

Division of Children and Family Services

Cecile Blucker, Director

P.O. Box 1437, Slot S560 · Little Rock, AR 72203-1437 501-682-8772 · Fax: 501-682-6968 · TDD: 501-682-1442



October 21, 2015

The Honorable George B. McGill, Chair House Committee on Aging, Children, and Youth, Legislative and Military Affairs Arkansas Legislative Council 315 State Capitol Building Little Rock, Arkansas 72201 The Honorable Stephanie Flowers, Chair Senate Committee on Children and Youth Arkansas Legislative Council 315 State Capitol Building Little Rock, Arkansas 72201

Dear Representative McGill and Senator Flowers:

Act 1222 of 1995 mandates an annual evaluation of the performance of the Division of Children and Family Services. Attached is the Annual Report Card (ARC) produced in compliance with that mandate. The report covers the State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2015.

Forty copies of the report and an electronic copy are attached for your convenience.

Should you have questions regarding this material, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Cecile Blucker Director


CB: fs

cc: John Selig, Director, Department and Human Services

Attachments (40)

ANNUAL REPORT CARD State Fiscal Year 2015

July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015



Arkansas Department of Human Services
Division of Children and Family Services
by
Hornby Zeller Associates, Inc.


Table of Contents

K	eports of Child Maltreatment	1
	Volume and Description of Child Maltreatment Reports	
	Meeting Agency Policies	4
	Benefits to Children and Families	6
In	-Home Services	
	Volume and Description of Cases	
	Meeting Agency Policies	8
	Benefits to Children and Families	9
Fo	oster Care	10
•	Volume and Description of Cases	
	Meeting Agency Policies	
	Benefits to Children and Families	15
		10
40	doption	
	Volume and Description of Cases	16
	Meeting Agency Policies	17
	Benefits to Children and Families	18
٠,		40
76	Casawarkars and Casalanda	19
	Caseworkers and Caseloads Foster Homes	
	roster nomes	20
٩r	pendices	23
	Appendix A: Child Maltreatment Investigations by Area and County	
	Appendix B: Children in Foster Care by Race/Ethnicity	
	Appendix C: Children in Foster Care by Age	
	Appendix D: Children in Foster Care by Gender	
	Appendix E: Children in Foster Care by Length of Stay	. 31
	Appendix F: Services Provided During the Year	. 33
	Appendix G: Summary of Reports Involving Child Fatality	. 35
	Appendix H: Summary of Reports Involving Near Child Fatality	. 40
	Appendix I: Fatalities of Children in Foster Care Who Did Not Receive a	
	Maltreatment Investigation	
	Appendix J: Average Caseload as of the End of SFY 2015, by County	
	Appendix K: Foster Home Closure Reasons	
	Appendix I: Summary of Foster Care Maltreatment Reports	15

Reports of Child Maltreatment

Quick Facts

Volume and Description of Child Maltreatment Reports



Of the 33,683 reports of child maltreatment accepted by the Arkansas Child Abuse Hotline during State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2015, 82 percent were assigned to DCFS and 18 percent were assigned to the Crimes Against Children Division (CACD) of the Arkansas State Police, which is responsible for investigating the most serious allegations of maltreatment.

Of the reports assigned to DCFS, 87 percent were assigned for an investigation and 13 percent were handled through Differential Response (DR).


DR, which was implemented statewide in August 2013, allows the Division to respond to specific, low-risk maltreatment reports through a family assessment and provision of services rather than a traditional investigation.

The following types of allegations can qualify for DR:

- Inadequate Supervision if children are at least five
- Environmental Neglect if children are at least three
- Medical Neglect if children are at least thirteen
- Lock Out if children are at least ten
- Inadequate Food, Inadequate Shelter, Inadequate Clothing, and Educational Neglect with no age restrictions.
- Certain allegations of abuse where the incident occurred at least one year prior to the report date.

Findings of Child Maltreatment Investigations

Twenty-one percent of the investigations assigned to DCFS during SFY 2015 were found true, compared to 37 percent for CACD. The overall substantiation rate stood at 24 percent.




Characteristics of Children Involved in True Investigations

There were 9,543 victim children involved in the maltreatment investigations that were found true.

Of those children, 69 percent were white and 16 percent were black. Children ages two to five represented the largest group involved in true maltreatment investigations.

A majority of the victim children during SFY 2015 (55 percent) were female.



Types of Allegations in True Investigations

The majority of the 9,543 victim children in true investigations were involved in allegations of neglect, followed by allegations of physical abuse and sexual abuse.

Percentage	of Children in True Allegatio	ns of Maltreatment
	Number of Children Cited in True Allegation	Percentage of Children Cited in True Allegation
Neglect	6,356	67%
Physical Abuse	2,084	22%
Sexual Abuse	1,913	20%

A child may have more than one allegation.


Reports Involving Fatalities or Near Fatalities

Findings of Maltrea	tment Reports Involvin	Fatalities or Near Fatalitie			
Finding	Child Fatality	Near Child Fatality			
True	38	0			
Unsubstantiated	8	3			
Pending	5	0			

During SFY 2015, there were 51 maltreatment investigations of a child fatality and three investigations concerning a near child fatality. Of the 51 child fatality investigations, 38 were found true, eight were unsubstantiated, and five were still pending. Of the three near child fatality investigations, all three were unsubstantiated.

Appendices G and H include more details regarding these fatality and near fatality cases.

Reports Assigned for Differential Response (DR)




The number of reports assigned to DR (3,604) during SFY 2015 decreased by six percent compared to SFY 2014.

Allegations Cited in DR Reports

Inadequate Supervision and Environmental Neglect were the most commonly cited allegations in reports assigned to DR.

Types of Allegations Cited in Reports Assigned for DR



Meeting Agency Policies

Timeliness of Initiating Investigations


The law requires reports of maltreatment to be initiated within 24 hours of a Priority I report or within 72 hours of a Priority II report.

Priority I reports cite more severe allegations while Priority II reports cite comparatively less serious allegations.

Initiation occurs when all victim children are interviewed or observed (if too young for an interview) within the designated timeframes.

DCFS initiated 84 percent of its investigations on time during SFY 2015, performing slightly better in its Priority I investigations than Priority II.


Timely Initiations of Child Maltreatment Assessments (DCFS Only)




Timeliness of Completing Investigations

DCFS completed 69 percent of its investigations on time (within 45 days of receipt of the report) during SFY 2015.

The law was changed in August of 2013, extending the timeframe required to complete investigations from 30 days to 45 days.



Timeliness of Initiating Reports Assigned to DR




DR referrals must be initiated within 72 hours of receipt of the report.

DCFS initiated 69 percent of its DR reports on time during the year, a considerable improvement from the previous two years.

Timeliness of Completing Reports Assigned to DR

Timeliness of DR Closures (Within 30 Days)



Reports assigned to DR must be closed within 30 days of receipt of the report. In certain instances when the families' needs necessitate longer involvement, two 15-day extensions can be granted, for a total of 60 days.


The agency closed 81 percent of its DR reports on time, taking into account reports in which extensions were granted.

Benefits to Children and Families

Preventing the Recurrence of Maltreatment

Eight percent of the victim children involved in true investigations experienced a recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months. Five percent experienced a recurrence of maltreatment within six months.

Recurrence of Maltreatment within 6 and 12 Months




The National Standard for absence of recurrence within six months is 5.4 percent or less

Absence of Recurrence Among Families who Received DR

Only two percent of the children who began receiving DR services were involved in a true investigation of child maltreatment within six months and only three percent within 12 months.

Percentage of Children Involved in True Maltreatment Reports Within 6 and 12 Months of Receiving DR




In-Home Services

Quick Facts

Volume and Description of Cases

Volume of Cases




In most instances DCFS offers inhome services through a protective services case, which is opened when a true maltreatment report or court order necessitates DCFS' involvement with a family but there is not an immediate threat to any child's safety in the home.

The number of in-home protective services cases open at the end of SFY 2015 increased by 11 percent compared to one year ago. At the end of the year, there were 3,074 inhome cases open across the state, involving 7,151 children.

The Division also offers some inhome supportive services to families on a voluntary basis. DCFS was responsible for 93 supportive services cases at the end of the year.

Length of Time Cases Have Been Open

Average Number of Days Cases Have Been Open as of the End of Year




The average length of time inhome cases were open as of the end of the year was about four and a half months (137 days). Supportive services cases were open for just over four months on average (132 days).

Ages of Children in In-Home Cases

Children ages two to five make up the largest group of children involved in in-home cases at the end of SFY 2015, followed closely by children in the six to nine age group.

Ages of Children in In-Home Cases




Meeting Agency Policies

Monthly Visits to In-Home Cases

On average, 62 percent of the inhome cases received a face-to-face monthly visit from a caseworker during SFY 2015.


Required Monthly Visits to In-Home Cases



Benefits to Children and Families

Victimization Rate Among Children Who Received Protective and Supportive Services


Percentage of Children Receiving Supportive and Protective Services who were Abused or Neglected within One Year of the Initiation of Service



Of the children who began receiving supportive services one year prior to SFY 2015, three percent experienced a true report of maltreatment within one year. Of the children who began receiving in-home protective services one year prior to SFY 2015, seven percent were involved in a true report within one year (93 percent were not).

Rate of Entry Into Foster Care Among Children Who Received Protective and Supportive Services

Percentage of Children Receiving Supportive or Protective Services who Entered Foster Care within One Year of the Initiation of Service



Of the children who began receiving supportive services one year prior to SFY 2015, one percent entered foster care within one year of the initiation of those services. Meanwhile, seven percent of the children who began receiving protective services during the same timeframe entered care within one year.


In-home services prevented the vast majority of the children from being re-victimized or entering foster care within one year of initiation.

Volume and Description of Cases

Number of Children in Foster Care

There were 4,418 children in foster care at the end of SFY 2015. This signified an eight percent increase from SFY 2014 (4,106).

Number of Children in Foster Care at End of SFY 2015




Characteristics of Children in Foster Care


Children two to five years of age (25 percent) represent the largest group of children in foster care. Sixty-three percent were white and 20 percent were black.

Of the children in foster care at the end of SFY 2015, 52 percent were male and 48 percent were female.

Race/Ethnicity of Children in Care at the End of SFY 2015




Ages of Children in Foster Care at the End of SFY 2015



Admissions Into and Discharges From Foster Care

During SFY 2015, more children entered foster care than were discharged.

