EXHIBIT F

<u>DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES, DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES</u> SERVICES

SUBJECT: Human Development Center (HDC) Admission and Discharge Rules Policy 1086

<u>DESCRIPTION</u>: DDS operates five (5) Human Development Centers (HDC) for individuals with intellectual/developmental disabilities. As the operational agency, and by delegation from the DDS Board, DDS proposes the following changes to the HDC Admissions and Discharge Policy (Policy 1086):

- 1. Require that individuals entering an HDC or being discharged into Home and Community Based Settings undergo an Independent Assessment for functional needs in accordance with the Independent Assessment Manual.
- 2. Update the Categorically Qualifying Diagnosis to include Spina Bifida and Down Syndrome so that it reflects the definition established by Ark. Code Ann. § 20-48-101.
- 3. Incorporate the level of care criteria used by the psychological evaluation team to assess whether someone meets the institutional level of care. This is the criterion that is currently being used to assess level of care need for an HDC.

PUBLIC COMMENT: A public hearing was held on August 8, 2017. The public comment period expired on August 11, 2017. The Department provided the following summary of the public comments it received:

Comment: Section II(a)(3) of the policy discussed the use of an Annual Status review for HDC residents which would, in part, be used to determine continued eligibility for HDC services. There is no mention of what role, if any, the new Independent Assessment will fill as part of the residents' annual review, or if residents will be re-assessed periodically. The Independent Assessment will be used to screen all prospective incoming residents for eligibility, but will not be applied retroactively to the individuals currently residing in the centers. It is unclear if current residents will be assessed moving forward as part of their annual review, or if they will be exempt from the Assessment in perpetuity.

Response: Only clients who are transitioning into or out of an HDC will be required to receive an independent assessment. Current HDC clients will be exempt from the IA requirement unless they choose to transition into the community. They will continue to meet annual long-term care eligibility requirements.

Comment: Under Section II(e)(3) of the policy, which deals with criteria for discharge from the centers, it states that "[e]ven without a request for discharge, an HDC Superintendent must discharge an individual upon determination by HDC professionals that that individual is no longer eligible for admission or retention." More clarity is needed with regard to how the use of the new Independent Assessment tool will affect HDC eligibility moving forward, and what the process will be for any residents of the centers who are determined to no longer qualify for Tier 3 services.

EXHIBIT F

Response: The IA will not be used on current HDC clients. The manuals clearly outline the process of how this tool will be used on incoming HDC clients.

Comment: There is also no mention of how the Independent Assessment will apply in the case of Emergency Referrals. In circumstances in which an individual is assessed at Tier 2 but requires an emergency admission, it is unclear whether that assessment will disqualify them from receiving those emergency services or whether they will be provided with respite care and not be formally admitted. Again, more clarity in the rules on this issue is needed.

Response: Respite care will be available upon need. There is a provision for assessment after emergency placement.

The agency states that CMS approval is not required for this rule. The proposed effective date is October 1, 2017.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: Please see the financial impact statement accompanying the Independent Assessment Manual which details the total cost of incorporating the Independent Assessments, including the costs associated with the HDCs utilizing the assessment.

LEGAL AUTHORIZATION: With respect to human development centers, the Board of Developmental Disabilities Services ("Board") "is charged with the care and training of mentally defective individuals." Ark. Code Ann. § 20-48-403(b). The Board "may make such regulations respecting the care, custody, training, and discipline of retarded individuals in the centers or receiving mental retardation services and respecting the management of the [human development] centers and their affairs as it may deem necessary or desirable to the proper performance of its powers and purposes." Ark. Code Ann. § 20-48-205(b). See also Ark. Code Ann. § 20-48-415(i) (providing that the Board "may make such rules and regulations respecting the care, custody, training, and discipline of individuals admitted to the [human development] centers and the management thereof and of its affairs as it may deem for the best interest of the centers and the State of Arkansas"). The Board is further permitted to delegate to the Deputy Director of the Division of Developmental Disabilities Services of the Department of Human Services any powers of the Board upon such terms and for such duration as the Board shall specify. See Ark. Code Ann. § 20-48-210(e).

