

Proposed Rule Amendment to 24 CAR § 10-710 – Teacher Deferred Retirement Option Plan – Distribution Election

1. 24 CAR § 10-710(1).

- a. **BLR Comment:** 24 CAR § 10-710(1): The language in this section appears to mirror Arkansas Code § 24-7-1308(b)(3)(A). However, subdivision (1) of the rule provides, "A member may cancel his or her original T-DROP distribution election and elect another distribution option if the member: (1) Did not elect to receive all or a part of his or her T-DROP account balance rolled over into a qualified retirement plan;" Arkansas Code § 24-7-1308(b)(3)(A) provides, "A member may cancel his or her original election and elect another distribution option under subdivision (b)(1) of this section if the member: (A) Did not elect to receive all or a part of the balance in the plan account as a lump sum payable through an Internal Revenue Code eligible rollover transaction;" Is there a reason this language differs?
- b. **Response:** The language of the proposed rule is simplified, but aligns and complies with A.C.A. § 24-7-1308(b)(3)(A). Receiving "all or a part of the balance in the plan account as a lump sum payable through an Internal Revenue Code eligible rollover transaction" means the same as receiving "all or a part of" a "T-DROP account balance rolled over into a qualified retirement plan".

1



August 8, 2025

Executive Summary Proposed Rule Amendment

24 CAR § 10-710 — Teacher Deferred Retirement Option Plan — Distribution Election

I. Purpose

The Arkansas Teacher Retirement System ("ATRS" or "System") requests the review and approval of proposed amendments to 24 CAR § 10-710 — Teacher Deferred Retirement Option Plan — Distribution Election.

On June 2, 2025, the Board of Trustees of the Arkansas Teacher Retirement System ("Board") voted to proceed with the promulgation process for 24 CAR § 10-710.

II. Authority

A.C.A. § 24-7-301 provides that the Board is responsible for the general administration and proper operation of the System and for implementing the Arkansas Teacher Retirement System Act, A.C.A. § 24-7-201 et seq.

A.C.A. § 24-7-305(b) gives the Board the authority to promulgate rules as it deems necessary from time to time in the transaction of its business and in administering the System.

Acts 2025, No. 226, which amends the law to clarify when a T-DROP participant may cancel their original T-DROP distribution election.

III. Amendments

24 CAR § 10-710 has been amended to clarify when a T-DROP participant may cancel their original T-DROP distribution election.

IV. Recommendation

The System recommends that the proposed amendments to 24 CAR § 10-710 be approved as proposed.

Mark-Up Color Code

- Blue Non-substantive changes by ATRS staff.
- Green Substantive changes by ATRS staff.
- Red Required legislative changes.
- Brown Non-substantive changes where the rule was transferred from one rule to another.

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY.

DEPARTMENT Legal				
BOARD/COMMISSION Arkansas Teacher Retirement System				
PERS	ON COMPLETING THIS STATEMENT Jennifer Liwo			
TELEPHONE NO. (501) 682-1517 EMAIL jenniferl@artrs.gov				
To comply with Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e), please complete the Financial Impact Statement and email it with the questionnaire, summary, markup and clean copy of the rule, and other documents. Please attach additional pages, if necessary.				
TITLI	E OF THIS RULE 24 CAR § 10-710 - Teacher Deferred Retirement Option Plan - Distribution Election			
1.	Does this proposed, amended, or repealed rule have a financial impact? Yes No			
2.	Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule? Yes No			
3.	In consideration of the alternatives to this rule, was this rule determined by the agency to be the least costly rule considered? Yes No			
	If no, please explain:			
	(a) how the additional benefits of the more costly rule justify its additional cost;			
	(b) the reason for adoption of the more costly rule;			
	(c) whether the reason for adoption of the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or welfare, and if so, how; and			
	(d) wh ther the reason for adoption of the more costly rule is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority, and if so, how.			
4.	If the purpose of this rule is to implement a <i>federal</i> rule or regulation, please state the following:			
	(a) What is the cost to implement the federal rule or regulation?			

Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year
General Revenue	General Revenue
Federal Funds	Federal Funds
Cash Funds	Cash Funds
Special Revenue	Special Revenue
Other (Identify)	Other (Identify)
Total \$0.00	Total \$0.00
(b) What is the additional cost of the st	tate rule?
Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year
General Revenue	General Revenue
Federal Funds	Federal Funds
Cash Funds	Cash Funds
Special Revenue	Special Revenue
Other (Identify)	Other (Identify)
Total \$0.00	Total \$0.00
	al year to any private individual, private entity, or private aded, or repealed rule? Please identify those subject to the l. Next Fiscal Year \$ 0.00
N/A	
implement this rule? Is this the cost of is affected. Current Fiscal Year \$ 0.00	al year to a state, county, or municipal government to f the program or grant? Please explain how the government Next Fiscal Year \$ 0.00
N/A	

5.

6.

7.	With respect to the agency's answers to Questions #5 and #6 above, is there a new or increased
	cost or obligation of at least one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) per year to a private
	individual, private entity, private business, state government, county government, municipal
	government, or to two (2) or more of those entities combined?

Yes No V

If yes, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:

- (1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;
- (2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;
- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
 - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
 - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs:
- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.