Number of Admissions Into and Discharges From Foster Care During SFY 2015



■ Children Entered Into Foster Care ■ Children Discharged From Foster Care

Reasons for Children's Entry Into Foster Care

Reasons for Children's Entry Into Foster Care SFY 2015

Placement Reason	Number of Entries	Total
Neglect	1,962	52%
Substance Abuse	1,953 ¹	51%
Parent Incarceration	741	20%
Physical Abuse	431	11%
Inadequate Housing	355	9%
Sexual Abuse	271	7%
Caretaker Illness	173	5%
Child's Behavior	105	3%
Abandonment	105	3%
Truancy	66	2%
Death of Parent(s)	16	<1%
Other	41	1%

Neglect and substance abuse were the most prevalent reasons for children entering foster care.

A child may have more than one reason for entry.

Reasons for Children's Discharge From Foster Care

Reasons for Children's Discharge From Foster Care SFY 2015

Placement Reason	Number of Discharges	Total
Reunification	1,384	40%
Relative Custody	1,070	31%
Adoption	693	20%
Child Aged Out	204	6%
Non-Relative Custody	76	2%
Custody Transfer	13	<1%
Guardianship	11	<1%
Death of Child	4	<1%
Other	4	<1%

National data indicate that the three most prevalent reasons children leave care are reunification (52%), adoption (20%) and relative care (8%).

Seventy-one percent of the children who left foster care during SFY 2015 were reunified either with their own family or that of a relative. Arkansas (91 percent) exceeds the national average of 80 percent of children either going home, to a relative, or to an adoptive home.

¹ These 1,953 cases of substance abuse include 1,735 instances of parental drug abuse, 154 instances of parental alcohol abuse, 60 cases of drug abuse by children, and four cases of alcohol abuse by children.

Length of Stay in Foster Care

Of the children in foster care at the end of SFY 2015, 45 percent had been in care longer than 12 months.


Length of Stay in Foster Care for Children in Care SFY 2015

	Number	Percentage	National ²
Less than 30 days	288	7%	11%
30-90 Days	631	14%	150/
3-6 Months	702	16%	15%
6-12 Months	825	19%	20%
12-24 Months	1,018	23%	27%
24-36 Months	379	9%	13%
36+ Months	575	13%	14%
Total	4,418	100%	100%

Placement of Children in Care

Forty-five percent of the children in foster care at the end of SFY 2015 resided in foster family homes.


Overall, 69 percent of the children resided in a family-like setting (foster family home, therapeutic foster home, relative care, pre-adoptive home, trial home visit) at the end of SFY 2015.



Permanency Goal of Children in Care

The majority of the children in care at the end of SFY 2015 had a permanency goal of reunification (return home) and almost a quarter had a goal of adoption.

Permanency Goals of Children in Foster Care at the End of SFY 2015




² Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS) preliminary data submitted for children in foster care between 10/1/2012 and 9/30/2013 as of July 2014.

Meeting Agency Policies

Monthly Visits to Foster Children

Foster Children who Received Monthly Face-to-Face Visits by Caseworkers



Foster Children who Received Monthly Face-to-Face Visits by Any DCFS Staff




Seventy-four percent of the foster children statewide received a face-to-face monthly visit from a DCFS caseworker, on average, during SFY 2015. To ensure safety and wellbeing, best practice requires foster children to be visited at their placements by their caseworkers monthly.

On average, 92 percent of the foster children received a faceto-face monthly contact from any DCFS staff member, regardless of the staff member's position, location of the visit, or the purpose.

Initial Health Screenings and Comprehensive Health Assessments






When a child enters foster care due to an allegation of severe maltreatment, DCFS must conduct an Initial Health Screening (IHS) within 24 hours. All other incoming foster children require health screenings within 72 hours. These children must also receive a Comprehensive Health Assessment (CHA) within 60 days of entering foster

DCFS completed 85 percent of its required 24-hour IHSs, 89 percent of its 72-hour IHSs, and 76 percent of its CHAs on time.

Percentage of Siblings in Placement Who Are Placed Together

At the end of SFY 2015, 71 percent of the children with siblings in foster care were placed with at least one of their siblings. Of those, 50 percent were placed with all of their siblings.

These figures do not exclude children who were placed separately for valid reasons such as safety issues or court orders.




Placement Stability of Children in Foster Care

Placement stability is measured by the percentage of children with two or fewer placements who are in care for varying lengths of time.

Of children in care for less than 12 months, 75 percent experienced two or fewer placements; the national standard is 86 percent. Of the children in foster care between 12 and 24 months, 45 percent had two or fewer placements; the national standard for this group is 65.4 percent. Finally, of the children in care for over two years, only 17 percent experienced two or fewer placements, with the national standard being 41.8 percent.


Children six to 11 represent the largest group of children who experienced three or more placements during their stay in foster care.

Children in Foster Care who have Experienced Two or Fewer Placements




- Children in FC at least 8 days but less than 12 Mos.
- Children in FC at least 12 Mos. But less than 24 Mos.
- Children in FC more than 24 Mos.

Ages of Children who Experienced Three or More Placements



Benefits to Children and Families

Children in Foster Care Who Return Home Within Twelve Months



Fifty-eight percent of the children who had entered foster care during the year prior to SFY 2015 returned to their families within 12 months, exceeding the national standard of 48.4 percent.

Preventing Children's Re-entry Into Foster Care

Re-entries Into Foster Care 14% 12% National 10% Standard 8.8% 9.9% or less 7.8% 8% 6% 4% 2% 0% SFY 2012 SFY 2013 SFY 2014 SFY 2015

Less than eight percent of the children who were discharged to their families re-entered foster care within 12 months. The Division meets the national standard of 9.9 percent or less.


Adoption

Volume and Description of Cases

Children Available for Adoption


At the end of SFY 2015, 586 children were available for adoption.

Number of Children Available for Adoption at the End of SFY 2015



Characteristics of Children Available for Adoption


Of the available children, 56 percent were white and 22 percent were black. The largest group ranged in age from ten to thirteen years old.



³ Children available for adoption are defined as those who have a termination of parental rights on both parents and a goal of adoption.

Placement of Children Available for Adoption

Placement of Children Available for Adoption at the End of SFY 2015




The majority of the children available for adoption at the end of SFY 2015 resided in either a foster family home or a therapeutic foster home.

Meeting Agency Policies

Length of Time to Adoption

The median length of time from entry into foster care until finalization of adoption for children whose adoptions were finalized during SFY 2015 was 23.6 months, three months sooner than the national standard of 27.3 months.

Length of Time From Entry into Foster Care to Finalization of Adoption (Months)



Benefits to Children and Families


Finalized Adoptions

Seven hundred and eleven adoptions were finalized during SFY 2015.


Children ages 2 to 5 were the largest group of children who

were adopted during SFY 2015.


Number of Adoptions Finalized During SFY 2015



Race/Ethnicity of Children with **Finalized Adoptions**



Ages of Children with **Finalized Adoption**



Subsidized Adoptions

Subsidies are given to children with special needs, defined as:

- Caucasian and over nine
- African-American and at least two
- At least two members of a sibling group
- Children who have severe medical or psychological needs that require ongoing treatment
- Children at high risk for the development of serious physical, mental or emotional conditions documented by a medical professional.

During SFY 2015, 653 children began receiving adoption subsidies. Of those, 565 were federally-funded subsidies and 88 were state-funded.

During SFY 2015, a total of 5,429 children received adoption subsidies.


Resources

Quick Facts

Caseworkers and Caseloads

Caseloads at the End of SFY 2015

Types of Cases at the End of SFY 2015




One foster care case is equal to one child in care; one in-home or supportive services case constitutes an entire family, many of which have multiple children.

As of the end of SFY 2015, the Division was responsible for:

- 3,112 investigations of child maltreatment and 119 DR cases.
- 4,418 foster care cases (4,195 excluding adoption cases),
- 3,074 in-home cases, involving 7,151 children,
- 93 supportive services cases and 77 ICPC cases.

This is while the Division was equipped with 399 family service workers, which on average includes 90 staff dedicated to investigations full time and another 34 staff who conduct investigations while also carrying other cases.

Caseloads Handled *During* the Year



This graph shows the number of cases that cycled through the system anytime during SFY 2015 (as opposed to those open at the end of the year, described above).

The Division oversaw 27,704 investigations; 7,877 children in foster care; 5,956 in-home protective services cases; 3,893 DR cases; 352 supportive services cases; and 138 interstate compact for the placement of children (ICPC) cases.

Average Caseload

The average caseload statewide stood at just below 27 cases per worker at the end of the year. This exceeds the standard of about 15 cases per worker set by the Child Welfare League of America. The average caseload for each county as of June 30, 2015 is presented in Appendix J.



Foster Homes and Beds


There were 1,306 licensed foster family homes open at the end of SFY 2015 statewide, slightly higher than the previous year.

Due to recent changes in the designation of certain types of foster family homes in the Division's automated child welfare system, the data for SFYs 2012, 2013, and 2014 were reproduced to provide an accurate comparison to SFY 2015. Therefore the numbers cited in this report for the previous three SFYs are slightly different than those reported in previous Annual Report Cards for the respective periods.


During SFY 2015, 630 new foster homes were opened and 612 were closed.

Appendix K displays the closure reasons for the 612 foster homes that closed during SFY 2015.


Average Caseload at the End of Month



Number of Foster Homes and Beds at the End of SFY 2015




Number of Foster Family Homes Opened and Closed During SFY 2015



Ratio of Foster Home Beds to Foster Children


Foster Bed to Foster Child Ratio



The ratio of licensed foster home beds to children in foster care was less than one (0.67) at the end of the year, giving workers few choices of where to place children.

Percentage of Foster Families with True Reports of Maltreatment

Percentage of Foster Families with True Reports of Maltreatment



Seventeen reports in which foster parents were identified as alleged offenders were determined to be true during the year. Based on the 1,922 foster homes active during that period, the percentage of foster families with a true report of maltreatment was 0.88 percent.

Appendix L provides more detail on maltreatment reports concerning foster homes.

