EXHIBIT N

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES AND REGULATIONS WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL AND JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE

| DEPARTMENT/AGENCY | | Department of Human Services | | | |
|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| DIVISION DIRECTOR | | Division of Medical Services | | | |
| | | Dawn Stehle | | | |
| CONTACT PERSON ADDRESS | | Camille Johnson PO Box 1437, Slot S295, Little Rock, AR 72203 | | | |
| PF | HONE NO. 501-320-6 | 466 FAX NO. 501-404-4619 E-MAIL camille.johnson@dhs. arkansas.gov | | | |
| NA | AME OF PRESENTER A | T COMMITTEE MEETING Tami Harlan | | | |
| PF | RESENTER E-MAIL <u>t</u> | ami.harlan@dhs.arkansas.gov | | | |
| | | INSTRUCTIONS | | | |
| C. | A. Please make copies of this form for future use. 3. Please answer each question completely using layman terms. You may use additional sheets, if necessary. C. If you have a method of indexing your rules, please give the proposed citation after "Short Title of this Rule" below. D. Submit two (2) copies of this questionnaire and financial impact statement attached to the front of two (2) copies of the proposed rule and required documents. Mail or deliver to: Donna K. Davis Administrative Rules Review Section Arkansas Legislative Council Bureau of Legislative Research One Capitol Mall, 5 th Floor Little Rock, AR 72201 | | | | |
| *** 1. | ************************************** | | | | |
| 2. | What is the subject of the p | Renewal of the Living Choices Assisted Living waiver with the addition of HCBS Settings rules. | | | |
| 3. | Is this rule required to com | ply with a federal statute, rule, or regulation? Yes No 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)- | | | |
| | If yes, please provide the fe | deral rule, regulation, and/or statute citation. (5) | | | |
| | Procedure Act? | e emergency provisions of the Administrative Yes No No | | | |
| | ir yes, what is the effective | date of the emergency rule? | | | |
| | When does the emergency | rule expire? | | | |

| Will this emergency rule be promulgated under the permanent provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act? Yes No No | |
|--|----|
| 5. Is this a new rule? Yes No No If yes, please provide a brief summary explaining the regulation. | |
| Does this repeal an existing rule? Yes \(\subseteq \text{No } \omega \) If yes, a copy of the repealed rule is to be included with your completed questionnaire. If it is being replaced with a new rule, please provide a summary of the rule giving an explanation of what the rul does | e |
| Is this an amendment to an existing rule? Yes No If yes, please attach a mark-up showing the changes in the existing rule and a summary of the substantive changes. Note: The summary should explain what the amendment does, and the mark-up copy should be clearly labeled "mark-up." | |
| 6. Cite the state law that grants the authority for this proposed rule? If codified, please give the Arkansa Code citation. <u>Arkansas Statute 20-76-201</u> | ıs |
| 7. What is the purpose of this proposed rule? Why is it necessary? The purpose of the proposed rule is renew the existing Living Choices Medicaid waiver so that residents of licensed level II assisted living facilities may continue to receive Medicaid services in the facility. The point in time cap, which is the number of participants eligible at any one time, will be increased from 1,000 to 1,200 to better serve waiver participants. The unduplicated cap, which is the total number of participants during the waiver year, will remain the same at 1,300. The Living Choices Provider Manual is amended to add new regulations regarding Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS) Settings. | to |
| 8. Please provide the address where this rule is publicly accessible in electronic form via the Internet as required by Arkansas Code § 25-19-108(b). https://www.medicaid.state.ar.us/InternetSolution/general/comment/comment.aspx | |
| 9. Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule? Yes No No If yes, please complete the following: Date: Time: Place: | |
| 10. When does the public comment period expire for permanent promulgation? (Must provide a date.) November 21, 2015 | |
| 11. What is the proposed effective date of this proposed rule? (Must provide a date.) February 1, 2016 | |

| 12. Do you expect this rule to be controversial? If yes, please explain. | Yes 🗌 | No 🖂 |
|---|---------------------------|--|
| 13. Please give the names of persons, groups, or or Please provide their position (for or against) if I | ganizations tha known. | at you expect to comment on these rules? |
| | | |

