1 2	State of Arkansas 88th General Assembly	A Bill	
3	Regular Session, 2011		HOUSE BILL 1544
4			
5	By: Representative Stewart		
6			
7		For An Act To Be Entitled	
8	AN ACT TO REMOVE THE LIMITATION ON A STAY IN		
9	PROCEEDINGS FOR CHILD CUSTODY CASES WHEN A SERVICE		
10	MEMBER IS	DEPLOYED; AND OTHER PURPOSES.	
11			
12			
13		Subtitle	
14	TO R	EMOVE THE LIMITATION ON A STAY IN	
15	PROC	EEDINGS FOR CHILD CUSTODY CASES WHE	N
16	A SE	RVICE MEMBER IS DEPLOYED.	
17			
18			
19	BE IT ENACTED BY THE C	GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ARE	KANSAS:
20			
21	SECTION 1. Arka	ansas Code § 12-62-406 is amended to	o read as follows:
22	12-62-406. Stay	of proceedings.	
23	<del>(a)</del> All lawsuit	s pending in any court of this stat	ce in which any
24	attorney for either pa	arty or any party is a member of the	e reserve components
25	of the armed forces ar	nd who has been ordered to a period	of active duty in
26	the armed forces of th	ne State of Arkansas or of the Unite	ed States, pursuant
27	to a written order iss	sued by the authority of the Preside	ent of the United
28	States or the Governor	of the State of Arkansas, upon wri	itten notice to the
29	parties and the court,	, shall be stayed for a period of no	ot less than fifteen
30	(15) days preceding th	ne period of active duty and for thi	irty (30) days
31	following the period o	of active duty, unless for a time le	ess as requested by
32		The proceedings shall be stayed wi	_
33		neys also representing parties litig	
34		fines rendered or imposed in viola	
35		ntinuance has been filed hereunder s	shall be void and
36	unanforcashla		

1	(b) This section does not apply to a party who shall be represented by
2	counsel or to an attorney in a dependency-neglect case in which the circuit
3	court has made specific written findings by clear and convincing evidence
4	that:
5	(1) The child has been adjudicated dependent-neglected as
6	defined by the Arkansas Juvenile Code of 1989, § 9-27-301 et seq.;
7	(2) The delay in the judicial proceedings would result in a
8	delay of permanency for the child and that delay would result in harm to the
9	child; and
10	(3) It is in the child's best interest to proceed with the
11	dependency-neglect case without delay.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31 32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
20	