Appendix A: Child Maltreatment Investigations by Area and County

			AW PASS	Sub Rate		Exempt	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt	and a	The second second
Area	County	Total	TRUE		Unsub	AT AT	Religious	UJO	Age 13-15	UTI	Unknown
				(70)	Onoub	ronatar	rtengious	000	Age 13-13	OIL	Olikilowi
1	Benton (Bentonville)	2080	330	19.44	1558	34	0	30	0	75	53
1	Carroll (Berryville)	289	64	25.45	199	4	0	3	0		
1	Madison (Huntsville)	177	40	29.65	113	7	0	4	0	-	
1	Washington (Fayetteville)	2394	496		1730	42	1	14	0	-	
	Area Total	4,940	930	22.18	3,600	87	1	51	0	-	
			1-3-500-076								120
2	Craw ford (Van Buren)	746	149	25.81	522	36	0	6	0	27	6
2	Franklin (Ozark)	204	50	27.14	136	3	0	1	0	9	
2	Johnson (Clarksville)	316	77	28.03	221	4	0	7	0	5	2
2	Logan (Booneville)	128	36	33.60	82	6	0	0	0	1	3
2	Logan (Paris)	104	34	38.83	61	4	0	2	0	2	1
2	Scott (Waldron)	102	34	33.33	67	0	0	0	0	1	(
2	Sebastian (Fort Smith)	1512	290	24.19	1091	62	0	12	0	50	7
2		233	53	24.57	170	3	0	1	. 0	5	1
	Area Total	3,345	723	26.20	2,350	118	0	29	0	100	25
3	Clark (Arkadelphia)	177	31	21.89	132	4	0	2	0		8
3	Garland (Hot Springs)	1138	187	20.52	871	28	0	15	0	20	17
100	Hot Spring (Malvern)	368	64	23.43	257	14	0	4	0	11	18
	How ard (Nashville)	112	13	17.27	89	2	0	4	0	2	2
	Montgomery (Mount Ida)	91	21	25.84	66	2	0	0	0	0	2
	Perry (Perryville)	120	26	26.27	84	5	0	0	0	3	2
	Pike (Murfreesboro)	103	15	15.84	84	1	0	0	0	1	2
	Polk (Mena)	187	38	26.82	125	7	0	3	0	6	8
3	Saline (Benton)	970	132	17.25	740	18	0	12	0	37	31
	Area Total	3,266	527	20.40	2,448	81	0	40	0	80	90
4	Columbia (Magnolia)	170	32	23.78	123	41	0		-		
	Hempstead (Hope)	224	33	21.03	154	8	0	3	0	2	- 6
_	Lafayette (Lew isville)	57	15	31.58	35			4	0	15	10
	Little River (Ashdown)	125	24	23.77	88	1 4	0	2	0	4	C
-	Miller (Texarkana)	455	87	24.15	290	15	1	1	0	5	3
	Nevada (Prescott)	89	15	24.13	62	4	0	3	0	43	16
	Ouachita (Camden)	250	41	20.16	188	7	0	2	0	4	2
	Sevier (DeQueen)	117	27	25.00	80		0	1	0	10 7	2
	Union (El Dorado)	339	68	26.55	237	13	0	0		700000	
	Area Total	1,826	342	23.91	1,257	57	1	27	0	12 102	40
		-,			.,,					102	40
5	Baxter (Mountain Home)	400	83	26.61	257	6	0	6	0	5	43
5	Boone (Harrison)	469	154	37.44	264	9	0	7	0	20	15
$\overline{}$	Conw ay (Morrilton)	282	96	38.35	168	9	0	2	0	4	3
5	Faulkner (Conway)	1023	261	31.93	634	34	0	16	0	28	49
5	Marion (Yellville)	162	37	30.99	91	6	0	1	0	7	20
5	New ton (Jasper)	63	21	37.93	33	0	0	1	0	3	5
5	Pope (Russellville)	637	211	36.80	380	10	0	9	0	15	12
5	Searcy (Marshall)	71	17	33.85	43	2	0	3	0	0	6
5	Van Buren (Clinton)	146	30	29.01	92	5	0	3	0	1	15
	Area Total	3,253	910	33.69	1,962	81	0	48	0	83	168
_	Pulaski (East)	598	80	18.32	461	24	0	5	0	25	3
_	Pulaski (Jacksonville)	646	98	19.07	504	24	0	1	0	18	1
	Pulaski (North)	959	175	23.41	699	41	0	8	0	34	2
	Pulaski (South)	645	94	18.56	492	17	1	7	0	30	4
	Pulaski (Southw est)	574	74	20.91	425	39	0	6	0	25	5
	Area Total	3,422	521	20.37	2,581	145	1	27	0	132	15

			Tail Service	Sub Rate		Exempt	Exempt	Exempt			in Fault
rea	County	Total	TRUE	(%)*	Unsub	Prenatal	Religious	NNO	Age 13-15	UTL	Unknowr
7	Bradley (Warren)	97	14	18.75	71	4	0	0	0		
7	Calhoun (Hampton)	30	8	30.00	21	0	0	1	0	72.70	-
7	Cleveland (Rison)	64	19	36.51	39	0	0	4	0	_	
7	Dallas (Fordyce)	113	18	17.86	89	1	0	1	0		
7	Grant (Sheridan)	187	36	22.04	142	1	0	4	0	200	
7	Jefferson (Pine Bluff)	580	70	16.09	461	17	0	6	0		
7	Lincoln (Star City)	- 98	14	16.67	80	1	0	1	0		
7	Lonoke (Lonoke)	776	136	21.13	569	15	0	13	0		
7	Prairie (DeValls Bluff)	76	12	17.11	58	0	0	1	0	100000	
	Area Total	2,021	327	19.72	1,530	39	0	31	0	86	
8	Clay (Piggott & Corning)	202	42	22.28	149	3		0	0		
8	Craighead (Jonesboro)	1232	160	18.77	968	55	0	16	0		
8	Fulton (Salem)	113	24	27.62	74	4	0	1	0		
8	Greene (Paragould)	844	160	22.21	641	23	0	4	0		
	Izard (Melbourne)	148	54	43.75	81	5	0	4	. 0		
8	Law rence (Walnut Ridge)	225	48	22.42	170	2	0	0		_	
8	Mississippi (Blytheville)	423	70	20.43	319	16	0	0			
8	Mississippi (Osceola)	180	28	22.22	134	7	1	4	0		
8	Randolph (Pocahontas)	224	35	19.18	177	4	0	3	0	- 1	
8	Sharp (Ash Flat)	163	27	19.63	126	5	0	0	0		
	Area Total	3,754	648	21.58	2,839	124	1	32	0	86	
				07.10	477				1 0	1 0	
	Cleburne (Heber Springs)	244	62		177	3	0				
9	Crittenden (West Memphis)	501	125		341	14	0	8			
	Cross (Wynne)	160	19		120	9	0	4	0		
9	Independence (Batesville)	388	83		291	8	0	0	0	-	
9	Jackson (New port)	207	42	27.18	149	12	0	2			
9	Poinsett (Harrisburg)	436	65		334		0	8			
9	Stone (Mountain View)	109	28	30.84	74	5	0	0			
9	White (Searcy)	806	148	21.34	582	12	0	12	0		
9	Woodruff (Augusta)	67	20	31.34	44	0		1	0	_	
	Area Total	2,918	592	24.35	2,112	78	0	37	0	85	
	(5 - 70)	0.4	144	20.24	50		0	2	0	1	
	Arkansas (Dew itt)	64			50					_	
	Arkansas (Stuttgart)	97	20		72		0				
	Ashley (Hamburg)	178	38		123						
	Chicot (Lake Village)	73			48						-
	Desha (McGehee)	91	23		62						
	Drew (Monticello)	201	46		145					+	
	Lee (Marianna)	62			50		0				
	Monroe (Brinkley)	60			38				0	_	
	Monroe (Clarendon)	16			12			0		-	
	Phillips (Helena)	229			156					+	
10	St. Francis (Forrest City)	255			173		222	200 march 1990 March 1			
	Area Total	1,326	252	25.64	929	50	0	27	0	25	
99	Unknow n County	8	1077								-
	Statewide Total	30,079	5,773	23.66	21,613	860	4	349	0	931	5

Appendix B: Children in Foster Care by Race/Ethnicity

rea	County	Total	White	Black	Asian	AIAN	NAPI	Hispanic	More than 1	Unknown
1	Benton (Bentonville)	370	256	16	1	2	1	46	43	
1	Carroll (Berryville)	78	54	0	0	0	1	12		
1	Madison (Huntsville)	37	32	0	0	2	1	12	8	3
1	Washington (Fayetteville)	432	321	13	1	1	2	45	46	0
	Area Total	917	663	29	2	5	5		98	11
		<u> </u>				<u> </u>		104	- 30	<u>''</u>
2	Craw ford (Van Buren)	188	162	2	0	5	0	4	13	2
	Franklin (Ozark)	69	66	0	0	0	0	2	1	C
	Johnson (Clarksville)	133	105	3	0	0	0	7	18	C
	Logan (Booneville)	57	40	0	0	1	0	8	8	C
$\overline{}$	Logan (Paris)	20	18	0	0	0	0	0	2	C
2	Scott (Waldron)	56	45	0	0	0	0	5	6	C
2	Sebastian (Fort Smith)	847	530	60	5	6	0	80	163	3
2	Yell (Danville)	53	43	3	0	0	0	5	2	C
	Area Total	1,423	1,009	68	5	12	0	111	213	5
3	Clark (Arkadelphia)	47	32	8	4	0	- 0	ol.		
$\overline{}$	Garland (Hot Springs)	214		20	1		0	0	4	2
_	Hot Spring (Malvern)		141		0	0	0	12	40	1
	How ard (Nashville)	83	64	5	0	0	0	1	12	.1
_	Montgomery (Mount Ida)	12	19	2	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Perry (Perryville)	43	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
_	Pike (Murfreesboro)	16	15	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
_	Polk (Mena)	40	37	0			0	0	1	0
	Saline (Benton)	108	73	1	0	2	0	0	1	0
	Area Total	587	432	36	1	0 2	0 0	29 42	7 0	0 4
$\overline{}$	Columbia (Magnolia)	26	11	13	0	0	0	0	2	0
_	Hempstead (Hope)	34	16	16	0	0	0	0	2	0
_	Lafayette (Lew isville)	10	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Little River (Ashdown)	25	17	1	0	0	0	3	3	1
_	Miller (Texarkana)	177	111	43	2	0	0	4	16	1
_	Nevada (Prescott)	6	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
_	Ouachita (Camden)	37	22	10	0	0	0	0	5	0
_	Sevier (DeQueen)	52	28	0	0	0	0	18	5	1
$\overline{}$	Union (El Dorado)	108	58	31	0	0	0	8	11	0
	Area Total	475	274	115	2	0	0	33	48	3
5	Baxter (Mountain Home)	79	63	0	0	0	ol	4	11	1
5	Boone (Harrison)	119	109	0	0	0	0	4	6	0
	Conway (Morrilton)	141	100	19	0	0	0	4	14	4
	Faulkner (Conway)	222	152	41	0	0	0	11	17	1
5	Marion (Yellville)	32	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	New ton (Jasper)	11	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	4
_	Pope (Russellville)	146	99	6	0	0	0	16	25	0
_	Searcy (Marshall)	23	18	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
-	Van Buren (Clinton)	34	33	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
-	Area Total	807	611	67	0	0	0	45	74	10
- 1.	21.11/5									
	Pulaski (East)	108	40	61	0	0	0	1	6	0
-	Pulaski (Jacksonville)	164	99	49	0	0	0	2	14	0
\rightarrow	Pulaski (North)	284	62	172	1	0	0	18	31	0
	Pulaski (South)	195	35	137	1	0	0	6	15	1
_	Pulaski (Southwest)	140	40	77	0	0	0	19	4	0

