

# Oversight Report Major Ron Stayton Crimes Against Children Division

(JULY - SEPTEMBER 2016)

## Introduction

This report shows the performance of the Arkansas State Police's Crimes Against Children Division (CACD) in the *first quarter* of the Fiscal year 2017.

The CACD is evaluated on six (6) performance indicators of compliance, which were developed during the Angela R. lawsuit in Federal Court in the 1990's. Also, the indicators are a part of the oversight of the agreement with the Arkansas Department of Human Services – Division of Children and Family Services (DCFS).

- ✓ Indicator 01b The alleged victim(s) in Priority I reports is seen by an investigator within 24 hours.
- ✓ Indicator O2b The alleged victim(s) in Priority II reports is seen by an investigator within 72 hours.
- ✓ Indicator o3b All children in the home are seen during the investigation.
- ✓ Indicator 04b The victim is interviewed/observed outside the presence of the offender.
- ✓ Indicator o5b Parents/Caretakers are interviewed in all investigations.
- ✓ Indicator o6b Investigations are concluded within 45 days of receipt of the allegation.

This report presents the Crimes Against Children Division's compliance rate with each of the performance indicators, followed by the individual sections' activity. The self-monitored areas are included in the appropriate section; if not previously presented in the performance indicator information.


## **Compliance Rates**

By agreement with the Department of Human Services, the Crimes Against Children Division is required to meet a minimum of 85% compliance in each Performance Indicator. The compliance rates reported here are from the monthly Compliance Outcome Report (COR) published by the Division of Children and Family Services.

Performance Indicators 1b and 2b require that victim children are seen within 24 hours in a Priority I and 72 hours in a Priority II allegation, respectively.


The alleged victim(s) in **Priority I** reports is seen by an investigator within 24 hours

The alleged victim(s) in **Priority II** reports is seen by an investigator within 72 hours




Performance indicators 3b – All children in the home are seen during the investigation.

All children in the home are seen during the investigation




Performance indicator 4b – The child is interviewed (non-verbal children observed) outside the presence of the alleged offender.

The victim is interviewed/oberserved outside the presence of the offender




Performance indicators 5b - Parents/Caretakers are interviewed in all cases.

Parents/Caretakers are interviewed in all investigations



Performance indicator 6b – Investigations completed within 45 days of receipt.



## **Child Abuse Hotline**

Hotline activity during the *three* (3) months:

	<u>Calls</u>	<b>Reports</b>
	<b>Received</b>	<b>Accepted</b>
July	4,616	2,556
August	5,394	3,143
September	<u>5,586</u>	3,393
Total	15,596	9,092

The Hotline dispatched **1,584** *Priority I* cases for investigation by the **CACD** during the three-month period, while **DCFS** was assigned **6,296** *Priority II* cases and **1,212** for *Differential Responses*.

# **Investigation Section**

As demonstrated in the chart, the 'true' rate averaged 36% for the months of July, August and September.

<b>Month</b>	<b>Opened</b>	Closed	<u>True</u>	Sub Rate	<b>Total Active</b>	Active >45
July	511	492	174	35%	969	295
August	512	526	193	37%	947	263
September	<u>561</u>	<u>487</u>	<u>170</u>	<u>35%</u>	1,122	<u>306</u>
Total	1,584	1,505	537	36%	3,038	864

When a Priority I allegation is received, local law enforcement is notified. The local agency has the option of conducting the investigation independently or jointly with CACD, or declining involvement at that point. If local authorities decline to investigate and if the CACD investigator finds sufficient information to suspect that a serious crime has occurred, the investigator shall contact the State Police Criminal Investigation Division (CID) and request that a criminal investigation be conducted.

