Q3

## ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SEDVICES

FY 2019

EXHIBIT F

# **Division of Youth Services**

# **QUARTERLY STATISTICAL**

## REPORT

## 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter FY 2019

(January 2019-March 2019)

## Arkansas Division of Youth Services FY 2019 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter Statistical Report

### **Table of Contents**

DYS FY 20	19 Statistics-Highlights for Third Quarter	2
DYS Visio	n and Mission Statements	4
Figure 1:	Commitments by Offense	5
Figure 2:	First Commitments vs. Recommits	6
Figure 3:	Violent vs. Non-Violent Offenses	6
Figure 4:	Commitments by Age, Gender and Race	7
Figure 5:	Commitments by Age and Gender	8
Figure 6:	Commitments by Race	9
Figure 7:	Commitments by Race by County	10
Figure 8:	Commitments by District	12
Figure 9:	Commitments by District by Year	13
Figure 10:	Total Commitments for Quarter with Reference to Previous Years	15
Figure 11:	Youth Served by Community-Based Provider (CBP) Services	16

Appendix I: Map of AR DYS Treatment Centers, Programs, and Providers	17
Appendix II: Map of AR Juvenile Detention Centers	

### DYS FY 2019 Third Quarter Statistics – Highlights

### Total Commitments for Third Quarter FY 2017-2019

2019 = 88 2018 = 95 2017 = 91

### **Commitments by Offense (Figure 1)**

Felony = 58% Misdemeanor = 42%

First Commitments vs. Recommits (Figure 2)

First Commits = 78% Recommits = 22%

Violent vs. Non-Violent Offenses (Figure 3)

Violent offenses = 8% Non-violent offenses = 92%

### Commitments by Age, Gender, Race (Figure 4, 5, 6)

Total commitments, gender: 83% male, 17% female AR youth population, gender: 51% male, 49% female Total commitments, race: 49% African American, 43% white, 8% other AR youth population, race: 20% African American, 77% white, 3% other Ages of committed youth: 12–18 years More youth (25) were 15 years old than any other age group.

### **Commitments by County (Figure 7)**

### Top committing counties this quarter

- 1) Jefferson (7)
- 2) Pulaski, Crittenden (6)
- *3) Ouachita* (5)

#### **Commitments by District (Figure 8)**

#### Top committing districts this quarter

- 1) 6<sup>th</sup> Perry and Pulaski Counties (15)
- 2) 2<sup>nd</sup> Clay, Craighead, Crittenden, Greene, Mississippi, and Poinsett Counties (14)
- 3) 13<sup>th</sup> Calhoun, Cleveland, Columbia, Dallas, Ouachita, and Union Counties (12)

### Commitments by District by Year (Figure 9)

### Largest Reduction in commitments

- 1) 10<sup>th</sup> Ashley, Bradley, Chicot, Desha, and Drew Counties (-6)
- 2) 8<sup>th</sup> South Lafayette and Miller Counties (-4)

### Largest Increase in Commitments

- 1) 6<sup>th</sup> Perry and Pulaski Counties (9)
- 2) 16<sup>th</sup> Cleburne, Fulton, Independence, Izard and Stone Counties (6)

### Youth Served by Community Based Providers (CBP) Services (Figure 11)

### Top Districts with youth and families served

- 1) 2<sup>nd</sup> Clay, Craighead, Crittenden, Greene, Mississippi, and Poinsett Counties (230)
- 2) 6<sup>th</sup> Perry and Pulaski Counties; 19<sup>th</sup> West Benton County (199)
- 3) 4<sup>th</sup> Madison and Washington Counties (190)

# **DYS Vision Statement**


Arkansas's juvenile justice system is a leader in reform and collaboration across the state, working to prevent most youth from ever needing legal intervention and providing those that do with effective rehabilitation and reintegration; youth that become involved with the juvenile justice system are treated, educated, and equipped with the tools they need to find success as productive and responsible members of collective society.