7 C C 7 C C 7 C C 7 C C 7 C C 7 C C 7 C C 7 C C 7 C C 7 C C 7 July 2 C C 7 July 2 C C 7 July 2 C C C 7 C C C C 7 C C C C C C C C C C	County Bradley (Warren) Calhoun (Hampton) Cleveland (Rison) Callas (Fordyce) Grant (Sheridan) Defferson (Pine Bluff) Lincoln (Star City) Lonoke (Lonoke) Prairie (DeValls Bluff) Area Total Clay (Piggott & Corning) Craighead (Jonesboro) Fulton (Salem) Greene (Paragould) Lawrence (Walnut Ridge) Mississippi (Blytheville)	20 15 37 17 26 233 12 149 5 514 35 259 48 282 39 73	White 3 9 24 12 20 38 7 101 3 217 32 143 43 228	8 1 2 1 70 5 10 1 200 0 64 0	Asian 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 0 8 1 0 2 0 7 0 2	6 5 2 3 4 20 0 28 1 69	
7 C C 7 C C 7 C C 7 C C 7 C C 7 C C 7 C C 7 C C 7 C C 7 C C 7 July 2 C C 7 July 2 C C 7 July 2 C C C 7 C C C C 7 C C C C C C C C C C	Calhoun (Hampton) Cleveland (Rison) Callas (Fordyce) Grant (Sheridan) Defferson (Pine Bluff) Lincoln (Star City) Lonoke (Lonoke) Prairie (DeValls Bluff) Area Total Clay (Piggott & Corning) Craighead (Jonesboro) Fulton (Salem) Greene (Paragould) zard (Melbourne) Law rence (Walnut Ridge)	15 37 17 26 233 12 149 5 514 35 259 48 282	9 24 12 20 38 7 101 3 217 32 143 43 228	1 2 1 2 170 5 10 1 200	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 8 1 0 2 0 7	5 2 3 4 20 0 28	
7 C C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7	Calhoun (Hampton) Cleveland (Rison) Callas (Fordyce) Grant (Sheridan) Defferson (Pine Bluff) Lincoln (Star City) Lonoke (Lonoke) Prairie (DeValls Bluff) Area Total Clay (Piggott & Corning) Craighead (Jonesboro) Fulton (Salem) Greene (Paragould) zard (Melbourne) Law rence (Walnut Ridge)	15 37 17 26 233 12 149 5 514 35 259 48 282	9 24 12 20 38 7 101 3 217 32 143 43 228	1 2 1 2 170 5 10 1 200	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 8 1 0 2 0 7	5 2 3 4 20 0 28	
7 C C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7	Cleveland (Rison) Dallas (Fordyce) Grant (Sheridan) Jefferson (Pine Bluff) Lincoln (Star City) Lonoke (Lonoke) Prairie (DeValls Bluff) Area Total Clay (Piggott & Corning) Craighead (Jonesboro) Fulton (Salem) Greene (Paragould) zard (Melbourne) Law rence (Walnut Ridge)	37 17 26 233 12 149 5 514 35 259 48 282 39	24 12 20 38 7 101 3 217 32 143 43 228	1 2 170 5 10 1 200 0 64	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	8 1 0 2 0 7	2 3 4 20 0 28	
7 C C 7 J J 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C	Dallas (Fordyce) Grant (Sheridan) Defferson (Pine Bluff) Lincoln (Star City) Lonoke (Lonoke) Prairie (DeValls Bluff) Area Total Clay (Piggott & Corning) Craighead (Jonesboro) Fulton (Salem) Greene (Paragould) zard (Melbourne) Law rence (Walnut Ridge)	17 26 233 12 149 5 514 35 259 48 282 39	12 20 38 7 101 3 217 32 143 43 228	1 2 170 5 10 1 200 0 64	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 2 0 7	3 4 20 0 28 1	
7 G 7 J 7 L 7 L 7 P 8 C 8 C 8 C 8 E 8 E 8 E 8 E 8 F 9 C 9 C 9 I	Grant (Sheridan) Jefferson (Pine Bluff) Lincoln (Star City) Lonoke (Lonoke) Prairie (DeValls Bluff) Area Total Clay (Piggott & Corning) Craighead (Jonesboro) Fulton (Salem) Greene (Paragould) zard (Melbourne) Law rence (Walnut Ridge)	26 233 12 149 5 514 35 259 48 282 39	20 38 7 101 3 217 32 143 43 228	170 5 10 1 200 0 64	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 7	4 20 0 28 1	
7 June 1	Jefferson (Pine Bluff) Lincoln (Star City) Lonoke (Lonoke) Prairie (DeValls Bluff) Area Total Clay (Piggott & Corning) Craighead (Jonesboro) Fulton (Salem) Greene (Paragould) zard (Melbourne) Law rence (Walnut Ridge)	233 12 149 5 514 35 259 48 282 39	38 7 101 3 217 32 143 43 228	170 5 10 1 200 0 64	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	2 0 7 0	20 0 28 1	
7 L 7 P 8 C 8 C 8 F 8 G 8 k 8 K 8 K 8 F 9 C 9 C 9 Ir	Lincoln (Star City) Lonoke (Lonoke) Prairie (DeValls Bluff) Area Total Clay (Piggott & Corning) Craighead (Jonesboro) Fulton (Salem) Greene (Paragould) zard (Melbourne) Law rence (Walnut Ridge)	12 149 5 514 35 259 48 282 39	7 101 3 217 32 143 43 228	5 10 1 200 0 64	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0	0 7 0	0 28 1	
7 L 7 P 8 C 8 C 8 F 8 G 8 L 8 M 8 R 8 S 9 C 9 C 9 Ir	Conoke (Lonoke) Prairie (DeValls Bluff) Area Total Clay (Piggott & Corning) Craighead (Jonesboro) Fulton (Salem) Greene (Paragould) zard (Melbourne) Law rence (Walnut Ridge)	149 5 514 35 259 48 282 39	101 3 217 32 143 43 228	10 1 200 0 64	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	7	28	
7 P 8 C 8 C 8 F 8 G 8 L 8 M 8 R 8 S 9 C 9 C 9 Ir	Prairie (DeValls Bluff) Area Total Clay (Piggott & Corning) Craighead (Jonesboro) Fulton (Salem) Greene (Paragould) zard (Melbourne) Law rence (Walnut Ridge)	5 514 35 259 48 282 39	3 217 32 143 43 228	1 200 0 64	0 0	0 0	0	0	1	
8 C 8 C 8 E 8 E 8 E 8 E 8 E 9 C 9 C 9 I F	Clay (Piggott & Corning) Craighead (Jonesboro) Fulton (Salem) Greene (Paragould) zard (Melbourne) Law rence (Walnut Ridge)	35 259 48 282 39	32 143 43 228	0 64	0	0				
8 C 8 C 8 F 8 G 8 E 2 8 L 8 M 8 R 8 S 9 C 9 C 9 I F	Clay (Piggott & Corning) Craighead (Jonesboro) Fulton (Salem) Greene (Paragould) zard (Melbourne) Law rence (Walnut Ridge)	35 259 48 282 39	32 143 43 228	0 64	0		0	21	69	
8 C 8 F 8 G 8 E 8 L 8 M 8 R 8 S 9 C 9 C 9 C 9 I r	Craighead (Jonesboro) Fulton (Salem) Greene (Paragould) zard (Melbourne) Lawrence (Walnut Ridge)	259 48 282 39	143 43 228	64		آه				
8 C 8 F 8 G 8 E 8 L 8 M 8 R 8 S 9 C 9 C 9 C 9 I r	Craighead (Jonesboro) Fulton (Salem) Greene (Paragould) zard (Melbourne) Lawrence (Walnut Ridge)	259 48 282 39	143 43 228	64			0	0	3	
8 F 8 G 8	Fulton (Salem) Greene (Paragould) zard (Melbourne) Law rence (Walnut Ridge)	48 282 39	43 228		111	0	0	15	37	
8 G 8 k 8 L 8 M 8 R 8 S 9 C 9 C 9 C 9 C	Greene (Paragould) zard (Melbourne) _aw rence (Walnut Ridge)	282 39	228		0	0	0	4	1	
8	zard (Melbourne) _aw rence (Walnut Ridge)	39		18	0	0	0	15	16	
8 L 8 M 8 R 8 S 9 C 9 C 9 C 9 C	aw rence (Walnut Ridge)			0	0	0	0	0	3	
8 M 8 M 8 R 8 S 9 C 9 C 9 C 9 C		731	36 67	0	0	0	0	2	3	
8 M 8 R 8 S 9 C 9 C 9 C 9 C	viississippi (Blytneville) – I				0	0	0	0	7	
9 C 9 C 9 C 9 C		55	38	10	0	0	0	1	1	
9 C 9 C 9 C 9 C	Mississippi (Osceola)	30	11		0	0	0	0	1	
9 C 9 C 9 C 9 Ir	Randolph (Pocahontas)	40	39	0			0	0	0	
9 C 9 C 9 C	Sharp (Ash Flat)	44	43	440	0	0	0	37	72	
9 C 9 C	Area Total	905	680	110	0	U	U	31	12	
9 C 9 C	Cleburne (Heber Springs)	106	91	0	0	0	0	8	4	
9 C	Crittenden (West Memphis)	174	43	114	0	0	0	1	14	
9 ln	Cross (Wynne)	54	29	19	0	0	0	0	6	
	ndependence (Batesville)	165	132	2	0	0	0	7	22	
	Jackson (New port)	77	37	19	0	0	0	4	14	
_	Poinsett (Harrisburg)	135	117	1	0	0	0	2	11	
_	Stone (Mountain View)	34	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	
_	White (Searcy)	223	192	4	0	0	0	8	17	
$\overline{}$	Noodruff (Augusta)	27	17	5	0	0	0	2	3	
-	Area Total	995	692	164	0	0	0	32	91	
							0			
	Arkansas (Dew itt)	22	15	1	0	0	0	2	3	
	Arkansas (Stuttgart)	24	8	9	0	0	0	0		
_	Ashley (Hamburg)	32	9	17	0	0	0	4	1	
_	Chicot (Lake Village)	37	9	26	0	0	0	1	1	
_	Desha (McGehee)	36	22	10	0	0	0	0	3	
_	Drew (Monticello)	56	33	18	0	0	0	2	2	
	_ee (Marianna)	12	7	5	0	0	0	0	0	
	Monroe (Brinkley)	5	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	
		6	2	3	0	0	0	0	1	
_	Monroe (Clarendon)	63	22	38	0	0	0	0	3	
	Monroe (Clarendon) Phillips (Helena)		12	49	0	0	0	6	3	
A	Monroe (Clarendon) Phillips (Helena) St. Francis (Forrest City)	70		177						
S	Monroe (Clarendon) Phillips (Helena)		143	177	0	0	0	15	20	