# **SECTION II**

# CRIMES AGAINST CHILDREN DIVISION

# REPORTING BY MANDATED REPORTERS

**COUNTY TOTALS** 

SEVERE PHYSICAL & SEXUAL ABUSE ALLEGATIONS REPORTED BY TYPE

**JULY - SEPTEMBER 2016** 

**QUARTERLY REPORT** 

Attorney Ad Litem	33
CACD Employee	83
CASA/Volunteer	12
Child Advocacy/Child Saftey Center Employee	82
Child Care Worker or Foster Care Worker	33
Clergy	22
Contracted DHS Employee	0
Counselor/Therapist	1080
Coroner / Medical Examiner	12
Court Personnel	13
Day Care Provider	188
Dental Hygienst	0
Dentist	1
DHS Employee	484
Domestic Abuse Advocate	13
Domestic Violence Shelter Employee/Volunteer	19
FINS Officer	9
Foster Parent	40
Hospital Personnel	239
Judge	4
Juvenille Probation Officer	94
Law Enforcement	2019
Mental Health Professional	319
Nurse/Other Medical Professional	714
Physician	70
Prosecuting Attorney	7
Rape Crisis Advocate	1
Resident Intern	0
School	505
School Counselor	723
School Official	208
Teacher	500
Sexual Abuse Advocate	0
Social Worker	527
Surgeon	0
Victim Assistance Professional	38
Victim/Witness Coordinator	12
MANDATED REPORTERS	8,104

# ASP-CACD JULY - SEPTEMBER 2016

COUNTY	CASES ASSIGNED	ESTIMATED POPULATION (US Census Bureau 2015)	County Percentage
Arkansas	11	18,433	0.0007
Ashley	6	20,838	0.0004
Baxter	20	41,053	0.0004
Benton	114	249,672	0.0005
Boone	24	37,222	0.0006
Bradley	4	11,094	0.001
Calhoun	1	5,229	0.0005
Carroll	20	27,704	0.0005
Chicot	7	11,027	0.0005
Clark	13	22,633	0.0009
Clay	12	15,109	0.0005
Cleburne	11	25,467	0.0005
Cleveland	3	8,311	0.0004
Columbia	8	24,114	0.0006
Conway	7	21,019	0.0005
Craighead	69	104,354	0.0004
Crawford	29	61,703	0.0006
Crittenden	27	48,963	0.0006
Cross	14	17,284	0.0007
Dallas	8	7,604	0.0003
Desha	5	11,965	0.0002
Drew	2	18,778	0.0001
Faulkner	58	121,552	0.001
Franklin	18	17,702	0.0005
Fulton	8	12,204	0.0004
Garland	59	97,177	0.0006
Grant	23	18,102	0.0008
Greene	46	44,196	0.0007
Hempstead	11	22,084	0.0004
Hot Spring	16	33,426	0.003
Howard	9	13,300	0.0005
Independence	19	37,052	0.0004
Izard	6	13,445	0.0006
Jackson	12	17,338	0.0004
Jefferson	30	71,565	0.0004
Johnson	14	26,141	0.0008
Lafayette	4	6,996	0.0003
Lawrence	9	16,779	0.0004
Lee	3	9,650	0.0005
Lincoln	8	13,820	0.0007
Little River	6	12,472	0.0004

### ASP-CACD JULY - SEPTEMBER 2016

COUNTY	CASES ASSIGNED	ESTIMATED POPULATION (US Census Bureau 2015)	County Percentage
Logan	8	21,714	0.0008
Lonoke	36	71,645	0.0008
Madison	9	15,767	0.0005
Marion	10	16,185	0.0005
Miller	23	43,908	0.0005
Mississippi	33	43,738	0.0008
Monroe	3	7,399	0.0007
Montgomery	1	8,970	0.0008
Nevada	9	8,558	0.0002
Newton	3	7,913	0.0004
Ouachita	14	24,358	0.0006
Perry	3	10,189	0.0004
Phillips	16	19,513	0.0006
Pike	3	10,824	0.0004
Poinsett	16	24,040	0.0006
Polk	14	20,216	0.0005
Pope	34	63,390	0.0005
Prairie	4	8,291	0.0004
Pulaski	157	392,664	0.0005
Randolph	17	17,469	0.0002
St. Francis	8	26,589	0.0007
Saline	46	117,460	0.0005
Scott	6	10,513	0.0009
Searcy	2	7,869	0.0005
Sebastian	86	127,780	0.0005
Sevier	8	17,290	0.0006
Sharp	10	16,912	0.0005
Stone	3	12,456	0.0004
Union	23	40,144	0.0004
Van Buren	16	16,771	0.0004
Washington	137	225,477	0.0005
White	36	79,161	0.0006
Woodruff	2	6,741	0.0004
Yell	14	21,713	0.0003
TOTAL	1,584		

