b) What is the additional cost of the sta Current Fiscal Year General Revenue	te rule? <u>Next Fiscal Year</u> General Revenue
(b) What is the additional cost of the sta Current Fiscal Year General Revenue Federal Funds	te rule? <u>Next Fiscal Year</u> General Revenue Federal Funds
(b) What is the additional cost of the sta Current Fiscal Year General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds	te rule? <u>Next Fiscal Year</u> General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds
(b) What is the additional cost of the sta Current Fiscal Year General Revenue Federal Funds	te rule? <u>Next Fiscal Year</u> General Revenue Federal Funds

<u>Current Fiscal Year</u>

5.

Next	Fiscal	Year	
\$			

6. What is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to a state, county, or municipal government to implement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant? Please explain how the government is affected.

Current	Fiscal	Year	
\$			

Next	Fiscal	Year	
\$			

7. With respect to the agency's answers to Questions #5 and #6 above, is there a new or increased cost or obligation of at least one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) per year to a private individual, private entity, private business, state government, county government, municipal government, or to two (2) or more of those entities combined?

Yes No

If yes, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:

(1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;

(2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;

(3) a description of the factual evidence that:

(a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and

(b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;

(4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;

(5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;

(6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and

(7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:

(a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;

(b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and

(c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.