Appendix C: Children in Foster Care by Age

rea	Children in Foste	Total		6-11 years	12-14 years	15+ years	Unknow
			o o you.o	o . i youro	12 14 yours	io years	Onknow
1	Benton (Bentonville)	370	166	110	38	53	
1	Carroll (Berryville)	78				9	
1				30	4		
1	Madison (Huntsville)	37	20	6	2	9	
- 1	Washington (Fayetteville)	432	211	106	47	67	
	Area Total	917	431	252	91	138	
2	Craw ford (Van Buren)	100	0.5	57	40		
	Franklin (Ozark)	188	85 28	57 26	16	28	
						13	
	Johnson (Clarksville)	133	66	35	19	13	
	Logan (Booneville)	57	17	27	8	5	
	Logan (Paris)	20	10	5	2	3	
	Scott (Waldron)	56	25	12	10	9	
	Sebastian (Fort Smith)	847	363	229	106	148	
2	Yell (Danville)	53	25	14	7	7	
	Area Total	1,423	619	405	170	226	
2	Clark (Arkendalahia)	4-7	20				
	Clark (Arkadelphia)	47	20	13	6	8	
-	Garland (Hot Springs)	214	86	54	25	48	
	Hot Spring (Malvern)	83	36	29	7	10	
	How ard (Nashville)	24	13	7	1	3	
	Montgomery (Mount Ida)	12	6	2	1	3	
	Perry (Perryville)	43	24	13	4	2	
	Pike (Murfreesboro)	16	10	5	0	1	
	Polk (Mena)	40	17	12	4	7	
3	Saline (Benton)	108	55	27	8	18	
	Area Total	587	267	162	56	100	
	Columbia (Magnolia)	26	12	7	0	7	
	Hempstead (Hope)	34	12	12	4	6	
	Lafayette (Lew isville)	10	5	5	0	0	
$\overline{}$	Little River (Ashdown)	25	15	3	3	3	
	Miller (Texarkana)	177	85	41	25	25	
4	Nevada (Prescott)	6	4	1	0	1	
4	Ouachita (Camden)	37	15	8	6	8	
4	Sevier (DeQueen)	52	22	16	7	7	
4	Union (El Dorado)	108	50	22	15	21	
	Area Total	475	220	115	60	78	
_	Baxter (Mountain Home)	79	29	22	8	19	
-	Boone (Harrison)	119	48	38	15	18	
_	Conw ay (Morrilton)	141	54	37	18	32	
5	Faulkner (Conway)	222	104	62	27	28	
-	Marion (Yellville)	32	11	8	2	11	
$\overline{}$	New ton (Jasper)	11	2	3	3	3	
5	Pope (Russellville)	146	68	45	15	18	
5	Searcy (Marshall)	23	6	6	. 7	4	
5	Van Buren (Clinton)	34	19	3	2	10	
	Area Total	807	341	224	97	143	
					-		
_	Pulaski (East)	108	59	27	7	15	
6	Pulaski (Jacksonville)	164	71	47	20	26	
6	Pulaski (North)	284	150	69	21	44	
6	Pulaski (South)	195	93	44	13	45	250000
6	Pulaski (Southwest)	140	63	42	10	25	
- 1							

Area	County	Total	0-5 years	6-11 years	12-14 years	15+ years	Unknown
7	Deadles (Masses)	20	11	3	1	5	
	Bradley (Warren)	20	11				0
7	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	15	9	3	1	2	
	Cleveland (Rison)	37	17	10	3	7	(
	Dallas (Fordyce)	17	10	4	1	2	(
	Grant (Sheridan)	26	13	4	5	4	(
	Jefferson (Pine Bluff)	233	102	40	29	59	
	Lincoln (Star City)	12	3	3	1	5	(
	Lonoke (Lonoke)	149	71	41	14	23	
7	Prairie (DeValls Bluff)	5	3	1	0	1	
	Area Total	514	239	109	55	108	3
8	Clay (Piggott & Corning)	35	17	12	3	3	
	Craighead (Jonesboro)	259	127	60	21	51	
	Fulton (Salem)	48	18	13	5	12	
	Greene (Paragould)	282	110	85	40	42	
	zard (Melbourne)	39	19	7	. 3	10	
	Law rence (Walnut Ridge)	73	33	21	9	9	
	Mississippi (Blytheville)	55	28	11	5	11	
	Mississippi (Osceola)	30	18	7	1	4	
	Randolph (Pocahontas)	40	13	13	6	8	
	Sharp (Ash Flat)	44	14	14	2	14	
	Area Total	905	397	243	95	164	
	Alea Total	303	557	240		104	
9	Cleburne (Heber Springs)	106	51	33	7	15	
9	Crittenden (West Memphis)	174	84	52	17	21	
9	Cross (Wynne)	54	21	12	8	13	
9	Independence (Batesville)	165	88	45	15	17	
9	Jackson (New port)	77	34	20	11	12	
9	Poinsett (Harrisburg)	135	49	48	20	15	
9	Stone (Mountain View)	34	10	9	4	11	
9	White (Searcy)	223	110	57	26	28	
9	Woodruff (Augusta)	27	8	5	3	11	
	Area Total	995	455	281	111	143	
10	Arkansas (Dew itt)	22	6	4	7	4	
	Arkansas (Stuttgart)	24	10	5	1	4	
	Ashley (Hamburg)	32	8	8	6	9	
	Chicot (Lake Village)	37	19	8	3	7	
	Desha (McGehee)	36	9	12	5	9	11 11 11 11 11 11
		56	23	13	5	14	
	Drew (Monticello)	12	6	3	0	3	
	Lee (Marianna)			2	0	3	31.02
	Monroe (Brinkley)	5	0				
	Monroe (Clarendon)	6	0	3	2	1	
	Phillips (Helena)	63	18	20	10	15	
10	St. Francis (Forrest City)	70	38	15	5	12	
	Area Total	363	137	93	44	81	
	Statewide Total	7,877	3,542	2,113	850	1,336	3
		7.7.	100				

Appendix D: Children in Foster Care by Gender

rea	County	Total	Male	Female	Unknown
1	Benton (Bentonville)	370	201	166	
1	Carroll (Berryville)	78	41		
		37		36	
_	Washington (Fayetteville)	432	15	22	
	Area Total	917	236	195	
	Alea Iolai	917	493	419	
2	Craw ford (Van Buren)	188	92	94	
2	Franklin (Ozark)	69	23	46	
2	Johnson (Clarksville)	133	61	72	
2	Logan (Booneville)	57	33	24	
2	Logan (Paris)	20	12	8	
2	Scott (Waldron)	56	33	23	
2	Sebastian (Fort Smith)	847	431	415	
2	Yell (Danville)	53	25	28	
	Area Total	1,423	710	710	
3	Clark (Arkadalahia)	47	24	20	- Ph. 1
	Clark (Arkadelphia)	47	24	23	
_	Garland (Hot Springs)	214	101	112	
_	Hot Spring (Malvern)	83	41	41	
	How ard (Nashville)	24	11	13	
$\overline{}$	Montgomery (Mount Ida)	12	7	5	
$\overline{}$	Perry (Perryville)	43	24	19	
\rightarrow	Pike (Murfreesboro)	16	11	5	
	Polk (Mena)	40	23	17	
3	Saline (Benton) Area Total	108 587	57	51	
	Alea Total	567	299	286	
4	Columbia (Magnolia)	26	16	10	
4	Hempstead (Hope)	34	19	15	-
	Lafayette (Lew isville)	10	4	6	*
	Little River (Ashdown)	25	16	8	77.00
-	Miller (Texarkana)	177	98	78	100
_	Nevada (Prescott)	6	5	1	
4	Ouachita (Camden)	37	24	13	
_	Sevier (DeQueen)	52	25	27	
_	Union (El Dorado)	108	60	48	
_	Area Total	475	267	206	
	5				
_	Baxter (Mountain Home)	79	44	34	
-	Boone (Harrison)	119	52	67	
_	Conway (Morrilton)	141	72	69	
_	Faulkner (Conway)	222	119	102	
\rightarrow	Marion (Yellville) New ton (Jasper)	32	18	14	
\rightarrow		11	4	7	
$\overline{}$	Pope (Russellville)	146	77	69	
_	Searcy (Marshall)	23	10	13	
$\overline{}$	Van Buren (Clinton) Area Total	807	17 413	17	
-14	nica Iotai	807	413	392	
6	Pulaski (East)	108	65	43	
6	Pulaski (Jacksonville)	164	89	75	
$\overline{}$	Pulaski (North)	284	155	129	
-	Pulaski (South)	195	100	95	
6 1					
_	Pulaski (Southwest)	140	79	61	

rea	County	Total	Male	Female	Unknown
7	Bradley (Warren)	20	10	10	
	Calhoun (Hampton)	15	8	7	
7		37	13	24	
	Cleveland (Rison)	17	8	9	100
	Dallas (Fordyce)		13	13	
	Grant (Sheridan)	26		115	
	Jefferson (Pine Bluff)	233	115		
	Lincoln (Star City)	12	4	8	
	Lonoke (Lonoke)	149	71	78	
	Prairie (DeValls Bluff) Area Total	5 514	2 244	2 67	
	Alea Iolai	314	277	201	
8	Clay (Piggott & Corning)	35	21	14	
8	Craighead (Jonesboro)	259	151	108	
8	Fulton (Salem)	48	32	16	
8	Greene (Paragould)	282	131	146	
8	Izard (Melbourne)	39	18	21	
8	Law rence (Walnut Ridge)	73	38	34	
	Mississippi (Blytheville)	55	29	26	
	Mississippi (Osceola)	30	16	14	
-	Randolph (Pocahontas)	40	18	22	***
	Sharp (Ash Flat)	44	20	24	
	Area Total	905	474	425	
	Cleburne (Heber Springs)	106	55	51	
	Crittenden (West Memphis)	174	97	77	
	Cross (Wynne)	54	27	27	
	Independence (Batesville)	165	90	75	
	Jackson (New port)	77	46	31	
	Poinsett (Harrisburg)	135	73	59	
9	Stone (Mountain View)	34	17	17	
	White (Searcy)	223	117	104	
9	Woodruff (Augusta)	27	12	15	
3801.0	Area Total	995	534	456	
10	Arkansas (Dew itt)	22	9	12	
	Arkansas (Stuttgart)	24	8	12	
	Ashley (Hamburg)	32	17	14	San Alexander II
	Chicot (Lake Village)	37	19	18	
	Desha (McGehee)	36	17	18	
	Drew (Monticello)	56	25	30	
		12	7	5	
	Lee (Marianna)	5	1	4	30000
	Monroe (Brinkley)		3	3	
	Monroe (Clarendon)	63	30	33	
	Phillips (Helena)	1		36	
10	St. Francis (Forrest City)	70	34 170	185	
	Area Total	363	170	100	

