Exhibit F

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES AND REGULATIONS WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL AND JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE

DEPARTMEN	NT/AGENCY	Arkansas Depa	artment of Enviro	nmental Quali	ty ("ADEQ")		
DIVISION		Air Division					
DIVISION DIRECTOR		Mike Bates					
CONTACT PERSON		Mike Bates					
ADDRESS		5301 Northshore Drive, North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317					
PHONE NO.	501 - 682- 0750	FAX NO.	501-682-0753	E-MAIL	bates@adeq.sta	te.ar.us	
NAME OF PR	RESENTER A	T COMMITTI	EE MEETING	Mike Bate	s or Karen Bas	sett	
PRESENTER	E-MAIL		ate.ar.us or basset	t@adeq.state.	ar.us		
Title of thi D. Submit two front of tw	s Rule" below o (2) copies of o (2) copies of Donna K. Da Administrati Arkansas Le Bureau of Le Room 315, S Little Rock,	this questionn: the proposed to vis ve Rules Revieu gislative Counc gislative Resea tate Capitol AR 72201	il	l impact state l documents.	ement attache Mail or deliv	d to the er to:	
1.What is the s			egulation No. 26		******		
2.What is the s	ubject of the p	ropose d rule?	Arkansas O	perating Air P	ermit Program		
If yes, plea "National Amb 61233 (Octobe Correcting and	se provide the pient Air Quali r 17, 2006); "I Simplifying A	federal rule, reg ty Standards for nterpretation of amendment," 73	eral statute, rule, or gulation, and/or state Particulate Matte the National Amb Federal Register	ntute citation. r, Final Rule, pient Air Qual 1497-1503 (J	" 71 Federal Re ity Standards fo anuary 9, 2008	or PM2.5—).	
which requires	that each state		atisfy requirements plementation, main thin the State.				
Procedure.	Act?	the emergency predate of the em	provisions of the Anergency rule?	Administrative	Yes 🗌	No ⊠ —	

1 of 3

	When does the emergency rule expire?	Not applicable		_		
	Will this emergency rule be promulgated under the permanen the Administrative Procedure Act?	t provisions of	Yes 🗌	No 🛚		
5 . I	s this a new rule?		Yes 🗌	No 🖂		
	If yes, please provide a brief summary explaining the regulati	on.				
No	t applicable					
	Does this repeal an existing rule? If yes, a copy of the repealed rule is to be included with your replaced with a new rule, please provide a summary of the rule does.	completed questle giving an exp	Yes stionnaire. If blanation of v	No 🔀 it is being what the		
No	t applicable					
	Is this an amendment to an existing rule? If yes, please attach a mark-up showing the changes in the exsubstantive changes. Note: The summary should explain wark-up copy should be clearly labeled "mark-up."	isting rule and a	Yes X a summary o dment does,	No f the and the		
6.	Cite the state law that grants the authority for this proposed rule of the codified, please give Arkansas Code citation.	ıle?				
Ar	Ark. Code Ann. §§8-4-201, 8-4-202, 8-4-304, and 8-4-311.					
The level type Est No am	What is the purpose of this proposed rule? Why is it necessare proposed rule updates Regulation No. 26 in order to established for permitting actions involving small emission changes releographical errors. Tablishing a minor permit modification threshold for fine particle. 26 will lower the potential cost impacts for those facilities in counts and will allow changes to a facility to be implemented in gulation No. 26 were made as updates, for clarification, and to	n a minor perm ative to PM2.5 culate matter (P creasing PM2.5 nore quickly.	and corrects M2.5) in Resembles emissions b	gulation y small		
	Please provide the address where this rule is publicly accessil required by Arkansas Code § 25-19-108(b).	ole in electronic	e form via the	e Internet as		
htt	p://www.adeq.state.ar.us/regs/drafts/draft_regs.htm					
9.\	Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule?		Yes 🔀	No 🗌		
	If yes, please complete the following: November 13, Date: 2012					
	Time: 2:00 p.m.					
Place: ADEQ Commission Room, 5301 Northshore Drive, North Little Rock, AR, 72118						
10	. When does the public comment period expire for permanent promulgation? (Must provide a date.)	4:30 p.m., Nov 2012	ember 29,	_		
11	. What is the proposed effective date of this proposed rule? (Must provide a date.)	June, 2013		_		

12. Do you expect this rule to be controversial?	Yes 🗌	No 🖂
If yes, please explain.		
13. Please give the names of persons, groups, or organizations the rules? Please provide their position (for or against) if known	l .	
In general, it is thought that the facilities that will be receiving p	ermits for particulate matter	r emissions
will not object to this rulemaking. However, the overall consens	us towards this proposed ru	le is
unknown at this time		

3 of 3 EXHIBIT B

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

DEPARTMENT	Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality					
DIVISION	Air Division					
PERSON COMPLET	TING THIS STA	ATEMENT Elizabeth S	Sartain	<u> </u>		
TELEPHONE NO.	<u>(501)</u> 682-0719	FAX NO. (501) 682-07	53 EMAIL: sarta	in@adeq.state.ar.us		
To comply with Act 1 copies with the questi		ease complete the following osed rules.	ng Financial Impac	t Statement and file two		
SHORT TITLE OF THIS RULE Regulation No. 26						
1. Does this propose	ed, amended, or re	epealed rule have a finan	cial impact?	Yes 🗌 No 🖂		
2. Does this proposed, amended, or repealed rule affect small businesses? Yes No X If yes, please attach a copy of the economic impact statement required to be filed with the Arkansas Economic Development Commission under Arkansas Code § 25-15-301 et seq.						
If you believe that prohibited, please Not applicable		nt of a financial impact st	atement is so specu	lative as to be cost		
4. If the purpose of this rule is to implement a federal rule or regulation, please give the incremental cost for implementing the rule. Please indicate if the cost provided is the cost of the program.						
Current Fiscal Year Next Fiscal Year						
Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)	Not applicable Not applicable Not applicable Not applicable Not applicable Not applicable		General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify) Total	Not applicable Not applicable Not applicable Unknown Not applicable Unknown		
5. What is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to any party subject to the proposed, amended, or repealed rule? Identify the party subject to the proposed rule and explain how they are affected. Current Fiscal Year Not Sapplicable Parties subject to this proposed rule will be those facilities with fine particulate matter (PM2.5) emissions that will be permitted. Establishing a minor permit modification threshold for PM2.5 will lower the potential cost impact for those facilities increasing PM2.5 emission by small amounts and allow changes to a facility to be implemented more quickly.						
6. What is the total the program or gram or gram Not \$ applicable ADEQ's estimated contents.	estimated cost by rant? Please expl	r fiscal year to the agency ain. this rule is expected to b	Next Fiscal Year Unknown/ minimal minimal. The pro	_ posed changes to		
Regulation No. 26 are not anticipated to contribute to additional costs to ADEQ beyond the standard costs						