EXHIBIT F

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES AND REGULATIONS WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

EPARTMENT/AGENCY Department of Human Services							
DIVISION Division of Developmental Disabilities Services							
DIVISION DIRECTOR Melissa Stone							
CONTACT PERSON	Elizabeth Pitman		Trolei			HIT REEL HARLES	
ADDRESS	P.O. Box 1437, Slo	ot N502					
PHONE NO. (501) 682-4 NAME OF PRESENTER AT MEETING	936 FAX NO. COMMITTEE	(501)682- 8380	E- MAIL ssa Stone	Elizab	oeth.pitman@	dhs.arkansas.go	
PRESENTER E-MAIL Me	elissa.stone@dhs.ark		304 310110				
Arkansas Legi	s form for future usion completely using dexing your rules, his questionnaire at a number of the and required documents. See Rules Review Sec slative Council islative Research [all, 5th Floor R 72201	g layman term please give the Ind financial in cuments. Mail tion	s. You may e proposed pact state or deliver	citation ment att to:	*********	t Title of this e front of two (2	
ule?	1086)	elopment Cente	r (HDC) Ad	imission	and Discharg	ge Rules (Policy	
. What is the subject of the pulle?		y and update th	e HDC Adr	nission a	and discharge	rules.	
. Is this rule required to compegulation? If yes, please provide the feditation.			Yes [No 🖂		
. Was this rule filed under the	emergency provision	ons of the Admi	nistrative P	rocedur	e Act?	e omno está do su Pari	
If yes, what is the effective oule?	late of the emergenc	су 	Yes [No 🔀	[14] Ludot2	
When does the emergency rexpire?	ule	od to worms	s of the r	u G		en A. Sonce Co	

	Yes No No							
5.	Is this a new rule? Yes No No If yes, please provide a brief summary explaining the regulation.							
	Does this repeal an existing rule? Yes \(\subseteq \) No \(\subseteq \) If yes, a copy of the repealed rule is to be included with your completed questionnaire. If it is being replaced with a new rule, please provide a summary of the rule giving an explanation of what the rule does							
rul	Is this an amendment to an existing le? Yes No No I If yes, please attach a mark-up showing the changes in the existing rule and a summary of the substantive changes. Note: The summary should explain what the amendment does, and the mark-up copy should be clearly labeled "mark-up."							
6.	Cite the state law that grants the authority for this proposed rule? If codified, please give the Arkansas Code citation. Ark. Code Ann. § 20-48-101							
Ce dis inc	7. What is the purpose of this proposed rule? Why is it necessary? Modify and update the Human Development Center Admission and Discharge Rules to include the Independent Assessment of individuals being admitted or discharged into home and community based settings; update the eligibility criteria to mirror A.C.A. 20-48-101; and incorporate the level of care criteria used by DDS' psychological team to determine if someone meets institutional level of care.							
8.	Please provide the address where this rule is publicly accessible in electronic form via the Internet as required by Arkansas Code § 25-19-108(b). http://humanservices.arkansas.gov/ddds/Pages/default.aspx							
9.	Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule? Yes No I If yes, please complete the following:							
	Date: August 8, 2017 Time: 4:30 p.m. Arkansas Enterprises for the Developmentally Disabled 105 East Roosevelt Road Place: Little Rock, AR 72206							
10.	When does the public comment period expire for permanent promulgation? (Must provide a date.) August 11, 2017							
11.	What is the proposed effective date of this proposed rule? (Must provide a date.) October 1, 2017							
	Please provide a copy of the notice required under Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(a), and proof of the publication said notice. Attached							
	Please provide proof of filing the rule with the Secretary of State and the Arkansas State Library as required suant to Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e). Attached							

14. Please give the names of persons, groups, or organizations that you expect to comment on these rules? Please
Revised January 2017

provide their position (for or against) if known. <u>Families or other interested parties of individuals being admitted into or discharged from Human Development Centers.</u>