### ABUSE ALLEGATIONS REPORTED BY TYPE

JULY 2016		AUGUST 2016		SEPTEMBER 2016	
Allegation Title	# Reported	Allegation Title	# Reported	Allegation Title	# Reported
Bruises, Cuts & Welts	17	Bruises, Cuts & Welts	13	Bruises, Cuts & Welts	15
Abuse with a Deadly		Abuse with a Deadly		Abuse with a Deadly	
Weapon  Bone Fractures	7	Weapon  Bone Fractures	15	Weapon Abandonment / Bone Fractures	1/11
Brain Damage/Skull Fracture	7	Brain Damage/Skull Fracture	1	Brain Damage/Skull Fracture	1
Burns/Scalding	17	Burns/Scalding	21	Burns/Scalding	23
Failure to Protect/Thrive	21/0	Failure to Protect/Thrive	20/5	Failure to Protect/Thrive	19/4
Immersion/DEATH	1/6	Immersion/DEATH	3/4	Immersion/DEATH	2/2
Indecent Exposure	22	Indecent Exposure	15	Indecent Exposure	20
Internal Injuries	0	Internal Injuries	0	Internal Injuries	0
Juvenile Aggressor	41	Juvenile Aggressor	32	Juvenile Aggressor	35
Malnutrition	0	Malnutrition	4	Malnutrition	2
Medical Neglect of Dis. Infant	0	Medical Neglect of Dis. Infant	0	Medical Neglect of Dis. Infant	0
Oral Sex/Mental Injury	30/0	Oral Sex/Mental Injury	37/2	Oral Sex/Mental Injury	43/1
Poison/Noxious	1	Poison/Noxious	6	Poison/Noxious	1
Pornography	20	Pornography	11	Pornography	13
Sexual Contact	279	Sexual Contact	264	Sexual Contact	339
Sexual Exploitation	19	Sexual Exploitation	10	Sexual Exploitation	7
Sexual Penetration	107	Sexual Penetration	98	Sexual Penetration	138
Sexual Solicitation/Voyeurism	7/2	Sexual Solicitation/Voyeurism	5/1	Sexual Solicitation/Voyeurism	4/12
Subdural Hematoma	3	Subdural Hematoma	0	Subdural Hematoma	2
Suffocation	15	Suffocation	22	Suffocation	29
Threat of Harm	20	Threat of Harm	23	Threat of Harm	17
Total for Month	646	Total for Month	615	Total for Month	745

# **SECTION III**

# CRIMES AGAINST CHILDREN DIVISION

# ALLEGATION TYPES AND ALLEGED VICTIM CHILDREN &

# IDENTIFIED ALLEGED OFFENDER RELATIONSHIPS WITH ALLEGED VICTIM CHILDREN

CACD Breakdown of the Allegation Types and Alleged Victim Children that were Cited in All Referrals that were Accepted for Investigation JULY - SEPTEMBER 2016

ALLEGATION TYPE	Number of Referrals in which Allegation was Cited	Percentage (%) of All Referrals in which Allegation was Cited	Total Alleged Victim Children Involved in Allegation	0 to 1 Years	%	2 to 5 Years	%	6 to 9 Years	%	10 to 13 Years	%	14 Years and Older	%	Age Uknown	%
Sexual Contact	854	66.8	930	7	0.007	160	0.17	160	0.17	232	0.25	355	0.38	16	0.017
Sexual Penetration	396	31	415	2	0.005	49	0.12	46	0.11	95	0.23	216	0.52	7	0.017
Oral Sex	149	11.6	155	1	900.0	24	0.15	28	0.18	31	0.2	68	0.44	3	0.19
Sexual Exploitation	37	2.9	40	0	0	4	0.01	11	0.27	8	0.2	16	0.4	1	0.025
Indecent Exposure	02	5.5	83	0	0	10	0.12	30	0.36	21	0.25	21	0.25	1	0
Voyerisum	16	1.3	17	0	0	0	0	2	0.12	8	0.47	7	0.41	0	0.016
Live Sex Acts/Pornography (Exposure)	48	3.8	63	0	0	12	0.19	19	0.3	16	0.25	15	0.24	1	0
Live Sex Acts/Pornography (Energ.)	3	0.2	4	0	0	1	0.25	1	0.25	1	0.25	1	0.25	0	0
Live Sex Acts/Pornography ( Permit. )	3	0.2	4	0	0	2	0.5	2	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Live Sex Acts/Pornography (Forcing)	9	0.5	12	0	0	2	0.17	∞	0.7	1	0.08	1	0.08	0	0
Total of Victim's by Age				10		264		307		413		700		29	