Appendix E: Children in Foster Care by Length of Stay

			Less than 30	30 to 90	3 to 6	7 to 12	12 to 24	24 to 36	Over 36
Area	County	Total	Days	Days	Months	Months	Months	Months	Months
1	Benton (Bentonville)	370	31	57	64	79	83	30	2
	Carroll (Berryville)	78	8	21	8	19	14	2	
	Madison (Huntsville)	37	5	14	4	5	6	1	
	Washington (Fayetteville)	432	83	73	46	89	89	28	2
	Area Total	917	127	165	122	192	192	61	5
2	Craw ford (Van Buren)	188	25	24	25	38	42	20	1
	Franklin (Ozark)	69	2	19	7	15	23	3	
2	Johnson (Clarksville)	133	15	30	16	33	24	8	
2	Logan (Booneville)	57	2	9	8	11	18	7	
2	Logan (Paris)	20	1	5	0	9	5	0	
2	Scott (Waldron)	56	6	6	1	9	21	11	
2	Sebastian (Fort Smith)	847	29	84	112	152	240	93	13
	Yell (Danville)	53	1	4	1	13	21	2	1
	Area Total	1,423	81	181	170	280	394	144	17
3	Clark (Arkadelphia)	47	5	4	10	10	11	6	
	Garland (Hot Springs)	214	32	37	40	31	43	9	2
	Hot Spring (Malvern)	83	8	8	18	14	25	7	
	How ard (Nashville)	24	8	4	6	0	2	1	
	Montgomery (Mount Ida)	12	0	4	1	1	4	1	
_	Perry (Perryville)	43	2	9	3	5	11	5	
	Pike (Murfreesboro)	16	0	1	7	6	1	1	
_	Polk (Mena)	40	0	0	6	11	15	1	
3	Saline (Benton)	108	22	17	11	28	18	5	
	Area Total	587	77	84	102	106	130	36	5
4	Columbia (Magnolia)	26	9	9	1	2	4	ol	
	Hempstead (Hope)	34	2	8	7	6	3	5	
	Lafayette (Lew isville)	10	3	1	2	4	0	0	
	Little River (Ashdown)	25	1	3	4	10	5	0	
	Miller (Texarkana)	177	30	16	21	38	35	21	1
	Nevada (Prescott)	6	2	0	2	2	0	0	
_	Ouachita (Camden)	37	15	3	3	9	0	2	
-+	Sevier (DeQueen)	52	7	0	11	9	23	0	
_	Union (目 Dorado)	108	18	27	9	14	19	7	1
	Area Total	475	87	67	60	94	89	35	4
5	Baxter (Mountain Home)	79	12	8	6	12	22	7	1
	Boone (Harrison)	119	32	17	14	20	19	4	1
_	Conway (Morrilton)	141	18	11	27	15	30	20	2
	Faulkner (Conway)	222	52	31	35	31	53	12	
	Marion (Yellville)	32	3	10	1	2	8	4	
	New ton (Jasper)	11	2	0	2	2	3	0	
	Pope (Russellville)	146	11	11	23	32	32	18	1
5	Searcy (Marshall)	23	0	7	3	3	9	0	-
	Van Buren (Clinton)	34	7	4	7	3	5	1	
	Area Total	807	137	99	118	120	181	66	8
6	Pulaski (East)	108	8	5	5	18	39	22	1
	Pulaski (Jacksonville)	164	22	12	21	48	34	15	1
	Pulaski (North)	284	24	44	37	41	67	31	4
_	Pulaski (South)	195	11	14	28	30	44	19	4
265		140	12	26	12	26	28	13	2:
6	Pulaski (Southw est)	14(11							

Area	County	Total	Less than 30 Days	30 to 90 Days	3 to 6 Months	7 to 12 Months	12 to 24 Months	24 to 36 Months	Over 36 Months
						_			
7	Bradley (Warren)	20	1	1	2	3	9	1	3
7	Calhoun (Hampton)	15	1	7	4	2	1	0	C
7	Cleveland (Rison)	37	9	2	10	7	8	0	1
	Dallas (Fordyce)	17	0	2	2	2	9	2	(
	Grant (Sheridan)	26	1	7	5	5	4	1	- 3
	Jefferson (Pine Bluff)	233	51	48	27	35	27	17	28
	Lincoln (Star City)	12	5	3	1	0	2	0	1
7	Lonoke (Lonoke)	149	16	13	10	29	51	22	3
7	Prairie (DeValls Bluff)	5	3	. 0	0	0	1	0	1
	Area Total	514	87	83	61	83	112	43	45
0	Clay (Piggott & Corning)	35	3	2	9	13	3	3	
		259	6	44	35	35	86	22	31
	Craighead (Jonesboro) Fulton (Salem)	48	6	9	33	15	12	1	2
	Greene (Paragould)	282	20	38	50	59	72	17	26
		39	0	12	8	7	4	1	7
	Izard (Melbourne)	73	8	8	6	12	20	9	10
	Law rence (Walnut Ridge)	55		14	5	13	12	0	
	Mississippi (Blytheville)		6		9	11	1	0	2
	Mississippi (Osceola)	30	2	5	7	12	7	1	10
	Randolph (Pocahontas)	40	1	2	7	7	6	2	10
8	Sharp (Ash Flat) Area Total	905	8 60	138	139	184	223	56	105
	Alcu Total	000		,,,,				0	
9	Cleburne (Heber Springs)	106	12	14	21	16	28	10	
9	Crittenden (West Memphis)	174	14	19	47	37	35	8	14
9	Cross (Wynne)	54	2	3	3	6	13	12	15
9	Independence (Batesville)	165	12	18	21	34	45	22	13
9	Jackson (New port)	77	11	3	9	18	16	11	(
9	Poinsett (Harrisburg)	135	21	16	17	23	37	8	13
9	Stone (Mountain View)	34	4	0	12	5	8	4	
9	White (Searcy)	223	57	27	21	27	52	31	8
9	Woodruff (Augusta)	27	7	1	2	5	8	0	
	Area Total	995	140	101	153	171	242	106	82
10	Arkansas (Dew itt)	22	2	2	3	2	8	1	
	Arkansas (Stuttgart)	24	5	0	1	5	4	5	
	Ashley (Hamburg)	32	12	4	3	6	4	1	
	Chicot (Lake Village)	37	2	5	2	18	5	2	
	Desha (McGehee)	36	11	6	0	8	4	1	
	Drew (Monticello)	56	8	8	15	6	13	0	
	Lee (Marianna)	12	0	0	2	3	3	0	
			2	0	0	0	2	. 0	
	Monroe (Brinkley)	5 6	2	3	0	1	0	0	
	Monroe (Clarendon) Phillips (Helena)	63	25	2	7	7	4	5	1:
	St. Francis (Forrest City)	70	15	6	20	15	3	4	1
10	Area Total	363	84	36	53	71	50	19	50
									AV 75
	Statewide Total	7,877	957	1,055	1,081	1,464	1,825	666	829

Appendix F: Services Provided During the Year

\rea	County	FC Cases	PS Cases	PS Children	SS Cases	SS Children	Total
	Benton (Bentonville)	370	318	797	15	29	703
	Carroll (Berryville)	78	32	85	0	0	110
	Madison (Huntsville)	37	37	84	0	0	74
1	Washington (Fayetteville)	432	560	1324	7	14	999
	Area Total	917	947	2,290	22	43	1,886
2	Craw ford (Van Buren)	188	162	200		00	0.55
	Franklin (Ozark)	69	67	380	9	22	359
	Johnson (Clarksville)	133		163	4	19	140
	Logan (Booneville)	57	94	229	3	11	230
	Logan (Paris)	20	46	124	1	1	106
_	Scott (Waldron)	56	30	98	0	0	66
	Sebastian (Fort Smith)	847		68	5	12	91
-	Yell (Danville)	53	278	689	16	31	1141
	Area Total	1,423	768	111	3	10	99
	Area Total	1,423	700	1,862	41	106	2,232
3	Clark (Arkadelphia)	47	32	64	1	2	80
100.00	Garland (Hot Springs)	214	185	390	31	64	430
	Hot Spring (Malvern)	83	58	145	8	22	149
	How ard (Nashville)	24	15	44	5	16	44
	Montgomery (Mount Ida)	12	14	32	0	0	26
	Perry (Perryville)	43	25	59	3	11	71
_	Pike (Murfreesboro)	16	18	55	3	7	37
	Polk (Mena)	40	46	104	3	4	89
	Saline (Benton)	108	165	348	17	28	290
	Area Total	587	558	1,241	71	154	1,216
- 100							.,2.0
4	Columbia (Magnolia)	26	32	68	1	3	59
4	Hempstead (Hope)	34	56	146	2	6	92
$\overline{}$	Lafayette (Lewisville)	10	18	36	7	17	35
4	Little River (Ashdown)	25	35	80	0	0	60
4	Miller (Texarkana)	177	111	262	0	0	288
4	Nevada (Prescott)	6	27	63	2	5	35
4	Ouachita (Camden)	37	56	128	1	3	94
4	Sevier (DeQueen)	52	28	72	0	0	80
4	Union (El Dorado)	108	95	212	6	15	209
	Area Total	475	458	1,067	19	49	952
_	Baxter (Mountain Home)	79	103	201	5	11	187
	Boone (Harrison)	119	136	302	1	2	256
_	Conw ay (Morrilton)	141	63	130	1	. 1	205
_	Faulkner (Conway)	222	303	657	13	29	538
	Marion (Yellville)	32	45	80	3	10	80
$\overline{}$	New ton (Jasper)	11	23	42	0	0	34
	Pope (Russellville)	146	178	357	1	1	325
_	Searcy (Marshall)	23	21	38	0	0	44
5	Van Buren (Clinton)	34	36	82	2	5	72
	Area Total	807	908	1,889	26	59	1,741
6	Pulaski (East)	100	E0	407			16-
U	Pulaski (Last)	108 164	58 77	137	3	4	169
6	i diaski (Jacksoliville)	104	11	161	5	9	246
\rightarrow	Pulaski (North)	204	440	2001			
6	Pulaski (North)	284	149	386	10	16	
6 6	Pulaski (North) Pulaski (South) Pulaski (Southwest)	284 195 140	149 72 88	386 179 219	10 4 6	16 6 14	271 234