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

DEPARTMENT A				Arkansas Department of Human Services						
D	Division of Developmental Disabilities Services									
PERSON COMPLETING THIS STATEMENT Elizabeth Pitman										
T	EL	EPH	ONE 501	-682-4936	FAX 501-682	2-8380	EMAIL: Eliz	abeth.pitman	@dh	s.arkansas.gov
T	To comply with Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e), please complete the following Financial Impact Statement and file two copies with the questionnaire and proposed rules.									
S	SHORT TITLE OF THIS RULE DDS Policy 1086—HDC Admissions and Discharge									
1		Does	this propo	sed, amended,	or repealed rule	have a fi	nancial impact?	Yes 🖂	٠	No 🗌
2		Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule? Yes No								
3	3. In consideration of the alternatives to this rule, was this rule determined by the agency to be the least costly rule considered? Yes ⋈ No ☐						No 🗌			
	If an agency is proposing a more costly rule, please state the following:									
	(a) How the additional benefits of the more costly rule justify its additional cost;									
	(b) The reason for adoption of the more costly rule;									
		(c) Whether the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or welfare, and if so, please explain; and;							Fare, and if so,	
		(d) Whether the reason is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority; and if so, please explain.								
4		. If the purpose of this rule is to implement a federal rule or regulation, please state the following:								
	(a) What is the cost to implement the federal rule or regulation?									
(Current Fiscal Year Next Fiscal Year									
F	ed Cas Spe	eral F h Fun cial R		0 0 0 0		Fe Ca Sp	eneral Revenue deral Funds sh Funds ecial Revenue her (Identify)	0 0 0 0 0		
Total 0 Tot				otal	0					

	(b) What is the	additional cost of the state rule?					
	Current Fiscal Y	ear	Next Fiscal Year				
\$ (General Revenue	0	General Revenue	0			
	Federal Funds	0	Federal Funds	0			
	Cash Funds	0	Cash Funds	0			
	Special Revenue	0	Special Revenue	0			
	Other (Identify)	0	Other (Identify)	0			
	Total	0	Total	0			
5.	proposed, amended they are affected.	timated cost by fiscal year to any p , or repealed rule? Identify the ent	tity(ies) subject to the p	roposed rule and explain how			
	urrent Fiscal Year		Next Fiscal Year				
\$			\$ 0				
7.	details the total co with the HDCs uti	encial impact statement accompanies of incorporating the Independilizing the assessment. agency's answers to Questions #5 east one hundred thousand dollars	and #6 above, is there	a new or increased cost			
	private entity, priva	ate business, state government, couthose entities combined?					
			Yes ☐ No ⊠				
	time of filing the fir	is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 2 nancial impact statement. The wrimpact statement and shall include,	tten findings shall be fi	led simultaneously			
	(1) a statement of the	ne rule's basis and purpose;					
	(2) the problem the a rule is required	agency seeks to address with the pdd by statute;	proposed rule, including	g a statement of whether			
	(a) justifies	the factual evidence that: the agency's need for the proposed					
	(b) describes the rule's	s how the benefits of the rule meet s costs;	the relevant statutory of	objectives and justify			

- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.

SUMMARY OF DDS POLICY 1086 HUMAN DEVELOPMENT CENTER ADMISSION AND DISCHARGE RULES

The Department of Human Services Division of Developmental Disability Services (DDS) operates five (5) Human Development Centers (HDC) for individuals with intellectual/developmental disabilities. As the operational agency, and by delegation from the Developmental Disabilities Services Board, DDS proposes the following changes to the HDC Admissions and Discharge Policy (Policy #1086):

- Require that individuals entering an HDC or being discharged into Home and Community Based Settings undergo an Independent Assessment for functional needs in accordance with the Independent Assessment Manual.
- Update the Categorically Qualifying Diagnosis to include Spina Bifida and Downs Syndrome so that it reflects the definition established by A.C.A § 20-48-101.
- Incorporate the level of care criteria used by the psychological evaluation team to assess whether someone meets the institutional level of care. This is the criterion that is currently being used to assess level of care need for an HDC.