\*Multiple Allegations Can Be Cited for Each Referral

Breakdown of the Identified Relationships that Alleged Offenders have with Alleged Victim Children, by Allegation Type JULY - SEPTEMBER 2016

# Breakdown of the Identified Relationships that Alleged Offenders have with Alleged Victim Children, by Allegation Type JULY - SEPTEMBER 2016

		T	Г																
Unknown	1	2	32	2	2	22	ε	1	1	5	ı	П	0	2	1	0	1	0	0
70 and Older	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
60 to 69 Years	0	1	3	0	c	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 to 59 Years	0	7	3	0	0	2	0	3	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
40 to 49 Years	1	10	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	3	1	4	0	0	0	0	0
30 to 39 Years	4	23	22	1	1	0	2	0	0	9	2	7	2	10	0	1	0	0	0
20 to 29 Years	2	10	24	9	6	8	5	0	2	12	Т	5	0	9	1	1	0	0	1
10 to 19 Years	0	0	40	17	13	1	11	0	4	5	0	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
0 to 9 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Referrals in which Relationship Type was Identified	8	54	136	29	31	28	21	11	8	30	5	22	3	24	4	2	1	1	Т
Type of Relationship that Offender Has with Alleged Victim(s)	Mother	Father	No Relation	Brother	Friend	Unknown	Cousin	Grandparent	No Selection	Live-in/Significant Other	Other Relative	Aunt/Uncle	Staff Person	StepFather	Neighbor	Foster Father	Student	Great Grandparent	Teacher
Total Number of Relationship Types Cited for Allegation	419																		
ALLEGATION TYPE	Sexual Penetration				TO ACCUSE A MARCO.														

Breakdown of the Identified Relationships that Alleged Offenders have with Alleged Victim Children, by Allegation Type

ALLEGATION TYPE	Total Number of Relationship Types Cited for Allegation	Type of Relationship that Offender Has with Alleged Victim(s)	Number of Referrals in which Relationship Type was Identified	0 to 9 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 to 29 Years	30 to 39 Years	40 to 49 Years	50 to 59 Years	60 to 69 Years	70 and Older	Unknown
Sex (Oral)	153	Mother	9	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	1
		Father	21	0	0	е	11	4	0	0	0	3
		No Relation	43	0	17	7	2	8	1	1	1	8
		Brother	14	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
		Friend	6	0	3	4	1	0	0	1	0	0
		Unknown	9	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	2
		Cousin	16	0	12	1	2	0	0	0	0	1
		Aunt/Uncle	14	0	2	3	2	0	1	0	0	3
		Grandparent	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	0	0
		Live-in/Significant Other	9	0	Н	2	2	ч	0	0	0	0
		Stepfather	٤	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
		Teacher	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
		Staff Person (Institution/Facility)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
		No Selection	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
		Other Relative	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
		Neighbor	1	0	Н	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Breakdown of the Identified Relationships that Alleged Offenders have with Alleged Victim Children, by Allegation Type

<u> </u>	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	ř	· ·		·	-	_	-
Unknown	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
70 and Older	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 to 69 Years	0	0	0	0	0	П	0	0	0	0	0	0	П	0
50 to 59 Years	1	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40 to 49 Years	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
30 to 39 Years	3	4	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
20 to 29 Years	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
10 to 19 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
0 to 9 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Referrals in which Relationship Type was Identified	7	13	5	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
Type of Relationship that Offender Has with Alleged Victim(s)	Mother	Father	No Relation	Aunt/Uncle	Unknown	Grandparent	Stepfather	Cousin	Day Care	Friend	Brother	No Selection	Other Relative	Guardian
Total Number of Relationship Types Cited for Allegation	39													
ALLEGATION TYPE	Sexual Exploitation													