Area	County	FC Cases	PS Cases	PS Children	SS Cases	SS Children	Total
							100
7	Bradley (Warren)	20	9	20	1	1	30
7	Calhoun (Hampton)	15	3	6	0	0	18
7	Cleveland (Rison)	37	17	37	1	3	55
7	Dallas (Fordyce)	17	11	21	2	3	30
7	Grant (Sheridan)	26	24	61	4	10	54
7	Jefferson (Pine Bluff)	233	84	220	18	39	335
7	Lincoln (Star City)	12	8	20	3	6	23
	Lonoke (Lonoke)	149	133	314	3	5	285
7	Prairie (DeValls Bluff)	5	14	34	0	0	19
	Area Total	514	303	733	32	67	849
0	Clay (Piggett & Corping)	35	29	72	10	12	74
	Clay (Piggott & Corning)	100000				29	469
	Craighead (Jonesboro)	259	198	534	12		77
	Fulton (Salem)	48	26	54	3	4	
	Greene (Paragould)	282	159	388	4	10	445
	Izard (Melbourne)	39	46	91	2	9	87
	Law rence (Walnut Ridge)	73	26	60	2	3	101
_	Mississippi (Blytheville)	55	62	142	0	0	117
	Mississippi (Osceola)	30	24	77	1	4	55
	Randolph (Pocahontas)	40	33	79	7	13	80
- 8	Sharp (Ash Flat)	44	23	51	10	22	77
	Area Total	905	626	1,548	51	106	1,582
9	Cleburne (Heber Springs)	106	51	108	3	10	160
9	Crittenden (West Memphis)	174	130	385	3	4	307
9	Cross (Wynne)	54	38	98	2	5	94
9	Independence (Batesville)	165	66	155	8	19	239
9	Jackson (New port)	77	58	124	2	6	137
	Poinsett (Harrisburg)	135	112	298	8	13	255
9	Stone (Mountain View)	34	27	54	6	8	67
9	White (Searcy)	223	157	362	3	4	383
9	Woodruff (Augusta)	27	14	33	3	8	44
	Area Total	995	653	1,617	38	77	1,686
10	Arkansas (Dew itt)	22	10	23	0	0	32
	Arkansas (Stuttgart)	24	12	33	1	1	37
	Ashley (Hamburg)	32	59	129	2	0	93
	Chicot (Lake Village)	37	21	54	4	8	62
	Desha (McGehee)	36	22	57	6	12	64
	Drew (Monticello)	56	29	72	4	7	89
	Lee (Marianna)	12	11	19	1	3	24
	Monroe (Brinkley)	5	13	35	2	4	20
	Monroe (Clarendon)	6	2	33	0	0	8
	Phillips (Helena)	63	59	172	0	0	122
	St. Francis (Forrest City)	70	53	130	4	8	127
10		363	291	727	24	43	678
	Area Total	303	291	121	24	43	- 070

Appendix G: Summary of Reports Involving Child Fatality

True Fatality Reports

Services Services Provided Provided Prior to the After the Incident	None PS Case	None PS Case	None	None	None	None	None PS Case	None FC Case	None	PS Case None	None	SS Case FC Case
Legal Ser Action By Pro the Prior Department Inc	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Agency Conducting the Investigation	CACD	CACD	CACD	CACD	CACD	CACD	CACD	CACD	CACD	CACD	CACD	CACD
Relationship of Alleged Offender to the Child	Family Member	Family Member	Family Member	Family Member	Unknown	Family Member	Family Member	Family Member	Family Member	Family Member	Family Member	Family
Placement at the Time of Incident	In-Home	In-Home	In-Home	In-Home	In-Home	In-Home	In-Home	In-Home	In-Home	In-Home	In-Home	In-Home
Allegations or Preliminary Cause of Death	Inadequate Supervision	Inadequate Supervision	Inadequate Supervision	Inadequate Supervision	Inadequate Supervision	Medical Neglect	Inadequate Supervision	Physical Abuse	Environmental Neglect	Inadequate Supervision	Inadequate Supervision	Inadequate Supervision
Date of Death	7/19/2014	7/14/2014	7/26/2014	7/29/2014	10/3/2014	10/5/2014	10/7/2014	10/15/2014	10/24/2014	10/30/2014	11/5/2014	1/18/2015
Gender	Male	Male	Male	Male	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Male	Male	Female
Race	White	White	Black	White	Black	White	White	White	White	White	White	Black
Age	٧	12	2	7	₹	<u>^</u>	₹	7	₹	7	-	₹
County	Lawrence	White	Pulaski	Yell	Arkansas	Independence	Union	Sebastian	Phillips	Pulaski	Craighead	Jefferson
Child	Zaxton Dickson	Cody Miller	Kamarion Hughes	Harper Floyd	Zicoerion Reed	Angelia Coles	Izriiael Jones	Naomi Nichols	Zachary Williams	Sebastian Hudspeth	Tatum Caubble	Shamya Marshall

Arkansas Division of Children and Family Services 35

Child	County	Age	Race	Gender	Date of Death	Allegations or Preliminary Cause of Death	Placement at the Time of Incident	Relationship of Alleged Offender to the Child	Agency Conducting the Investigation	Legal Action By the Department	Services Provided Prior to the Incident	Services Provided After the Incident
Daniel Campbell Jr.	Garland	2	White	Male	11/8/2014	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None
Hailey Cox	Craighead	7	White	Female	11/19/2014	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	PS Case	None
Joslyn Gibson	Lawrence	₹	White	Female	11/26/2014	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	FC Case
Luceilla Bokker	St. Francis	₹	White	Female	11/30/2014	Neglect	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None
Erin Tash	Sebastian	4	White	Female	12/16/2014	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	FC Case
Braden Bohac	Saline	4	White	Male	12/17/2014	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	САСБ	None	PS Case	FC Case
Angel Rose Lee	Greene	2	White	Female	12/31/2014	Medical Neglect	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	FC Case
Nova Mott	Crittenden	7	Black	Female	1/2/2015	Medical Neglect	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None
Blaykin Bryant	Conway	7	White	Male	1/13/2015	Neglect	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	PS Case
Brandaisha White	Jefferson	۲>	Black	Female	1/14/2015	Medical Neglect	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None
Andrew Moss	Benton	7	White	Male	1/25/2015	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	FC Case
Carmen Wright	Craighead	7	Black	Female	1/25/2015	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	PS Case
Joshua Wilson	St. Francis	12	Black	Male	10/25/2014	Abuse with Deadly Weapon	In-Home	Out-of-Home Offender	CACD	None	None	PS Case

Arkansas Division of Children and Family Services 36

Child	County	Age	Race	Gender	Date of Death	Allegations or Preliminary Cause of Death	Placement at the Time of Incident	Relationship of Alleged Offender to the Child	Agency Conducting the Investigation	Legal Action By the Department	Services Provided Prior to the Incident	Services Provided After the Incident
Daeshon Armstrong	Pulaski	₹	Black	Female	1/27/2015	Abuse	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	FC Case
Christopher Moore	Conway	₹	White	Male	1/30/2015	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None
Niko Trimmer	Fulton	7	White	Male	2/7/2015	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	PS Case
Daniyla Bedford	Pulaski	₹	Black	Female	2/7/2015	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None
Isaiah Torres	Benton	9	Hispanic	Male	3/30/2015	Physical Abuse	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	FC Case
Nikiya Robinson	Lonoke	۲	Black	Female	4/15/2015	Physical Abuse	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	FC Case
Madison Battles	Jefferson	۲	Black	Female	4/17/2015	Environmental Neglect	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	FC Case
Allie Sills	Craighead	۲	White	Female	4/26/2014	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None
Ross Wilson	Saline	⊽	White	Male	5/10/2015	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	PS Case
Benjamin Schrader	Jefferson	S	White	Male	5/15/2015	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	PS Case
Jordan Allen	Faulkner	⊽	Black	Female	6/24/2015	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	PS Case	FC Case
Chailey Dortch	Greene	7	White	Female	6/28/2015	Neglect	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	PS Case
Sophia Snowden	White	7	White	Female	8/20/2014	Physical Abuse	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None

Arkansas Division of Children and Family Services 37

Unsubstantiated Fatality Reports

Child	County	Age	Race	Gender	Date of Death	Allegations or Preliminary Cause of Death	Placement at the Time of Incident	Relationship of Alleged Offender to the Child	Agency Conducting the Investigation	Legal Action By the Department	Services Provided Prior to the Incident	Services Provided After the Incident
Ryver King	Benton	7	White	Male	10/21/2014	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None
Caroline Mabry	Pulaski	⊽	White	Female	10/31/2014	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None
Ja'caden Wilson	Yell	2	Black	Male	12/31/2014	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None
Sonja Nunez	White	7	White	Female	3/27/2015	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	FC Case
Baby Bynum	Drew	₹	White	Unknown	4/1/2015	Medical Neglect	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	FC Case
Tommy Conway	Pulaski	7	White	Female	4/26/2015	Neglect	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None
Preston Poston	Arkansas	7	White	Male	5/5/2015	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Unknown	CACD	None	None	None
Ember Strickland	Lawrence	ω	White	Female	5/11/2015	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Unknown	CACD	None	None	None

Pending Fatality Reports

Age Race	Rac	e e	Gender	Date of Death	Allegations or Preliminary Cause of Death	Placement at the Time of Incident	Relationship of Alleged Offender to the Child	Agency Conducting the Investigation	Legal Action By the Department	Services Provided Prior to the Incident	Services Provided After the Incident
11 White	White		Male	3/27/2015	Physical Abuse	In-Home	Mother's Live In	CACD	None	None	FC Case
<1 White	White		Female	4/8/2015	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None
<1 Black	Black		Female	5/7/2015	Physical Abuse	In-Home	Unknown	CACD	None	None	None
<1 White	White		Male	5/23/2015	Physical Abuse	In-Home	Unknown	CACD	None	None	None
<1 White	White		Female	6/29/2015	Neglect	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	PS Case

Appendix H: Summary of Reports Involving Near Child Fatality

True Near Fatality Reports

There were no true near child fatality reports during SFY 2015.

