EXHIBIT H

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES AND REGULATIONS WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL AND JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE

DEPARTMENT/AGENCY		Department of Human Services						
DIVISION		Division of Medical Services						
DIVISION DIRECTOR		Dawn Stehle						
CONTACT PERSON ADDRESS		Thomas Herndon PO Box 1437, Slot S295, Little Rock, AR 72203						
	IONE NO. 501-396-60							
		COMMITTEE MEETING Tami Harlan ni.harlan@dhs.arkansas.gov						
	tal	iii.narian@uns.arkansas.gov						
		INSTRUCTIONS						
C.	 A. Please make copies of this form for future use. B. Please answer each question completely using layman terms. You may use additional sheets, if necessary. C. If you have a method of indexing your rules, please give the proposed citation after "Short Title of this Rule" below. D. Submit two (2) copies of this questionnaire and financial impact statement attached to the front of two (2) copies of the proposed rule and required documents. Mail or deliver to: Donna K. Davis Administrative Rules Review Section Arkansas Legislative Council Bureau of Legislative Research One Capitol Mall, 5th Floor 							
:	Little Rock, AF	(/2201 (**********************************						
		rule? State Plan Amendment #2016-003						
	what is the short title of this	State Flan Filmendment #2010-003						
2.	What is the subject of the pr	oposed rule? Pharmacy Pricing Methodology						
3.	Is this rule required to comp	ly with a federal statute, rule, or regulation? Yes No CMS-2345-FC; 81 FR						
	If yes, please provide the fee	eral rule, regulation, and/or statute citation. 5170						
4.	Was this rule filed under the Procedure Act?	emergency provisions of the Administrative Yes No No						
	If yes, what is the effective	date of the emergency rule?						
	When does the emergency r	ule expire?						

	Will this emergency rule be promulgated under the permanent provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act? Yes No
5.	Is this a new rule? Yes No No If yes, please provide a brief summary explaining the regulation.
	Does this repeal an existing rule? Yes No No No If yes, a copy of the repealed rule is to be included with your completed questionnaire. If it is being replaced with a new rule, please provide a summary of the rule giving an explanation of what the rule does.
	Is this an amendment to an existing rule? Yes No In If yes, please attach a mark-up showing the changes in the existing rule and a summary of the substantive changes. Note: The summary should explain what the amendment does, and the mark-up copy should be clearly labeled "mark-up."
6.	Cite the state law that grants the authority for this proposed rule? If codified, please give the Arkansas Code citation. <u>Arkansas Statute 20-76-201</u>
cov wh (A.	What is the purpose of this proposed rule? Why is it necessary? CMS published the Covered stpatient Drug final rule (CMS-2345-FC)(81 FR 5170) on 02/01/2016 pertaining to reimbursement for vered outpatient drugs in the Medicaid program. It outlines key changes that states need to address en determining their reimbursement methodology for ingredient costs based on actual acquisition cost AC) plus a professional dispensing fee among other things. CMS has required states to revise their state in and submit a SPA to comply with these provisions.
8.	Please provide the address where this rule is publicly accessible in electronic form via the Internet as required by Arkansas Code § 25-19-108(b). https://www.medicaid.state.ar.us/InternetSolution/general/comment/comment.aspx
9.	Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule? Yes No No No If yes, please complete the following: Date: Time: Place:
	When does the public comment period expire for permanent promulgation? (Must provide a date.)
D	ecember 30, 2016
	What is the proposed effective date of this proposed rule? (Must provide a date.) pril 1, 2017
12.	Do you expect this rule to be controversial? Yes \(\subseteq \text{No } \subseteq \) If yes, please explain

. . . .

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

DEPARTMENT DIVISION		TMENT	Department of	Human Servic	es				
		ON	Division of Medical Services						
PE	RSO	N COMPLE	TING THIS S	TATEMENT	Brian Jones				
TE	LEPI	HONE NO.	501-537-2064	FAX NO. <u>50</u>	1-682-3889 EMAI	IL: bria	n.jones@dhs	arkansas.gov	
To Sta	o comj ateme	ply with Ark. nt and file tw	Code Ann. § 2 to copies with t	5-15-204(e), pl he questionnair	ease complete the te and proposed rule	followir es.	ng Financial	Impact	
SF	HORT	TITLE OF	THIS RULE	State Plan Ar Methodology	mendment #2016-0	03 – Ph	armacy Prici	ing	
1.	Doe	s this propos	ed, amended, or	repealed rule l	nave a financial imp	pact?	Yes 🔀	No 🗌	
2.	econ	Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule? Yes No							
3.	1 1 1 and 1						No 🗌		
	If an	agency is pr	oposing a more	costly rule, ple	ease state the follow	ving:			
	(a)	How the ad	ditional benefit	s of the more co	ostly rule justify its	additio	nal cost;		
 (b) The reason for adoption of the more costly rule; (c) Whether the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or well if so, please explain; and; (d) Whether the reason is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority; and if so, explain. 						h, safety, or	welfare, and		
						so, please			
4.	If the	f the purpose of this rule is to implement a federal rule or regulation, please state the following:							
	(a)	What is the	cost to implem	ent the federal	rule or regulation?				
	Cui	rrent Fiscal	Year		Next Fisca	l Year			
	Fed Cas Spe	neral Revenu eral Funds In Funds ecial Revenue er (Identify)	\$ (3,618,100		General Rev Federal Fun Cash Funds Special Rev Other (Iden	ds enue	\$ (6,119,30 \$ (14,680,6		

	Total	\$ (5,185,753)	Total	\$ (20,800,000)		
	(b) What is the a	dditional cost of the state rule?				
	Current Fiscal	Year	Next Fiscal Year			
	General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)		Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue			
	Total		Total			
5.	What is the total e proposed, amende they are affected.	stimated cost by fiscal year to any d, or repealed rule? Identify the e	private individual, entity entity(ies) subject to the pro	and business subject to the oposed rule and explain how		
<u>C</u>	urrent Fiscal Year	<u>:</u>	Next Fiscal Y	Year		
\$			<u>Next Fiscal Y</u> \$			
2000	rule? Is this the current Fiscal Year (3,118,079)	estimated cost by fiscal year to state of the program or grant? Pleases	Next Fiscal Y \$ (6,306,56)	ment is affected. Year		
di	here is an estimated spensing fee of 21.3 ethodology.	annual 42.6 million dollar ingred 8 million for a net savings of 20.8	million in State and Feder	ted annual increase in the ral dollars due to change in		
7.	or obligation of a private entity, pri	ne agency's answers to Questions t least one hundred thousand dolla vate business, state government, of those entities combined?	#5 and #6 above, is there a ars (\$100,000) per year to a	a private individual,		
			Yes 🗌 No 🖂			
	If YES, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:					
	(1) a statement of	the rule's basis and purpose;				
		ne agency seeks to address with the red by statute;	ne proposed rule, including	a statement of whether		

- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
 - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
 - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;
- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.

Summary for State Plan Amendment #2016-003 Pharmacy Pricing Methodology

CMS published the Covered Outpatient Drug final rule (CMS-2345-FC)(81 FR 5170) on 02/01/2016 pertaining to reimbursement for covered outpatient drugs in the Medicaid program. It outlines key changes that states need to address when determining their reimbursement methodology for ingredient costs based on actual acquisition cost (AAC) plus a professional dispensing fee among other things. CMS has required states to revise their state plan and submit a SPA to comply with these provisions.