# Breakdown of the Identified Relationships that Alleged Offenders have with Alleged Victim Children, by Allegation Type

Control of the Contro		Control of the Contro				The second secon	The state of the s					
ALLEGATION TYPE	Total Number of Relationship Types Cited for Allegation	Type of Relationship that Offender Has with Alleged Victim(s)	Number of Referrals in which Relationship Type was Identified	0 to 9 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 to 29 Years	30 to 39 Years	40 to 49 Years	50 to 59 Years	60 to 69 Years	70 and Older	Unknown
Indecent Exposure	9/	Mother	4	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
		Father	12	0	0	0	3	4	1	1	0	3
		No Relation	11	0	5	4	1	0	1	0	0	0
		Brother	8	0	∞	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Cousin	5	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
		Stepfather	4	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1
		Aunt/Uncle	8	0	2	1	2	2	1	0	0	0
		Unknown	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
		Live in/Significant Other	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
		Other Relative	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	н	0	0
		Neighbor	4	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
		Great Grandparent	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
		No Selection	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
		Staff Person	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Friend	4	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
		Teacher	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
		Stepmother	2	0	0	1	н	0	0	0	0	0
		Grandparent	9	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	0
ALLEGATION TYPE	Total Number of Relationship Types Cited for Allegation	Type of Relationship that Offender Has with Alleged Victim(s)	Number of Referrals in which Relationship Type was Identified	0 to 9 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 to 29 Years	30 to 39 Years	40 to 49 Years	50 to 59 Years	60 to 69 Years	70 and Older	Unknown
Voyeurism	17	Father	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
		Mother	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
		No Relation	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
		Stepfather	9	0	0	1	0	3	1	0	0	1
AND THE RESERVE TO THE PERSON OF THE PERSON		Other Relative	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
		Friend	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	and the second s			16								

Breakdown of the Identified Relationships that Alleged Offenders have with Alleged Victim Children, by Allegation Type JULY - SEPTEMBER 2016

																		-
Unknown	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
70 and Older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 to 69 Years	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
50 to 59 Years	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
40 to 49 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30 to 39 Years	1	0	0	1	0	0	5	7	2	2	1	7	1	0	0	0	0	0
20 to 29 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
10 to 19 Years	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
0 to 9 Years	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Referrals in which Relationship Type was Identified	1	1	1	1	1	1	14	14	10	4	3	3	3	1	1	1	1	1
Type of Relationship that Offender Has with Alleged Victim(s)	Father	Grandparent	No Relation	Father	Brother	Cousin	Mother	Father	No Relation	Aunt/Uncle	Cousin	Live-In/Significant Other	Stepfather	Brother	Friend	Grandparent	Neighbor	Other Relative
Total Number of Relationship Types Cited for Allegation	æ			3			95											
ALLEGATION TYPE	Pornography/Exposure to Live Sex Act (Encouraging)			Pornography/Exposure to Live Sex Act (Permitting)	ę.		Pornography/Exposure to Live Sex Act (Exposure)											

# **SECTION IV**

-

# **CRIMES AGAINST CHILDREN DIVISION**

\_

# CASES SUBMITTED TO PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

\_

## ARKANSAS PROSECUTING ATTORNEY'S

Fletcher Long Prosecuting Attorney/1st Judicial Circuit 313 S. Izard Street-P.O. Box 365 Forrest City, AR 72335 (870) 261-1747 FAX (870) 261-1742

Scott Ellington Prosecuting Attorney/2nd Judicial Circuit 511 Union Street, Suite 342 Jonesboro, AR 72401 (870) 972-4779 FAX (870) 972-1700

Henry H. Boyce Prosecuting Attorney/3rd Judicial Circuit Jackson County Courthouse 208 Main Street Suite 31 Newport, AR 72112 (870) 523-7428 FAX (870)523-7433

Matt Durrett Prosecuting Attorney/4th Judicial Circuit 280 North College, Suite 301 Fayetteville, AR 72701 (479) 444-1570 FAX (479) 444-1594

David Gibbons Prosecuting Attorney/5th Judicial Circuit 100 W. Main Street-4th floor Russellville, AR 72801 (479) 968-8600 FAX (479) 967-1086

Larry Jegley Prosecuting Attorney/6th Judicial Circuit 224 South Spring Street Little Rock, AR 72201 (501) 340-8000 FAX (501) 340-8049

Teresa Howell Prosecuting Attorney/7th Judicial Circuit 215 E. Highland, Suite 4 Malvern, AR 72140 (870) 337-1468 FAX (870) 337-9441

Christy McQueen Prosecuting Attorney/8th North Judicial Circuit P.O. Box 1216 Hope, AR 71802-1216 (870) 777-0900 FAX (870) 722-2904 Stephanie Black Prosecuting Attorney/8th South Judicial Circuit 400 Laurel Ave. Room 6 Texarkana, AR 71854 (870) 774-1002 FAX (870) 772-9315