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

| DIVISION | | | Department of Human Ser | rvices | | |
|----------|--|---|---|---|----------------|-----------------|
| | | | Division of Medical Services | | | |
| PF | ERSO | N COMPLE | ETING THIS STATEMEN | NT Craig Cloud | | |
| TI | ELEP | HONE NO. | 501-320-6439 FAX NO. | 501-404-4619 EMAIL: <u>Cra</u> i | g.Cloud@dh | ıs.arkansas.gov |
| T | o com | ply with Ark | |), please complete the follow | | |
| SI | HORT | TITLE OF | THIS RULE Living Che | oices Assisted Living Waive | r Renewal | |
| 1. | 1. Does this proposed, amended, or repealed rule | | | ale have a financial impact? | Yes 🖂 | No 🗌 |
| 2. | ecor | iomic, or other | on the best reasonably obtainer evidence and information tences of, and alternatives to | available concerning the | Yes 🖂 | No 🗌 |
| 3. | In co | onsideration on the agency to l | of the alternatives to this rul be the least costly rule cons | le, was this rule determined idered? | Yes 🖂 | No 🗌 |
| | If an | agency is pr | oposing a more costly rule, | please state the following: | | |
| | (a) | How the add | ditional benefits of the more | e costly rule justify its addition | onal cost; | |
| | (b) | The reason f | for adoption of the more cos | stly rule; | | - |
| | (c) | Whether the if so, please | more costly rule is based or explain. | n the interests of public healt | h, safety, or | welfare, and |
| | (d) | Whether the explain. | reason is within the scope of | of the agency's statutory auth | ority; and if | so, please |
| 4. | If the | purpose of the | is rule is to implement a feder | ral rule or regulation, please sta | ate the follow | ring: |
| | (a) | What is the c | cost to implement the federa | al rule or regulation? | | |
| | Curi | rent Fiscal Y | <u>'ear</u> | Next Fiscal Year | | |
| | Fede Cash Spec | eral Revenue ral Funds Funds ial Revenue r (Identify) | | _ Federal Funds Cash Funds | | |

| Total | | Total | |
|---|--|---|-------------------------------|
| (b) What is the ac | lditional cost of the state | rule? | |
| Current Fiscal Y | <u>'ear</u> | Next Fiscal Year | |
| General Revenue | \$2,533 | General Revenue | \$184,831 |
| Federal Funds | \$5,972 | Federal Funds | \$426,586 |
| Cash Funds | | Cash Fullus | |
| Special Revenue Other (Identify) | - | | |
| omer (raeming) | | Other (Identify) | |
| Total | \$8,505 | Total | \$611,417 |
| urrent Fiscal Year | | Next Fiscal Year \$ | |
| Current Fiscal Year | | t? Please explain how the gover | |
| 2,533 | _ | \$ 184,831 | |
| his will be a cost of s | tate general revenue to th | e Medicaid program. | |
| or obligation of at I private entity, private | east one hundred thousan | estions #5 and #6 above, is there and dollars (\$100,000) per year to ment, county government, munic | a private individual |
| ICVEC 4 | | | |
| time of filing the fir | nancial impact statement. | Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file w The written findings shall be fil include, without limitation, the | ed simultaneously |
| (1) a statement of th | ne rule's basis and purpos | se; | |
| The purpose of i is not increased, | ncreasing the point in tin it is projected that a wait | ne cap is to delay the need for a viting list will be needed by June 2 | waiting list. If the cap 016. |
| (2) the problem the a rule is required | agency seeks to address v I by statute; | with the proposed rule, including | a statement of whether |

The problem is the current point in time cap is too low to meet projected growth. Increasing the point in time cap is not required by statute. CMS does not require a point in time cap. The point in time cap is used as a management tool for the agency. DAAS seeks to delay the point in time cap without changing the unduplicated cap of 1,300. Due to the critical need for individuals in need of assisted living, individuals waiting on a slot for assisted living will most likely choose more costly nursing home care.

- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
 - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and

Individuals in need of assisted living services face a critical need for the service. It is often determined that the individual can no longer remain safely in their own home. The need for round-the-clock care is evident. If an individual in need of these services is faced with a lengthy wait for admittance in an assisted living facility because a waiver slot is not available, they will be forced to seek more costly care in a nursing facility. Medicaid mandates nursing facility care, so there are no caps on the number of individuals who can receive Medicaid in a nursing facility.

(b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;

There are no statutory requirements for this change. The rules cost may decrease the need for nursing facility care.

(4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;

The state could keep the point in time cap at 1,000. This will create a waiting list beginning June 2016. By delaying implementation of the waiting list, it will delay the need for more costly nursing home care.

(5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;

N/A

(6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and

N/A

(7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:

The waiver is renewed every 5 years and the cap will be reevaluated at that time.

- (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;(b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and(c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.

Living Choices Assisted Living Wavier Renewal & Living Choices Assisted Living Update #2-15 Summary

The Living Choices Assisted Living (LCAL) 1915(c) Medicaid Waiver is being renewed for a five year period. The unduplicated annual cap will remain the same at 1,300. The point in time cap will increase from 1,000 to 1,200. There are no other changes being made to the waiver. The LCAL Provider Manual is being amended to add new federal regulations found at 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)-(5) regarding Home and Community-Based Settings.