# **DYS Mission Statement**


The Division of Youth Services' mission is to provide effective community-based prevention, diversion, appropriate correction and rehabilitation, improved education and thorough aftercare in order to enhance the comprehensive well-being of the youth of Arkansas involved in the juvenile justice system.



## Figure 1: Commitments by Offense January-March 2019




## Figure 2: First Commitments vs. Recommits January-March 2019



### WHAT ARE **Recommits**?

Recommit(ment)s represent youth who have been previously committed to DYS and received a new order of commitment this quarter; in short, this is not their first time in DYS custody.


## Figure 3: Violent vs. Non-Violent Offenses January–March 2019




# Figure 4: Commitments by Age, Sex, and Race January–March 2019

Age (yrs.)	African- American		White		Other		TOTAL
	male	female	male	female	male	female	
11							
12		1					1
13	1	1	1		1		4
14	5	1	3		1		10
15	12	2	8	2	1		25
16	13		6	2		1	22
17	6		11	4	2	1	24
18	1		1				2
19							
TOTAL	38	5	30	8	5	2	88


## Figure 5: Commitments by Age and Gender January–March 2019





DHS | DYS Quarterly Report, Q3 FY 2019 (Jan–Mar 2019) 8 Data Source: Rite Track, DYS Information Management System

## Figure 6: Commitments by Race January–March 2019



Statewide, **African-American** youth make up **20 percent** of the adolescent population, while **white** youth account for **77 percent**.

Data Source: https://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/asp/comparison\_display.asp


## Figure 7: Commitments by Race by County January-March 2019

Co	Committing County		White	Other	TOTAL
Arkansas		1			1
Ashley		2	1		3
Baxter					
	Benton				
	Boone		1		1
	Bradley		1		1
*	Calhoun				
NOTE	Carroll				
Zeros have	Chicot				
been omitted	Clark	1	1		2
from this chart	Clay				
for visual	Cleburne				
clarity ★	Cleveland		1		1
*	Columbia	2	1		3
	Conway				
	Craighead	2			2
	Crawford Crittenden Cross Dallas Desha Drew		2		2
					6
				1	1
				1	3
	Faulkner		1		1
	Franklin				
	Fulton				
	Garland	1		1	2
	Grant		2	1	3
	Greene		2		2
	Hempstead				
	Hot Spring Howard				1
			1		1
Independence			2		2
	Izard Jackson Jefferson				
					1
			2		7
	Johnson				
	Lafayette Lawrence Lee				
			1		1
	Lincoln				

## Figure 7: Commitments by Race by County (continued) January–March 2019


Committing County	African- American	White	Other	TOTAL
Little River				
Logan				
Lonoke				
Madison				
Marion				
Miller	3		1	4
Mississippi		2		2
Monroe				
Montgomery		1		1
Nevada		2		2
Newton		1		1
Ouachita	3	1	1	5
Perry				
Phillips				
Pike		1		1
Poinsett				
Polk				
Роре				
Prairie				
Pulaski	6			6
Randolph				
Saint Francis				
Saline	1	4		5
Scott				
Searcy				
Sebastian	1	2		3
Sevier	1	2		3
Sharp				
Stone		1		1
Union	4		1	5
Van Buren				
Washington				
White		2		2
Woodruff				
Yell				
TOTAL	43	38	7	88

# Figure 8: Commitments by District January–March 2019







## Figure 9: Commitments by District by Year January–March 2019



## Figure 9: Commitments by District by Year (cont.) January–March 2019




## Figure 10: Total Commitments for Quarter with Reference to Previous Years January–March 2019



**Commitments** for Q3 of this year (88) are down from last year (95) and from FY 2017 (91).


## Figure 11: Youth Served by Community-Based Provider (CBP) Services by Judicial District



DHS | DYS Quarterly Report, Q3 FY 2019 (Jan–Mar 2019) Data Source: Rite Track, DYS Information Management System

### Map of AR DYS Treatment Centers, Specialized Residential Programs, and Community-Based Providers

## Appendix I



## Appendix II