Blake Batson Prosecuting Attorney/9th East Judicial Circuit 414 Court Street Arkadelphia, AR 71923 (870) 246-9868 FAX (888) 251-9536

Bryan Chesshir Prosecuting Attorney/9th West Judicial Circuit P.O. Box 158 Nashville, AR 71852 (870) 845-5030 FAX (870) 845-0268

Thomas Deen Prosecuting Attorney/10th Judicial Circuit 506 South Main Street Monticello, AR 71655 (870) 367-9896 FAX (870) 367-9696

Robert Dittrich Prosecuting Attorney/11th East Judicial Circuit 305 S. College-P.O. Box 845 Stuttgart, AR 72160 (870) 673-3048 FAX (870) 673-4431

Kyle Hunter Prosecuting Attorney/11th West Judicial Circuit 101 West Barraque/P.O. Box 9090 Pine Bluff, AR 71611-9090 (870) 541-5387 FAX (870) 536-3613

Daniel Shue Prosecuting Attorney/12th Judicial Circuit 901 South B Street, Suite 209 Fort Smith, AR 72901 (479) 783-8976 FAX (479) 784-1551

David Butler Prosecuting Attorney/13th Judicial Circuit 307 American Road/Room 114 El Dorado, AR 71730 (870) 864-1960 FAX (870) 864-1964

### ARKANSAS PROSECUTING ATTORNEY'S

David Ethredge Prosecuting Attorney/14th Judicial Circuit 301 East 6th Street, Suite 170 Mt. Home, AR 72653 (870) 425-2595 (870) 425-2596

Tom Tatum II Prosecuting Attorney/15th Judicial Circuit P.O. Box 1599 Dardanelle, AR 72833 (479) 495-4550 FAX (479) 495-7992

Don McSpadden Prosecuting Attorney/16th Judicial Circuit 368 East Main/Suite 300 P.O. Box 2051 Batesville, AR 72503 (870) 793-8825 FAX (870) 793-8870

Becky Reed McCoy Prosecuting Attorney/17th Judicial Circuit 2407 Taylor Road Searcy, AR 72143 (501) 279-6236 FAX (501) 279-2072

Terri Harris Prosecuting Attorney/18th East Judicial Circuit 501 Ouachita Avenue Hot Springs, AR 71901 (501) 622-3720 FAX (501) 622-3797

Andy Riner Prosecuting Attorney/18th West Judicial Circuit P.O. Box 1721 Mena, AR 71953 (479) 394-1964 FAX (479) 394-6173 Tony Rogers
Prosecuting Attorney/19 - East Judicial Circuit
202 N Springfield/ P.O. Box 536
Berryville, AR 72616
(479) 271-1030 FAX (479) 423-6624

Nathan Smith Prosecuting Attorney/ 19<sup>th</sup> West Judicial Circuit 100 Northeast A Street Bentonville, AR 72712 (479) 271-1030 FAX (479) 271-1073


Cody Hiland Prosecuting Attorney/ 20<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit P.O. Box 550 / 609 Locust Street Conway, AR 72033 (501) 450-4927 FAX (501) 450-7607

Marc McCune Prosecuting Attorney/ 21<sup>st</sup> Judicial Circuit 206 South 3<sup>rd</sup> Street Van Buren, AR 72956 (479) 474-5000 FAX (479) 471-3256

Ken Casady Prosecuting Attorney/22<sup>nd</sup> Judicial Circuit 102 S. Main/ Saline County Judicial Building Benton, AR 72015 (501) 315-7767 FAX (501) 315-3171

Chuck Graham
Prosecuting Attorney/23<sup>rd</sup> Judicial Circuit
301 North Center, Suite 301
Lonoke, AR 72086
(501) 676-2807 FAX (501) 676-3044