Unsubstantiated Near Fatality Reports

Services Provided After the Incident	PS Case	PS Case	None
Services Provided Prior to the Incident	None	None	None
Legal Action By the Department	None	None	None
Agency Conducting the Investigation	DCFS	CACD	CACD
Relationship of Alleged Offender to the Child	Family Member	Family Member	Family Member
Placement at the Time of Incident	In-Home	In-Home	In-Home
Allegations or Preliminary Cause of Near Fatality	Medical Neglect	Physical Abuse	Inadequate Supervision
Date of Near Fatality	9/11/2014	3/11/2015	6/13/15
Gender	Male	Female	Female
Race	Black	White	Black
Age	~	₹	~
County	Hempstead	White	Union
Child	Child #1	Child #2	Child #3


Pending Near Fatality Reports

There were no pending near child fatality reports during SFY 2015.

Appendix I: Fatalities of Children in Foster Care Who Did Not Receive a Maltreatment Investigation

Services Provided After the Incident	None	None
Services Provided Prior to the Incident	Foster Care	Foster Care
Legal Action By the Department	None	None
Placement at the Time of Incident	Foster Care	Foster Care
Preliminary Cause of Death	Medically Complex	Medical Condition
Date of Death	7/11/2014	2/23/2015
Gender	Male	Female
Race	White	White
Age	4	9
County Age	Baxter	Benton
Child	Child #1	Child #2

Appendix J: Average Caseload as of the End of SFY 2015, by County



Due to the fact that Prairie and Newton counties did not have any family service workers at the end of SFY 2015, their cases were assigned to workers from other counties.

Appendix K: Foster Home Closure Reasons

Area	endix K: Foster	Total	DHS		Non- Compliance/ Failed Re- Evaluation	Other	Provider End Dated	Provider Request	Provider/ Services No Longer Needed	Death of Parent	Founded Abuse/ Neglect
1	Benton (Bentonville)	65	1	5	0	8	32	10			
	Carroll (Berryville)	5	0	0	0		1	13	6	0	0
	Madison (Huntsville)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington (Fayetteville)	49	4	1	0		15	14	3	0	1
	Area Total	120	5	6	0	23	48	27	10	0	1
	Craw ford (Van Buren)	19	1	1	1	3	4	9	0	0	0
	Franklin (Ozark)	6	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	1
	Johnson (Clarksville)	7	0	1	0	0	5	1	0	0	0
	Logan (Booneville)	4	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0
2	Logan (Paris)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Scott (Waldron)	6	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	0
	Sebastian (Fort Smith)	38	3	0	1	9	6	19	0	0	0
	Yell (Danville)	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Area Total	83	5	2	2	17	22	34	0	0	1
3	Clark (Arkadelphia)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0		
	Garland (Hot Springs)	7	0	0	0	0	1 5	0	0	0	0
	Hot Spring (Malvern)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Howard (Nashville)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montgomery (Mount Ida)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Perry (Perryville)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pike (Murfreesboro)	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Polk (Mena)	5	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0
	Saline (Benton)	15	2	0	0	2	4	6	1	0	0
_	Area Total	30	2	0	0	3	14	8	3	0	0
									4		
	Columbia (Magnolia)	4	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0
	Hempstead (Hope)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Lafayette (Lew isville)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
_	Little River (Ashdown)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Miller (Texarkana)	5	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0
	Nevada (Prescott)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
_	Ouachita (Camden)	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Sevier (DeQueen)	4	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0
	Union (日 Dorado)	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
	Area Total	20	1	1	1	0	10	7	0	0	0
5	Baxter (Mountain Home)	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	o	0	0
	Boone (Harrison)	7	0	0	0	0	5	0	2	0	0
	Conway (Morrilton)	4	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
	Faulkner (Conway)	28	5	1	0	0	17	5	0	0	0
	Marion (Yellville)	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	New ton (Jasper)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
5	Pope (Russellville)	8	0	0	0	3	0	5	0	0	0
5 5	Searcy (Marshall)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
5 \	Van Buren (Clinton)	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Area Total	55	8	1	0	5	24	13	4	0	0
61,	Pulaski	- 1	- 1						7.4		
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pulaski (East)	12	1	0	0	1	7	2	1	0	0
	Pulaski (Jacksonville)	12	1	0	0	2	7	2	0	0	0
	Adapti (North)	4.01									
6 F	Pulaski (North)	13	0	0	0	0	9	3	1	0	0
6 F	Pulaski (North) Pulaski (South) Pulaski (Southw est)	13 35 9	3	0	0	0 2 0	9 18 4	3 12	0 0	0	0

Area	County	Total	DHS	Family No Longer Interested	Non- Compliance/ Failed Re- Evaluation	Other	Provider End Dated	Provider Request	Provider/ Services No Longer Needed		Founded Abuse/ Neglect
7	Bradley (Warren)	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0
7	Calhoun (Hampton)	1	0	0	0	0	0	. 1	0	0	0
7	Cleveland (Rison)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	Dallas (Fordyce)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	Grant (Sheridan)	3	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
7	Jefferson (Pine Bluff)	12	1	1	0	0	5	1			- 0
7	Lincoln (Star City)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0			
7	Lonoke (Lonoke)	14	0	1	0	1	9	3			
7	Prairie (DeValls Bluff)	1	0	1	0	0		0			
	Area Total	36	2	3	0	1	17	7	5	1	0
8	Clay (Piggott & Corning)	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Craighead (Jonesboro)	33	1	0	0	2	18	9	3	, 0	0
	Fulton (Salem)	3	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	C	0
	Greene (Paragould)	13	1	0	0	0	4	7	1	0	0
	zard (Melbourne)	4	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0
	Law rence (Walnut Ridge)	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Mississippi (Blytheville)	6	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	C	0
8	Mississippi (Osceola)	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	C	0
8	Randolph (Pocahontas)	6	0	1	0	0	3	2	0	C	0
8	Sharp (Ash Flat)	5	0	0	0	0	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	3			
	Area Total	77	3	2	0	4	37	27	4	0	0
9	Cleburne (Heber Springs)	9	1	0	0	C	5	1	2	· C	0
	Crittenden (West Memphis)	9	1	0	1	C	1	5	1	C	0
	Cross (Wynne)	6	-	- 0	0	C	1	4	1	C	0
	Independence (Batesville)	19	0	3	0	6	7	3	0	C	0
	Jackson (New port)	3		0	0	1	1	1	C	C	0
	Poinsett (Harrisburg)	3	0	0	0	C	1	1	1	C	0
	Stone (Mountain View)	3	0	0	0	C	1	2	C	C	0
	White (Searcy)	16	0	0	0	C	10	5	1	C	
9	Woodruff (Augusta)	1	0	0		C		0			
	Area Total	69	2	3	1	7	28	22	6	0	0
10	Arkansas (Dew itt)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
	Arkansas (Stuttgart)	2	1	0	0	C	0	1	C	0	0
	Ashley (Hamburg)	0	0	0	0	C	0	C	0	(
	Chicot (Lake Village)	2	0	2	0	C	0	C	C		
	Desha (McGehee)	0	0	0	0	C	0	C	C) (
	Drew (Monticello)	4	0	0	0	C	0	4) (
	Lee (Marianna)	3	0	0	0	C	2	1	0) (
	Monroe (Brinkley)	0	0	0	0	C	0			(
	Monroe (Clarendon)	0	0	0	0	C	0				
	Phillips (Helena)	3	1	0	0	C	0			(
	St. Francis (Forrest City)	3	1	2	0	C	0				
	Area Total	17	3	4	. 0	0	2	8	0	0	0
aal	Out of State	24	7	1	0	5	8	C) 3		0 0
	Statewide Total	612		23		70		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH			

Appendix L: Summary of Foster Care Maltreatment Reports

Characteristics of Children in Foster Care Involved in Allegations of Maltreatment, SFY 2015

Four hundred and sixteen reports of alleged maltreatment, involving 516 children in foster care, were received by the hotline during SFY 2015.


In 141 reports involving 178 children, foster parents⁴ were identified as the alleged offenders. As shown by the following chart, 17 of those reports were found to be true and nearly all of the homes were subsequently closed or made unavailable pending due process. One home was approved to remain open for the sole purpose of serving only one child who has been residing in the home for four years (a protection plan was also put in place).

Foster Home Maltreatment Reports SFY 2015

True	Unsubstantiated	Pending
17	123	1

All allegations of maltreatment concerning foster homes are investigated by the Crimes Against Children Division (CACD) of the Arkansas State Police. DCFS staff do not actively participate in the investigation of these reports. Allegations of maltreatment regarding foster homes require the agency to assess the safety of all children residing in the home.


The following charts describe the characteristics of the 178 foster children involved in allegations of maltreatment, with foster parents as the alleged offenders.




Arkansas Division of Children and Family Services

⁴ This includes foster family homes and therapeutic foster homes


Race/Ethnicity



Gender



Allegation



County of Foster Homes in Which Alleged Maltreatment Occurred

County	Number of Children Involved in Maltreatment Report	County	Number of Children Involved in Maltreatment Report
Pulaski	27	Franklin	2
Benton	19	Boone	2
Washington	13	Randolph	2
Sebastian	10	Sharp	2
Craighead	10	Lee	2
Johnson	8	Madison	2
Crittenden	8	Searcy	2
Greene	7	Jackson	2
Jefferson	7	Van Buren	1
St. Francis	5	Sevier	1
Fulton	4	Cleveland	1
Faulkner	4	Saline	1
Mississippi	4	Lawrence	1
Newton	4	Ouachita	1
Phillips	4	Drew	1
Conway	4	White	1
Clay	4	Garland	1
Cleburne	3	Ashley	1
Lonoke	3	Pope	1
Crawford	3		