1	State of Arkansas	As Engrossed: \$3/7/11
2	88th General Assembly	A Bill
3	Regular Session, 2011	HOUSE BILL 1433
4		
5	By: Representatives J. Roebuck, Fielding, Gillam, Hall, Lampkin, Lenderman, Lindsey, Linck, Lovel	
6	Powers, Stubblefield, T. Thompson, B. Wilkins, Word, Wren	
7	By: Senators G. Jeffress, Whitaker, Irvin	
8		
9	For An Act To Be Entitled	
10	AN ACT TO ESTABLISH PERFORMANCE RATINGS FOR EDUCATION	
11	SERVICE COOPE	RATIVES; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.
12		
13		
14		Subtitle
15	TO ESTAB	BLISH PERFORMANCE RATINGS FOR
16	EDUCATIO	ON SERVICE COOPERATIVES.
17		
18		
19	BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENE	RAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ARKANSAS:
20		
21		s Code § 6-13-1021(a)-(d), concerning education
22		ations, is amended to read as follows:
23		ions <u> Performance rating</u> .
24		ucation service cooperative shall be evaluated
25		ol year and at least once within each five-year
26	•	ablished by the Commissioner of Education, all
27		cooperatives must be visited by an evaluation
28	committee of not more than	-
29		luation shall include, but not be limited to, an
30	_	isfaction, service adequacy, extent of local
31		qualifications, and performance and administration
32	effectiveness.	
33		e final evaluation, including any self-evaluation,
34		annual report to the Department of Education, § 6-
35		le on the website of the education service
36	<u>cooperative.</u>	

As Engrossed: S3/7/11 HB1433

1	(2)(A) The evaluation criteria shall be developed		
2	collaboratively between the Department of Education and the directors of each		
3	education service cooperative.		
4	(B) The evaluation criteria shall be fully implemented by		
5	September 1, 2012.		
6	(c)(1) The report of this committee shall be filed with the education		
7	service cooperative visited, with its constituent school districts, and with		
8	the State Board of Education.		
9	(2) The state board shall acknowledge receipt of the report and		
10	comment on any deficiencies identified in the report that should be corrected		
11	for the education service cooperative to remain eligible for base funding.		
12	(3) The report shall identify each education service cooperative		
13	as being in one (1) of the following category levels, based on the		
14	evaluation:		
15	(A) "Level 5", education service cooperative of		
16	<pre>excellence;</pre>		
17	(B) "Level 4", education service cooperative exceeding		
18	standards;		
19	(C) "Level 3", education service cooperative meeting		
20	standards;		
21	(D) "Level 2", education service cooperative on alert; or		
22	(E) "Level 1", education service cooperative in need of		
23	<u>immediate improvement.</u>		
24	(d)(1) The intent of this evaluation procedure is to provide a means		
25	for school districts to express their concerns about the operation of their		
26	education service cooperative and to ensure that each education service		
27	cooperative remains alert and responsive to the needs of the local schools it		
28	serves.		
29	(2) An education service cooperative that receives a performance		
30	category level of level 1 shall be reevaluated during the evaluation cycle		
31	the following year.		
32	(3) For all education service cooperatives that receive a		
33	performance category level of level 1 for two (2) consecutive years, the		
34	department shall:		
35	(A) Withhold base funding to the education service		
36	cooperative; or		

As Engrossed: S3/7/11 HB1433

1	(B) Take over administration of the education service
2	cooperative.
3	(e) The Department of Education shall promulgate rules necessary for
4	implementing this section.
5	
6	/s/J. Roebuck
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	