# **Arkansas Judicial Districts**2016



Cases Summitted to PA (12)	Declined by PA (4)	Charges Filed (5)	Pending (3)
Sexual Abuse/Rape (12)		Rape (3 Charges)	
		Sexual Assault 2nd Degree	
		Sexual Assault 3rd Degree	
Judicial District 2			
Cases Summitted to PA (40)	Declined by PA (19)	Charges Filed (13)	Pending (8)
Sexual Abuse/Rape (36)	AND WALL THAT AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	Rape (5 Charges)	
Abuse (4)		Sexual Assault 1st Degree (2 Charges)	
		Sexual Assault 2nd Degree (2 Charges)	
		Domestic Battery (4 Charges)	
Judicial District 3	200		
Cases Summitted to PA (6)	Declined by PA (1)	Charges Filed (4)	Pending (1)
Sexual Abuse/Rape (5)		Rape	
Abuse (1)		Pornograph	
		Sexual Assault 2nd Degree	
		Domestic Battery	
Judicial District 4			
Cases Summitted to PA (26)	Declined by PA (7)	Charges Filed (12)	Pending (7)
Sexual Abuse/Rape (14)		Rape (4 Charges)	
Abuse (12)		Sexual Assault 2nd Degree (4 Charges)	
		Sexual Assault 3rd Degree (3 Charges)	
		Domestic Battery 2nd Degree	
Judicial District 5			
Cases Summitted to PA (13)	Declined by PA (4)	Charges Filed (5)	Pending (4)
Sexual Abuse/Rape (10)		Rape	
Abuse (3)		Sexual Assault 2nd Degree	
		Domestic Battery 2nd Degree (3 Charges)	
Judicial District 6			
Cases Summitted to PA (27)	Declined by PA (13)	Charges Filed (6)	Pending (8)
Sexual Abuse/Rape (22)	The special section is a second section of the second section of the second section se	Rape	
Physical Abuse (5)		Sexual Assault 2nd Degree (3 Charges)	
	31 - 01 103000 - 32	Domestic Battery 2nd Degree (2 Charges)	
Judicial District 7			
Cases Summitted to PA (7)	Declined by PA (2)	Charges Filed (3)	Pending (2)
Sexual Abuse/Rape (5)		Rape	

Cases Summitted to PA (7)	Declined by PA (2)	Charges Filed (4)	Pending (1)
Sexual Abuse/Rape (4)		Rape	
Abuse (3)		Sexual Assault 2nd Degree (3 Charges)	
Judicial District 8 N			
Cases Summitted to PA (4)	Declined by PA (0)	Charges Filed (3)	Pending (1)
Sexual Abuse/Rape (3)		Rape (2 Charges)	
Abuse (1)		Domestic Battery 1st Degree	
Judicial District 9 W			
Cases Summitted to PA (14)	Declined by PA (6)	Charges Filed (4)	Pending (4
Sexual Abuse/Rape (10)		Rape (2 Charges)	
Physical Abuse (4)		Domestic Battery 1st Degree (2 Charges)	
Judicial District 9 E			
Cases Summitted to PA (4)	Declined by PA (2)	Charges Filed (1)	Pending (1
Sexual Abuse/Rape (4)		Rape	
Judicial District 10			
Cases Summitted to PA (19)	Declined by PA (7)	Charges Filed (8)	Pending (4)
Sexual Abuse/Rape (14)		Rape (3 Charges)	
Physical Abuse (5)		Sexual Indecency with a Child (3 Charges)	
		Domestic Battery 1st Degree (2 Charges)	
Judicial District 11 W			
Cases Summitted to PA (10)	Declined by PA (4)	Charges Filed (3)	Pending (3
Sexual Abuse/Rape (9)		Sexual Assault 2nd Degree	
Abuse/Neglect (1)		Medical Neglect	
		Domestic Battery	
Judicial District 11 E			
Cases Summitted to PA (8)	Declined by PA (3)	Charges Filed (3)	Pending (2)
Sexual Abuse/Rape (7)		Rape (2 Charges)	
Abuse/Neglect (1)		Medical Neglect	
Judicial District 12			
Cases Summitted to PA (24)	Declined by PA (9)	Charges Filed (8)	Pending (7)
Sexual Abuse/Rape (16)		Child Pornography	
Physical Abuse (8)		Sexual Assault 2nd Degree (3 Charges)	
		Sexual Indecency with a Child (2 Charges)	
		Possession of a Controlled Substance (2 Charges)	

