Stricken language would be deleted from and underlined language would be added to the law as it existed prior to this session of the General Assembly.

1	State of Arkansas	As Engrossed: H3/10/05		
2	85th General Assembly	A Bill		
3	Regular Session, 2005		HOUSE BILL	2515
4				
5	By: Representatives Brad	lford, Clemons, Flowers, Mahony, Maxwell, Bolin		
6	By: Senator J. Taylor			
7				
8				
9		For An Act To Be Entitled		
10	AN ACT TO AMEND VARIOUS PROVISIONS OF THE SPARTA			
11	AQUIFER CRITICAL GROUNDWATER COUNTIES'			
12	REMEI	DIATION ACT; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.		
13				
14		Subtitle		
15	AN	N ACT TO AMEND VARIOUS PROVISIONS OF		
16	THE SPARTA AQUIFER CRITICAL GROUNDWATER			
17	CC	DUNTIES' REMEDIATION ACT.		
18				
19				
20	BE IT ENACTED BY TH	E GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ARKAN	SAS:	
21				
22	SECTION 1. A	rkansas Code § 15-22-1202 is amended to	read as follow	s:
23	15-22-1202. Legislative findings and intent.			
24	(a) It has b	een found by the General Assembly that c	ertain countie	s in
25	the State of Arkans	as presently have critical water shortag	es in the Spar	ta
26	Aquifer where the p	otentiometric surface has dropped below	the top of the	
27	Sparta formation in the critical groundwater area containing Bradley,			
28	Calhoun, Columbia,	Ouachita, and Union counties, and that t	he potentiomet	ric
29	surface in the critical groundwater area containing Arkansas, Jefferson,			
30	Lonoke, Prairie, Pu	laski, and White counties has not droppe	d below the to	p of
31	the formation, and	that the critical groundwater area desig	nation coverin	8
32	Arkansas, Jefferson, Lonoke, Prairie, Pulaski, and White counties is			
33	presently being contested in the courts of our state, and that further,			
34	because of the exis	ecause of the existing depletion of the groundwater from the Sparta Aquifer		
35	which underlies those counties, that this crisis, in some instances, is			
36	aggravated by the unrestrained direct withdrawal of water from the aquifer			÷



As Engrossed: H3/10/05

HB2515

1 and that this crisis is not adequately addressed by any existing law. 2 (b) It is the intent of this subchapter to make available revenues and 3 resources to address this crisis and to discourage the withdrawal of Sparta 4 Aquifer water by certain large water users in Bradley, Calhoun, Columbia, 5 Jefferson, Ouachita, and Union counties. 6 7 SECTION 2. Arkansas Code § 15-22-1203(6), concerning the definition of 8 "county" as used in the Sparta Aquifer Critical Groundwater Counties' 9 Remediation Act, is amended to read as follows: 10 (6) "County" means any Arkansas county: 11 (A) In which at least ninety percent (90%) of the reported 12 groundwater usage from the Sparta Aquifer is for municipal and industrial purposes on the date of the petition as of July 1, 2005; and 13 (B) Which has been or is found and determined by the 14 15 commission to be within a critical groundwater area prior to July 1, 1998 16 July 1, 2005, within the meaning of the Arkansas Groundwater Protection and 17 Management Act, § 15-22-901 et seq.; 18 19 SECTION 3. Arkansas Code § 15-22-1214 is amended to read as follows: 15-22-1214. Water conservation levy. 20 21 (a) There is levied and fixed The Sparta Aquifer critical groundwater 22 county conservation board may levy and fix upon each registered water user 23 and significant water user of aquifer water a conservation fee at the 24 following rates per one thousand gallons (1000 gals.) of aquifer water 25 withdrawn: 26 (1) Twenty-four cents (24) per one thousand gallons (1000 27 gals.) until May 1, 2001; and 28 (2) Thirty-six cents (36) per one thousand gallons (1000 gals.) 29 thereafter. 30 (b) However, the Sparta Aquifer critical groundwater county conservation board shall have the power to reduce the conservation fee upon 31 32 issuance of its written findings to the effect that the conservation fee as 33 reduced will continue in an amount deemed appropriate by the board to 34 discourage the withdrawal of aquifer water by registered water users and 35 significant water users. 36 (c) In like manner, the board may issue its written findings to the

2

1	effect that it is necessary that the conservation fee be raised to discourage
2	withdrawal and increase the fee to any level allowed hereby, whereupon the
3	conservation fee shall be raised to such a level.
4	
5	/s/ Bradford, et al
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	