Cases Summitted to PA (15)	Declined by PA (5)	Charges Filed (4)	Pending (6)
Sexual Abuse/Rape (12)		Rape	
Physical Abuse (3)		Sexual Indecency with a Child	
		Child Pornography	
		Medical Neglect	
Judicial District 14			
Cases Summitted to PA (23)	Declined by PA (9)	Charges Filed (5)	Pending (9
Sexual Abuse/Rape (17)		Rape (2 Charges)	
Physical Abuse (6)		Sexual Indecency with a Child	
		Domestic Battery 3rd Degree (2 Charges)	
Judicial District 15			
Cases Summitted to PA (7)	Declined by PA (1)	Charges Filed (4)	Pending (2
Sexual Abuse/Rape (4)		Sexual Assault 2nd Degree (3 Charges)	0.000
Physical Abuse (3)		Medical Neglect	
Judicial District 16			
Cases Summitted to PA (29)	Declined by PA (11)	Charges Filed (13)	Pending (5
Sexual Abuse/Rape (19)		Rape (4 Charges)	
Physical Abuse (10)		Sexual Assault 2nd Degree (4 Charges)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Child Pornography	
		Domestic Battery 2nd Degree (4 Charges)	
Judicial District 17			
Cases Summitted to PA (4)	Declined by PA (0)	Charges Filed (2)	Pending (2
Sexual Abuse (3)		Sexual Indecency with a Child	
Abuse (1)		Medical Neglect	
Judicial District 18 E		1900 kg	
Cases Summitted to PA (22)	Declined by PA (9)	Charges Filed (10)	Pending (3
Sexual Abuse/Rape (16)	, , ,	Rape (4 Charges)	
Physical Abuse (6)		Sexual Assault 2nd Degree	
		Sexual Indecency with a Child (2 Charges)	
		Domestic Battery 3rd Degree (3 Charges)	
Judicial District 18 W			
Cases Summitted to PA (7)	Declined by PA (1)	Charges Filed (4)	Pending (2
Sexual Abuse/Rape (4)		Child Pornography	
Physical Abuse (3)		Endangering the Welfare of a Minor (3 Charges)	- 10056 N-7
Judicial District 19 W			
Cases Summitted to PA (10)	Declined by PA (3)	Charges Filed (5)	Pending (2
Sexual Abuse/Rape (6)		Sexual Indececy with a Child (2 Charges)	
Physical Abuse (4)		Domestic Battery 2nd Degree	
		Possession of a Controlled Substance (2 Charges)	

Cases Summitted to PA (29)	Declined by PA (9)	Charges Filed (7)	Pending (13)
Sexual Abuse/Rape (21)		Rape (4 Charges)	35 15 700 348 3000
Abuse (8)		Sexual Assault 2nd Degree	
		Endangering the Welfare of a Minor	
		Medical Neglect	
Judicial District 20			
Cases Summitted to PA (20)	Declined by PA (8)	Charges Filed (7)	Pending (5)
Sexual Abuse/Rape (16)		Rape	
Abuse (4)		Sexual Assault 1st Degree (3 Charges)	
		Domestic Battery (2 Charges)	
		Medical Neglect	
Judicial District 21			
Cases Summitted to PA (17)	Declined by PA (8)	Charges Filed (6)	Pending (3)
Sexual Abuse (11)		Rape (2 Charges)	
Physical Abuse (6)		Sexual Indececy with a Child (2 Charges)	
		Domestic Battery (2 Charges)	
Judicial District 22			
Cases Summitted to PA (23)	Declined by PA (6)	Charges Filed (10)	Pending (7)
Sexual Abuse (19)		Rape (4 Charges)	
Abuse (4)		Sexual Assault 1st Degree (3 Charges)	
		Medical Neglect (2 Charges)	
		Domestic Battery 2nd Degree	
Judicial District 23			
Cases Summitted to PA (20)	Declined by PA (8)	Charges Filed (9)	Pending (3)
Sexual Abuse/Rape (16)		Rape (2 Charges)	San 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10
Abuse (4)	W 01 -95 C _ 114 _ 55 C	Sexual Assault 1st Degree (3 Charges)	
		Child Pornography	
		Medical Neglect (2 Charges)	
	1	Possession of a Controlled Substance	

**Total Cases Sumitted:** 

447

Total Charges Declined: 161 **Total Charges Filed** 

**Total Cases Pending:** 

36%

168 118 38%

26%

NOTE: The standard for submitting cases to Prosecuting Attorneys is different than the standard used to determine what cases will actually be prosecuted. The decision to submit a case is made using a preponderance of the evidence standard, the same as is used in civil cases. The decision to actually charge criminally is made using a beyond a reasonable doubt standard; an evaluation which requires significantly more probative evidence than any other standard of proof used in the law.